

Analysis of Reproduction and Fattening Performances of Pigs under Small Scale Intensive Farming in East Shewa, Ethiopia

¹Mulugeta Berihu and ²Berhan Tamir

¹Department of Animal Science and Eco-Tourism Management,
College of Agriculture, Aksum University Shire-Campus, Shire, Ethiopia

²Department of Animal Production Studies, College of Veterinary Medicine and Agriculture,
Addis Ababa University Bishoftu-Campus, Bishoftu, Ethiopia

Abstract: In the Oromia regional state of Ethiopia, pigs are raised by smallholders in areas of major towns such as Addis Ababa, Bishoftu and Adama. Unfortunately, little information is available regarding reproduction and fattening performances of pigs in the East Shewa of central Oromia, Ethiopia wherefore a baseline survey was conducted during January 2014 to April 2015. A total of 105 households were selected using a stratified sampling method and interviewed with a structured questionnaire. The results revealed that the mean service per conception of sows in Addis Ababa (1.6 ± 0.5) and Adama (1.5 ± 0.5) was greater than the value obtained in Bishoftu (1.2 ± 0.4). The mean age at first service of pigs was significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) in Bishoftu (4.2 ± 0.45) compared to Addis Ababa (4.6 ± 0.6) and Adama (5.1 ± 0.51) towns. The mean age at first farrowing of pigs was significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) in Bishoftu (8.2 ± 0.4) compared to in Addis Ababa (8.7 ± 0.5) and Adama (8.7 ± 0.5). The mean number of farrowing/sow/year was significantly greater in Bishoftu (2.4 ± 0.6) compared to in Addis Ababa (1.9 ± 0.4) and Adama (2.0 ± 0.4). The mean litter size at weaning of pigs was significantly lower in Addis Ababa (3.3 ± 0.7) and Adama (2.9 ± 0.3) than Bishoftu (8.8 ± 1.1) town. The mean value of live weight at birth was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) in Bishoftu (0.8 ± 0.1) than in Addis Ababa (0.61 ± 0.1) and Adama (0.7 ± 0.1). The live weight at weaning of pigs in Addis Ababa (5.5 ± 0.6) and Adama (5.3 ± 0.5) was lighter compared to Bishoftu (7.5 ± 0.6). The mean Pre-weaning piglet mortality was higher ($p < 0.05$) in Addis Ababa (3.86 ± 0.55) and Adama (4.17 ± 0.65) than Bishoftu (2.18 ± 0.71). There was significantly shorter ($p < 0.05$) fattening period and higher ($p < 0.05$) market weight of pigs in Bishoftu than in Addis Ababa and Adama. The study indicated that there were remarkable variations among the study sites in terms of reproduction and fattening performances of pigs. It could be concluded that an improvement of small scale intensive pig production system to increase animal protein sources should consider the divergent reproduction and fattening performances of the three towns.

Key words: Small scale • Intensive production • Reproduction • Fattening • Pig

INTRODUCTION

Pig production plays an important role in improving the incomes, bridging animal protein supply gap, enhancing employment status of small-scale farmers and it is the key element for reducing poverty of the poor [1-3]. Pig production efficiency in commercial pig production is partially dependent up on reproductive performance. This could be determined by the number of piglets at birth, at weaning, farrowing /sow/year, birth weight, weaning weight, age at first service, age at first farrowing,

farrowing interval [4-6]. Similarly, the pig grows from 1.5 kg at birth to a slaughter weight 100–120 kg in about 5 months.

It reproduces at a high rate because it is a litter-bearing species [7]. The sow is pregnant 114 days, gives birth to 10–15 piglets per litter and normally produces two litters a year [8]. The feed energy requirements per kilogram of meat produced are 45 MJ/ kg carcass as compared with 100–180 MJ/ kg for cattle and 25 MJ/ kg for chicken [9]. Poor reproductive performance of pigs could negatively affect the economic benefit of a pig enterprise

[10]. The annual total economic loss due to disease, mortality, reduced production and reproduction performance was estimated as 150 million USD [11].

This suggests that, it is unquestionably difficult to sustain successful pig production without understanding the reproductive and growth performance of pigs. Knowledge of small scale intensive pig production in relation to reproduction and growth performance is still scarce in East Shewa central Oromia, Ethiopia. The current gap of information could hamper to device scientific intervention and sustainable development of pig production. Therefore, the present study was conducted with the purpose of determining reproduction and growth performances of small scale intensive pig production in East Shewa central Oromia, Ethiopia. It is anticipated that the findings will help to design and implement sustainable policies and strategies on pig farming, in order to break the vicious cycle of poverty, under nutrition and disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of the Study Area: The study was undertaken in three towns: Addis Ababa, Bishoftu and Adama that are located in the central parts of Oromia region, Ethiopia, in place of highland, midland and lowland agro ecologies, correspondingly. Addis Ababa is sited at 9° N latitude 38°E longitude and average altitude of 2355 meters above sea level; Bishoftu is placed at 9°N latitude and 40°E longitudes at an altitude of 1850 meters above sea level; Adama is placed at 8°N latitude and 39°E longitude 1400 meter above sea level. The study areas have formerly been explained exhaustively [2].

Determination of the Sample Size: The sample size was determined using Arsham's [12] formula: $N=0.25/SE^2$ Where N = Sample Size and SE = Standard Error thus Standard Error of 0.05 with 95% confidence level was used to calculate the sample size (105 households) considered during the current study.

Data Collection Procedure: A baseline survey was conducted from January 2014 to April 2015 using a structured questionnaire in collaboration with data collectors' employed and trained for this reason under close supervision by the investigator. The questionnaire was designed to collect information on reproduction and fattening performance of pig farming. The number of household's interviewed in Addis Ababa, Bishoftu and Adama was 35, 40 and 30 respectively which were

proportional to size. Purposive sampling technique was used to select towns based on availability of pig production.

Statistical Analysis: The data regarding reproduction and fattening performance of pig farming were analyzed by one way ANOVA and descriptive statistics of statistical package for social sciences [13]. The mathematical formula used in the present study included:

$Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + \epsilon_{ij}$, Where,

Y_{ij} = Response variables

μ = Overall mean

T_i = Effect of towns where $i = 1$ is Addis Ababa, $i = 2$ is Bishoftu and $i = 3$ is Adama.

ϵ_{ij} = is errors with normal distribution, $N(0, I)$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Economically Important Traits of Small Scale Intensive Pig Production in East Shewa: The performance traits of small scale intensive pigs in east Shewa were shown in Table 1. Age at first service, services per conception, age at first farrowing, farrowing interval, number of farrowing/sow/year, litter size at weaning, birth weight, weaning weight and pre-weaning mortality were different ($p < 0.05$) across the study sites.

The mean age at first service of pigs was significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) in Bishoftu compared to Addis Ababa and Adama towns. The results indicated that there was shorter age at first service of pig production in Bishoftu which could be explained by increased farrowing rate. Sows with long age at first service often have decreased farrowing rates and litter sizes compared to sows with shorter age at first mating [14]. Numerous studies have found that an inadequate intake of energy or protein during lactation affects body fat and protein reserves and prolongs the age at first service. The average age at first service could be determined by many factors including season, environmental temperature, photoperiod, nutrition, stress, facility design, lactation length and management practices [14]. The results were lower than reports of Okello *et al.* [15].

The mean services per conception of sows in Addis Ababa and Adama were greater than the value obtained in Bishoftu. The results might demonstrate that there were larger numbers of repeat breeders in pig production of Addis Ababa and Adama than in Bishoftu. The present results were concurrent with reports of Losada *et al.* [16].

Table 1: Reproduction performance traits of small scale intensive pig production in East Shewa

Traits	Towns				Test	
	Addis Ababa	Bishoftu	Adama	Total	F-value	p-value
	Mean± SD	Mean± SD	Mean± SD	Mean± SD		
Age at first service (month)	4.6±0.6 ^a	4.2±0.45 ^b	5.1±0.51 ^c	4.6±0.6	30.999	0.0
Service per conception	1.6±0.5 ^a	1.2±0.4 ^b	1.5±0.5 ^a	1.4±0.5	8.42	0.0
Age at first farrowing (month)	8.7±0.5 ^a	8.2±0.4 ^b	8.7±0.5 ^a	8.5±0.5	12.79	0.0
Farrowing interval (month)	2.3±0.4 ^a	2.0±0.0 ^b	2.9±0.4 ^c	2.3±0.5	66.21	0.0
Number of farrowing/sow/year	1.9±0.4 ^a	2.4±0.6 ^b	2.0±0.4 ^c	2.1±0.5	8.3	0.0
Gestation period (day)	113.9±0.3 ^a	113.8±0.5 ^a	113.9±0.3 ^a	113.9±0.4	0.75	0.48
Litter size at birth	8.0±1.4 ^a	8.9±2.7 ^a	8.6±2.2 ^a	8.5±2.2	1.37	0.26
Still birth	1.57±0.5 ^a	1.63±0.49 ^a	1.57±0.5 ^a	1.59±0.49	0.156	0.86
Litter size at weaning	3.3±0.7 ^a	8.8±1.1 ^b	2.9±0.3 ^a	4.8±2.3	352.68	0.0
Birth weight (kg)	0.61±0.1 ^a	0.8±0.1 ^b	0.7±0.1 ^c	0.71±0.1	26.99	0.0
Weaning weight (kg)	5.5±0.6 ^a	7.5±0.6 ^b	5.3±0.5 ^a	6.2±1.1	208.96	0.0
Weaning age (day)	23.6±1.5 ^a	23.6±1.5 ^a	23.5±1.5 ^a	23.4±1.5	0.186	0.83
Pre weaning mortality	3.86±0.55 ^a	2.18±0.71 ^b	4.17±0.65 ^a	3.3±1.1	101.48	0.00

SD refers to Standard Deviation; ^{ab}values with one superscript letter in common are not significantly separated.

Table 2: Growth performance of fattening pigs under small scale intensive production in East Shewa

Parameters	Towns				Test	
	Addis Ababa	Bishoftu	Adama	Total	F-value	F-value
	Mean± SD	Mean± SD	Mean± SD	Mean± SD		
Number of fatterer	35(100)	40(100)	30(100)	105(100)	-	-
Fattening period(month)	5.9±0.3 ^a	5.1±0.2 ^b	5.9±0.3 ^a	5.6±0.5	110.7	0.00
Average daily gain(g/day)	333.3±0.43 ^a	487.5±1.0 ^b	306.2±0.4 ^c	384.3±82.1	66940	0.00
Final weight(kg)	60.3±9.9 ^a	72.8±6.0 ^b	55.3±7.8 ^a	63.6±10.8	45.8	0.0
Finishing period(month)	9.1±0.85 ^a	7.6±0.5 ^b	9.4±0.68 ^a	8.6±1.06	75.2	0.0

SD refers to Standard Deviation; ^{ab}values with one superscript letter in common are not significantly separated.

The mean age at first farrowing of pigs was significantly lower ($p<0.05$) in Bishoftu compared to in Addis Ababa and Adama. The results implied that the pigs found in Bishoftu were matured earlier than Addis Ababa and Adama. The mean age at first farrowing of the current results were smaller than reports of Ate and Oyedipe [17]. In addition, this was a shorter period compared to age at first farrowing values of 319-417 days reported for other pig breeds including indigenous pigs Stasiak *et al.* [18]. Likewise, the average ages at first farrowing recorded in the present study was relatively lower than reports of Subalini *et al.* [19] and Kadirvel *et al.* [20].

The mean farrowing interval of pigs was significantly lower ($p<0.05$) in Bishoftu compared to in Addis Ababa and Adama. This might be associated with the extended suckling period of pig production in Addis Ababa and Adama than Bishtu town. This might have contributed to the delayed heat and invariably might have caused the long farrowing intervals. Sows with long farrowing

interval could influence the prolonging of the duration of reproductive cycle of sows in the herd and reduction of the number of parities per sow per year. The mean farrowing interval of the current results were shorter than reports of Okello *et al.*, Mutua *et al.*, Petrovic *et al.* [16, 21, 22].

The mean Number of farrowing/sow/year was significantly greater in Bishoftu compared to in Addis Ababba and Adama. This might illustrate that the sows in Bishoftu conceive earlier than pigs in Addis Ababa and Adama after farrowing. A higher farrowing rate could result in more pigs born alive per bred sow per year. This could be associated with the diversity in early separation of sows from their piglets and put theme close to a boar, in a way that could make direct contact (hear, see, smell) possible to stimulate regular heat across the study sites. The present results were higher than reports of Wabacha *et al.* [23] and Iyai *et al.* [24] and Kumaresan *et al.* [25] and Lemke and Zarate [26] and Lemke *et al.* [27] and Roessler *et al.* [28].

The mean gestation period was similar ($p<0.05$) across the study sites. The results implied that pigs had shorter pregnancy period that could make fast investment return of pig production. The results confirmed reports of Nath *et al.* [29].

The overall litter size at birth was comparable with reports of Ikwap *et al.* [30]. It was however lower than 11 piglets at birth reported for smallholder pig production in northern Ethiopia [31]. The mean litter size of the current study was higher than that of Taiwanese pigs, Tanzanian native pigs and Zimbabwean native pigs [32]. Larger litter size at birth was reported by researchers in Swedish commercial herds [33]. Differences in both nutrition and management practices in tropical and temperate environments probably accounted for the disparity in values between the tropical and temperate environments. Feeding in the tropics is particularly not as adequate as in the temperate areas.

The mean stillbirths in pig production across the study sites might be associated with lack of farrowing supervision and timely intervention as needed which might reduce sow discomfort and piglet death during parturition. The present results were lower than reports of Okello *et al.* [15]. Farrowing season, farrowing length and parity had highly significant effects on both number of stillborn piglets per litter and percentage born alive [34]. Thus, identification of risk factors associated with stillbirths could help to optimize reproductive efficiency of pig production.

The mean litter sizes at weaning of pigs were significantly lower in Addis Ababa and Adama than Bishoftu town. This could indicate that there was better preweaning care and survival of piglets and profitability in Bishoftu than Addis Ababa and Adama towns. The profitability of pig farm largely depended on the survival of litters up to weaning besides other closely related factors such as weight of piglets at birth [35]. The current results were smaller than reports of Rekwot *et al.* [5].

The lighter live weight at weaning of pigs in Addis Ababa and Adama compared to Bishoftu might demonstrate that pigs had lower growth rates post-weaning and were slower to reach a common slaughter weight. This could be attributed to increased feed intake of pigs that had larger weight at weaning. Similarly, pigs that were heavier at weaning performed better than those that were light [36]. Pigs weighing less than 5 kg at weaning (21 days) require 12 additional days to reach market weight when compared to pigs weighing greater than 7 kg [37]. This implies that maximizing weaning weight would help to ensure rapid post weaning growth.

The mean values of live weights at birth and at weaning were significantly higher ($p<0.05$) in Bishoftu than in Addis Ababa and Adama. The results suggested that there may be poor pre-weaning growth performance and survivability of pigs in Addis Ababa and Adama than in Bishoftu. The pigs with low birth weight grew slower than pigs with higher live weight at birth. The lowest daily gains were found in pigs with the lowest birth weight during their growing and finishing period [38]. Similarly, light pigs at birth required a greater number of days to reach the same slaughter weight than their heavier littermates [39]. Accordingly, pig farmers in Bishoftu could have been gained better economic benefit in contrast to farmers in Addis Ababa and Adama.

Weaning age was not associated ($p<0.05$) with location. The overall weaning age of pigs was 23.4 ± 1.5 days. The current age at weaning could accelerate the growth performance of pigs. The result was similar with reports of Smith *et al.* [40] who stated that increasing weaning age from 12 to 21.5 days improved wean-to-finish growth performance in a multisite swine production system. Comparable values were also reported in northern part Ethiopia [3]. Conversely, the current result was smaller than reports of Mota *et al.*, Phengsavanh *et al.* [4, 6].

Preweaning piglet mortality was higher ($p<0.05$) in Addis Ababa and Adama than Bishoftu. The variation in Preweaning mortality of pigs could be affected by numerous factors such as litter size, birth weight and order, farrowing duration, housing, flooring and management practices [35]. The present results of preweaning mortality were higher than reports of Pedersen *et al.*, Li *et al.* [41, 42]. The death of piglets might adversely affect the productivity of farms; therefore, implementing an effective management regime after birth could decrease the occurrence of piglet deaths.

Growth Performance of Fattening Pigs under Small

Scale Intensive Production in East Showa: The study revealed that all respondents practiced pig fattening across the study sites. There were significantly shorter ($p<0.05$) fattening period and higher ($p<0.05$) market weight of pig farming in Bishoftu than in Addis Ababa and Adama. The reduced growth rate of pigs in Addis Ababa and Adama could be associated with the lower feed resource, feed quality and feed allowance. The results of the current study were relatively better than reports of Phengsavanh *et al.* [6], who found that pigs generally took nearly 2 years to reach a marketable weight of 60 to 70 kg. This equated to an average of daily weight

gain ranging from 100 to 140 g, which disagreed with the present results. However, the performance of pigs in small scale intensive farming system was generally very poor, as it might be affected negatively by nutritionally imbalanced diets and underfeeding. This might diminish the number of pig supply to the market that in turn reduced the income of farmers.

CONCLUSIONS

The main objective of this study was to analyze the reproduction and growth performance of pigs. It is explored that a diminution in pig mortality and stillbirth should go a long way to produce more animal protein to the community. The pig production performance in relation to reproduction and growth were affected by study areas, in view of the fact that differences were observed across the towns, specially issues linked with age at first service, age at first farrowing, farrowing interval, number of farrowing/sow/year, litter sizes at weaning and birth, live weight at weaning, Preweaning piglet mortality, average daily gain, fattening period and market weight. Thus, future research and development efforts in pig farming in central Oromia, Ethiopia should bear in mind the variation in performance traits of pigs of the study sites.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge Addis Ababa University and Aksum University for funding the study. We also acknowledge the farmers in Adama, Bishoftu and Addis Ababa towns for their participation.

REFERENCES

1. Ajala, M.K., A.O.K. Adesehinwa and A.K. Mohammed, 2007. Characteristics of smallholder pig production in southern Kaduna area of Kaduna State, Nigeria. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences*, 2(2): 182-188.
2. Beriheru, M., B. Tamir and N. Lundeheim, 2015. Health Management and Factors Affecting Small Scale Intensive Pig Production in East Shewa of Central Ethiopia. *Advances in Biological Res.*, 9(5): 373-380.
3. Birhan, M., G. Tamene and G. Betelhem, 2015. Challenges and Opportunities of Pig Farming and Feeding Strategy in Gondar Town, Ethiopia. *Academic Journal of Nutrition*, 4(2): 84-89.
4. Mota, D., R.N. Ramirez, M.S. Alonso and A.C.C. Garcia, 2002. Characterization of the productive performance in family pig farms located in Ayotzingo, State of Mexico. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 14(1): <http://www.cipav.org.co/lrrd/lrrd14/1/mota141.htm>.
5. Rekwot, P.I., Y.U. Abubakar and J.O. Jegede, 2003. Swine production characteristics and management systems of smallholder piggeries in Kaduna and Benue states of north central Nigeria. *Nigerian Veterinary Journal*, 24(2): 34-40.
6. Phengsavanh, P., B. Ogle, W. Stur, B.E.F. Lindberg and J.E. Lindberg, 2010. Feeding and performance of pigs in smallholder production systems in Northern Lao PDR. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, DOI 10.1007/s11250-010-9612-4
7. Stern, S.S. Ulf, G. Stefan, O. Ingrid, K.I. Karl and N. Thomas, 2005. Sustainable Development of Food Production: A Case Study on Scenarios for Pig Production. *A Journal of the Human Environment*, 34(4): 402-407.
8. Whittemore, C.T., 1998. *The Science and Practice of Pig Production*. Blackwell Science Ltd., Oxford, pp: 624.
9. Bradford, G.E., 1999. Contributions of animal agriculture to meeting global human food demand. *Livestock Production Science*, 59: 95-112.
10. Rekwot, P.I., J.O. Jegede, O.W. Ehoche and T.S.B. Tegbe, 2001. Reproductive performance in smallholder piggeries in northern Nigeria. *Tropical Agriculture, (Trinidad)*, 78: 1-4.
11. Berhanu, A., 2002. Welcome address: Animal health and poverty reduction strategies. In: proceedings of the 16th Annual Conference of the Ethiopian Veterinary Association (EVA) held 5-6 June, 2002, Ghion Hotel, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, pp: 117-137.
12. Arsham, H., 2002. *Descriptive Sampling Data Analysis. Statistical Thinking for Managerial Decision Making*. <http://ubmail.ubalt.edu/harsham/Business-stat/opre504.htm#rwhyrssm>.
13. SPSS (Statistical Procedures for Social Sciences), 2006. *SPSS User's guide version 16.0*. SPSS Institute Inc., Cary NC.
14. Karveliėne, B., L. Sernienė and V. Riskeviciene, 2008. Effect of different factors on weaning-to-first-service interval in lithuanian pig herds. *VeterinarijaIrZootechnika. T.*, 41(63): 64-69. <http://vetzoo.lsmuni.lt/data/vols/2008/41/pdf/karveliene.pdf>.

15. Okello, E., C. Amonya, J. Okwee-Acci, J. Erume and H. DeGreve, 2015. Analysis of performance, management and challenges to intensive pig farming in peri-urban Kampala, Uganda. *International Journal of Livestock Production*, 6(1): 1-7.
16. Losada, H., M. Neale, J. Vieyra, R. Soriano, J. Rivera, J. Cortes and D. Grande, 1995. The potential of traditional systems of pig production in the temperate region of Xochimilco. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 7(1).
17. Ate, I.U. and E.O. Oyedipe, 2011. Sow Reproductive Performance in Institutional Herds in Benue State, Nigeria. *Journal of Reproduction and Infertility*, 2(2): 24-31.
18. Stasiak, A., A. Karprzyk and M. Salyga, 2006. The effect of the season of farrowing on selected performance traits in Pulawska sows. *Animal Science Papers and Reproduction*, 24(1): 87-91.
19. Subalini, E., G.L.L.P. Silva and C.M.B. Demetawewa, 2010. Phenotypic characterization and production performance of village pigs in Sri Lanka. *Tropical Agricultural Research*, 21(2): 198-208.
20. Kadirvel, G., K. Arumugam, A. Das, K.M. Bujarbaruah, V. Venkatasubramanian and S.V. Ngachan, 2013. Artificial insemination of pigs reared under smallholder production system in northeastern India: success rate, genetic improvement and monetary benefit. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 45: 679-686.
21. Mutua, F.K., C.E. Dewey, S.M. Arimi, E. Schelling, W.O. Ogara and M. Levy, 2011. Reproductive performance of sows in rural communities of Busia and Kakamega Districts, Western Kenya. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 6(31): 6485-6491.
22. Petrovic, M., S. Radomir, P. Nenad, R. Dragan and R. Cedomir, 2013. Reproductive traits of pigs of Mangalitsa breed. *Actaagriculturae Slovenica*, 4: 89-92.
23. Wabacha, J.K., J.M. Maribei, C.M. Mulei, M.N. Kyule, K.H. Zessin and W. Oluoch-Kosura, 2004. Health and Production Measures for Smallholder Pig Production in Kikuyu Division, Central Kenya. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 63: 197-210.
24. Iyai, D.A., 2012. Characteristic performances of the main four pig farming systems in Manokwari, West Papua. The State University of Papua, JITP, 2(2): 82-97. <http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/peternakan/article/view/616>.
25. Kumaresan, A., K.M. Bujarbaruah, K.A. Pathak, B. Chhetri, S.K. Das, A. Das and S.K. Ahmed, 2007. Performance of pigs reared under traditional tribal low input production system and chemical composition of non-conventional tropical plants used as pig feed. *Livestock Sci.*, 107: 294-298.
26. Lemke, U. and A.V. Zarate, 2008. Dynamics and developmental trends of smallholder pig production systems in North Vietnam. *Agricultural Systems*, 96: 207-223.
27. Lemke, U., M. Margenthaler, R. Robler, L.T.T. Huyen, P. Herold, B. Kaufmann and A.V. Zarate, 2008. Pig production in Vietnam-a review. *CAB reviews: perspective in agriculture, veterinary science, nutrition and natural resources*, 3(23).
28. Roessler, R., A.G. Drucker, R. Scarpa, A. Markemann, U. Lemke, Le T. Thuy and A.V. Zarate, 2008. Using choice experiments to assess smallholder farmers' preferences for pig breeding traits in different production systems in North-West Vietnam. *Ecological Economics*, 66: 184-192.
29. Nath, P.K., S.V. Ngachan, A.K. Tripathi and A.K. Mohanty, 2013. Characterization of Smallholder Pig Production System: Productive and Reproductive Performances of Local and Crossbred Pigs In Sikkim Himalayan Region. *Tropical Animal Production and Health*, 45: 1513-1518.
30. Ikwap, K., M. Jacobson, N. Lundeheim, D.O. Owiny, G.W. Nasinyama, C. Fellstrom and J. Erume, 2014. Characterization of pig production in Gulu and Soroti districts in northern and eastern Uganda. *Livestock Research for rural development*, 26(4).
31. Tekle, T., T. Abreha and K. Tsegabirhan, 2013. Smallholder pig production and its constraints in Mekelle and Southern Zone of Tigray Region, North Ethiopia. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 25(10).
32. Chiduwa, G., M. Chimonya, T.E. Halimani, S.R. Chisambara and K. Dzama, 2008. Herd dynamics and contribution of indigenous pigs to the livelihood of rural farmers in a semi-arid area in Zimbabwe. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 40(2): 125-136.
33. Engblom, L., E. Lundheim, A.M. Dalin and K. Anderson, 2007. Sow removal in Swedish commercial herds. *Liv. Prod. Sc.*, 106: 76-86.
34. Chu, M.X., 2005. Statistical analysis of stillbirths in different genotypes of sows. *Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science*, 18(10): 1475-1478.

35. Roy, B., K. Ajay, G.P. Lakhani and A. Jain, 2014. Causes of pre-weaning pig mortality in India. *Scholarly Journal of Agricultural Science*, 4(9): 485-493. [http:// www.scholarly-journals.com/ SJAS](http://www.scholarly-journals.com/SJAS).
36. Dunshea, F.R., D.K. Kerton, P.D. Cranwell, R.G. Campbell, B.P. Mullan, R.H. King, G.N. Power and J.R. Pluske, 2003. Lifetime and post-weaning determinants of performance indices of pigs. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 54: 363-370.
37. Azain, M.J., T. Tomkins, J.S. Sowinski, R.A. Arentson and D.E. Jewell, 1996. Effect of Supplemental milk replacer on litter performance: Seasonal Variation in Response. *Journal of Animal Science*, 74: 2195-2202.
38. Vaclavkova, E., P. Danek and M. Rozkot, 2012. The influence of piglet birth weight on growth performance. *Research in Pig Breeding*, 6(1).
39. Wolter, B.F., M. Ellis, B.P. Corrigan and J.M. DeDecker, 2002. The effect of birth weight and feeding of supplemental milk replacer to piglets during lactation on pre-weaning and post-weaning growth performance and carcass characteristics. *Journal of Animal Science*, 80: 301-308.
40. Smith, A.L., K.J. Stalder, T.V. Serenius, T.J. Baas and J.W. Mabry, 2008. Effect of weaning age on nursery pig and sow reproductive performance. *Journal of Swine and Health Production*, 16(3): 131-137.
41. Pedersen, L.J., P. Berg, G. Jorgensen and I.L. Andersen, 2011. Neonatal piglet traits of importance for survival in crates and indoor pens. *Journal of Animal Science*, 89: 1207-1218.
42. Li, Y.Z., L. Johnston and A. Hillbrands, 2010. Pre-weaning mortality of piglets in a bedded group farrowing system. *Journal of Swine Health and Production*, 19: 7580.