Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research 27 (2): 132-137, 2019

ISSN 1990-9233

© IDOSI Publications, 2019

DOI: 10.5829/idosi.mejsr.2019.132.137

A Review of Divers' Responsible Behaviour on Diving Sites and Implication for Marine Tourism

¹Khairul Amri Hasim and ²Ahmad Puad Mat Som

¹Faculty of Business and Accountancy, Universiti Selangor, 40000 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia ²Faculty of Applied Social Sciences, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Gong Badak Campus, 21300 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia

Abstract: The uniqueness of coral reef ecosystems has led to various tourism activities such as SCUBA diving. The popularity of scuba diving activity has indirectly improved the tourism industry making it a multibillion dollar industry. SCUBA diving tourism has become important in terms of magnitude and significantly contributes to social, economy and environment. Divers play an important role in marine conservation as their active diving activities may negatively impact coral reef ecosystems. Their presence may harm the sustainability of coral reefs as some of the divers may touch or smash the coral reefs. Nevertheless, in the absence of proper controls and enforcement, unplanned marine tourism growth has caused environmental degradation which undermines the long-term sustainability of the tourism industry. The purpose of this paper is to explore factors that contribute to SCUBA diving impacts on coral and fish communities. This paper explains the causes of a certain event, validating the problem of impacts, defining the core issues and identifies possible causes leading to an effect. The phenomenon of diving impacts on coral reefs is a result of intensive use of dive site over the long-term. The divers can reduce their impacts towards coral reefs through responsible diving underwater behaviors. The causes of cumulative divers' contacts are more complicated than it seems. In response, this paper proposes the proper low impact SCUBA diving strategies that need to be considered for future sustainability of marine tourism management.

Key words: Scuba Diving • Underwater Behaviour • Diving Impact • Marine Protected Area

INTRODUCTION

Marine tourism or tourism based on ocean and coral reefs is an important component of the global tourism industry and is rapidly growing than any other tourism sector particularly in many tropical countries [1]. The increasing interest in marine tourism can be considered at two levels. First, specific locations have become popular settings for marine based recreation and second, specific marine recreational activities themselves have become popular. Marine tourism has been thought of as a sustainable option for coral reef use, compared to extractive practices such as the harvesting of corals and fish for commercial purposes [2-3]. However, concrete evidence has demonstrated that reefs become degraded and possibly compromise the amenity value of dive site as

a result of poorly planned or intensive tourist use [4]. Marine tourism has been shown to affect reefs in two ways: direct effects on coral communities through accidental disturbance such as coral breakage, boats colliding with reefs and anchor damage [3] and indirect effects through alteration of water quality in the form of nutrient enrichment and the addition of pollutants such as toxic substances, wastewater and increased turbidity [3, 5]. The intensity of impact is related to the frequency or amount of use, the type of use and associated behaviors and the season of use and the environmental conditions or location [6].

Dive tourism is a special interest tourism that offers SCUBA (Self-contained Underwater Breathing Apparatus) diving as a primary activity during a vacation stay. SCUBA diving activities require special outfit that comes

with some additional equipment such as cylindrical tank that stores compressed oxygen gas, mask and foot fins. Over the last two decades, many tropical countries have developed significant recreational dive industries as divers have become aware of the beauty and comfort of diving in tropical environments [2, 7-8]. Brander et al. [9] indicated Southeast Asia to be one of the top diving destinations in the world and the expansion of coral reef recreation there to be of high economic value. Dive tourism, as other types of tourism, can bring economic benefits, improve quality of life at the destination, foster community pride, allow cultural exchange, reduce over-exploitation and promote conservation. Dive tourism became an essential part of international and domestic travel in Malaysia. Malaysian government identifies dive tourism as one of the high yield components of marine tourism and is aggressively promoting tourism icons such as Sipadan Island and Tunku Abdul Rahman Marine Park as a world-class SCUBA diving destination [10]. Diving lessons and certification, equipment rentals, dive tours and diving accessories being easily available from coastal dive centers have made this activity more affordable and accessible even to remote parts of the world. As coral reefs are the major attraction for SCUBA divers, the degradation of coral reefs can potentially lead to the dissatisfaction of tourists and subsequently pose negative impacts on local tourism businesses.

Nevertheless, in the absence of proper controls and enforcement, unplanned tourism growth has caused environmental degradation which undermines the long-term sustainability of the tourism industry. To address the above research problems, this study aimed to: (1) examine SCUBA diving tourism impact on marine ecosystem, (2) explore factors that contribute to the intensity of SCUBA diving impacts and (3) in response, this paper also proposes the mitigation strategies that need to be considered for sustainable management of dive tourism. Therefore, in this endeavor, this paper will explain the causes of a certain event, validating the problem of impacts, defining the core issues and identifies possible causes leading to an effect.

SCUBA Diving Impacts on Marine Ecosystem: Coral reefs are underwater structures made from calcium carbonate secreted by corals. Most coral reefs are built from stony corals, which in turn consist of polyps that cluster in groups or colonies. Reefs grow best in warm, shallow, clear, sunny and agitated waters. Coral reefs

provide a home for 25% of all marine species and deliver ecosystem services to tourism, fisheries and shoreline protection. The annual global economic value of coral reefs is estimated between US\$ 29.8-375 billion or equal to Ringgit Malaysia 96.18-1210.26billion [2].

At present, coral richness is declining as a result of phenomena and anthropogenic activities. natural Globally, 36 percent of all reefs have been classified as threatened by over exploitation, 30 percent by coastal development, 22 percent by inland pollution and erosion and 12 percent of marine pollution [11-12]. The threat to the health of the reefs is particularly high in Southeast Asia, where 95 percent of reefs are considered to be within the range of medium to very high risk of damage from local threats [13]. Red Sea experienced an increase of dive tourism produced major impacts from reef in filling for resort constructions and direct contacts by divers on the reefs [14]. Corals in Great Barrier Reef (GBR) encountered tremendous damages with an excessive number of divers. In Malaysia, the scenario of the coral damage was quite similar to other countries as islands like Tioman Island, Redang Island, Pulau Payar as well as Perhentian Island experienced coral damage due to resort development and uncontrolled diving behavior. In general, studies shown early one third of there ef sin Malaysia have between 25 to 50% live coral cover, very few reefs with more than 75% live coral cover and over 85% of the reefs are threatened by human activities.

Divers play a crucial role in marine environmental conservation as their present in these vulnerable ecosystems may harm coral and fish communities [15-16]. Coral populations at the heavily dived sites are deteriorating and current conservation policies for these sites appear to be ecologically unsustainable. There was also more dead coral and coral rubble at the site experiencing the greatest increase in diving [17]. Divers' impact on coral reefs has been widely documented in many areas of the world [15]. Some studies on diving impact have shown that raising sediment was the most frequent effect produced by divers, followed by contact with fragile organisms such as gorgonians, corals and bryozoans [16, 18-19]. The combination of these two effects is the main stressor agent which the benthic communities are subjected to by diving activity [19]. Despite the impacts of sunscreens and insect repellents on the reef are not yet clearly understood, [16] claim that diver's sunscreens cause coral to be infected with a virus causing coral bleaching.

When divers swim too close to the coral, they may inadvertently stir up benthic sediment thereby subjecting coral polyps to increase sedimentation loads [19]. A recent monitoring study showed that in sites with high SCUBA diving frequency, *H. papillosa* abundance is very low. Yet, corals also can be killed as well as due to the presence of pollutants carried by muddy solutions.

Divers may unintentionally injure stony corals and other sessile benthic organisms by breaking their skeletons and abrading their tissues [3]. Coral breakage affects biological processes such as growth and sexual reproduction [2]. Rates of coral tissue damage by predators and other agents on branching stony corals are much higher at heavily dived sites than at a protected reef site, leading to drastically reduced coral growth. This is a particular problem for coral, as damage is likely to take decades to repair, due to slow and limited regeneration capabilities [5]. However, skeletal breakage was not the major cause of damage to branching corals here [6, 16]. Coral communities affected by dive tourism may depend in part on the susceptibility of each coral species to pathogens and predators that take advantage of physiological stress.

Previous studies showing that the effects of recreational diving on coral reefs depend on a variety of interacting factors [2, 16]. However, the abundance of massive corals also may be reduced at the heavily-dived sites due to their relatively slow rates of growth and regeneration following repeated damage, in comparison with branching corals [8]. Thus, some diving-impacted coral reefs may experience a reduction in hard coral cover due to a loss of branching corals, while others may lose massive coral cover. Moreover, some coral communities may experience no change or even an increase in coral cover due to the proliferation of weeds opportunistic coral species that take advantage of the reduced abundance of superior competitors [6, 19]. The susceptibility of any given coral community to intensive recreational diving and repeated coral injury, thus depends on local environmental conditions, community structure and the types of coral predators and pathogens.

Divers Responsible Underwater Behaviour: Individual divers may vary widely in behaviour and in the amount of damage that they may cause [3]. Although the damage done by individuals is often small, there is some evidence which can cause significant localized destruction of sensitive marine organisms [6]. The divers can reduce

their impacts toward coral reefs through responsible diving behaviour. The causes of cumulative diver's contacts are more complicated than it seems as shown in Figure 1 [1]. According to the standard requirements for recreational SCUBA diving proposed by ICRAN MAR 1 [2], divers shall abide with all applicable local and national laws and regulations on diving activity. The guidelines include maintaining an awareness of fins, equipment and cameras; adjust buoyancy and secure equipment to avoid contacting corals or stirring up sediment while diving. Divers shall not touch or contact corals or other reef dwelling organisms; spear any marine life, swim close, chase, or harass marine life such as sea turtles; feed fish or any other marine life.

Divers could cause harm to corals via direct physical contact by touching or walking on them, kneeling, standing or dragging and snagging of equipment and some divers may even accidentally kick corals with their fin when they lose their buoyancy (58%) or deliberately holding onto corals (32%) [30]. One research reported that around 90% of divers had one or more physical interactions with reef benthos. Studies agreed that fins cause most damage to the corals followed by hands, knees and equipment [3, 18]. In this research, he found that 46% of the drivers admitted holding on to the corals during dives in strong currents. Also, 67% of the drivers admitted damaging corals in their earlier dives.

Previous research on level of specialization suggests that an increase in activity involvement leads to more positive attitudes toward environmental concerns and more prominence among divers on the natural preservation. Expert divers are more aware of specific environmental issues rather than general environmental issues. For instance, expert divers are more likely to notice negative impacts of their activities and they favour low-impact practices [3]. The number of contacts with corals declined with the increasing diver experience with a trend towards fewer contacts with advanced diver training. Likewise, expert divers tend to have better skills than beginners do and are more focused on the activity they are involved in. Underwater photographers and the less experienced divers were also seen to have collided more with the corals in the study conducted by Walters and Samways [5] in the South African coral reef. Expert divers can mitigate impacts to coral reefs by moving through the water with proper buoyancy and fin techniques. It appears that all divers can protect corals by avoiding physical contact with them [4].




Fig. 1: The SCUBA Diving Impact on Corals Reefs illustrated by a Fishbone Diagram.

Low Impact Scuba Diving: The sustainability of dive tourism requires not only the conservative use of natural and social resources, but also economic viability of all stakeholders, community integration and the provision of satisfying diving experiences. There is no perfect planning or policy process which can be easily translated from one dive tourism management jurisdiction to another. Each diving site needs to select the appropriate strategies for its own development requirements. Its unique characteristic, coupled with other issues facing the dive tourism industry (i.e. the absence of regulation, limited capacity of the local government, lack of horizontal, vertical and international integration, inactive involvement of all stakeholders, multi-cultural society with heterogeneous attitudes and being an island destination with dynamic systems and geographical limitations) has made the implementation of strategic management approaches nearly impossible.

Over the past decade, in an effort to alleviate negative impacts of divers on the reefs, varieties of management framework recommendations have been offered by researchers. Unfortunately, current management frameworks have major limitations, particularly for developing countries. These suggestions include limiting the numbers of visitors to diving sites, promoting site substitution policies, using artificial reef, educating lowimpact diving practices [6] and enforcing park rules and regulations. However, the impacts of divers can be

influenced by a number of factors, including diver education, reef composition and topography and infrastructure development near the dive site. Nonetheless, [3] suggested that it would be more realistic and efficient to identify and mitigate the causes of damaging behavior of divers rather than using other methods. Several researchers have stressed that the damage on coral reefs caused by divers can be minimized by changing the behavior of divers. All this factor needs to be considered for each dive sites before maximum number of dives per site per year is estimated. Continual adjustment of diver carrying capacity will depend on annual assessment of dive sites.

The Green Fins approach is a tool for supporting adoption and implementation of best practice through a set of diving standards. This project was initiated in 2004 by the UNEP as part of an effort to reduce unsustainable coastal tourism practices in the region. To date, the project has been introduced in four countries: Indonesia (2007), Malaysia (2008), the Philippines (2010) and Thailand (2004). The Green Fins initiative has developed a comprehensive set of guidelines to encourage best practice for an environmentally sustainable scuba diving industry, which is known as the Green Fins Code of Conduct (COC). However, until now there has been no practical system to implement these guidelines, nor for monitoring their success [3], plus a lack of internal and external support (including legislative action, funding and

academic contribution) is a significant issue. After six years it was introduced and various efforts have been made, the program does not show the desired outcome.

The combination of this two modified approaches seems to be the best mitigation strategy which can be applied in Malaysia. Mitigation strategy needs to integrate a variety of stakeholders in its approach in order to manage the numerous conflicting activities that occur within the marine environment as this is a crucial part of the system providing long term sustainability [7].

CONCLUSION

In order to minimize tourism-induced problems and secure both the sustainability of the tourism industry and coastal resources used by other sectors, it is crucial that tourism is planned, developed and operated within the context of sustainable development principles. A number of scholars have advocated management approaches to overcome the unsustainable management of the dive tourism industry. Effective conservation requires mitigation of the negative impacts of diving, derivation of increased benefits and increased understanding of their nature and distribution and implementation of effective management interventions to reduce negative impacts and expand benefits [3]. Sustainable management in dive tourism requires a multidisciplinary approach [8]. Knowledge of divers' impact was gained by examining the underwater divers' behavior [9, 16], as well as studying divers' demographic and behavioral characteristics to see whether certain categories of divers caused more negative impacts than others [10, 18] and eliciting the types of intervention that might alter divers' underwater behavior as well as pre-dive briefing [18, 19]. The assessment system in the Green Fins approach is effective and useful for tailoring the management initiative to specific resorts and regions. Its support and guidance offered through consultations, marine education and the incentives involved in complying with the codes of conduct have been shown to encourage dive centers to mitigate their threat to marine ecosystems.

REFERENCES

 Giglio, V.J., O.J. Luiz and A. Schiavetti, 2015. Marine life preferences and perceptions among recreational divers in Brazilian coral reefs. Tourism Management, 51: 49-57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2015.04. 006.

- Lucrezi, S. and M. Saayman, 2017. Sustainable scuba diving tourism and resource use: Perspectives and experiences of operators in Mozambique and Italy. Journal of Cleaner Production, 168: 632-644. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.041.
- 3. Bentz, J., A. Rodrigues, P. Dearden, H. Calado and F. Lopes, 2015. Crowding in marine environments: Divers and whale watchers in the Azores. Ocean and Coastal Management, 109: 77-85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2015.03.001.
- 4. Hammerton, Z., 2017. Low-impact diver training in management of SCUBA diver impacts. Journal of E c o t o u r i s m, 16(1), 69-94. https://doi.org/10.1080/14724049.2016.1194849.
- Hasler, H. and J. Ott., 2015. Diving down the reefs? Intensive diving tourism threatens the reefs of the northern Red Sea. Pollut. Bull., 56: 1788-1794.
- 6. Reopanichkul, P., T.A. Schlacher, R.W. Carter and S. Worachananant, 2014. Sewage impacts coral reefs at multiple levels of ecological organization, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 58: 1356-1362.
- Musa, G. and K. Dimmock, 2012. Scuba diving tourism: introduction to special issue, Tou. Mar. Env., 8: 1-5.
- 8. Oh, C., R.B. Ditton and J.R. Stoll, 2008. The Economic Value of Scuba-Diving Use of Natural and Artificial Reef Habitats, Soc. Natur. Resour., 21: 455-468.
- 9. Burke, L., K. Reytar, M. Spalding and A. Perry, 2011. Reefs at Risk Revisited. World Resources Institute.
- Musa, G., W.T. Seng, T. Thirumoorthi and M. Abessi, 2011. The influence of SCUBAdivers' personality, experience and demographic profile on their underwater behaviour, Tourism. Mar. Env., 7: 1-14.
- Tratalos, J.A.T.J., 2016. Austin, Impacts of recreational SCUBA diving on coral communities of the Caribbean island of Grand Cayman, Biol. Conserv., 102: 67-75.
- 12. Mastny L., 2017. A world watch addendum on coral reef, World Watch., 14: 20-21.
- 13. Wilkinson C., 2010. Status of coral reefs of the world: summary of threats and remedial action. Townsville, Australia: Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network.
- 14. Barker, N.H.L. and C.M. Roberts, 2004. Scuba diver behaviour and the management of diving impacts on coral reefs, Biol. Conserv., 120: 481-489.
- Luna, B., C. Valle Pérez and J.L. Sánchez-Lizaso, 2009.
 Benthic impacts of recreational divers in a Mediterranean Marine Protected Area, Mar. Sci., 66: 517-523.

- Danovaro, R., L. Bongiorni, C. Corinaldesi,
 D. Giovannelli, E. Damiani and P. Astolfi, 2008.
 Sunscreens cause coral bleaching by promoting viral infections, Env. Health. Perspect., 116: 441-447.
- 17. Ku, K.C. and T.C. Chen, 2013. A conceptual process-based reference model for collaboratively managing recreational scuba diving in Kenting National Park, Mar. Poll, pp: 39.
- 18. Icran Mar 1 2006. Standard requirements for recreational scuba diving services in the Mesoamerican reef system. Retrieved June 5, 2013 from standards@coral.org.
- Hunt, C.V., J.J. Harvey, A. Miller, V. Johnson and N. Phongsuwn, 2013. The Green Fins approach for monitoring and promoting environmentally sustainable scuba diving operations in South East Asia, Ocean & Coast. Manag., 78: 35-44.