World Applied Sciences Journal 25 (4): 574-580, 2013

ISSN 1818-4952

© IDOSI Publications, 2013

DOI: 10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.25.04.1200

# Re-Examining the Bank Patronize Behavior in Malaysia: A Logistic Regression Approach

Zaidi Zabri and Muhamad Abduh

IIUM Institute of Islamic Banking and Finance, International Islamic University Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

**Submitted:** Aug 19, 2013; **Accepted:** Sep 26, 2013; **Published:** Oct 6, 2013

**Abstract:** This study is aimed at investigating individual as well as bank specific factors affecting bank customers' decision prior to patronizing a bank. As many as 394 customers from Islamic and conventional banks are involved in the survey and the data collected are analyzed using logistic regression. The results show that working environment, ease of obtaining financing, return on deposits, extensive branch network, professional advice and asset size are the most significant factors influencing their bank patronize decision. Bank management could utilize the findings by re-think the methods to maintain existing customers, attract new customers and eventually to obtain more profit.

**Key words:** Patronize behavior • Islamic banks • Logistic regression • Malaysia

#### INTRODUCTION

The past thirty years have seen increasingly rapid advances of Islamic banking and finance. From the formation of the first commercial bank in 1975 in Dubai, there are now approximately 300 Islamic banks and 90 Islamic insurance companies in over 75 countries [1]. Moreover, during the turbulent 2007-2009 global financial crises, Islamic finance showed some degree of resilience to financial shocks. At the local front, Malaysia's Islamic banking assets reached USD65.6 billion with an average growth rate of 18-20% annually. Besides that, Malaysia has been living up to its tag as one of the industry's pioneers by becoming the single largest sukuk issuer in the world. It amounted to 60% out of the total sukuk issued in 2011 [2].

In order to position Malaysia as the international Islamic finance hub, the liberalization of its Islamic banking sector was brought forward to 2004 by granting three new Islamic bank licenses to foreign institutions [3]. Subsequently, through this direct competitions, one may hope that the local Islamic banks to achieve the target of 20% of total banking assets by 2010 as outlined by Bank Negara Malaysia (BNM) in its Financial Sector Master-plan (FSMP) 2001. However, upon further

scrutiny, even by 2013, Islamic banks only accounts for 19.65% out of the total banking assets. Coupled with the entrance of foreign-owned Islamic banks, it raises this question: why such growth target is yet to be achieved by the Islamic banks? In order to find out the reasons behind this failure, it is imperative to understand the patronage factors to attain the target set by BNM. It is because, customers' positive perception towards Islamic banking in Malaysia is even more crucial mainly because Islamic banks have to compete along with the more established conventional banks in a dual-banking milieu. Hence, utmost attention upon attitudes, behaviors and patronage factors of Islamic bank customers are imperative in securing the Islamic bank customers' allegiance.

The aim of this paper is to estimate a model in examining patronization attributes considered by Islamic and conventional bank customers in Malaysia.

**Literature Review:** Over the years, while there has been an extensive literature regarding banking behavior of banking customers, research in ascertaining patronage factors of Islamic bank customers is still in its incipiency [4]. In what is considered to be the pioneer study of individual consumers' attitudes towards Islamic banking,

[5] discovered that convenience, Islamic bank's financial reputation and services provision have appeared to be the main factors influencing individual customer to patronize Islamic bank. Apart from that, among the common factors used to measure customers' selection criteria are cost and benefits of products offered (profit on deposits, lower financing cost, competitive transaction fees), service delivery (fast and efficient), confidentiality, size and reputation of the bank, convenience (location, ample parking space, branch network), exogenous factors (recommendation from a third party, advertisement), friendliness and competence of personnel ([5-14]).

Given the special nature of Shari'ah compliancy of Islamic banking, it is expected that some of the selection attributes that have been found to be crucial to the commercial bank customers, may or may not be applicable to the Islamic bank customers. Hence, it is postulated that religious motive will be the main selection criteria in patronizing Islamic banks. This motive is in line with previous studies ([4]; [9]; [13]; [15]; [16]). In contrast, this contradicts with some other studies that found that the religious factor is not the main and an all-important selection criterion of Islamic banks ([5]; [7]).

Drawn from the above-mentioned studies, the Islamic aspect or the conformity of Islamic banks with the Shari'ah law is not the only factor that motivates customers to adopt the Islamic banking services and it may not be the main reason for them to choose their banks. Therefore, this study will incorporate all of the above-mentioned attributes in order to provide a comprehensive framework that is most important for bank customers. This study employs logistic regression (LR) model to identify the variables among banking attributes that best predict banking behavior of its patrons.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Data Collection:** Sample size for formula for large population used in this study is adapted from [17]:

$$n_0 = \frac{z^z pq}{e^2}$$

where:

 $n_0$  = Sample size

z = Z-value of á (á in this study is 5%)

p = Variability (variability used in this study is 0.5)

q = 1-p

e = Level of precision or sampling error (sampling error tolerated in this study is 5%)

Therefore, sample size is equal to;

$$n_0 = \frac{z^2 pq}{e^2} = \frac{(1.96)^2 (0.5)(0.5)}{0.05^2} = 385$$

Thus, this study intends to incorporate at least 385 respondents and the final count for the available responses is 394.

In comparison with other types of surveys, researchers point out that Web-based survey has numerous biases because the collection method is not random in nature. However, there are advantages of Web-based survey in comparison between other types of social surveys. Among others, low administrative costs, convenience, ease of data entry and analysis and required completion answers [18].

**Model Estimation:** The dependent variable is binomial whereby code 1 means patronizing Islamic banks and code 0 means patronizing conventional banks.

In order to overcome the limitations in the distributions of the dependent variable, binary logistic regression has been widely utilized. Logistic regression model is a form of regression where the outcome variable is binary or dichotomous and the independents are continuous variables, categorical variables, or both. The dependent variable is denoted by p, the probability of certain event happens. In general, the logistic regression model has the form:

$$\log \frac{[p]}{[1-p]} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_n x_n = x\beta$$
 (1)

where p is the probability of the outcome of interest,  $\beta 0$  is intercept term,  $\beta 1$  is the coefficient associated with the corresponding dependent (explanatory) variable. The probability of the outcome of interest, p, is expressed as a non-linear function of the predictors in the form

$$p = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_n x_n)}}$$
(2)

Eq. (2) ensures that the right hand side will always lead to values within the interval [0, 1]. This is called the logistic response function

Table 1: Explanatory variables

| Symbol      | Variables                              | Variable definition                                                                      |
|-------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|             | Islamic or conventional bank customers | 1 if Islamic bank customer, 0 conventional bank customer                                 |
| AGE         | Age                                    | 1 if age of respondent is between 25 and 50 years old for middle age, 0 otherwise        |
|             |                                        | (less than 25 years old or more than 50 years old)                                       |
| EDU         | Education                              | 1 if respondent attended tertiary education (diploma, executive diploma, bachelor,       |
|             |                                        | postgraduate diploma, master, Ph.D), 0 otherwise                                         |
| FATWA       | Fatwa                                  | 1 if respondent knows various fatwas in fiqhmuamalat (Islamic jurisprudence)             |
|             |                                        | by Jawatankuasa Fatwa Kebangsaan Malaysia (National Fatwa Council of Malaysia)           |
| SADAQAH     | Sadaqah / Charity                      | 1 if respondent gives to charity/ performs act of sadaqah regularly, 0 otherwise         |
| ZAKAT       | Zakat/Tithe payment                    | 1 if respondent pays zakat regularly, 0 otherwise                                        |
| REPUTE      | Reputation                             | 1 if reputation is important, 0 otherwise                                                |
| SERVQUAL    | Service quality                        | 1 if service quality is important, 0 otherwise                                           |
| ISLAMIC     | Islamic working environment            | 1 if Islamic working environment is important, 0 otherwise                               |
| COMPETENT   | Competent and knowledgeable personnel  | 1 if respondent considers bank personnel as competent and knowledgeable, 0 otherwise     |
| IMAGE       | Financial reputation and image         | 1 if respondent considers the bank has solid financial reputation and image, 0 otherwise |
| CONVENIENCE | Convenience                            | 1 if banking activities are convenient, 0 otherwise                                      |
| CREDIBLE    | Credibility                            | 1 if bank is credible, 0 otherwise                                                       |
| ADVICE      | Professional advice                    | 1 if bank personnel offer professional advice, 0 otherwise                               |
| CONF.       | Instil confidence in customers         | 1 if bankinstils confidence, 0 otherwise                                                 |
| BRANCH      | Extensive branch network               | 1 if bank has extensive branch network, 0 otherwise                                      |
| CSR         | Social responsibility                  | 1 if bank involves in Corporate Social Responsibilities related activities, 0 otherwise  |
| FRIENDLY    | Friendly personnel                     | 1 if bank personnel are friendly, 0 otherwise                                            |
| AFFORD      | Affordable financing                   | 1 if financing or loan is affordable, 0 otherwise                                        |
| DIVERSE     | Product diversification                | 1 if banking products are diversified, 0 otherwise                                       |
| FEES        | Transaction fees                       | 1 if transaction fees by banks are affordable, 0 otherwise                               |
| DEPOSITS    | Return on deposits                     | 1 if bank offers high return on deposit accounts, 0 otherwise                            |
| AVAILABLE   | Financing availability                 | 1 if it is easy to obtain financing from bank, 0 otherwise                               |
| LOCATION    | Location                               | 1 if bank's location is convenient, 0 otherwise                                          |
| ADS         | Advertisement                          | 1 if bank's advertisement campaigns are effective, 0 otherwise                           |
| RECEPTION   | Reception                              | 1 if bank's reception is friendly, 0 otherwise                                           |
| PRESTIGE    | Prestige                               | 1 if respondent considers bank as prestigious, 0 otherwise                               |
| SIZE        | Size of the bank                       | 1 if respondent considers bank's asset size to be big, 0 otherwise                       |
| THIRD       | Recommendation from a third party      | 1 if recommendation from a third party is important, 0 otherwise                         |

**Explanatory Variables:** Table 1 below provides detailed description of variables employed in the study.

Substituting the above explanatory variables in the model, proposed empirical model can be postulated as follows:

$$p(IBC) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 AGE + \beta_2 EDU + \beta_3 FATWA + ... + \beta_{28} THIRD$$

where p(IBC) is the probability of the customers to patronize Islamic bank and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_{28}$  are estimated vectors of the model coefficients.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Descriptive Analysis:** Out of 394 respondents, 257 (65.2%) are Islamic bank customers and 137 (34.8%) are conventional bank customers. The dominant group of the respondents aged between 25 to 50 years old. More than half of the participants (58.1%) indicated that they are aware of the fatwas in figh muamalat issued by National

Fatwa Council of Malaysia. Almost two-thirds of the participants said that they perform zakat on a regular basis while close to 80% of the respondents indicated that they perform acts of sadaqah regularly. Table 2 shows an overwhelming majority of the respondents have attended some form of tertiary education. The table also describes the demographic pattern as well as the breakdown of the variables in detail.

**Logistic Regression:** The overall fit of the model is assessed via Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test. From the result, the Hosmer and Lemeshow yielded a chi-square statistic equals 5.446 with degrees of freedom of 8 and p-value= 0.709. Since the p-value is greater than 0.05, we can conclude that goodness of fit test is valid for this model.

Table 3 exhibits the overall percentage correct of 72.1 percent that shows the logit model is quite accurate predicting bank patronage behavior. However, the percentage of incorrect classification, which is equal to likelihood to produce Type I error, is very high for Islamic

Table 2: Descriptive statistics of explanatory variables

| Symbol      | Variables                                                                                      | Frequency | %     |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| AGE         | Age of respondent is between 25 and 50 years old                                               | 367       | 6.9   |
|             | Age of respondent is less than 25 or more than 50 years old                                    | 27        | 93.1  |
| EDU         | Respondent attended tertiary education (diploma, bachelor, postgraduate diploma, master, Ph.D) | 385       | 97.7  |
|             | Otherwise                                                                                      | 9         | 2.3   |
| FATWA       | Awareness regarding fatwa in fiqhmuamalat                                                      | 229       | 58.1  |
|             | Not aware regarding fatwa in fiqhmuamalat                                                      | 165       | 41.9  |
| SADAQAH     | Perform acts of sadaqah / charity on regular basis                                             | 306       | 77.77 |
|             | Does not perform acts of sadaqah / charity on regular basis                                    | 88        | 22.3  |
| ZAKAT       | Pays zakat/ Tithe payment on regular basis                                                     | 251       | 63.7  |
|             | Does not pay zakat/ Tithe payment on regular basis                                             | 143       | 36.3  |
| REPUTE      | Reputation is important                                                                        | 369       | 93.7  |
|             | Reputation is not important                                                                    | 25        | 6.3   |
| SERVQUAL    | Quality of service is important                                                                | 316       | 80.2  |
|             | Quality of service is not important                                                            | 78        | 19.8  |
| ISLAMIC     | Islamic working environment is important                                                       | 223       | 56.6  |
|             | Islamic working environment is not important                                                   | 171       | 43.4  |
| COMPETENT   | Bank personnel are competent and knowledgeable                                                 | 326       | 82.7  |
|             | Bank personnel are incompetent and not knowledgeable                                           | 68        | 17.3  |
| IMAGE       | Solid financial reputation and image                                                           | 370       | 93.9  |
|             | Weak financial reputation and image                                                            | 24        | 6.1   |
| CONVENIENCE | Banking activities are convenient                                                              | 334       | 84.8  |
|             | Banking activities are not convenient                                                          | 60        | 15.2  |
| CREDIBLE    | Bank of choice is credible                                                                     | 368       | 93.4  |
|             | Bank of choice is not credible                                                                 | 26        | 6.6   |
| ADVICE      | Bank personnel offer professional advice                                                       | 271       | 68.8  |
|             | Bank personnel offer unprofessional advice                                                     | 123       | 31.2  |
| CONFIDENCE  | Instil confidence in customers                                                                 | 333       | 15.5  |
|             | Does not instil confidence in customers                                                        | 61        | 84.5  |
| BRANCH      | Extensive branch network                                                                       | 363       | 92.1  |
|             | Limited branch network                                                                         | 31        | 7.9   |
| CSR         | Bank involves in Corporate Social Responsibilities related activities                          | 317       | 80.5  |
|             | Bank does not involve in Corporate Social Responsibilities related activities                  | 77        | 19.5  |
| FRIENDLY    | Bank personnel are friendly                                                                    | 299       | 75.9  |
|             | Bank personnel are not friendly                                                                | 95        | 24.1  |
| AFFORD      | Financing or loan is affordable                                                                | 241       | 61.2  |
|             | Financing or loan is expensive                                                                 | 153       | 38.8  |
| DIVERSE     | Banking products are diversified                                                               | 336       | 85.3  |
|             | Banking products are incomprehensive                                                           | 58        | 14.7  |
| FEES        | Transaction fees by banks are affordable                                                       | 299       | 75.9  |
|             | Transaction fees by banks are expensive                                                        | 95        | 24.1  |
| DEPOSITS    | High return on deposit accounts                                                                | 121       | 30.7  |
|             | Low return on deposit accounts                                                                 | 273       | 69.3  |
| AVAILABLE   | Easy to obtain financing/loan                                                                  | 237       | 60.2  |
|             | Difficult to obtain financing/loan                                                             | 157       | 39.8  |
| LOCATION    | Location is convenient                                                                         | 322       | 81.7  |
|             | Location is inconvenient                                                                       | 72        | 18.3  |
| ADS         | Advertisement campaigns are effective                                                          | 235       | 59.6  |
|             | Advertisement campaigns are ineffective                                                        | 159       | 40.4  |
| RECEPTION   | Reception is friendly                                                                          | 314       | 79.7  |
|             | Reception is cold                                                                              | 80        | 20.3  |
| PRESTIGE    | Bank is prestigious                                                                            | 320       | 81.2  |
|             | Bank is lacklustre                                                                             | 74        | 18.8  |
| SIZE        | Asset size is big                                                                              | 329       | 83.5  |
|             | Asset size is small                                                                            | 65        | 16.5  |
| THIRD       | Recommendation from a third party is important                                                 | 303       | 76.9  |
|             | Recommendation from a third party is important                                                 | 91        | 23.1  |

Table 3: Classification result using logistic regression

|              | Predicted    |         |           |  |
|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------|--|
| Observed     | Conventional | Islamic | % Correct |  |
| Conventional | 68           | 69      | 49.6      |  |
| Islamic      | 41           | 216     | 84.0      |  |
| Overall      |              |         | 72.1      |  |

Table 4: Estimated coefficients and Odd-ratios by logistic regression

| Explanatory Variable | В      | p-value  | Exp(B) |
|----------------------|--------|----------|--------|
| ISLAMIC              | 1.178  | 0.000*** | 3.247  |
| SADAQAH              | 0.788  | 0.010**  | 2.200  |
| AVAILABLE            | 0.784  | 0.004*** | 2.189  |
| AGE                  | 0.729  | 0.119    | 2.074  |
| DEPOSITS             | 0.702  | 0.019**  | 2.017  |
| REPUTE               | 0.610  | 0.250    | 1.841  |
| CSR                  | 0.457  | 0.199    | 1.579  |
| SERVQUAL             | 0.358  | 0.327    | 1.431  |
| RECEPTION            | 0.271  | 0.499    | 1.311  |
| FATWA                | 0.269  | 0.303    | 1.309  |
| AFFORD               | 0.238  | 0.420    | 1.269  |
| FEES                 | 0.210  | 0.525    | 1.234  |
| ZAKAT                | 0.208  | 0.434    | 1.231  |
| THIRD                | 0.196  | 0.512    | 1.216  |
| CONFIDENCE           | 0.000  | 1.000    | 1.000  |
| DIVERSE              | -0.029 | 0.945    | 0.972  |
| ADS                  | -0.062 | 0.829    | 0.940  |
| PRESTIGE             | -0.084 | 0.827    | 0.920  |
| FRIENDLY             | -0.237 | 0.561    | 0.789  |
| CONVENIENCE          | -0.251 | 0.550    | 0.778  |
| IMAGE                | -0.264 | 0.703    | 0.768  |
| LOCATION             | -0.387 | 0.293    | 0.679  |
| ADVICE               | -0.542 | 0.094*   | 0.582  |
| COMPETENT            | -0.655 | 0.101    | 0.519  |
| SIZE                 | -0.759 | 0.064*   | 0.468  |
| CREDIBLE             | -1.001 | 0.135    | 0.368  |
| EDU                  | -1.769 | 0.139    | 0.170  |
| BRANCH               | -1.938 | 0.006*** | 0.144  |

Note: \*, \*\*, \*\*\* means significant at 10%, 5% and 1% respectively.

bank customer but relatively low conventional bank customer classification. The type I error is 50.4 percent and 16 percent for Islamic and conventional bank customer respectively.

Table 4 shows that in general, factors that were predicted to influence the type of bank to be patronized are statistically significant (x2 = 101.095, p = 0.000, df = 28) and affect the likelihood for customers to opt for Islamic bank. The above table also shows that Islamic working environment, ease of obtaining financing, return on deposits and extensive branch network are significantly and positively affecting customers to patronize an Islamic bank.

The variables with positive coefficients indicate that the likelihood to patronize Islamic bank increases in tandem with an increase in magnitude of these variables and decreases with the decrease in their magnitude. Those variables are Islamic working environments, sadaqah, ease to obtain of financing, high return on deposits and bank's engagement in CSR-related activities. [19] found similar results regarding the influence of return on deposit upon deposit behavior in Islamic banks. Meanwhile, unprofessional advices, incompetent and unknowledgeable personnel, small asset size, credibility issues, education level and limited branch network will decrease the likelihood of Malaysian bank customers to patronize an Islamic bank.

From the odds-ratio provided by the logistic regression, a customer who considers Islamic working environment prior patronizing any bank is 3.2 times greater to choose Islamic bank than those who are not. In similar vein, a customer that performs act of sadaqah on a regular basis is 2.2 times more likely to patronize an Islamic bank than those who says differently. Meanwhile, the likelihood to patronize an Islamic bank is 2.1 times greater for those who have the perception of ease in financing approval than those who are not.

The demographic analysis reveals that respondents, who attended tertiary education, are more likely to patronize Islamic bank. The findings corroborates with the idea of [16], who suggested that Indonesian bank customers that attended tertiary education are aware with various of fatwa issued in prohibition of bank interest. One possible explanation is that with the advent of the Internet; such fatwa can be disseminated and verified through online applications. For instance, in the case Malaysia, anyone may verify such fatwa using e-Fatwa, which is administered by Malaysia Department of Islamic Development (JAKIM).

Interestingly, the respondents in this study are also in agreement with most studies of banking behaviors of Islamic banks customers, which asserted that religious factor is one of the more important selection criteria. The top two criteria that have the highest odd ratios belong to the religiosity dimension (bank that promotes Islamic working environment and performing acts of sadaqah or charity on regular basis) and in contrast with earlier studies that found religious factor as not a significant selection criterion of Islamic banks.

## **CONCLUSION**

The main motivation for this study is to ascertain the selection criteria of banking customers in Malaysia. Out of 28 explanatory variables, only 12 are chosen through the forward stepwise method with statistically significant result. From a customers' perspective, exogenous factors exude by the bank such Islamic working environment, ease of obtaining financing, return on deposits, extensive branch network, professional advice and asset size are of paramount importance in patronizing Islamic bank.

Identifying and understanding these patronage factors allows Islamic bank managers and marketers to channel their efforts and resources into the most cost-effective and efficient methods to prevent customers from switching to conventional banks and further increasing

their chance of achieving the 20 percent target of total banking assets in Malaysia. While Islamic banks need to focus on bettering those exogenous factors, they may want to tailor their advertising strategies that 'fill' the endogenous factors considered as important by Islamic bank customers.

#### REFERENCES

- M.A. Haneef, 2010. Islamic banking and finance in the 21<sup>st</sup> century: Selected issues in human capital development, Islam and Civilisational Renewal, 1(2): 292-302.
- Bank Negara Malaysia, Financial Stability and Payment System Report 2011, Kuala Lumpur: Bank Negara Malaysia, 2012.
- 3. Sufian, F., 2010. Does foreign presence foster Islamic banks' performance? Empirical evidence from Malaysia, Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research, 1(2): 128-147.
- Gait, A. and A. Worthington, 2008. An empirical survey of individual consumer, business firm and financial institution attitude towards Islamic methods of finance, Int. Journal of Social Economics, 35(11): 783-808.
- 5. Erol, C. and R. El-Bdour, 1989. Attitudes, behavior and patronage factors of bank customers towards Islamic banks, Int. J. Bank Marketing, 7(6): 31-37.
- Erol, C., E. Kaynak and E. Radi, 1990. Conventional and Islamic banks: Patronage behavior of Jordanian customers, Int. J. Bank Marketing, 8(46): 25-35.
- 7. Haron, S. and N. Ahmad, 1994. Bank patronage factors of Muslim and non-Muslim customers, Journal of Bank Marketing, 12(1): 32-40.
- 8. Gerard, P. and J.B. Cunningham, 2001. Singapore's undergraduates: how they choose which bank to patronise, Int. J. Bank Marketing, 19(3): 104-114.
- 9. Metawa, S.A. and M. Almossawi, 1998. Banking behavior of Islamic bank customers: Perspectives and implications, Int. J. Bank Marketing, 17(7): 299-313.
- Ahmad, N. and S. Haron, 2000. Perceptions of Malaysian corporate customers towards Islamic banking products and services, Int. J. Islamic Financial Services, 3(4): 13-29.
- 11. Saif, M., N. Khan and M.K. Hassan, 2007. Banking behavior of Islamic bank customers in Bangladesh, J. Islamic Econ., Banking and Finance, 3(2): 159-194.
- Khattak, N.A., 2010. Customer satisfaction and awareness of Islamic banking system in Pakistan, Afr. J. Buss. Mgt., 4: 662-671.

- 13. Hegazy, I.A., 1995. An empirical comparative study between Islamic and commercial banks' selection criteria in Egypt, Int. J. Comparative Mgt., 5(3): 46-61.
- 14. Jamal, A. and K. Naser, 2002. Customer satisfaction and retail banking: An assessment of some of the key antecedents of customer satisfaction in retail banking, Int. J. of Bank Marketing, 20(4): 146-160.
- 15. Al-Ajmi, J., H.A. Hussein and N. Al-Saleh, 2009. Clients of conventional and Islamic banks in Bahrain: How they choose which bank to patronize, Int. J. Social Economics, 36(11): 1086-1112.
- Abduh, M., Z. Dahari and M.A. Omar, 2012. Bank customer classification in Indonesia: Logistic regression vis-à-vis artificial neural networks, World Applied Sciences Journal, 18(7): 933-938.
- 17. G.D. Israel, Determining Sample Size, 2012. Available at: http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/pdffiles/PD/PD00600.pdf.
- 18. Evans, J.R. and A. Mathur, 2005. The value of online surveys, Internet Research, 15(2): 195-219.
- Abduh, M., M.A. Omar and J. Duasa, 2011. The impact of crisis and macroeconomic variables towards Islamic banking deposits, American J. Applied Sci., 8(12): 1413-1418.