

## **On-Farm Demonstration and Promotion of Triple-Layer Hermetic Bag Storage Structures for the Anagement of Maize Weevil in Mid-Altitude Agroecology's of Ethiopia**

*Negasa Fufa, Alem Jambo, Hunde Kidane*

Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research,  
Ambo Agricultural Research Center, P.O. Box: 37, Ambo, Ethiopia

---

**Abstract:** The experiment was conducted for two years in 2018 to 2019 to demonstrate and promote triple-layer hermetic bag storage structures for the management of maize weevil and to disseminate/scale-out possible knowledge to the maize growing areas of the country. The experiment was replicated 3x5 in randomized complete block designs. The farmers were used as replication which was 3x5 from five agroecology's of Bako, Ambo, Hawasa, Jimma and Amhara. From each agroecology's nine household farmers were selected and a total of 270 samples were collected. All the data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) by using the PROC GLM procedure and difference among means were compared by the least significant difference (LSD). The correlation between parameters were examined using Pearson 's correlation coefficient using PROC CORR procedure of the SAS software. The result revealed that hermetic bag storage structures was significant different ( $P<0.05$ ) causes 100% weevils' mortality then, reducing of grains damage and weight losses and maintaining grain quality as well as germination percentage than the treated and untreated ones in the five experimental locations. Significant ( $P<0.05$ ) high mean values 9.22 and 9.66% of grain damage and weight losses was observed in the untreated sack. High mean number 52.13 of weevils were recorded in the last six months of storage periods. From this research, it can be concluded that triple-layer hermetic bag storage container showed better result in preventing insect-damage, maintaining weight loss, germination percentage and grain quality than the treated and untreated sack in the five experimental locations. Therefore, hermetic storage container alone can be suggested to farmers provided appropriate application of technology is ensured if triple-layer hermetic bag storage kept in comprehensive handling and management by farmers that are proper placement, hermetic sealing of triple-layers and no perforation of PICS bags by rodents and hens.

**Key words:** PICS Bag • Carbon Dioxide • Grain Damage • Insect Infestation • Mortality • Maize

---

### **INTRODUCTION**

Maize is one of the most important crops grown in Africa and the primary cereal grain [1] and the most widely grown crop in Ethiopia from lowland to highland agroecology's [2]. In Ethiopia, it is one of the strategic field crops targeted to ensure food security due to its peak productivity potential. It stands first in total production and productivity and second in area coverage next to tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc) Trotter] of all cereal crops cultivated in Ethiopia [3, 4]. Ethiopia currently produces more maize than any other crop [5, 6]. A total of 7.8 million tons of maize (31% of the total cereal) was produced on 2.1 million hectares (21% of the total area

planted cereals) of land by nearly 11 million small households (31% of the total cereal) in 2016, FAOSTAT [7]. Three forth of the maize produced is consumed at the house hold level by the small-scale producers themselves [8].

Thus, the seasonality of grain production amid constant demand all over the year gives storage a major role to play in ensuring domestic food security and a basis of income until the subsequent harvest. Storage insect pests pose a risk to household food security as they feed on stored grain resulting in quantitative, qualitative and economic losses. Worldwide image of losses of grain and pulse crops after harvest is valued to be 10% typically due to insect pests and this is

actually thoughtful in developing countries [9]. The yearly grain losses in Ethiopia range between 2 and 30% [10]. In addition, Demissie *et al.* [11] reported 20 to 30% of Ethiopian maize is missing to *S. zeamais* infestation, while 100% damage has been found in maize stored for 6 to 8 months in the Bako Oromia region, of the country. This loss renders to weight loss, loss of seed viability and reduce a price values which affects the livelihood of farmers. Some farmers avoid suffering storage losses by selling grain soon after the harvest [12, 13] irrespective of the low price practiced during the early period of storage season. Prevention of pests is significant as losses during storage reduce food accessibility, quality and the permanency of farmers' food supply and income [14].

Synthetic pesticide is expensive may not be accessible in the market repeatedly and may be illegitimately mixed with other mixtures [15, 16]. Even when applied properly, damage can arise and the necessity to frequently apply chemical agents increases the ways of human or environmentally harmfulness [17, 18]. The Purdue Improved crop storage (PICS) bag has recognized to be an effective substitute to chemical pesticide for stored grain. [19] reported that Hermetic storage has become a replacement for older storage method, mainly in hot, humid climates because of its "Green" chemical free technology, control of moisture content, avoidance of pesticides and of essential for freezing. The research done in India indicated that (PICS) bag is effective up to 98% in eliminating of all insect pest within just one month of storage reducing damage and weight loss caused by feeding [20]. Paper presented at conferences in Portugal by Villers *et al.* [21] indicated that PICS bag "kills" 100% insect pests and control of moulds and free fatty acid. However, little information is available for the use of triple-layer hermetic storage bag structures for smallholder to reduce postharvest losses of maize farmers in the country which was considered in this study. Therefore, the objective of this research is: 1) to develop and promote technological uses of triple-layer hermetic bag storage structures for the management of post-harvest losses due to storage insect pests and 2) to demonstrate and disseminate improved storage technologies for maize producing farmers.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Methods

**Dissemination:** Theoretical and practical workshops, provision of adequate training, demonstrations and audio-visual discussions will be used to scale-out and disseminate potential of technology to the areas.

### Experimental Materials

**Hermetic Bag:** Triple-layer hermetic bag (0.3m by 0.6m) was constructed from 100kg PICS bag. In the bottom a wood was put and, on the side, protect not to touch the wall in order protects moisture adherence, rodent, hen and other insect bowdlerized and other contamination. Each of the layers was twisted and tied separately to arrange an air tight seal. 100kg of maize grain was filled in the center of the layer and tied from inner to outer woven and the bag stored at room temperature. Hundred (100) kilograms of maize grains were stored in each storage as well as in each experimental location. 100 to 200 kg will be used to store for a six-month storage period. From each five locations nine farmers selected and on each farmer. Generally, there were nine (9) treatment combinations with forty-five (45) observation. The data was collected at every two months interval, including at the start of the study making up four levels for the factor storage period. The samples were removed at two months interval for insect-damage and undamaged and Weight loss using the count and weigh and germination percentage was estimated.

**Experimental Design:** The experiments were arranged in a factorial fashion with three factors storage types, storage period and agroecology's in complete randomized block design with five (5) replications. The farmers used as a replication and nine farmers were selected purposively from each five locations. Storage types have three levels i.e., PICS bag, sack with chemical and sack without chemical, storage period have four levels that is (Initial, 2, 4 and 6) and agroecology's have four levels (West Shewa, SNNP, Jimma and Amhara) which was considered as replications.

**Sampling Methods:** A total of 270 samples of stored maize grain were collected from the maize farmers periodically from the beginning of the storage to the last six months of storage periods. The initial samples taken from each storage structures were considered as a control. Each sample was taken by inserting the compartmented spear into the grain mass straight to the maximum depth from the top, side, middle and the bottom of the storage.

**Moisture Content:** Grain moisture content was determined by using the AACC [22] standard procedures of oven dry methods. The grain was dried at a temperature of 105°C for three hours and after removed from the oven wait to cool in a dissector and then weighed. Then, the moisture content was calculated as follows:



Fig. 1: On Farm Demonstration and Promotion of PICS bag Structures



Fig. 2: Theoretical and practical workshops training, demonstrations and audio-visual discussions



Fig. 3: Developing of visual score of damage scale in different storage structures data to be collected

$$MC(\%) = \frac{W_i - W_f}{W_f} \times 100$$

where,

$W_i$  = Weight initial

$W_f$  = Weight final

**Germination Test:** Germination test was carried out according to international seed testing association standard [23]. This was done by using counting of 25 maize seeds from the pure seed by multi auto electric

counter. This was done by using counting of 25 maize seed from pure seeds by multi auto electric counter of each sample were placed in petri dishes containing filter paper soaked with distilled water. The 25 pure seeds of each samples were in petri dish containing filter paper soaked with distilled water. Germination count was made every day up to the completion of germination at seven days. A seed was plumule and radicle arose out up to 2mm length. Germination percentage was calculated using the formula described by Tame [24].

$$\text{Germination(\%)} = \frac{\text{No. of germinated seeds}}{\text{Total No. of seeds soaked}} \times 100$$

**Grain Damage:** Grain damage was collected and assessed for insect-damage using a conventional ‘count and weigh’ method. Each five hundred (500g) grains were taken from initial to last storage periods and from each of the storage types and the number of insect damaged and un-damaged grain were obtained using a hand lens by searching for the presence of hole on the seeds. The percentage of insect-damaged grains was calculated according to the methods used by Wambugu *et al.* [25] as follows:

$$\text{Damaged grain (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of insect-damaged grain}}{\text{Total number of grain}} \times 100$$

where, PIDG = percentage of insect damaged grain

**Weight Loss:** Percentage weight loss was computed by count and weigh method according to the procedure used by Gwinner *et al.* [26] using the following equation.

$$\text{Weight loss (\%)} = \frac{(UNd) - (DNu)}{U(Nu + Nd)} \times 100$$

where,

U = Weight of undamaged grain,

D = Weight of damaged grain,

Nu = Number of undamaged grains,

Nd = Number of damaged grains.

**Statistical Analysis:** All the data collected in 2017-2019 were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) by using the PROC GLM procedure (SAS institute, 2004) and difference among means were compared by the Least Significant Difference at 5% level of significance [27]. The correlation parameters were examined using Pearson’s correlation coefficient using PROC CORR procedure of the SAS software (SAS Institute, 2004).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

**On Farm Demonstration and Promotion of PICS Bag Storage Structures:** The storage technology performance testing of the triple-layer hermetic bag (i.e., PICS) was conducted in five locations whereby three treatments were used. The treatments were: 100 kg of PICS bags and 100 kg of farmers used woven polypropylene with chemicals and woven polypropylene without chemicals as control were used. In each location the experiment was laid in 3x3 arrangements that is the three storage types

was put in individual farmer house. From five experimental locations nine (9) farmers house were selected in each for the demonstration. The bags were filled with fresh undamaged and clean maize seeds/grains and left for more than 6 months in a farmer’s home room at ambient temperature 25-30°C. After the storage period of six months, many maize weevils were observed in the treated and untreated (control) storage structure and no live weevils were found in the PICS bags as the farmer’s observation during the fields.

A total of 386 farmers participated in the field days which were 300 male and 86 female households. The farmers used their own criteria for the selection of the storage structures during the demonstrations like presences of weevils, grains color, damage grains either holes or any visible changes seen on the grains. Therefore, from the three storage structures used hermetic bag the most appropriate for maize grains storage and selected as a number one for the maize farmers to reduces the problems of weevil’s infestations with handling the bag from rodents and hens tearing, appropriate place for keeping from moisture observation of the bag from the grounds and other damaging insect.

### Effect of Storage Types and Agro-Ecological Differences on of Weevil’s Population Density:

The initial mean number of weevils’ value of the maize before storage was zero. Highly significantly ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) increasing in the mean number of population density of weevils among storage types and agroecology’s. Four weevils’ species were identified from the samples collected in all experimental location after four months of storage. Among these *S. oryzae* and *S. zeamais* the most dominant spp. of weevils recorded in all location (Figure 4 and Table 1). Highly significant different ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) among the weevils’ species and *S. zeama* is occurred with high mean number 114, 122, 164, 130 and 120 in Ambo, Bure, Hawasa, Jimma and Bako after six months of storage, respectively. Significantly different ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) among storage bags on the mean number of weevil’s population density. There were not significant differences ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) observed among the treated sack and hermetic bag on the weevil’s mortality after storage but, significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) high mean number 50.58/250 gm of live weevils recorded in the untreated sack storage (Table 2). Paddy *et al.* [28] reported that the mean difference of the number of adult *P. truncatus* differed significantly with treatment (F7, 24 = 65.26;  $P < 0.0001$ ; coefficient of variation = 0.95) and storage period (F2, 48 = 55.78;  $P < 0.0001$ ; coefficient of variation = 0.70) [29]. Regardless, to the mean number of weevils’ mortality there was not significant different

Table 1: Effect of storage types and agroecological differences on of weevil's population density

Weevils Species	Experimental locations				
	Ambo	Bure	Hawasa	Jimma	Bako
<i>S. zeamais</i>	114 <sup>a</sup>	122 <sup>a</sup>	164 <sup>a</sup>	130 <sup>a</sup>	120 <sup>a</sup>
<i>S. oryzae</i>	110 <sup>b</sup>	110 <sup>b</sup>	135 <sup>b</sup>	100 <sup>b</sup>	102 <sup>b</sup>
<i>T. castaneum</i>	15 <sup>d</sup>	34 <sup>c</sup>	45 <sup>d</sup>	83 <sup>c</sup>	51 <sup>c</sup>
<i>S. cerealella</i>	52 <sup>c</sup>	112 <sup>b</sup>	82 <sup>c</sup>	57 <sup>c</sup>	69 <sup>c</sup>
CV (%)	4.0	12.0	29.0	30.0	18.0
LSD (%)	2.12	2.42	3.47	2.44	3.52

Note: Means with the same letter were not significantly different by LSD test at  $P \leq 0.05$ ,  $P \leq 0.001$ , CV: coefficient of variation, LSD: least significant different



Fig. 4: Weevil's species identified in the laboratory from the 500 gm samples

( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in over locations (Table 3). Similar results were reports, reported that insect mortality in treatments containing only maize and insects was 100% at 9 days and significant differences in mortality between hermetic and non-hermetic treatments were found ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) [30]. The same author reported *S. zeamais* was the most important dominant maize weevils in a number of studies; in steel silos, in sacks and barns throughout Ghana. There was highly significant difference ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) in the location-weevils interaction (Table 1).

#### Effects Storage Periods on Weight Loss, Grains Damaged and Germination:

The initial mean germination value of the maize before storage was 97.40%. Regardless of periods or locations, germination significantly ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) decreased from the initial 97.40 value to 84.00% after six months of storage (Table 2). This is due to high weevils' development in the storage at these periods because of the favorable conditions of the storage structures and environmental conditions. Similarly, Befikadu *et al.* [31] reported that germination loss of grain stored in Gombisa and sack increased might be due to destruction of seed by weevil (*Sitophilus species*) and Angoumois gran moth (*S. cerealella*). According to Kaleta and Górnicki [32], germination percentage decreased during the storage period and decreased as the moisture content increased. With 18 % moisture content and above the germination decreased to zero after 35 days of storage. Similarly, at initial loading the mean grain

damage and weight loss value of the maize was 0.00%. Nevertheless, of storage type or locations, germination decreased significantly ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) from the initial weight loss and grain damage values to 9.81 and 9.90%, respectively after six months of storage. This is due to increasing numbers of weevils as the storage periods increase which was caused by the larvae in the grains infected from the fields developed and favorable conditions of the storage structures for re-infestation of the grains after storage (Figure 3 B & C).

#### Effect of Storage Structures on Grain Damaged, Weight Loss and Germination:

There were highly significant different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) among the storage structures on the mean grain damaged, weight loss and germination. Significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) high mean value 9.22% of weight losses was recorded in the untreated sack than the treated sack and hermetic bag storage. There were significant different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) among the storage structures on grains damage. Maximum mean value 9.66% of grains damage was recorded in the untreated sack whereas, the minimum mean value 0.00% recorded in hermetic bag. This is due to the weevil's mortality in the storage because of the hermetic nature of the storage which kills the weevils due to lack of oxygen. Likewise, Weinberg *et al.* [33] estimated that 11.50 and 10.75% percentage of kernel damage for Gombisa and Sack respectively after 60 days of storage. Also, other author [34] explained that 10-20% of maize grains were lost after three months of storage.

Table 2: Effect of storage periods on number of dead and alive weevils, weight loss, damaged grains and germination

Storage Periods	Dead weevils/250gm	Alive weevils/250gm	Weight loss	Damaged grain/250gm	Germination (%)
0	0.53 <sup>d</sup>	0.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	97.40 <sup>a</sup>
2	12.40 <sup>b</sup>	20.07 <sup>c</sup>	2.87 <sup>c</sup>	1.79 <sup>c</sup>	94.93 <sup>a</sup>
4	24.81 <sup>a</sup>	32.20 <sup>b</sup>	6.11 <sup>b</sup>	4.39 <sup>b</sup>	88.00 <sup>b</sup>
6	14.56 <sup>b</sup>	52.13 <sup>a</sup>	9.81 <sup>a</sup>	9.90 <sup>a</sup>	84.60 <sup>c</sup>
CV (%)	27.55	5.16	16.28	25.83	4.18
LSD (%)	9.58	9.62	1.03	1.89	2.81

Note: Means with the same letter were not significantly different by LSD test at  $P \leq 0.05$ , CV: coefficient of variation, LSD: least significant different.

Table 3: Effect of storage structures on weight loss, damaged grains and germination

Storage structure	Weight loss/250gm	Damaged grain/250gm	Germination (%)
Sack without chemicals	9.22 <sup>a</sup>	9.66 <sup>a</sup>	86.55 <sup>a</sup>
Sack with chemicals	3.25 <sup>b</sup>	2.40 <sup>b</sup>	91.45 <sup>c</sup>
Hermetic bag	1.63 <sup>c</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	95.70 <sup>b</sup>
CV (%)	16.28	25.83	4.18
LSD (%)	0.89	1.65	2.43

Note: Note: Means with the same letter were not significantly different by LSD test at  $P \leq 0.05$ , CV: coefficient of variation, LSD: least significant different.

Table 4: Effect of storage structures over agroecology's on weight loss, damaged grains and germination

Experimental Location	Weight loss	Damaged grain/250gm	Germination (%)
Bako	8.69 <sup>b</sup>	12.22 <sup>b</sup>	80.00 <sup>d</sup>
Bure	7.29 <sup>c</sup>	8.28 <sup>c</sup>	84.00 <sup>c</sup>
Hawasa	10.19 <sup>a</sup>	16.43 <sup>a</sup>	76.58 <sup>e</sup>
Ambo	3.74 <sup>e</sup>	2.66 <sup>e</sup>	92.17 <sup>a</sup>
Jimma	4.98 <sup>d</sup>	5.51 <sup>d</sup>	88.33 <sup>b</sup>
CV (%)	16.28	25.83	4.18
LSD (%)	1.15	2.12	3.14

Note: Note: Means with the same letter were not significantly different by LSD test at  $P \leq 0.05$ , CV: coefficient of variation, LSD: least significant different

He found the problem is due to ineffective storage technologies. According to Waktole and Amsalu [35], the mean grain damage and weight losses caused by the pests in traditional storage practices were 64.50 and 58.85%, respectively. The color of the maize grains stored in the untreated sacks was changed to brown after four months of storage. This is due to insect infestation and mould developed in the storage (Figure 3 C). There were differences in the percentage grain discolouration with treatments ( $F_{7, 24} = 191.23$ ;  $P < 0.0001$ ; coefficient of variation = 0.98) and storage period ( $F_{2, 48} = 88.56$ ;  $P < 0.0001$ ; coefficient of variation = 0.79 (19). The respiration of the grains, insects and moulds within hermetic stores result in depletion of oxygen and increase of carbon dioxide [36]. Under such conditions, fungal growth may be inhibited [37] and when the oxygen level falls to 10%, insect activity is reduced and insect will die if subjected to less than 2% oxygen for periods in excess of 14 days. Consequently, hermetic storage can be used to maintain grain quality without the need for pesticide application [38]. The rate at which oxygen is reduced and carbon dioxide generated is a function of both grain moisture content and the ambient temperature; the rate is low at temperatures below 20°C [39].

#### Effect of Storage Structures over Agroecology's on Weight Loss, Grains Damaged and Germination:

Significantly different ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) among storage structures over agro-ecology's on weight loss, grains damage and germination. Significantly ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) high mean 92.17% of germination was recorded in Ambo location whereas, the low mean 76.58% of germination percentage was recorded in Hawasa. In Ambo, location there was no as such climate variation for the proliferation weevil's which was a reverse to the climatic conditions of Hawasa, Bako, Jimma and Bure locations which favors high weevils' proliferation and infestation of the weevils (Table 4). Similarly, the levels of weight loss and grains damaged were significantly different ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) among the storage bags on over agro-ecologies. High mean value 16.43 and 10.19% of grains damaged and weight loss was recorded in Hawasa agro-ecological areas whereas, the low mean values 3.74 and 2.66% were recorded in Ambo-Wadessa agro-ecological areas.

#### CONCLUSION

The performance testing of the Triple-Layer Hermetic bag (i.e., PICS bag) was conducted in five agro-ecological

zones of Ethiopia. 45 farmers participated in the technologies and a total of 386 farmers participated in the field days which were 300 male and 86 female households. Four weevils' species were identified from the samples collected in all the experimental location after four months of storage. High mean number 50.58/250 gm of live weevils was observed in the untreated sack than the treated and hermetic bag storage. Among the four weevil's species identified *S. zeama* is occurred with high mean number 114, 122, 164, 130 and 120 in Ambo, Bure, Hawasa, Jimma and Bako after six months of storage, respectively. Germination shows reduction from the initial mean 97.4% to 84.00% after six months of storage. High mean values 9.66 and 9.22% of grain damaged and weight loss was recorded in the untreated sack. Regarding to the storage bags no grain damage was observed in hermetic bag in all the tested agro-ecologies. It can be concluded that triple-layer hermetic bag storage container showed better result in preventing insect-damage, maintaining weight loss, germination percentage and grain quality than the treated and untreated sack in the five experimental locations. Therefore, hermetic storage container alone can be suggested to farmers provided appropriate application of technology is ensured if triple-layer hermetic bag storage kept in comprehensive handling and management by farmers that are proper placement, hermetic sealing of triple-layers and no perforation of PICS bags by rodents and hens.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research Center for the funds to the research activity and facilitating all the materials required for this works. Also, we would thank Alemnesh Zinaye, Lishan Tesfa for data collection and all the entomology laboratory technicians for the endless help during the work.

**Conflict of Interests:** The authors affirmed that here was no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

1. Lane, B. and C. Woloshuk, 2017. Impact of storage environment on the efficacy of hermetic storage bags. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 72: 83-89. Available online at: [www.elsevier.com/locate/jspr](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jspr).
2. CSA, (Central Statistics Agency of Ethiopia), 2017. Report on Area and Production of Major Crops, Agricultural Sample Survey (Private Peasant Holdings, Maher Season).
3. Mosisa, W., D. Girma, K. Tolera and W. Dagne, 2012. Status and Future Direction of Maize Research and Production in Ethiopia: Conference Paper, January 2012. Available online at: - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/273205606>.
4. FAOSTAT, 2015. Statistical databases and data-sets of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <http://faostat.fao>.
5. Tsedee, A., S. Bekele, M. Abebe, W. Dagne, K. Yilm, T. Kindie, K. Menale, B. Gezahegn, T. Berhanu and K. Tolera, 2015. Factors that transformed maize productivity in Ethiopia: Food Sciences.
6. FAOSTAT, 2015. Statistical databases and data-sets of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <http://faostat.fao>.
7. FAOSTAT, 2016. (Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nation). 2016 FAOSTAT "online" Available at: - <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC>.
8. CSA, (Central Statistics Agency of Ethiopia), 2017. Report on Area and Production of Major Crops, Agricultural Sample Survey (Private Peasant Holdings, Maher Season).
9. Boxall, R.A., 2002. Damage and loss caused by the larger grain borer *prostephanus truncates*. *Integrated Pest Management Reviews*, 7: 105-121.
10. Firdissa E. and T. Abraham, 1999. Effects of some botanicals and other materials against the maize weevil (*Sitophilus zeama* is Motsch.) on stored maize. In: *Maize Production Technology for the Future: Challenges and Opportunities*, pp: 101-104. Proceedings of the Sixth Eastern and Southern Africa Regional Maize Conference Held in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
11. Demissie, G., A. Teshome, D. Abakemal and A. Tadesse, 2008. Cooking oils and Triplex in the control of *Sitophilus zeama* is motschulsky (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in farm-stored maize. *J. Stored Prod. Res.*, 44: 173-178.
12. Tefera, T. and A. Abass, 2012. Improved postharvest technologies for promoting food storage, processing and household nutrition in Tanzania. *International Institute of Tropical Agriculture*, pp: 19.

13. Utono, I.M., 2013. Assessment of grain loss due to insect pest during storage for small-scale farmers of Kebbi. IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS), 3(5): 38-50.
14. Boxall, R.A., 2002. Damage and loss caused by the larger grain borer *prostephanus truncates*. Integrated Pest Management Reviews, 7: 105-121.
15. Jones, M., C. Alexander and J. Lowenberg-DeBoer, 2011. An Initial Investigation of the Potential for Hermetic Purdue Improved Crop Storage (PICS) Bags to Improve Incomes for Maize Producers in Sub-Saharan Africa. Working Paper #11-3. Department of Agricultural Economics Purdue University, pp: 44.
16. Njoroge, A.W., H.D. Affognon, C.M. Mutungi, J. Manono, P.O. Lamuka and L.L. Murdock, 2014. Triple bag hermetic storage delivers a lethal punch to *Prostephanustruncatus* (Horn) (Coleoptera Bostrichidae) in stored maize: Journal of Stored Products Research, 58: 12-19.
17. Meikle, W.G., R.H. Markham, C. Nansen, N. Holst, P. Degbey and K. Azoma, 2002. Pest management in traditional maize stores in West Africa: a farmer's perspective. J. Econ. Entomol., 95: 1079-1088.
18. Addo, S., L.A. Birkinshaw and R.J. Hodges, 2002. Ten years after the arrival in Ghana of Larger Grain Borer: farmers' responses and adoption of IPM strategies. Int. J. Pest Ma., 48: 315-325.
19. Villers, P., S. Navarro and T. De Bruin, 2010. New applications of hermetic storage for grain storage and transport: In Proceedings of the 10th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, Estoril, Portugal.
20. Waktole, S. and A. Amsalu, 2012. Storage pests of maize and their status in Jimma Zone, Ethiopia. Afr. J. Agric. Res., 7(28): 4056-4060. Doi:10.5897/AJAR11.1123.
21. Villers, P., S. Navarro and T. De Bruin, 2010. New applications of hermetic storage for grain storage and transport: In Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, Estoril, Portugal.
22. AACC, 2005. Approved methods of the AACC, 11<sup>th</sup> ed. Method 38-12A. St Paul, MN: American Association of Cereal Chemists.
23. ISTA, 2005. International Rules for Seed Testing. International Seed Testing Association, Bassersdorf, Switzerland.
24. Tame, V.T., 2011. Viability and vigour of soybean seeds (*Glycine max* L.) Merrill. LAP Lambert Academic Publishing Gmbrrt and Co. KG, Germany.
25. Wambugu, P.W., E.O. Mathenge and H.R. Auma, 2009. Efficacy of traditional maize (*Zea mays* L.) seed storage methods in western Kenya. AJFAND, 9(4): 1110-1128.
26. Gwinner, J., R. Harnisch and O. Mueck, 1991. Manual of handling and. Conservation of seeds after harvest. GTZ, Eschborn, Germany.
27. Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie, 1980. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. New York: McGraw-Hill
28. Paddy, L., A.Y. Bruce, T. Tadele and M. Jones, 2018. Maize Grain Stored in Hermetic Bags: Effect of Moisture and Pest Infestation on Grain Quality. Journal of Food Quality.
29. Paddy, L., A.Y. Bruce, T. Tadele and M. Jones, 2018. Maize Grain Stored in Hermetic Bags: Effect of Moisture and Pest Infestation on Grain Quality. Journal of Food Quality.
30. Yakubu, A., C.J. Bern, J.R. Coats and T.B. Bailey, 2011. Hermetic on-farm storage for maize weevil control in East Africa. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 6(14): 3311-3319. Available online at <http://www.academicjournals.org/AJAR>.
31. Befikadu, D., S. Waktole, A. Solomon, B. Geremew and M.R. Sethu, 2012. Influence of Agro-ecologies, Traditional Storage Containers and Major Insect Pests on Stored Maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Selected Woredas of Jimma Zone: Asian Journal of Plant Sciences, 11(5): 226-234.
32. Kaleta, A. and K. Górnicki, 2013. Criteria of Determination of Safe Grain Storage Tim A Review, Dordrecht, the Netherlands. Available online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/5223>.
33. Befikadu, D., S. Waktole, A. Solomon, B. Geremew and M.R. Sethu, 2012. Influence of Agro-ecologies, Traditional Storage Containers and Major Insect Pests on Stored Maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Selected Woredas of Jimma Zone: Asian Journal of Plant Sciences, 11(5): 226-234.
34. Weinberg, Z.G., G. Ashbell, Y. Hen and A. Azrielli, 1993. "The effect of applying lactic acid bacteria at ensiling on the aerobic stability of silages," Journal of Applied Bacteriology, 75(6): 512-518.
35. Waktole, S. and A. Amsalu, 2012. Storage pests of maize and their status in Jimma Zone, Ethiopia. Afr. J. Agric. Res., 7(28): 4056-4060. Doi:10.5897/AJAR11.1123.
36. Weinberg, Z.G., G. Ashbell, Y. Hen and A. Azrielli, 1993. "The effect of applying lactic acid bacteria at ensiling on the aerobic stability of silages," Journal of Applied Bacteriology, 75(6): 512-518.



37. Wambugu, P.W., E.O. Mathenge and H.R. Auma, 2009. Efficacy of traditional maize (*Zea mays* L.) seed storage methods in western Kenya. *AJFAND*, 9(4): 1110-1128.
38. Baoua, B., L. Amadou and L.L. Murdock, 2013. "Triple bagging for cowpea storage in rural Niger: questions farmers ask," *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 52: 86-92.
39. Utono, I.M., 2013. Assessment of grain loss due to insect pest during storage for small-scale farmers of Kebbi. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)*, 3(5): 38-50.