

The Influence of Chromium Sources on Growth Performance, Economic Efficiency, Some Maintenance Behaviour, Blood Metabolites and Carcass Traits in Broiler Chickens

*¹Hesham H. Mohammed, ²Badawi, M. El-Sayed,
²Walaa M. Abd El-Razik, ³M.A. Ali and ⁴R.M. Abd El-Aziz*

¹Department of Veterinary Public Health,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt

²Department of Nutrition and Clinical Nutrition,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt

³Department of Animal Wealth Development,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt

⁴Department of Physiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt

Abstract: An experiment was conducted to study the effect of dietary supplemental chromium (Cr) source on growth performance, economic efficiency, blood metabolites and some maintenance behaviour in broiler chickens. A total of 225 one day old Cobb broiler chicks were used. Birds were divided into three experimental groups, each group into equal 5 subgroups (15 chicks/each subgroup). Broiler chickens fed on 3 experimental diets for six weeks; control diet (group I), control diet supplemented with Cr (0.5 ppm Cr/kg) either in the inorganic form (group II) and organic form (group III). The results indicated that body weight and its gain were significantly increased, while feed intake and total food conversion ratio weren't significantly different in the experimental groups. Nevertheless, there are significant differences between experimental diets in variable costs, total costs, return and net profit. Moreover, all behavioural traits were significantly affected by supplementation by chromium, where the ingestive behaviour was significantly higher in group supplemented by organic chromium and , the most of comfort behaviour was significantly higher in groups I and II. Glucose and cholesterol in blood were significantly affected by different sources of chromium. Although, the broilers fed organic form of chromium was superior to inorganic in growth performance parameters and some serum biochemical parameters, but the inorganic form of chromium showed the highest net profit in comparison with organic form and control group.

Key words: Chromium (Cr) • Performance • Economic • Behaviour • Broiler

INTRODUCTION

The most important part of raising chickens is feeding. Feeding makes up the major cost of production and good nutrition reflected in the bird's performance and its products [1]. In recent years, there has been considerable research interest in the utilization of organic chromium in animal feed. The chromium from organic complex, as chromium picolinate, chromium complexed with amino acids or Cr-enriched yeast is absorbed more

efficiently, about 25-30 % more than inorganic compounds as chromium chloride, which is poorly absorbed (1-3%) regardless of doses or dietary chromium status [2]. Although NRC [3] didn't specify any recommendation for Cr in poultry diets, research reports suggested important nutritional and physiological roles for chromium [4]. The NRC recommends an amount of 300 µg/kg Cr for the diets of laboratory animals [5]. The supplementation of Cr in the livestock diet can improve animal metabolism due to its role as a co-factor in glucose tolerance factor, which

increases the sensitivity of tissue receptors to insulin, resulting in increased glucose uptake by cells [6]; thus enhance performance and the composition of animal products [7]. Cr is also required for normal functioning of the β cells in the pancreas, preventing hyper responsiveness of insulin secretion to glucose stimulation, shown to be a protective factor against heart disease and achieving a regression of cholesterol induced arteriosclerosis in rabbits [8]. Small scale and semi-commercial poultry production is seen as a vital tool in reducing poverty and hunger in developing countries [9]. The well-being of poultry has been showed to play a significant role in level of production and hens are known to respond to stressful conditions [10]. The behavioural data as the indicator of well-being of animals have supplemented the evaluation of welfare and contributed to explain the more traditional data on production, health and economy [11].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, 225 one-day old Cobb broiler chicks were used (with an average weight 44 g) and obtained from commercial hatchery. The birds were randomly divided into 3 experimental groups, each group divided into equal 5 subgroups (15 chicks in each subgroup). The basal diet was formulated according to NRC [3] during starter period (0-3 wks; crude protein "CP" 23.20% and metabolized energy "ME" 3218 kcal/kg diet) and grower-finisher period (3-6 wks; CP 19.55% and ME 3218 kcal/kg diet). Physical and chemical compositions (%) of the experimental diets were mentioned in Table (1). Feed and water were provided ad-libitum. Feed ingredients and diets were analyzed for dry matter, crude protein, ether extract and ash according to procedures described by AOAC [12]. The analyzed values are in close agreement with the calculated values. The broilers fed on 3 experimental diets; control diet (group I), control diet supplemented with 0.5 ppm Cr/kg either in the inorganic form (group II) and organic form (group III). Organic Cr used is Bio-chrome (Cr enriched yeast. Products of Alltech, Inc. (Nicholasville, KY, USA).

Growth Performance Parameters: were recoded according to Amera *et al.*, [13], where, the birds were weighted at first day of age as one day-old weight and then live body weights were recorded, also feed residues and thus average feed intake were recorded daily. Body weight gain (BWG) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were calculated over period of experiment.

Table 1: Physical and chemical composition (%) of the experimental diets

Ingredient	Starter (0-3 wks)	Grower-finisher (3-6 wks)
yellow corn	59.70	68.20
Soybean meal, 48%	24.30	19
Corn gluten, 60%	6	3.50
Fish meal, 65%	2.75	2.5
Soybean oil	3.50	2.8
Calcium carbonate	1.20	1.20
Calcium dibasic phosphate	1.50	1.50
Common salt	0.30	0.30
Premix ¹	0.30	0.30
DL-Methionine, 98%	0.20	0.12
Lysine, Hcl, 78%	0.15	0.18
Metabolized energy, Kcal/Kg	3218.35	3218.67
Crude Protein %	23.20	19.55
Ether extract %	8.01	8.20
Crude Fiber %	2.58	2.48

Economic Efficiency Measurements: I. Cost parameters: Were classified according to the methods implied by Ahmed [14] to:

- Total fixed costs (TFC): In this condition each chick took the same value of price of labor, litter, purchased chicks, veterinary medicaments, water and electrolyte, building and equipment depreciation [15].
- Total variable costs (TVC): It included feed price and feed additives costs.
- Total costs (TC): It is the summation of total fixed costs and total variable costs.

Returns Parameters:

- Total returns (TR) from chick sale = Body weight x kg price.
- Net Profit was calculated as = Total returns-Total costs
- Partial and collective measurement of the efficiency of feed additives, as according to Fardos [16].

Behavioural Traits: Birds used in this study were observed as scan samples [17] for 3 days / week throughout six weeks. Behavioural observation was in two observational periods; in the morning (7.00-11.00 am) and at afternoon (13.00-17.00 pm) for 8 hrs / week. The following behavioral parameters were observed and measured throughout the experiment; ingestive behaviour (feeding frequency and drinking frequency); comfort behaviour which includes preening, dust bathing, idling, resting, sleeping, wing and leg stretch behaviour [18].

The number of birds performing each of behaviour was recorded and the results were expressed as the percentage of birds performing the behaviour/total observed birds [19].

Blood Sampling and Biochemical Analysis: At the end of experiment, five birds were randomly selected from each group and slaughtered for collection of blood samples to determine some biochemical metabolites as glucose, total protein [20], albumin [21], globulin by subtracting the obtained albumin level from the total protein [22], cholesterol [23]. Serum aspartat-aminotransferase (AST) and alanine-aminotransferase (ALT) were determined by Reitman and Frankel [24].

Carcass Traits: The carcass was carefully eviscerated and split open to remove the gastrointestinal tract. Carcass weights were recorded. Organs weight such as liver, stomach, intestine and heart were separated and weighted. Recorded weights of part were expressed as percentage of respective live body weight [25].

Statistical Analysis: The obtained data in this study were statistically analyzed for variance ANOVA, LSD (Least significant difference) according to Snedecor and Cochran [26]. Differences among treatment means were compared using Duncan's multiple range tests [27]. Data were presented as mean \pm SE and significance was declared at $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Table (2), showed that the final total body weight and body weight gain were significantly higher in group III, however, feed intake and FCR were unaffected by chromium sources. All economic measures were significantly differed among the groups, where the net profit was significantly higher in group II, as in Table (3). Table (4) showed that the ingestive behaviour was significantly higher in group III in compare with other groups. While the most forms of comfort behavior were significantly higher in groups I and II than groups III. The serum biochemical parameters as shown in Table (5)

Table 2: Effect of dietary chromium sources (inorganic and organic) on the overall performance of broiler chickens (Means \pm SE)

The performance	Group I	Group II	Group III
Initial BW (g)	44.40 \pm 0.52	44.46 \pm 0.51	44.46 \pm 0.54
Final BW (g)	1953.34 \pm 4.71 ^b	1993.10 \pm 3.99 ^a	2012.53 \pm 16.08 ^a
Absolute Body gain (g)	1908.93 \pm 5.03 ^b	1948.64 \pm 3.72 ^a	1968.64 \pm 15.72 ^a
Total feed intake	3199.96 \pm 46.86	3197.20 \pm 40.58	3262.04 \pm 46.88
FCR	1.67 \pm 0.03	1.64 \pm 0.02	1.65 \pm 0.02

^{ab} Mean in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different at ($P < 0.05$).

Table 3: Economic measures of dietary chromium sources (inorganic and organic) of broiler chickens (Means \pm SE)

Economical measures	Group I	Group II	Group III
Fixed costs (Piasters)	645.7	645.7	645.7
Total variable costs (Piasters)	1072.11 \pm 0.43 ^c	1080.65 \pm 0.51 ^b	1118.19 \pm 3.74 ^a
Total costs (Piasters)	1717.81 \pm 0.43 ^c	1726.35 \pm 0.51 ^b	1763.89 \pm 3.74 ^a
Return (Piasters)	1806.84 \pm 1.67 ^c	1843.62 \pm 1.55 ^b	1859.92 \pm 0.74 ^a
Net profit (Piasters)	89.03 \pm 1.41 ^b	117.27 \pm 1.41 ^a	96.04 \pm 4.48 ^b
Total return / Total cost %	105.18 \pm 0.08 ^b	106.79 \pm 0.08 ^a	105.45 \pm 0.27 ^b
Total return / Total variable cost %	168.53 \pm 0.12 ^b	170.60 \pm 0.13 ^a	166.34 \pm 0.62 ^c
Net profit / Total cost %	5.18 \pm 0.08 ^b	6.79 \pm 0.08 ^a	5.45 \pm 0.27 ^b
Net profit /Total variable cost %	8.30 \pm 0.13 ^b	10.85 \pm 0.13 ^a	8.59 \pm 0.43 ^b
Feed additive cost/Total return %	0.1607 \pm 0.0001 ^b	0.4167 \pm 0.0002 ^a
Feed additive cost / Total cost %	0.1716 \pm 0.0001 ^b	0.4394 \pm 0.0009 ^a
Feed additive cost/Total variable cost %	0.2741 \pm 0.0001 ^b	0.6931 \pm 0.0023 ^a

^{abc} Mean in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different at ($P < 0.05$).

Table 4: The mean (\pm SE) of the frequencies of ingestive and comfort behaviour in broiler chickens under effect of dietary chromium sources (inorganic and organic)

Behavioural traits		Group I	Group II	Group III
Ingestive behaviour (Frequencies / 8 hrs)	Feeding	14.22 \pm 0.71 ^b	17.33 \pm 0.25 ^a	18.41 \pm 0.38 ^a
	Drinking	3.24 \pm 0.14 ^b	3.82 \pm 0.13 ^b	3.99 \pm 0.08 ^a
	Preening	5.02 \pm 0.17 ^b	6.22 \pm 0.12 ^a	5.29 \pm 0.11 ^b
	Dust Bathing	0.11 \pm 0.02 ^c	0.35 \pm 0.03 ^a	0.26 \pm 0.02 ^b
Comfort behaviour (Frequencies / 8 hrs)	Idling	0.20 \pm 0.02 ^a	0.07 \pm 0.02 ^b	0.08 \pm 0.02 ^b
	Resting	22.84 \pm 0.24 ^c	31.18 \pm 0.43 ^b	38.55 \pm 0.38 ^a
	Sleeping	13.62 \pm 0.59 ^b	18.60 \pm 0.57 ^a	18.77 \pm 0.24 ^a
	Wing and Leg stretch	7.66 \pm 0.30 ^a	7.66 \pm 0.30 ^a	7.35 \pm 0.13 ^{ab}

^{abc} Mean in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different at ($P < 0.05$).

Table 5: Effect of dietary chromium sources (inorganic and organic) on serum biochemical parameters of broiler chickens (Means \pm SE)

Biochemical parameters	Group I	Group II	Group III
Glucose (mg / dl)	188.12 \pm 1.52 ^a	182.16 \pm 2.11 ^a	173.82 \pm 1.45 ^b
Cholesterol (mg / dl)	137.11 \pm 1.68 ^a	132.67 \pm 0.94 ^{ab}	129.67 \pm 1.27 ^b
Total protein (g / dl)	4.79 \pm 0.28	4.89 \pm 0.18	5.57 \pm 0.34
Albumin (g / dl)	1.88 \pm 0.15	1.90 \pm 0.16	2.09 \pm 0.08
Globulin (g / dl)	2.91 \pm 0.31	2.99 \pm 0.03	3.48 \pm 0.26
Ast (IU / dl)	45.63 \pm 2.81	46.30 \pm 2.16	45.24 \pm 2.47
Alt (IU / dl)	9.01 \pm 1.66	9.12 \pm 0.88	9.24 \pm 1.18

^{ab} Mean in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different at ($P < 0.05$).

Table 6: Effect of dietary chromium sources (inorganic and organic) on carcass traits of broiler chickens (Means \pm SE)

Carcass traits (%)	Group I	Group II	Group III
Dressing	71.90 \pm 2.09	69.54 \pm 3.04	69.88 \pm 0.51
Liver	2.58 \pm 0.15	2.07 \pm 0.19	2.15 \pm 0.09
Stomach	2.11 \pm 0.10	2.08 \pm 0.11	1.95 \pm 0.11
Intestine	3.70 \pm 0.04	3.66 \pm 0.02	3.66 \pm 0.04
Heart	0.98 \pm 0.07	1.11 \pm 0.08	0.96 \pm 0.03

revealed that the most parameters did not appear any significance differences among the groups; nevertheless the glucose and cholesterol were higher in control group with significant differences.

Statistical analysis of data on carcass traits relative to the live weight of broiler chickens is presented in Table (6). Carcass traits studied (dressing, liver, intestine and heart) wasn't significantly ($P > 0.05$) different for experimental groups compared with the control group.

DISCUSSION

Animal habitat consists of five essential elements: food, water, shelter, space and the arrangement of these elements. Although requirements differ in composition

and quantity from species to species, all animals require these elements to survive [28]. At the 6th week, there was significant increase in the final body weight and BW gain of the experimental groups if compared with the control. There wasn't significantly different feed intake among the dietary treatments up to 6th weeks if compared with the control. Non significant differences in the total FCR among the dietary treatments if compared to the control group. Our growth performance results on broilers agreed with Sahin *et al.*, Króliczewska *et al.*, Toghyani *et al.* [29, 30, 31], in which these studies have reported that the use of organic or inorganic sources of chromium resulted in slightly better growth performance parameters in some poultry species. Due to insulin, glucose can be utilized by body cells and adequate amino acids enter the cells therefore muscle can be built [32].

On the other hand, studies have reported that the growth performance of some poultry species weren't affected by the source or level of chromium supplemented in the diets [7, 33, 34, 35, 36].

Economic Efficiency: as shown in Table 3. There are significant differences between experimental diets in variable costs, total costs, return and net profit. The organic chromium supplemented group showed the highest return and also the highest total costs while, the control group showed the lowest return and lowest total costs. While, inorganic chromium supplemented group showed the highest net profit followed by organic chromium supplemented group and the control group showed the lowest one. Collective efficiency measures revealed significant difference among the supplemented groups. The inorganic chromium supplemented group showed the highest value for total return / total cost, total return / total variable cost, net profit / total cost and net profit / total variable cost. Partial efficiency measures revealed significant difference among the supplemented groups. The inorganic chromium supplemented group showed the lowest value for feed additive cost / total return (%), feed additive cost / total cost (%) and feed additive cost / total variable cost (%) while organic chromium supplemented group showed the highest value of these measures.

The results in Table (4) indicated that the frequency of ingestive behaviour (feeding and drinking) was significantly affected, which maybe due to its relation to the positive effects on performance parameters can be related to role of chromium as an integral component of the glucose tolerance factor (GTF), which potentiates the action of insulin, one of the most important anabolic hormones [37]. The comfort behaviour patterns as indicator of animal welfare [38], where it measured by recordings of both behavioural and physiological parameters [39]. Thus, it may protein levels were significantly increased in-group I. prove beneficial effects on birds under stress as anti-stress factor [34] and reflect on the comfort behaviour.

The most of blood parameters (total protein, albumin, globulin, AST and ALT) as shown in Table (5) weren't significantly affected by supplementation by chromium. No difference in total protein among experimental groups was agreed with Sahin *et al.* [29], who found that supplemental chromium had no effects on serum total protein and urea levels in blood. On the other hand, other studies [34, 35, 36] cited that the total protein level was

significantly higher in control group in compare to chromium groups. Even though, serum glucose and cholesterol in Table (5) were significantly lower in group III in compare to other groups. Our results agreed with Eseceli *et al.*; Hanafy; Suksombat and Kanchanatawe [35, 36, 40] in which were found that serum cholesterol levels of birds were decreased both by organic and inorganic chromium supplementation. Chromium supplementation may lead to decrease in serum cholesterol concentrations in Japanese quails [41] and laying hens [42]. Nevertheless, Yeşilbağ and Eren [34] found that serum cholesterol levels of birds weren't affected by chromium supplementation (organic and inorganic), while serum glucose levels were significantly increased inorganic. It was hypothesized that increased glucose uptake should increase oxidation of glucose which would be otherwise converted to fatty acids and stored as triglycerides in adipose tissues.

The obtained results (Table 6) revealed weren't significantly ($P > 0.05$) in the studied carcass traits for experimental groups compared with the control group. Similarly, Jackson *et al.* [33] reported no significant effect from dietary supplementation of Cr to broiler chickens. Supplementation of Cr increased carcass protein with a simultaneous reduction in the carcass fat content of the broiler chickens [43, 44]. This may be due to the potentiation of insulin action under the influence of Cr that might in turn have promoted the tissue uptake of protein or inhibitory effects on *in vitro* lipogenic activity in chick adipose tissue [43, 45].

CONCLUSION

The supplementation of broiler diets with organic chromium improved final total body weight and body weight gain. Moreover, it has positive effects on ingestive and the most of comfort behaviour. Even though, it caused significant lower values of total serum glucose and cholesterol. Thus, it's possible that the use of organic chromium instead of inorganic chromium in broiler diets may gave better results.

REFERENCES

1. Kamalzadeh, A., N. Ila and O. Heydarnejad, 2009. Effects of Emulsified Vitamins on Broiler Performance. World Journal of Zool., 4: 42-46.
2. Underwood, E.J. and N.F. Suttle, 1999. The mineral nutrition of livestock, third edition. CABI Publishing, London, UK.

3. NRC, 1994. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9th rev. ed. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
4. Sands, J.S. and M.O. Smith, 1999. Broilers in heat stress conditions: Effect of dietary manganese proteinate or chromium picolinate supplementation. *J. Appl. Poult Sci.*, 8: 280-287.
5. NRC, 1997. The role of chromium in animal nutrition. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
6. Davis, C.M. and J.B. Vincent, 1997. Chromium oligopeptide activates insulin receptor tyrosine kinase activity. *Biochemistry*, 36: 4382.
7. Debski, B., W. Zalewski, M.A. Gralak and T. Kosla, 2004. Chromium yeast supplementation of broilers in an industrial farming system. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology*, 18: 47-51.
8. Abraham, A.S., B.A. Brooks and U. Eylath, 1991. Chromium and cholesterol induced atherosclerosis in rabbits. *Ann. Nutr. And metabolism*, 35: 203-207.
9. Moreda, E., S. Hareppal, A. Johansson, T. Sisaye and Z. Sahile, 2013. Characteristics of Indigenous Chicken Production System in South West and South Part of Ethiopia. *British Journal of Poultry Sci.*, 2: 25-32.
10. Solomon, K.E., B.O. Hughes and A.B. Gilbert, 1987. Effect of a single injection of adrenaline on shell ultrastructure in a series of eggs from domestic hens. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 28: 585-588.
11. Wegner, R.M., 1992. Analysis of the research into alternative systems in the laying hens. Brussels, European Conference Group on The protection of Farm Animal, pp: 45-55.
12. AOAC, 2002. Association official analytical chemists. Official Methods of Analysis. Gaithersburg, MD, U.S.A. Chapt., 4: 20-27.
13. Amera, S.A., S.S. Nahed, S.A. Tamer and W.G. Emad, 2013. The Effects of Rosemary (*Rosemarinus affinalis*) and Garlic (*Allium sativum*) Essential Oils on Performance, Hematological, Biochemical and Immunological parameters of Broiler Chickens. *British Journal of Poultry Sci.*, 2: 16-24.
14. Ahmed, I.A.M., 2007. Economic and productive efficiency of poultry farms in relation to veterinary management. M.V.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Menofia Univ., Egypt.
15. Sara, A.K., 2007. Effect of some feed additives on economic and productive efficiency in Japanese quails, M.V.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Alex. Univ., Egypt.
16. Fardos, A.M., 2009. Effect of some feed additives on economic and productive efficiency of broilers. M.V. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
17. Sandilands, V., B.J. Tolcamp and I. Kyriazakis, 2005. Behaviour of food restricted broilers during rearing and lay: Effects of an alternative feeding method. *Physiol. Behav.*, 85: 115-123.
18. El Iraqi, K.G., E.M. Abdelgawad, H.M. Ibrahim and A.E. El Sawe, 2013. Effect of Gingko Biloba, Dry Peppermint and Vitamin C as Anti-Stress on Broiler Welfare During Summer Heat Stress. *Global Veterinaria*, 10: 770-778.
19. Reiter, K. and W. Bessei, 2000. Effect of stocking density of broilers on temperature in litter and at bird level. *Archv. Fuer. Geflugelkunde*, 64: 204-206.
20. Henry, R., 1974. Colometric determination of total protein. *Clin. Chem. Principals and Technics*. Harper-Rev., New York.
21. Dumas, B.T. and M. Pinkas, 1971. Albumin standards and the measurement of serum albumin with bromo cresol green. *Clin.Chem. Acta.*, 31: 83-87.
22. Dumas, B.T. and H.G. Biggs, 1972. Determination of serum globulin, standard methods of clinical chemistry Vol.7 Edited by cooper, New york, Academic press.
23. Natio, H.K. and A. Kaplan, 1984. High density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol. *Clin. Chem. Toronto*. Princeton, pp: 1207-1213.
24. Reitman, S. and S. Frankel, 1957. A colorimetric method for determination of serum glutaminoxalacetic trans aminase and serum pyruvic transaminase. *Amer. J. Clin. Path.*, 25: 26.
25. Rozbeh, F., S. Majed, R. Hasan and P. Reza, 2013. Effect of Bioplus 2B® and Protoxin Probiotics Supplementation on Growth Performance, Small Intestinal Morphology and Carcass Characteristics of Broiler Chickens. *British Journal of Poultry Sci.*, 2: 11-15.
26. Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran, 1982. Statistical methods. (8th ed.), Iowa State University.
27. Duncan, D.B., 1995. Multiple range and multiple F-tests. *Biometrics*, 11: 1-42.
28. Bahija, E.A. and M.I. Hussain, 2007. Animal's Behavior in the "KISR" Protected Area in the State of Kuwait. *World Journal of Zool.*, 2: 29-35.
29. Sahin, K., N. Sahin, M. Onderci, F. Gursu and G. Cikim, 2002. Optimal dietary concentration of chromium for alleviating the effect of heat stress on growth, carcass qualities and some serum metabolites of broiler chickens. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 89: 53-64.

30. Króliczewska, B., W. Zawadzki, A.A. Gohrazanski and A. Kaczmarek-Oliwa, 2004. Change in selected serum parameters of broiler chicken fed supplemental chromium. *J. Anim. Physio. An.*, 88: 393-400.
31. Toghyani, M., M. Shivazad, A.A. Gheisari and S.H. Zarkesh, 2006. Performance, carcass traits and hematological parameters of heat stressed broiler chicks in response to dietary levels of chromium picolinate. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 5: 65-69.
32. Hossain, S.M., S.L. Barreto and C.G. Silva, 1998. Growth performance and carcass composition of broilers fed supplemental chromium from chromium yeast. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.*, 71: 217-228.
33. Jackson, A.R., S. Powell, S. Johnston, J.L. Shelton, T.D. Bidner, F.R. Valdez and L.L. Southern, 2008. The effect of chromium propionate on growth performance and carcass traits in broilers. *Journal of Applied Poultry Res.*, 17: 476-481.
34. Yeşilbağ, D. and M. Eren, 2009. Effects of dietary organic and inorganic chromium supplementation on performance, egg shell quality and serum parameters in Pharaoh Quails. *J. Biol. Environ. Sci.*, 3: 31-35.
35. Eseceli, H., N. Degirmencioglu and M. Bilgic, 2010. The effect of inclusion of chromium yeast (Co-Factor II, Alltech Inc.) and folic acid to the rations of laying hens on performance, egg quality, egg yolk cholesterol, folic acid and chromium levels. *J. Anim. Vet. Advan.*, 9: 384-391.
36. Hanafy, M.M., 2011. Influence of adding organic chromium in diet on productive traits, serum constituents and immune status of bandarrah laying hens and semen physical properties for cocks in winter season. *Egypt. Poult. Sci.*, 31: 203-216.
37. Holdsworth, E.S. and E. Neville, 1990. Effects of extracts of high and low chromium brewer's yeast on metabolism of glucose by hepatocytes from rats fed on high-or lower diets. *Br J. Nutr.*, 63: 623-628.
38. Jensen, P., 2002. *The Ethology of Domesticated Animals-An Introductory Text Book*: CABI Publishing, pp: I.
39. Broom, D.M., 2000. *Welfare Assessment and Welfare Problem Areas During Handling and Transport* [Book Section] // *Life stock Handling and Transport* / book auth. Grandin: CABI Publishing (2nd ed.).
40. Suksombat, W. and S. Kanchanatawe, 2005. Effects of various sources and levels of chromium on performance of broilers. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 18: 1628-1633.
41. Uyanik, F., S. Kaya, A.H. Kolsuz, M. Eren and N. Sahin, 2002. The effects of chromium supplementation on egg production, egg quality and some serum parameters in laying hens. *Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*, 26: 379-387.
42. Yildiz, A.O., S.S. Parlat and O. Yazgan, 2004. The effects of organic chromium supplementation on production traits and some serum parameters of laying quails. *Revue Med. Vet.*, 155: 642-646.
43. Kim, Y.H., I.K. Han, Y.J. Choi, I.S. Shin, B.J. Chae and T.H. Kang, 1996. Effects of dietary levels of chromium picolinate on growth performance carcass quality and serum traits in broiler chicks. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 9: 341-347.
44. Amatya, J.L., S. Haldart and T.K. Ghosh, 2004. Effects of chromium supplementation from inorganic and organic sources on nutrient utilization, mineral metabolism and meat quality in broiler chickens exposed to natural heat stress. *Ani. Sci.*, 79: 241-253.
45. Min, J.K., W.Y. Kim, B.J. Chae, I.B. Chung, I.S. Shin, Y.J. Choi and I.K. Han, 1997. Effects of chromium picolinate on growth performance, carcass characters and serum traits in growing finishing pigs. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*.