

Cross - Sectional Study on Bovine Mastitis and its Associated Risk Factors in and Around Bedele and Mettu Districts of Ilu Aba Bor Zone, Ethiopia

Gelaye Gebisa, Oda Gizaw, Endalu Mulatu and Mengistu Asrat

Department of Animal Science, Mettu University, Ethiopia

Abstract: Identifying the prevalence of Bovine mastitis and its associated factors is very important for further improvement of product and productivity from lactating cows. The objectives of this study were to assess and identify the prevalence of bovine mastitis and its associated factors in the study areas. The study was carried out in and around two districts namely, Bedele and Mettu Districts. The overall results of the study revealed that more than 86% of the interviewed respondents had ≤ 10 cows with mainly practicing of extensive rearing system. A total of 413 lactating cows were selected from all production systems. The Clinical mastitis was confirmed by using visual observations of the udder and the presence of blood, pus and other characteristics in milk whereas California mastitis test (CMT) was used for screening subclinical mastitis. The overall results revealed that 33.4 and 26.1% of the examined cows were identified as suffering from mastitis in Bedele and Mettu Districts, respectively. Generally, the prevalence of subclinical mastitis was dominantly observed than clinical mastitis. Chi-square analysis revealed that, the associated factors viz. age, mastitis history, lactation stage, milk production, parity, udder injury and tick infestations were significantly affected the prevalence of mastitis at ($p < 0.05$) in both districts except stage of lactation in case of Mettu district. Creating awareness about the ways to reduce the prevalence of mastitis and their associated factors could be the solution to reduce losses by influences of mastitis. Therefore, the responsible bodies need to assess the associated intrinsic and extrinsic factors and measures have to be taken to reduce the incidence of mastitis and its influence on dairy farming. Using acaricides (Diazinole) spray according to the manufacturer's recommendation could be a possible measure to reduce tick infestation and proper management and good hygienic practices are also a possible measure to reduce the incidence of mastitis by controlling the transmission of the disease.

Key words: Associated Risk Factors • California Mastitis Test • Sub-Clinical Mastitis

INTRODUCTION

Livestock's are the backbone of Ethiopian agricultural sector in terms of economic benefits and food supplies [1]. Ethiopia is believed to have the largest livestock population in Africa and sector of livestock's has been adding a significant effect on the economy of the country and still promising to bring development to the country. Product and by-products of livestock are well-known as the main animal protein source for enhancing the nutritional status of the people [2]. In Ethiopia, cows represent the largest proportion of the cattle population of the country, while milk production does not satisfy the countries requirements due to

multitude factors [3]. This is partly due to the low genetic potential of milk production of indigenous zebu cattle. Although, to increase milk production, cross breeding of indigenous zebu with exotic breeds particularly with Holstein Friesian is widely practiced which resulted in a larger portion of the dairy cattle population especially in urban and peri-urban areas. However, this market oriented dairy production and rapidly growing systems in many African countries are subjected to diseases of intensification including mastitis and reproductive disorders [4]. Mastitis is an inflammation of mammary gland that can be caused by physical or chemical agents but the majority of the causes are infectious and usually caused by bacteria [5, 6]. Bovine mastitis is the most

common and costly disease which is affecting the dairy farms all over the world and results for the economic losses mainly from decreasing of milk production, culling of chronically infected cows, cost of treatment and penalties of milk quality [7].

In Ethiopia the economic loss due to both clinical and subclinical mastitis per lactation is 38 US\$ [8]. Due to significant effect of mastitis over the income from milk production, different efforts have been made by different authors to assess the occurrence of mastitis on dairy herds in Ethiopia. Therefore, this disease is considered as the main constraint and recognized as the major root for low milk production in the country [9].

Indigenous breeds are mainly distributed in western and south western part of Ethiopia, while some of cross breed and exotic breeds (Holstein Friesian and Jersey) are also there in study areas. Studies on prevalence of bovine mastitis and its associated risk factors on an indigenous cattle keeping in and around Bedele and Mettu Districts are lacking. Therefore this study was anticipated to deliver an understanding about the major associated factors of bovine mastitis in the study areas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in and around Bedele and Mettu districts of Ilu Aba Bor Zone, Ethiopia. The districts are located at distance of 480 km (Bedele) and 600 km (Mettu) from Addis Ababa towards South West Ethiopia. Bedele and Mettu districts are located at longitude 36°21'E & 35.5822°E latitude 8°27'N & 8.2961°N, respectively. The altitude of Bedele is ranges from 2012 - 2162 m.a.s.l and Mettu also located at elevation of 1701 m.a.s.l [10, 11]. Generally the zone has 1, 633, 156.56 hectares of land of which 10% is high land, 67% medium land and 23% low land. Agriculture is the main livelihood of people with a mixed farming system and livestock plays an integral role for agriculture [12].

Sampling Method: Data for the assessment and screening test were collected using multi-stage sampling techniques. Purposive sampling technique was employed to select two districts based on the potential of milk production and density of cattle population from Ilu Aba Bor Zone, Ethiopia. The peasant associations were chosen based on cattle population and the households were further classified based on the presence and absence of lactating cows. Then after random sampling techniques was employed to select one lactating cow from each household. Based on the above techniques, the general

information about husbandry practices and mastitis associated risk factors were collected using a closed or structured questionnaire with an intention of condensing the husbandry practices as well as for identifying the influence of associated factors across to the prevalence of mastitis in the study areas.

Determination of Sample Size: The number of animals required for the study was determined using the formula given by Thrusfield [13] for simple random sampling.

$$N = \frac{1.962 P_{\text{exp}} (1 - P_{\text{exp}})}{d^2}$$

where; N = required sample size

P_{exp} = expected prevalence

d = desired absolute precision (Usually 0.05)

The sample size determination was using 95% level of confidence, 50% expected prevalence and 0.05 desired absolute precision. Generally, a total of 413 lactating cows were randomly selected from 413 households and then the owners of cows were interviewed to assess the general information of husbandry practices and mastitis associated factors.

Clinical Detection: Clinical detection of udder and milk from cows had been carried out by inspecting the size and consistency of quarters for checking of any abnormalities such as injury, swelling, firmness and blindness of the teat canal and two streaks of milk from each quarter in a strip of cup were inspected by visual inspection for checking the presence of any flakes, clots, pus, watery appearance, blood and color change [14].

CMT Screening Test: Clinically free of mastitis cows were tested by California mastitis test (CMT) and milk samples were collected from cows in which clinical mastitis was not detected to look for sub-clinical mastitis. Then samples were collected from each quarter of the udder and analyzed using CMT. From each quarters of udder, a squirt of milk sample was placed in each cup on the CMT paddle and an equal amount of 3% CMT reagent was added to each cup and mixed well. Reactions were graded as -Ve, T, +, ++ and +++ for negative, trace, slightly positive, positive and strongly positive, respectively [15].

Associated Risk Factors: The associated factors were compiled using structured questionnaires to evaluate the

effect of selected potential risk factors for the occurrences of mastitis. Age, mastitis history, lactation stage, milk production, parity, udder injury and tick infestation were the major associated factors studied in the study areas. Age of the cows was determined according to Pasquini *et al.* [16] based on the information from owners of the cows and dentition characteristics. Stage of lactation was categorized into three levels post-partum 1st - 3rd months (Early lactation), 4th - 6th months (Middle Lactation) and greater than 6th (Late Lactation) and similarly parity was categorized according to the number of calves produced (1 - 3, 4 - 6 and ≥ 7 calves) and milk production per day (≤ 2 liters, >2 - <6 liters and ≥ 6 liters).

Data Management and Analysis: The collected data was analyzed using Statistical Packages for Social Sciences (SPSS, Version 20:0). Husbandry practices of households were summarized using a descriptive statistics and chi-square test was used to test the significances difference in prevalence of mastitis across the districts and associated risks factors.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Husbandry Practices: The overall results about rearing systems and herd size percentage of the study areas were presented in Table 1. A total of 413 households from two districts were interviewed during the study period. The overall result of the study indicated that 9.7, 87.9 and 2.4 percent of the respondents have been practicing semi-intensive, extensive and intensive rearing system, respectively. As result indicates, most of the livestock keepers in both districts were practicing extensive livestock rearing system and it is in agreement with the findings of Abate *et al.* [17]. The findings further indicate that most of the householders had less than 10 cows, which is also in line with the findings of FAO [18].

Mastitis Prevalence: According to the result indicated in Table 2, the prevalence of mastitis in Bedele and Mettu districts was 33.4 and 26.1% and out of the total prevalence 26.8 and 20.5% was sub-clinical mastitis, respectively. The prevalence of mastitis in the study areas is in close accordance to the findings of Jirata and Indalem [19] and Endale *et al.* [20] from in and around Wolayta Sodo and in Sodo Town, respectively. The prevalence of clinical and sub clinical mastitis as observed in the study is however lower than those reported by Sarba and Tola [21] and Hajie and Teka [22] from Ambo

district and East Shewa Zone, respectively. The result of this finding is also lower than those reported by Tesfahywet and Gerema [23] in Eastern Hararghe Zone and also in Holeta Town by Mekbib *et al.* [24]. As observed from Table 2, prevalence of clinical and sub-clinical mastitis was not statistically significant across the study areas. However, there is a slight difference in prevalence percentages among the two study areas and this could be due to somewhat slight difference in management practices and husbandry systems, environmental conditions, intrinsic and extrinsic risk factors.

Associated Risk Factors: The result pertaining to the influence of associated risk factors on prevalence of mastitis in Bedele and Mettu districts were presented in tables 3 & 4. The result recorded in this finding indicate that, the associated factors viz. age, mastitis history, lactation stage, milk production, parity, udder injury and tick infestations were significantly affect the prevalence of mastitis at ($p < 0.05$) in both districts except stage of lactation for Mettu district.

Age: The results presented in table 3 and 4 indicate that the prevalence of mastitis was higher in adult cows than young adults. The result of present study pertaining to the higher prevalence of mastitis with increasing age is in agreement with the findings of Kero and Tareke [25] for selected area of Southern Ethiopia; Tesfaye *et al.* [26] for area of Nazareth, Ethiopia; Mungubet *et al.* [27] for central part of Ethiopia; Moges *et al.* [28] for an area of in and around Gonder, Ethiopia. However, higher prevalence of mastitis at younger age is recorded by Mekonnen *et al.* [29] for an area of in and the surrounding areas of Sodo Town, Wolayta Zone, Ethiopia and Lidet *et al.* [30] for an area of in and around Areka Town, Southern Ethiopia. The higher prevalence of mastitis in relation to age increment may be attributed to increases in susceptibility of cow's udder for the infectious agent and it is in accordance with the finding of Radostitis *et al.* [6] who reported that, an older cows have largest teats and more relaxed sphincter muscles, which increase the accessibility of infectious agent in the cows udder.

Mastitis History: The recorded result in Table 3 and 4 indicate that, the prevalence of mastitis was higher in cows had previous mastitis history than that of the cows hadn't. The result of this finding is in accordance with the study by Mokenin and Tesfaye [31] whom reported that the occurrence of mastitis in cows which had previous

Table 1: Husbandry practices of respondents in percentage

| Variable | Study areas | | |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | Bedele District N (%) | Mettu District N (%) | Overall N (%) |
| Rearing System | | | |
| Semi-intensive | 24(12.1) | 16(7.4) | 40(9.7) |
| Extensive | 168(84.8) | 195(90.7) | 363(87.9) |
| Intensive | 6(3) | 4(1.9) | 10(2.4) |
| Total | 198(100) | 215(100) | 413(100) |
| Herd size | | | |
| ≤ 10 cows | 192(97) | 208(96.7) | 400(96.9) |
| >10 cows | 6(3) | 7(3.3) | 13(3.1) |
| Total | 198(100) | 215(100) | 413(100) |

Table 2: Prevalence of clinical and sub-clinical mastitis at cow level in the study areas

| Prevalence of Mastitis | Study areas (districts) | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------|---------|
| | Bedele N (%) | Mettu N (%) | χ^2 | P-Value |
| Number of examined cows | 198(100%) | 215(100%) | 2.630 | 0.105 |
| Clinical | 13(6.6%) | 12(5.6%) | | |
| Sub-Clinical | 53(26.8) | 44(20.5%) | | |
| Total prevalence | 66(33.4%) | 56(26.1%) | | |

χ^2 = Chi-square. $p \geq 0.05$ = non-significant

Table 3: Prevalence of mastitis associated to intrinsic and extrinsic factors in Bedele District

| Risk Factors | Category | Number of animal Examined Cows | Number of Positives (%) | χ^2 | P-Value |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---------|
| Age | ≤ 5 years | 93 | 15(7.6) | 23.359 | 0.000* |
| | >5 years | 105 | 51(25.8) | | |
| Mastitis History | Yes | 39 | 27(13.6) | 28.163 | 0.000* |
| | No | 159 | 39(19.7) | | |
| Lactation Stage | Early | 78 | 21(10.6) | 7.788 | 0.020* |
| | Mid | 81 | 36(18.2) | | |
| | Late | 39 | 9(4.5) | | |
| Milk Production per day | ≤ 2 litters | 171 | 54(27.3) | 10.812 | 0.004* |
| | >2 and <6 litters | 15 | 3(1.5) | | |
| | ≥ 6litters | 12 | 9(4.5) | | |
| Parity | 1 – 3 calves | 123 | 30(15.2) | 19.622 | 0.000* |
| | 4 – 6 calves | 69 | 30(15.2) | | |
| | ≥ 7 calves | 6 | 6(3.0) | | |
| Udder Injury | Yes | 27 | 21(10.6) | 27.789 | 0.000* |
| | No | 171 | 45(22.7) | | |
| Tick Infestation | Yes | 120 | 57(28.8) | 27.511 | 0.000* |
| | No | 78 | 9(4.5) | | |

χ^2 = Chi-square. * $p < 0.05$ = Significant.

Table 4: Prevalence of mastitis associated to intrinsic and extrinsic factors in Mettu District

| Risk Factors | Category | Number of animal Examined Cows | Number of Positives (%) | χ^2 | P-Value |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---------|
| Age | ≤ 5 years | 94 | 13(6.05) | 12.941 | 0.000* |
| | >5 years | 121 | 43(20.0) | | |
| Mastitis History | Yes | 54 | 24(11.2) | 12.672 | 0.000* |
| | No | 161 | 32(14.9) | | |
| Lactation Stage | Early | 70 | 15(7.0) | 1.354 | 0.508 |
| | Mid | 95 | 28(13.0) | | |
| | Late | 50 | 13(6.0) | | |
| Milk Production per day | ≤ 2 litters | 197 | 56(26.0) | 6.919 | 0.031* |
| | >2 and <6 litters | 9 | 0(0.0) | | |
| | ≥ 6litters | 9 | 0(0.0) | | |
| Parity | 1 – 3 calves | 109 | 13(6.0) | 22.927 | 0.000* |
| | 4 – 6 calves | 92 | 37(17.2) | | |
| | ≥ 7 calves | 14 | 6(2.8) | | |
| Udder Injury | Yes | 46 | 20(9.3) | 9.232 | 0.002* |
| | No | 169 | 36(16.7) | | |
| Tick Infestation | Yes | 156 | 49(22.8) | 8.491 | 0.004* |
| | No | 59 | 7(3.3) | | |

χ^2 =Chi-square. * $p < 0.05$ = Significant

mastitis history is five times more than cows which hadn't mastitis history from smallholder holder dairy farms in Adama, Ethiopia. However, this finding is in contrast to the result reported by Rahmeto *et al.* [32] from Hawassa milk shed, South Ethiopia. The highest prevalence of mastitis due to the previous mastitis history might be attributed to high dominant isolate of *S. aureus* [22, 33] which is the major cause for mastitis in the study areas by inhabiting both intramammary and udder skin.

Stage of Lactation: The results pertaining to the prevalence of mastitis in relation to the stage of lactation are displayed in Table 3 and 4. The result indicates that the stage of lactation was significantly affecting the prevalence of mastitis in Bedele district. The finding of this study is in line with the study recorded by Jirata and Indalem [19] and Zeryehun *et al.* [33] from in and around Addis Ababa and Wolayta Sodo, Ethiopia, respectively. In contrast to this, the prevalence of mastitis is similar along the three stage of lactation in Mettu districts and it is in accordance with the findings of Getachew and Edilu [34] from an area of Ambo district, Ethiopia. The result variations across the stages of lactation between the two districts could be related to the type of management system of rearing livestock as well as it could be somewhat agro ecological differences.

Milk Production: According to the result presented in Table 3 and 4, the prevalence of mastitis was statistically different across different amount of milk production per cow. The result of the present study is in agreement with study by Radostits *et al.* [6] who reported that the high yielder cows are more exposed for the occurrences of mastitis comparing with the low yielder cows. The result of this findings is also in accordance with the findings of Nibret *et al.* [35] and Rahmeto *et al.* [32] from Hawassa, Southern Ethiopia and Kemal *et al.* [36] from in and around Sinana district, Ethiopia however, study by Misrak *et al.* [37] reported an insignificant differences in prevalence of mastitis between high and low yielder cows from Bishoftu Town, Ethiopia.

Number of Parity: The results pertaining to the prevalence of mastitis is presented in Table 3 and 4. The observed result indicates that the prevalence of mastitis was higher in cows that had large number of parity than those had small number of parity. The result of this findings is in agreement with the study reported by Getachew and Edilu [34] from Ambo district, Ethiopia, Zeryehun *et al.* [33] from in and around Addis Ababa,

Ethiopia, Nibret *et al.* [35] from Hawassa, Kero and Tareke [25] from selected area of Southern Ethiopia, Biffa *et al.* [38] from Southern Ethiopia, Mungubet *et al.* [27] from central part of Ethiopia and Mureithi and Njuguna [39] from urban and peri-urban areas of Thika Sub County, Kenya. The contrasting finding was also reported by Iraguha *et al.* [40] from dairy cows in Nyagatare district, Rwanda. Increasing parity number is one of the forecasters distinguished to associate with the incidence of mastitis. The likelihood of mastitis was 24.8 times higher in multiparous cows having four or more calving compared with primiparous cows [32]. The prevalence of mastitis with parity might be associated with the position of udder in older cows as well as wider opening of teat canal could be a possible attributes for more prevalence in mastitis.

Udder Injury: The result as observed in Table 3 and 4 revealed that the prevalence of mastitis was higher in cows had injured udder/teat than those cows hadn't injured. The finding of current study is in accordance with the study reported by Nibret *et al.* [35] from Hawassa, Ethiopia, Bedacha and Menghistu [41] from Batu and its environs, Ethiopia and Rahmeto *et al.* [32] from Hawassa milk shed, South Ethiopia. The higher prevalence of mastitis may be attributed to higher contamination with opportunistic bacteria those inhibits on udder skin, milker's hand and unhygienic bedding materials [42].

Tick Infestation: The result as observed in Table 3 and 4 revealed that the prevalence of mastitis was higher in cows infected with tick than those cows not infected. The observed result of the present study is consistent with the study by Nibret *et al.* [35] from Hawassa, Ethiopia, Jirata and Indalem [19] from in and around Wolayita Sodo, Ethiopia, Biffa *et al.* [38] from lactating cows in Southern Ethiopia. The higher incidence of mastitis may be due to the injury that caused during removal of the tick manually as well when the tick is getting to complete the life cycle. Moreover, there is inflammation of udder and fibrosis due to the bite on the udder/teat. Practicing good tick controlling activity is important to reduce the incidence of mastitis [21].

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study was conducted to access the prevalence of mastitis among indigenous cattle reared in and around Bedele and Mettu districts of Ilu Aba Bor Zone, Ethiopia. This study revealed that, mastitis is the type of disease

that has direct impact on food security as well as on an economy of the households. The study further indicated that there is high incidence of sub-clinical mastitis comparing with clinical mastitis in the study areas. Intrinsic and extrinsic factors viz. age, mastitis history, lactation stage, parity, tick infestation, milk production and udder injury were significantly affect the prevalence of mastitis in both districts except stage of lactation in Mettu district. Therefore, the responsible bodies need to assess the associated intrinsic and extrinsic factors and measures have to be taken to reduce the incidence of mastitis and its influence from dairy farming. Using acaricides spray according to the manufacturer's recommendation could be possible measures to be taken to reduce the infestation of ticks. Proper management and good hygienic practices could also be a possible measure to reduce the incidence of mastitis by controlling the transmission of the disease.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge the financial assistance received from Mettu University Research and Technology Transfer Directorate and assistance received from Bedele Regional veterinary laboratory. The authors also acknowledge the assistance received during sample collection from Dr. Motti Wakgari, Miss. Dinke and Dr. Fikadu and staff members of Regional veterinary laboratory, Bedele and NAHDIC, Ethiopia for support of CMT reagent. At the end, the authors would like to extend the gratitude to all staffs member of Bedele College of Agriculture and Forestry for their assistance and inspiration with special thanks for Mr. Mustefa Bati, Mr. Taye Aga, Mr. Getahun Firew (Finance office coordinator), Mr. Nigatu Gemechu and Mr. Yalem Girma Moges.

REFERANCES

1. Solomon, G., T. Azage, G. Berhanu and H. Dirk, 2010. Sheep and goat production and marketing systems in Ethiopia: Characteristics and strategies for improvement, IPMS (Improving Productivity and Market Success) of Ethiopian Farmers Project Working Paper, ILRI, Nairobi, Kenya, pp: 1-47.
2. Central Statistical Agency, 2016. Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia Central Statistical Agency: Agricultural Sample Survey. Livestock and livestock Characteristics, 2: 1-3.
3. Ahmed M.M., B. Eman, M.A. Jabbar, F. Tangka and S. Ehui, 2003. Economic and nutritional impacts of market-oriented dairy production in the Ethiopian highlands. Socio-economics and Policy Research Working Paper 51. ILRI (International Livestock Research Institute), Nairobi, Kenya, pp: 15-22.
4. Lemma, M., T. Kassa and A. Tegegene, 2001. Clinically manifested major health problems of crossbred dairy herds in urban and periurban production systems in the central high lands of Ethiopia. *J. Trop. Anim. H. Pro.*, 33: 85-89.
5. Quinn, P.J., M.E. Carter, B.K. Markey and G.R. Carter, 2002. *Clinical Veterinary Microbiology*. Harcourt Publishers, Virginia, USA, pp: 331-344.
6. Radostits, O.M., C.C. Gay, K.W. Hinchcliff and P.D. Constable, 2007. *Mastitis. Veterinary Medicine: A Text book of disease of cattle, sheep, pigs, goats and horses* 10th ed., Ballier, Tindall, London, pp: 674-762.
7. Seegers, H., C. Fourichon and F. Beaudeau, 2003. Production effects related to mastitis and mastitis economics in dairy cattle herds. *Veterinary Research*, 34: 475-491.
8. Mungube, E.D., B.A. Tengono, F. Regassa, M.N. Kyule, Y. Shiferaw, T. Kassa and L. Baumann, 2005. Reduced milk production in udder quarters with subclinical mastitis and associated economic losses in crossbred dairy cows in Ethiopia. *Trop Anim Health Pro.*, 37: 503-512.
9. Girma, S., A. Mammo, K. Boge, T. Sori, F. Tadesse and T. Jibat, 2012. Study on prevalence of bovine mastitis and its major causative agents in West Harerghe Zone, Doba district, Ethiopia. *Vet. Med. Anim. Health*, 4: 116-123.
10. Annual Report of Bedele District Office of Livestock and Fisheries, 2018. Bedele, Ethiopia, pp: 7-8.
11. Annual Report of Mettu District Office of Livestock and Fisheries, 2018. Mettu, Ethiopia, pp: 5-6.
12. Livestock Development and Marketing Agency, 2010. Annual progress report, Livestock Development and Marketing Agency, pp: 11-12.
13. Thrusfield, M., 2007. *Veterinary Epidemiology*. 3rd ed., Blackwell Science, pp: 232-336.
14. Quinn, P.J., M.E. Carter, B.K. Markey and G.R. Carter, 2002. *Clinical Veterinary Microbiology*. Harcourt Publishers, Virginia, USA, pp: 331-344.
15. Quinn, P.J., M.E. Carter, B.K. Markey and G.R. Carter, 1999. *Clinical veterinary microbial*. Wolfe, Baltimore, pp: 327-344.

16. Pasquini, C., T. Spurgeon and S. Pasquini, 2003. Anatomy of domestic animals: Systemic and regional approach. 10th edn. Sudz Publishing, USA, pp: 255.
17. Abate, D., S. Belete, T. Wegi, S. Usman, J. Wamatu and A. Duncan, 2012. Characterization of the Livestock Production Systems and the Potential of Feed-based Interventions for Improving Livestock Productivity in Sinana District, Bale Highlands, Ethiopia. Published by International Livestock Research Institute, Kenya, pp: 1-26.
18. FAO, 2018. African Sustainable livestock (ASL) 2050. Livestock production systems spotlight, Ethiopia cattle sector; © FAO, 2018 I8271EN/1/01., 18: 1-15. Please Visit: <http://www.Fao.org/3/i8271en/I8271EN.pdf>
19. Jirata, S. and T. Indalem, 2016. Prevalence of Bovine Mastitis and Assessment of Risk Factors in and Around Wolayta Sodo, Ethiopia. International Journal of Homeopathy & Natural Medicines, 2: 1-7.
20. Endale, M., E. Eyob, A. Addisu and T. Naod, 2016. A study on the prevalence of bovine mastitis and associated risk factors in and the surrounding areas of Sodo Town, Wolaita Zone, Ethiopia. Glob. J. Sci. Front. Res. D Agric. Vet., 16: 1-9.
21. Sarba, E.J. and G.K. Tola, 2017. Cross-sectional study on bovine mastitis and its associated risk factors in Ambo district of West Shewa zone, Oromia, Ethiopia, Veterinary World, 10: 398-402.
22. Hajie, S. and G. Teka, 2017. Epidemiology of Bovine Mastitis and Associated Risk Factors in East Shewa Zone of Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. J. Vet. Sci. Res., 2: 1-6.
23. Tesfahewet, Z. and A. Gerema, 2017. Prevalence and Bacterial Isolates of Mastitis in Dairy farms in Selected Districts of Eastern Harrarghe Zone, Eastern Ethiopia. Hindawi Journal of Veterinary Medicine, pp: 1-7.
24. Mekibib, B., M. Furgasa, F. Abunna, B. Megersa and A. Regassa, 2010. Bovine Mastitis: Prevalence, Risk Factors and Major Pathogens in Dairy Farms of Holeta Town, Central Ethiopia. Veterinary World, 3: 397-403.
25. Kero, D.O. and F. Tareke, 2003. Bovine Mastitis in selected areas of Southern Ethiopia. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 35: 197-205.
26. Tesfaye, A., H. Mekonnen, S. Rahwa and D. Dendin, 2012. The Effect of Management Practices on Prevalence of Mastitis in Small Scale Dairy Farms in Nazareth, Ethiopia. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 8: 218-222.
27. Mungube, E.D., B.A. Tenhagen, T. Kassa, F. Regessa and M.N. Kyule, 2004. Risk factors for dairy cows in the central highland of Ethiopia. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 36: 463-472.
28. Moges, N., Y. Asfaw and K. Belihu, 2011. A cross sectional study on the Prevalence of Subclinical Mastitis and Associated Risk Factors in and around Gondor, Northern Ethiopia. Intr J. Ani. Vet. Adv., 3: 455-459.
29. Mekonnen, E., E. Eshetu, A. Awekew and N. Thomas, 2016. A Study on the Prevalence of Bovine Mastitis and Associated Risk Factors in and the Surrounding areas of Sodo Town, Wolaita Zone, Ethiopia. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research: D Agriculture and Veterinary, 16: 1-9.
30. Lidet, G.M., D. Benti, B. Feyissa and M. Abebe, 2013. Study on prevalence of bovine mastitis in lactating cows and associated risk factors in and around Arekatown, Southern of Ethiopia. African Journal of Microbiology Research, 7: 5051-5056.
31. Mekonnen, H. and A. Tesfaye, 2010. Prevalence and etiology of mastitis and related management factors in market oriented smallholder dairy farms in Adama, Ethiopia. Revue Méd. Vét., 161: 574-579.
32. Rahmeto, A., H. Hagere, A. Mesele, M. Bekele and A. Kassahun, 2016. Bovine mastitis: prevalence, risk factors and isolation of Staphylococcus aureus in dairy herds at Hawassa milk shed, South Ethiopia. BioMed Central Veterinary Research, 12: 270.
33. Zeryehun, T., T. Aya and R. Bayecha, 2013. Study on prevalence, bacterial pathogens and associated risk factors of bovine mastitis in small holder dairy farms in and around Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences, 23: 50-55.
34. Getachew, K. and J. Edilu, 2016. Prevalence and risk factors of bovine mastitis in Ambo town of West Shewa Zone, Oromia, Ethiopia. Short communication; Ethiopian Veterinary Journal, 20: 123-134.
35. Nibret, M., H. Tekle, F. Tewodros, C. Mersha and M. Achenef, 2012. Bovine Mastitis and associated Risk Factors in Small Holder Lactating Dairy Farms in Hawassa, Southern Ethiopia. Global Veterinaria, 9: 441- 446.
36. Kemal, K.E., A.B. Birihanu and K. Taye, 2018. Prevalence, Risk Factors and Major Bacterial Causes of Bovine Mastitis in Smallholder Dairy Farms in and around Sinana District, Bale Zone, South Eastern Ethiopia. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research: D Agriculture and Veterinary, 18: 2249-4626.

37. Misrak, B., L. Samson, M. Gezahegne and T. Shimelis, 2017. Prevalence of bovine subclinical mastitis and isolation of its major causes in Bishoftu Town, Ethiopia. *BioMed Central Res Notes*, 10: 767.
38. Biffa, D., E. Debela and F. Beyene, 2005. Prevalence and risk factors of mastitis in lactating dairy cows in souther Ethiopia. *Tropical Anim Health Pro.*, 35: 197-205.
39. Mureithi, D.K. and M.N. Njuguna, 2016. Prevalence of subclinical mastitis and associated risk factors in dairy farms in urban and peri-urban areas of Thika Sub County, Kenya. *Livest Res Rural Dev.*, 28: 1-10.
40. Iraguha, B., H. Hamudikuwanda and B. Mushonga, 2015, 'Bovine mastitis prevalence and associated risk factors in dairy cows in Nyagatare District, Rwanda', *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, 86: 1-6.
41. Bedacha, B.D. and H.T. Menghistu, 2011. Study on prevalence of mastitis and its associated risk factors in lactating dairy cows in Batu and its Environs, Ethiopia. *Global Vet.*, 7: 632-637.
42. Yibrah, T. and B. Tsega, 2015. Bovine Mastitis: Prevalence, Risk Factors and Major Pathogens in Sidama Zone SNNPRS, Ethiopia. *International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics*, 3: 2347-9051.