

Organophosphorous Pesticide Residues in the Surface and Ground Water in the Southern Coast Watershed of Caspian Sea, Iran

¹Zahedeh Rahmanikhah, ²Abbas Esmaeili Sari,
²Nader Bahramifar and ³Zahra Shokri Bousjien

¹Faculty of Natural Resources, Azad university of Arsanjan, Iran

²Faculty of Natural Resources and Marine Sciences, Tarbiyat Modares University, Noor, Iran

³Faculty of Agriculture, Applied Science and Technology University, Alizad Branch, Kraj, Iran

Abstract: The southern watershed of Caspian Sea is considered as a region consuming maximum annual amounts of pesticides (19%) in Iran. Water samples were collected from 31 rivers, 32 reservoirs, 10 wetlands, 21 wells and 21 springs during a period of 1 year (from July 2005 to June 2006). The samples were extracted by solid-phase and then analyzed by gas chromatography (GC). The average concentrations of diazinon, chlorpyrifos, ethion and edifenphos in the surface water samples were 0.041, 0.007, 0.009 and 0.005 µg/l, respectively while their concentrations in the ground waters were 0.019, 0.016, 0.012 and 0.012 µg/l, respectively. This research found that the residues of pesticides are major threat to aquatic life of the regional ecosystems.

Key words: Organophosphorous pesticide • Surface water • Ground water • Water quality

INTRODUCTION

Pesticide contamination of ground water, which has emerged as an important environmental problem in past few decades, caused serious concern with respect to the long-term and low-dose effects of pesticides on public health as well as non-target species of aquatic lives [1]. Because some insects and fungi gradually become resistant to chemical compounds, many farmers feel they must apply higher concentrations after a period of time to achieve the same results for agricultural use [2].

The use of excessive pesticides in agriculture may lead to contamination of surface and ground water by drift, runoff, drainage and leaching. Surface water contamination definitely have ecotoxicological effects on aquatic natural flora and fauna and human health if the water sources are used for public consumption [3, 4]. Surface water contamination usually depends on the agricultural season and does not last long, while ground water contamination has a strong inertia, which may cause a continuous human exposure [5].

Prior to 1970, attention was primarily focused on contamination by organochlorine insecticides. Since that time, a broad array of medium-to- polar pesticides with

less persistence was used in agriculture, partly to reduce the potential for residue contamination of surface waters [6]. Organophosphorous pesticides (OPPs) are much less stable than organochlorine compounds and their use is therefore not associated with biomagnification and food chain transfer problems. However, they are general biocides that are toxic to nearly all animals. They are highly toxic to bees, to natural insect parasites and predators [7].

Hence, there is an increasing demand for determination of OPPs in water. To our knowledge, no data on levels of OPPs in eastern waters of northern Iran are present in the literature. One of the most important provinces in this area is Mazandaran, where considerable water recourses are used both for agriculture and human drinking water supply. Located between the Caspian Sea and Alborz mountains, Mazandaran province is considered as one of the main rice cultivation regions in Iran (Figure 1). Typically, rice is cultivated under submerged flooded conditions and as a result pesticide concentration in water bodies is considerably high because the irrigation increases the likelihood of the transport of pesticides via runoff to surface water. This province consumes approximately 19% of the annually applied pesticides in Iran.




Fig. 1: The map of provinces of Iran and the location of studied area in Mazandaran province and sampling sites

In this investigation, the aqueous studied matrices were surface waters (rivers, reservoir pools, wetlands) and ground waters (wells, springs). The primary insecticides (Diazinon, Chlorpyrifos, Ethion, Edifenphos) were detected corresponding to the applications during previous agricultural use. This study describes the seasonal distribution of organophosphorus pesticides in both surface and ground waters of the eastern side of Mazandaran province in the north of Iran.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling: Surface water samples were collected monthly from the larger rivers, reservoirs and wetlands including more expanded basins in addition to wells and springs, in the middle of every season, started from July 2005 continued till June 2006. Well and spring samples were taken at twenty-one locations in August, November, February and May. Thirty one locations, mostly from the upper and estuarine reaches, were chosen for river samples. Also, some samples were collected from 32 reservoirs and 10 wetland locations (Figure 1).

At each location, several one liter samples were collected in glass bottles with Teflon-lined caps. The samples were stored on ice on location and then transferred to the laboratory and were kept at 2°C prior to analysis.

Table 1: Gas Chromatograph (GC) condition

Condition	value
Injection volume	1 µl
Flow rate	3.6 ml/min
Injector temperature	250°C
Detector temperature	320°C
Oven temperature	100-300°C
Total time	40 min

Chemicals and Instrumentation: Pesticide analytical standards were (bought from) supplied by Riedel-de Han (Seele, Germany). Stock standard solution consisting of 1 mg/L of a mixture of four organophosphorus pesticide standards was prepared in methanol and used for preparation of spike solutions.

In this investigation, organophosphorus pesticides were measured using a gas chromatograph (GC) and detected using a nitrogen-phosphorus detector (NPD). The GC (model 1000, DANI Co., Italy) was equipped with a fused silica capillary column (Optima 5 location) length of 6 m, 0.25 mm inner diameter and 0.25 µm film thickness. Carrier gas was helium (99.999% purity) with the flow rate of 3.6 mL/min. Operating condition for the GC is summarized in Table 1.

Analytical Methods: Water samples (300 mL) were filtered first through a 125 mm filter (Fchleicher and Schuell 589.3, Germany) and then through a 0.5 µm glass microfiber filter.

The filtered samples were extracted by solid-phase extraction using a 6 mL Envir Elut PAH cartridges (Varian, U.S.A) containing 1 g of expletory phase. The cartridges were washed by eluting methanol and distilled water through them [8]. Water samples were pumped into the cartridges at a rate of 10 mL/min and then 10 mL of distilled water was passed through the cartridges to clean them. The cartridges weren't allowed to dry [6]. The pesticides trapped in the cartridges, were eluted by 10 mL dichloromethane solvent [6] and collected in 15 mL vials fitted with Teflon-lined caps while 1 g Na_2SO_4 was added to the vials for dehydration [1]. The solvent of the extracted water sample was evaporated under nitrogen [9, 1] at 50°C. Finally, the residue of pesticides remaining in the vials was dissolved in 0.3 mL n-hexane [1, 10] and the vials were stored at -15°C to -20°C [1]. One microliter of the extracted sample was injected to the GC [10].

RESULTS

Figure 2 shows the chromatogram obtained from distilled water spiked with 0.25 $\mu\text{g/L}$ of four organophosphorous pesticides and the chromatogram obtained from one sample analyzed in this research. The organophosphorus pesticides analyzed in this investigation were Diazinon, Chloropyrphos, Ethion and Edifenphos, with mean concentrations in the groundwater of the studied area were 0.041, 0.007, 0.009 and 0.005 $\mu\text{g/L}$, respectively. While the concentration of Diazinon, Chloropyrphos, Ethion and Edifenphos in surface waters were 0.019, 0.016, 0.012 and 0.012 $\mu\text{g/L}$, respectively.

Comparing the results showed that there was no significant difference between surface and ground water concentrations for Ethion, but the concentrations of the other three compounds differed significantly. Among surface waters, Diazinon was found in highest concentration in rivers, but the concentration of the other organophosphorus pesticides showed no difference in rivers, wetlands and reservoirs. Among all three surface water sources, Diazinon was found most frequently, reflecting its higher application rate compared to the other pesticides in this region. In the studied area, Diazinon is used mostly for rice fields and deciduous trees and the main agricultural use of pesticides is for these purposes. Chloropyrphos and Ethion are nearly used equally in different parts of Mazandaran province for controlling pests of deciduous and citrus trees. Diazinon concentration in springs was higher than that of wells, but Ethion was found in higher concentrations in wells. In surface waters, Diazinon was detected most frequently, followed by Ethion, Chloropyrphos and Edifenphos. Pesticide concentration of the various investigated water samples are summarized in Table 2 and Figure 3.

The Ministry of Environment of British Colombia has determined guidelines for pesticide concentrations in the water column [11]. Quantities for Diazinon and Chloropyrphos have been reported in this guideline but not for the other two organophosphorus pesticides. According to the given guideline, Diazinon must be lower than 0.1 $\mu\text{g/L}$. In addition, Chloropyrphos should be less than 0.0035 $\mu\text{g/L}$. Having pesticide residues lower than the reported standards, one can protect fresh water aquatic life and




Fig. 2: (a) The monogram of water spiked whit 0.25 $\mu\text{g/l}$ of four organophosphorous pesticides (peak 1, 2, 3, 4) and (b) the monogram obtained from one sample analyzed. This sample contains 0.095 $\mu\text{g/l}$ Diazinon (peak 1), 0.027 $\mu\text{g/l}$ Chlorpyrifos (peak 2) and 0.039 $\mu\text{g/l}$ Ethion (peak3).




Fig. 3: Comparison of average concentrations of pesticides detected in (a) surface and ground waters, (b) rivers, wetlands and reservoirs and (c) wells and springs

Table 2: The average concentrations of organophosphorus pesticides in surface and ground waters

	Diazinon (µg/l)	Chlorpyrifos (µg/l)	Ethion (µg/l)	Edifenphos (µg/l)
Surface waters	0.041	0.007	0.009	0.005
Rivers	0.054	0.007	0.012	0.004
Wetlands	0.047	0.006	0.005	0.003
Reservoirs	0.031	0.007	0.009	0.006
Ground waters	0.019	0.016	0.012	0.012
Wells	0.017	0.006	0.014	0.025
Springs	0.019	0.020	0.010	0.006

also eliminate the lethal effects of pesticide residues in ground water. Comparing our results with these standard values showed that the concentration of Chlorpyrifos in surface and ground water extensively exceeded the recommended values, highlighting the need for immediate monitoring and establishment of environmental studies and water quality control for these regions. With regard to Diazinon, some of the observed values were more than recommended limits. To protect fresh water aquatic life from long-term and sub-lethal effects, Diazinon concentrations should be lower than 0.003 µg/L and the obtained results exceeded the permissible value.

Table 3: Mean values of pesticides during four seasons (July 2005 to June 2006)

	Diazinon (ng/l)	Chlorpyrifos (ng/l)	Ethion (ng/l)	Edifenphos (ng/l)
Rivers				
Spring	0.029	0.002	n.d*	n.d
Summer	0.117	0.007	0.011	n.d
Autumn	0.054	0.016	0.023	0.008
Winter	0.017	0.003	0.013	0.009
Wetlands				
Spring	0.008	0.002	n.d	n.d
Summer	0.072	0.008	0.004	n.d
Autumn	0.034	0.015	0.018	0.015
Winter	0.01	0.003	0.014	0.01
Reservoirs				
Spring	0.12	n.d	n.d	n.d
Summer	0.03	0.003	n.d	n.d
Autumn	0.028	0.021	0.014	0.008
Winter	0.005	n.d	0.006	0.004
Wells				
Spring	0.007	n.d	0.001	n.d
Summer	0.029	0.013	0.026	0.014
Autumn	0.016	0.005	0.017	0.06
Springs				
Spring	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d
Summer	0.017	0.092	0.059	0.021
Autumn	0.022	0.007	0.013	0.012
Winter	0.04	0.041	0.009	0.003

*nd: no detect

Table 4: Properties of pesticides

Pesticide	Water solubility (mg/l)	Soil half life (days)	Water half life (days)	K _{oc}
Diazinon	40 ¹	32 ¹	7-15 ⁴	83 ¹
δEthion	1 ¹	20 ¹		4350 ¹
Chlorpyrifos	0.4 ²	30.5 ³		6070 ³

Edifenphos

1- [16] 2- [17] 3- [18] 4- [19]

Seasonal Patterns: Critical factors for the time elapse from application of pesticides during agricultural cultivation and their occurrence in rivers include the characteristics of the catchments (size, climatologically regime, type of soil or landscape), as well as the chemical and physical properties of the pesticides [12].

The results of seasonal mean values of organophosphorus pesticides are shown in Table 3. In all water samples, significant differences were observed among seasons except for Chlorpyrifos in wells. As can be seen from these results, surface water concentrations of Diazinon is highest in summer months for rivers and wetlands and is highest during spring months for reservoirs. Highest concentrations of Chlorpyrifos, Ethion and Edifenphos were found mostly in autumn except for springs. This is probably due to their high application at that time. The major inputs of these pesticides into rivers, wetlands and reservoirs occurred during the summer (July-September) after their application in agricultural fields, as a result of surface runoff [13].

In some cases, in this study, the lowest concentrations occurred during spring months (Table 3) because of the dilution effects due to the high rainfall events and the increased degradation of pesticides after their application. Thus, pesticides were flushed to the surface water systems as pulses in response to high rainfalls during spring [14]. This trend was followed during the current study for the occurrence of pesticides in spring waters.

CONCLUSIONS

The frequency of pesticide detection exhibits an inverse correlation with K_{oc} values [8]. As shown in Table 4, the K_{oc} value is lowest for Diazinon, another reason for its rate of detection in well water in comparison to the other pesticides.

This research clearly demonstrated deterioration of the quality of surface and ground water in the southern watershed of the Caspian Sea by nonpoint source

contaminants. It is important to note that excess amount of pesticides used in the watershed may seriously creating problem and major complexities for the ecosystem. Because organophosphorous pesticides have a very short half-life, their identification in water supplies shows how frequently they are applied. Further, higher concentrations and frequency rates of Diazinon application indicates its huge consumption in this part of Iran.

As is reported in Table 2, Edifenphos was found in lower concentrations as compared to other pesticides. That means, the use of Edifenphos were in low amounts or concentrations.

Obtained results show that Diazinon is likely being applied throughout the year in this region. Therefore, according to its soil half-life of 32 days and its widespread and huge consumption, it is expected to be detected at high concentrations and for a longer time every year, as the results of this investigation confirm. In addition, higher water solubility (40 mg/L) in comparison to Chlorpyrifos and Ethion could be another reason for increased detection of Diazinon.

As wells are in shallow and the application of pesticides is high, high concentrations are expected in ground water, but the results are in contrast. In this region, the loam-clay soils adsorb these pesticides before they enter the water wells, so pesticides were leached weakly. In spring waters, higher concentrations of pesticides were detected in comparison to wells. The lower depth of the wells makes the pesticides leach strongly and accumulate in the surface waters.

Comparing the results of Chlorpyrifos and Ethion residues confirms that an increase in the pesticides in surface waters follows increased agricultural application, while a pesticide with a higher application doesn't always result in a higher residue concentration, because of the physical characteristics of the pesticides. Chlorpyrifos, for example, is applied in higher values, but not found in higher concentrations. Table 4 shows some of the physical characteristics of the pesticides. As observed in this table, the water solubility values of both Chlorpyrifos and Ethion are low. This value is somewhat higher for Ethion, so the average concentration of Ethion in surface waters was higher. The higher frequency rate of Chlorpyrifos residues resulted from a higher soil half-life. The sorption coefficient, K_{oc}, indicates the leaching potential from soil and consequently the potential for transmission to ground waters. This value is high for Chlorpyrifos and Ethion.

It is very important to note that the rates of pesticide application in Mazandaran Province threaten the aquatic life in its ecosystems and this study can be a warning for potential decreases in ecosystem biodiversity.

Although the concentrations of pesticides in well water samples were below the drinking water standards and revised health advisory levels by EPA's Office of water [15] did not expect to cause any adverse noncarcinogenic effects (for diazinon is: one-day HA: 20µg/l, ten-day HA: 20µg/l and life-time HA: 0.6µg/l)*, we cannot confirm no adverse impacts by pesticides for drinking water considering their synergy effects when they are together.

Obtained findings in this research reveal that farmers are applying huge amounts of pesticides and it shows the weakness of management and lack of an exact supervision of rate of pesticide consumption. Also, it is a sign of illiteracy and unawareness from its tragic consequences.

As the results of this paper confirm, at the present time, farmers presume the necessity of the pesticide application in this region so that the most annual values of pesticides are consumed in Mazandaran province. Therefore, considering the abundance of rainfall and water supplies and also rich of biodiversity in this province, the problem of water pollution by pesticides requires deliberation. We hope that this paper would be a perspective for designing an effective policy and performance of functional management in future to prevent from adverse environmental impacts.

Most of the performed studies associate to industrial countries but the threaten concentrations of pesticide residues were detected in the developing countries, so it is necessary to conduct similar studies in the suspected area contaminated with pesticides.

***One-Day HA:** The concentration of a chemical in drinking water that is not expected to cause any adverse noncarcinogenic effects for up to one day of exposure. The One-Day HA is normally designed to protect a 10-kg child consuming 1 liter of water per day.

Ten-Day HA: The concentration of a chemical in drinking water that is not expected to cause any adverse noncarcinogenic effects for up to ten days of exposure. The Ten-Day HA is also normally designed to protect a 10-kg child consuming 1 liter of water per day.

Lifetime HA: The concentration of a chemical in drinking water that is not expected to cause any adverse noncarcinogenic effects for a lifetime of exposure.

The Lifetime HA is based on exposure of a 70-kg adult consuming 2 liters of water per day. The Lifetime HA for Group C carcinogens includes an adjustment for possible carcinogenicity.

Taken Useful Information for Using Organophosphorous Pesticides in the Other Areas: The results of this monitoring show that the detection and permanence of pesticides in water, depend on parameters such as the pesticides halftime and solubility in water, the spread and amount of pesticide applications and the soil kind to leach pesticides into ground waters. The results indicate that the widespread and huge amount of pesticide application is the main reason for detecting of organophosphorous pesticides (especially in rainy regions).

Perhaps, it is impossible to forbid organophosphorous pesticides in present condition, but this research emphasizes the importance of combinative (natural chemical) pest control to decrease the application of these kinds of pesticides.

This controlling style can be a peaceful method between natural resources and agro ecosystems.

REFERENCES

1. Sudo, M., T. Kunitatsu and T. Okubo, 2002. Concentration and loading of pesticide residues in Lake Biwa basin (Japan). *J. Water Res.*, 36(1): 315-329.
2. Noori, J., 1994. Environmental biotechnology. The publication of the author, pp: 567.
3. Forney, D. and D. Davis, 1981. Effects of low concentrations of herbicides on submerged aquatic plants. *Weed Sci*; 29: 677-685.
4. Mulla, M. and L. Mian, 1981. Biological and environmental impacts of insecticides malathion and parathion on non-target biota in aquatic ecosystem. *Residue reviews*, 78: 100-135.
5. Funari, E. and M. Vighi, 1995. Pesticide risk in groundwater. USA: CRC Press/Lewis Publishers, pp: 121.
6. Albanis, T.A., D.G. Hela, T.M. Sakellariades and I.K. Konstantinou, 1998. Monitoring of pesticide residues and their metabolites in surface and underground waters of Imathia (N. Greece) by means of solid-phase extraction disks and gas chromatography. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 37(1-2): 32-44.
7. Laws, E.A. 2000. Aquatic pollution An introductory text/3rd ed. The publication of Wiley, pp: 277.

8. Tariq, M.I., SH. Afzal and I. Hussain, 2004. Pesticides in shallow groundwater of Bahawalnagar, Muzafargarh, D.G. Khan and Rajanpur districts of Punjab, Pakistan. *Envi. Inter.*, 30: 471-479.
9. Kammerbauer, J. and J. Moncada, 1998. Pesticide residue assessment in three selected agricultural production systems in the Choluteca River Basin of Honduras. *J. Environmental Pollution*, 103: 171-181.
10. Sankaramakrishnan, N., A.K. Sharma and R. Sanghi, 2004. Organochlorine and organophosphorous pesticide residues in ground water and surface water of Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India. *J. Environment International*, 31(1): 113-120.
11. Nagpal, N.K., L.W. Pommen and L.G. Swain, 2001. A compendium of working water quality guidelines for British Columbia. Water Management Branch, Environmental and Resource Management Department, Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks (now called Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection). Available from <www.env.gov.bc.ca>.
12. Capel, P.D., S.J. Larson and T.A. Winterstein, 2001. The behaviour of 39 pesticides in surface waters as a function of scale. *Hydrological Processes*, 15(7): 1251-1269.
13. Konstantinou, I.K., D.G. Hela and T.A. Albanis, 2005. The status of pesticide pollution in surface waters (rivers and lakes) of Greece Part I. Review on Occurrence and levels. *Environmental Pollution*, 141(3): 555-570.
14. Thurman, E.M., M.T. Meyer, M.S. Mills, L.R. Zimmerman, C.A. Perry and D.A. Goolsby, 1994. Formation and transport of Deethylatrazine and deisopropylatrazine in surface water. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 28: 2267-2277.
15. U.S. EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). EPA's office of water, 2006. Drinking water health, EPA 822-R-06-013.
16. Jury, W.A., D.D. Focht and W.J. Farmer, 1987. Evaluation of pesticide groundwater pollution potential from standard indices of soil-chemical adsorption and biodegradation. *J. Environmental Quality*, 16: 422-428.
17. Hornsby, A.G., R.D. Wauchope and A.E. Herner, 1996. *Pesticide Properties in the Environment*. The publication of Springer-Verlag, New York, pp: 70.
18. Vogue, P.A., E.A. Kerle and J.J. Jenkins, 1994. OSU Extension Pesticide Properties Database. npic (national pesticide information). web site: <http://ace.orst.edu>.
19. Bol, J., H.J.M. Verhaar and J.L.M. Hermens, 1994. Literatuur evaluatie van parameters die bepalend zijn voor het gedrag van een aantal pesticiden in het aquatisch milieu. Triazines, organochloorpesticiden, fenoxycarboxyherbiciden en carboximiden. Research Institute of Toxicology (RITOX). University of Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands.