

Antipathogenic Efficacy and Hemolytic Activity of *Calotropis procera* Leaves

Amit Kumar, Sukumar Dandapat, Manoj Kumar and M.P. Sinha

Department of Zoology,
Ranchi University, Ranchi- 834008, Jharkhand, India

Abstract: The work dealt with the growth-inhibitory impact of methanolic extract of *Calotropis procera* leaves on five pathogenic bacteria, analysed by agar diffusion and broth dilution methods. By agar diffusion method, the extract was found very effective against *B. subtilis* (MIC= 2.5 mg), while moderate activity was noted against *P. mirabilis* (MIC= 5 mg). Zone of inhibition was not found for *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus* and *S. typhi* for the concentrations screened. MIC was found 9 and 8 mg for *S. aureus* and *S. typhi* respectively by broth dilution method. The haemolytic activity exhibited by the crude extract showed time and concentration dependence. The present findings suggested that *Calotropis procera* is a potential source of antimicrobial compounds.

Key words: *Calotropis procera* • MIC • Antibacterial • Hemolytic Activity

INTRODUCTION

Infectious diseases pose serious problems to health and they are the main cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide [1]. Recent trends show the failure of chemotherapeutics due to emergence of multiple drug resistance, re-emergence of infections and changing pattern of susceptibility [2-4]. This calls for the discovery of new antimicrobial compounds.

Plant-derived medicines have been a part of traditional health care in most parts of the world for thousands of years [5] and continue to be almost exclusive source of drugs for a major part of the world even today [6]. Hence researchers are turning their interests towards the field of ethno-botany which provides immense prospects for the new drug leads pertaining to the unequalled availability of chemical diversity [7-14].

India harbors rich diversity of medicinal plant species, which are known to produce several bioactive metabolites and are being used in the folk medicine system [15, 16]. One such plant is *Calotropis procera* (Asclepiadaceae). It is a perennial shrub commonly found in the tropical parts of the world, including Asia, Africa and Arabian Peninsula. It has also been reported in Australia, Mexico, South-Central America and in few

Caribbean and Pacific islands [17]. In traditional and folk medicine systems, *C. procera* has been used to treat a variety of ailments like leprosy, fever, menorrhagia, malaria, headache and rheumatism [18, 19] in the Sudani, Unani, Arabic and Indian traditional medicine systems [20]. It has also been used as *in vitro* and *in vivo* nematocide and as an antidote to snake bite [21, 22]. There is however, an insufficiency of information regarding the antibacterial efficacy of the leaf extract of the plant. Also, information regarding the haemolytic activity of *C. procera* leaf is lacking.

With this background, the present study was undertaken to assess the antibacterial efficacy of the methanolic leaf extract of *Calotropis procera*, along with study of haemolytic activity of the aqueous extract.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of Plant Material: The fresh mature leaves of *C. procera* were collected from Ranchi district of Jharkhand state (India) during February' 2013. Samples were washed with deionized water and disinfected with 0.1% HgCl₂ solution for five minutes, chopped into small pieces and shade dried. Upon drying, the sample was powered in an electric grinder, sieved with fine mesh and stored in air-tight container for further use.

Preparation of Extract: 50 g of the powder was subjected to extraction by soxhlet using methanol and distilled water separately. The extract obtained was filtered, concentrated after dryness in rotary flash evaporator maintained at 45°C, percentage yield of each extract was calculated and the dried extract was stored in air tight containers at room temperature for further studies.

Antibacterial Assay: The antibacterial activity of the extracts was determined using five Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria: *Bacillus subtilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Salmonella typhi* by agar diffusion and broth dilution methods. In agar diffusion method, following Threlfall *et al.* [23], the agar plates were prepared and wells were made in the plate. Each plate was inoculated with 18 h old cultures (100 µl) of the selected bacteria and spread evenly on the plate. After 20 min, the wells were filled with different concentrations of sample extracts. The control wells were filled with Gentamycin along with solvent. All the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h and the diameter of inhibition zones were noted.

Growth inhibition by methanolic extract was measured (in percentage) by broth dilution method, as proposed by Walker [24]. The tubes containing the culture media were prepared, autoclaved and respective concentrations of the samples were added. Each tube was inoculated with 18 h old cultures (100 µl). A control tube with inoculums and without any sample was prepared along with a sterile media tube as blank. All the tubes were incubated at 37°C on a shaker with 140 rpm for 24 h. The inhibition % was measured at 660 nm.

Hemolytic Activity: Hemolytic activity of the aqueous leaf extract was determined using goat blood. An erythrocyte suspension was prepared by adding 5% (by volume) of sodium citrate (36.5 g/l) to fresh blood and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min to separate the erythrocytes. 2% erythrocyte suspension was prepared by adding 49 mL phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) to 1 mL packed erythrocytes.

Serial dilution of plant extracts were prepared using phosphate buffer. 1 ml of citrated blood was mixed with equal volume of diluted plant extracts and the volume was adjusted to 5 mL by phosphate buffer. The mixture was allowed to stand for 6 hrs at room temperature. Hemolysis was monitored spectrophotometrically at 540 nm, depicted by an increase in the optical density of the solution due to the release of hemoglobin through time [25, 26].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results were depicted in Table 1 and Figures 1-6.

Antibacterial Efficacy: Table-1 shows the zone of inhibition obtained by methanolic leaf extract of *C. procera* against the selected Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values represent the efficacy of the extract against the bacteria. The lower the MIC, more effective is the extract against that bacterium.

It is apparent from the table that the extract showed considerable activity against *B. subtilis* (MIC = 2.5 mg), while moderate activity was shown against *P. mirabilis* (MIC = 5 mg). MIC values were not obtained for the extract against *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. typhi* for the concentrations screened.

The MIC values obtained by the broth dilution method were 2.5, 9, 5, 10 and 8 mg for *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus*, *P. mirabilis*, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. typhi* respectively and Figures 1-5 depict the growth inhibitory kinetics of the five above stated bacteria respectively. By broth dilution method too, the methanolic leaf extract of *C. procera* was found most effective against *B. subtilis* among the studied bacteria, while moderate activity was noted against *P. mirabilis*. At higher concentrations, the extract also inhibited *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. typhi*.

Table 1: Zone of inhibition obtained for methanolic extract of *Calotropis procera* leaves against the selected bacteria by Agar diffusion method (values in mm)

S. No.	Conc. of extract (mg)	0.13	0.36	0.612	1.25	2.5	5	MIC (mg)
1.	<i>B. subtilis</i>	0	0	0	0	3	10	2.5
2.	<i>S. aureus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	NF
3.	<i>P. mirabilis</i>	0	0	0	0	0	4	5
4.	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	NF
5.	<i>S. typhi</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	NF

NF- MIC was not found with the concentrations screened




Fig. 1: Growth inhibitory efficacy of methanolic leaf extract of *Calotropis procera* on *B. subtilis*




Fig. 2: Growth inhibitory efficacy of methanolic leaf extract of *Calotropis procera* on *S. aureus*




Fig. 3: Growth inhibitory efficacy of methanolic leaf extract of *Calotropis procera* on *P. mirabilis*




Fig. 4: Growth inhibitory efficacy of methanolic leaf extract of *Calotropis procera* on *P. aeruginosa*




Fig. 5: Growth inhibitory efficacy of methanolic leaf extract of *Calotropis procera* on *S. typhi*




Fig. 6: Hemolytic activity of aqueous leaf extract of *C. procera*

The antibacterial activity of the plants is an attribute to the secondary metabolites produced by them for protection against microbial infections, insect pests and herbivores [7]. Substantial activity of various plant extracts has been reported against these bacteria by several workers [27]. By comparing those reports with the present results we may consider the use of crude methanolic leaf extract of *C. procera* against the studied infective pathogens.

Hemolytic activity of the leaf extract was studied and the results are graphically represented in figure 6 as gradual increase in OD value with time and concentration of extract. The hemolytic activity is due to the saponins contained in the plant parts [28]. These saponins are known to increase the membrane permeability [29] and hence are considered as potential adjuvants. However, because of the same property, they cause hemolysis [28]. This hemolytic activity of a compound depends upon several factors, like the membrane composition, saponin side chain, temperature and time of incubation [29]. The present results showed concentration-dependent increase in the hemolytic activity and a slight increase in the same with time of incubation. The hemolytic activity of few plant extracts have been reported [26, 28-30]. By comparing the results, we may say that the hemolytic activity of *C. procera* is not very pronounced and hence the use of leaf extract is safe.

With the present findings, we may qualify the use of crude extracts of *Calotropis procera* as a potent and safe antibacterial agent.

REFERENCES

1. Beaglehole, R., A. Irwin and T. Prentice, 2004. The World Health Report 2004, changing history. Statistical Annex. Deaths by cause, sex and mortality stratum in WHO regions, estimates for 2002. WHO. Geneva, pp: 120-122.
2. Rojas, R., B. Bustamante, J. Bauer, I. Fernandez, J. Alban and O. Lock, 2003. Antimicrobial activity of selected Peruvian medicinal plants. J. Ethnopharmacology, 88: 199-204.
3. Benkeblia, N., 2004. Antimicrobial activity of essential oil extracts of various onions (*Allium cepa*) and garlic (*Allium sativum*). Lebensm-Wiss u-Technol., 37: 263-268.
4. Parekh, J. and S.V. Chanda, 2007. *In vitro* Antimicrobial Activity and Phytochemical Analysis of Some Indian Medicinal Plants. Turk. J. Biology, 31: 53-58.
5. Cowan, M.M., 1999. Plants products as antimicrobial agents. Clinical Microbiology Rev., 12: 564-582.
6. Ghani, A., 2003. Medicinal Plants of Bangladesh. The Asiatic Society of Bangladesh, pp: 243-235.
7. Thenmozhi, M. and S. Rajeshwari, 2010. Phytochemical analysis and antimicrobial activity of *Polyalthia longifolia*. International Journal of Pharma. and Bio. Sci., 1(3): 1-7.
8. Mahesh, B. and S. Satish, 2008. Antimicrobial activity of some important medicinal plant against plant and human pathogens. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 4(S): 839-843.
9. Umamaheshwari, A., R. Shreevidya and A. Nuni, 2008. *In vitro* Antibacterial Activity of *Bougainvillea spectabilis* leaves Extracts. Advances in Biological Research, 2(1-2): 01-05.
10. Muhammad, N., M. Saeed, M. Qayum and H. Khan, 2013. Antimicrobial Screening of *Viola betonicifolia*. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, 15(1): 55-60.
11. Thulasi, G. and V. Amsaveni, 2012. Antibacterial Activity of *Cassia auriculata* Against ESBL Producing *E. coli* from UTI Patients. International Journal of Microbiological Research, 3(1): 24-29.

12. Gaherwal, S., 2013. Anti-Bacterial Activity of Ficus benghalensis (Banyan) fruit Extract Against Different Bacteria. International Journal of Microbiological Research, 4(2): 177-179.
13. Hussain, A., S. Wahab, I. Zarin and M.D.S. Hussain, 2010. Antibacterial Activity of the Leaves of *Coccinia indica* (W. and A.) W of India. Advances in Biological Research, 4(5): 241-248.
14. Kumar, M., S. Dandapat, A. Kumar and M.P. Sinha, 2013. Determination of Nutritive Value and Mineral Elements of Five-Leaf Chaste Tree (*Vitex negundo* L.) and Malabar Nut (*Adhatoda vasica* Nees). Academic Journal of Plants Sciences, 6(3): 103-108.
15. Cox, P.A., 1990. Ethnopharmacology and the search for new drugs in bioactive compounds from plants, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, edn.1990, pp: 40-45.
16. Duraipandian, V., S. Ignacimuthu and K. Balakrishna, 2012. Antimicrobial activity of *Tinospora Cordifolia*: an ethnomedicinal plant. Asian J. Traditional medicines, 7(2): 59-65.
17. Rahman, M.A. and C.C. Wilcock, 1991. A taxonomic revision of *Calotropis* (Asclepiadaceae). Nordiac Journal of Botany, 11(3): 301-308.
18. Singh, H., G. Krishna and P.K. Baske, 2010. Plants used in the treatment of joint diseases (rheumatism, arthritis, gout and lumbago) in Mayurbhanj district of Odisha, India. Report and Opinion, 2(9): 22-26.
19. Tomar, J.B., S.K. Bishnoi and K.K. Saini, 2012. Healing the tribal way: Ethanomedicinal formulations used by the tribes of Jharkhand. International Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, 2(1): 97-105.
20. Sheth, F., 2011. Range of seasonal phytochemical variations in *Calotropis procera* (Ait.) R. Br. Int. J. Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, 1(2): 180-183.
21. Anver, S. and M.M. Alam, 1992. Effect of latex seed dressing on interacting root-knot and reniform nematodes. Afro-Asian Journal of Nematology, 2: 1-2, 17-20.
22. Charu, J. and P.C. Trivedi, 1997. Nematicidal activity of certain plants against root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*, infecting chickpea, *Cicer arietinum*. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences, 5(2): 171-174.
23. Threlfall, E.J., I.S.T. Fisher, L. Ward, H. Tschape and P. Gernersmidt, 1999. Harmonization of antibacterial susceptibility testing for *Salmonella*: Result of a study by 18 national reference laboratories within the European Union-funded Enter-Net group. Microbiology and drug resistance, 5: 195-199.
24. Walker, R.D., 2000. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing and interpretation of results. Iowa State University Press, pp: 12-26.
25. Quality control methods for medicinal plant materials. WHO Library Cataloguing in Publication data 1998, 44: 45-46.
26. Priyadharshini, S., S. Bragadeeshwaran, K. Prabhu and S.S. Rani, 2012. Antimicrobial and hemolytic activity of seaweed extracts *Ulva fasciata* (Delile 1813) from Mandapam, Southeast coast of India. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine, pp: S37-S39.
27. Dandapat, S., M. Kumar, A. Kumar and M.P. Sinha, 2013. Antipathogenic efficacy of methanolic leaf extracts of *Cinnamomum tamala* and *Aegle marmelos* with their nutritional potentiality. The Bioscan, 8(2): 635-641.
28. Urbanska, N., J. Nartowska, A. Skorupska, D. Ruszkowski, J. Giebultowicz and O. Olszowska, 2009. Determination of hemolytic activity of saponins in hairy root culture of *Platycodon grandifolium* A.DC. Herba Polonica, 55(3): 103-108.
29. Noudeh, G.D., F. Sharififar, E. Behravan, E. Mohajeri and V. Alinia, 2011. Medicinal plants as surface activity modifiers. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research, 5(22): 5378-5383.
30. Chakraborty, D. and B. Shah, 2011. Antimicrobial, Anti-Oxidant and Anti-hemolytic activity of *Piper betel* leaf extracts. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 3(3): 192-199.