Global Veterinaria 12 (5): 673-681, 2014 ISSN 1992-6197 © IDOSI Publications, 2014 DOI: 10.5829/idosi.gv.2014.12.05.8356

Impact of Water Restriction on the Productive and Behavioral Performance of Two Fattening Rabbit Breeds

¹Ayman E. Taha, ¹Rashed R. Rashed and ²Saber S.A. Hassan

¹Department of Animal Husbandry and Animal Wealth Development, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University, Edfina, Egypt ²Department of Animal and Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Damanhour University, Egypt

Abstract: The effects of water restriction (WR) on the growth performance, behavior, carcass and blood parameters of weaned rabbits were evaluated. The breed effects on these parameters were also assessed. Sixty rabbits of 35 days age of two breeds, 30 Alexandria (Alex) and 30 New-Zealand white (NZW) were used. Each breed was randomly divided into three equal groups. The control group (free access) was supplemented with free access of water (24 h/ day). Groups 2 and 3 of each breed received water for 2h/ day and 3 h/ day, respectively. The WR not significantly affect body weight, daily weight gain and relative growth rate, while it significantly reduced water and feed intake and improved the feed conversion ratio in comparison with control groups. The results also revealed that, WR had no significant effect on most of carcass parameters except for reducing the abdominal fat percentages. The hematological results showed that, a free access group of Alex rabbits had higher means for RBCs, HCT, MCV, lymphocytes and blood platelets; while, it was recorded the lowest values for MCH, MCHC, WBCs and granulocytes. The WR significantly affects some behavior performance of weaned rabbits (ceacotrophy, drinking time and some of exploratory behavior). Breed effect was clear for daily water consumption, feed conversion ratio, spleen percentage, ceacotrophy, walking time and some hematological parameters (MCH, MCHC, WBCs, lymphocytes, granulocytes and blood platelets). In conclusion, application of WR regimes (2 h and 3 h /day) has beneficial and economic effects on performance of fattening rabbit.

Key words: Rabbits • Breed • Water Restriction • Growth • Behavior • Blood

INTRODUCTION

Water intake is an important component of animal nutrition as it is a crucial constituent of body metabolism and temperature control [1]. Rabbits exhibit a comparatively high-water intake [2]. Factors that influence water intake are age [2], breed [3], environmental temperature [4], lactation [5], husbandry conditions [6], water restriction [7] and diseases [8]. Furthermore, water intake affected by dry matter intake (DMI) and food composition. The water facilitates several chemical reactions in the body, it acts as a medium and universal solvent in almost all vital body processes like digestion, absorption, intermediary metabolism, excretion and even in reproduction. About 60-70% of the total body weight of an animal is comprised of water, which is second only to oxygen in importance for the maintenance of life [9]. Water intake correlates linearly with DMI [2]. Therefore, water restriction (WR) used as an indirect factor for the feed restriction as WR most effective and easy to be applied [10, 11]. In fattening rabbits, feed Restriction (FR) was used as a strategy to reduce production costs, control digestive troubles and improved feed conversion [12, 13].

Several studies were conducted to evaluate the effect of water and feed restriction on growth performance

Corresponding Author: Ayman E. Taha, Department of Animal Husbandry and Animal Wealth Development, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University, Behira, Rashid, 22758 Edfina, Egypt. parameters of fattening rabbits [11, 13-15]. However, there was a lack of research papers dealing with breed effect on the performance of fattening rabbits subjected to different regimes of water restriction. Therefore, the current study was designed to investigate the effect of restricted water intake on growth performance, behavior, carcass and blood parameters on fattening rabbits as well as to estimate breed effect of these parameters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was carried out in a laboratory animal unit of the department of animal husbandry and animal wealth development, faculty of veterinary medicine, Alexandria University, Egypt throughout the period from January to April 2013.

Animals: Total number of sixty growing rabbits of two breeds (30 of Alexandria rabbits and 30 New Zealand White rabbits) at 35 days of age and average weight about 500 g. Each breed divided into three groups; the first group was controlled one (10 Alexandria and 10 New Zealand White rabbits) and had free access to drinking water, a second group (10 Alexandria and 10 New Zealand White rabbits) had a limited access to drinking water 2 h. /day from 9 am until 11 am, while the third group (10 Alexandria and 10 New Zealand White rabbits) had a limited access to water 3 h. /day from 9 am until 12 noon (Fig. 1).

Each group of 10 rabbits within each breed was allocated into two replicates (5 rabbits /cage). Animals were housed in open system doors and provided with galvanized wire cage batteries ($55 \times 45 \times 30$ cm/cage) and 14-16 h of light/day. Daily temperatures ranged between 16 to 24°C and 60 to 75% relative humidity. Rabbits were fed ad-libtium with commercial pelted diet contained the following nutrients as shown by the factory label (Crude protein 18%, Crude fiber 12%, Fat 3%, Arginine 0.9%, Lysine 0.7%, Methionine and cysteine 0.79%, Ca 0.7%, Ph 0.5% and Metabolized energy 2600 kcal/kg).

Rabbit were fed the ration from feeding trough placed at the side of the cage and daily weighting the amount of feed consumption for each cage as the differences between amount of feed added at 9 am and the amount of remaining feed at the next day at 9 am. Also, drinking water supplied by water trough at the side of the cage. The remaining water of restricted groups was measured at 11am and 12 noon for 2h and 3h/day groups; respectively and calculate the differences between supplied and remaining water to calculate water intake, while water intake of free access groups was measured daily at 9 am as the differences between remaining and supplied water. All treated groups subjected to the water restriction regime from 35 to 63 days of age, then allowed to free access of water from 63 to 70 days of age [11].

Studied Parameters

Growth Performance Parameters: Body weight (BW) was recorded weekly from 35 to 70 days of age, daily weight gain (DWG) and relative growth rate (RGR) also recorded for the same periods. Daily Feed consumption (DFC), daily water consumption (DWC) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were recoded for all groups.

Carcass traits: three rabbits from each group were randomly taken, fasted for 12 h, weighed individually and slaughtered (to complete bleeding) according to Islamic rules (cut through the neck, reaching through the trachea, esophagus, the jugular veins and carotid arteries, without severing the spinal cord). After complete bleeding, rabbits were weighed and skinned. Dressing percentage, head, liver, heart, spleen, kidneys, pancreas and abdominal fat percentages were estimated.

Blood parameters: at the end of the experiment (At slaughter) three Blood samples were collected under vacuum in heparinized tubes from each experimental group. At collection time, 3-5 ml of blood was drained. Blood samples were kept for assaying of RBCs, Hb, HCT, MCV, MCH, MCHC, WBCs, Lymphocytes, Granulocytes and Platelets.



Fig. 1: Experimental design for water restricted two breeds of rabbits

Behavioral Observations: Carried out by the observer from 5 am till 7 pm (day light period), a sample from five rabbits from each group were identified by different color paints for behavioral observation and the behavior patterns recorded were:

- Ingestive behavior: Feeding (min/h): Time spend by the rabbit hopping the feeding trough, drinking (min/h) Time spend by the rabbit drinking from the water trough.
- Ceacotrophy (No/h): The rabbit's touches the anus with the mouth.
- Resting (min/h): Sitting, sternal lying and lateral lying.
- Body care (No/h): Licking, scratching or nibbling the body or the hair. Movement activities (No/h): walking or running.
- Exploratory behavior (No/h): Exploration of cage, trough and other exploration.

Statistical Analysis: Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (GLM) to assess significant differences between breeds and groups with the aid of SAS [16] according to the following model:

 $X_{ijk}=\mu + B_i + G_j + E_{ijk}$ Where: $X_{ijk} =$ the X_{th} observation of the breed. μ = overall mean. B_i = effect of breed (i = Alexandria and New Zealand White rabbits). G_j = effect of group (j = free access, 2 h /day and 3 h /day). e_{ijk} = random error.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth Performance: Data of growth performance (BW, DWG and RGR) are presented in Table (1) while those of DWC, DFC and FCR are shown in Table (2).

It was clear that initial BW of the two rabbit breeds at 35 days of age were non-significant among control and WR groups, these results also showed that there were non-significant differences between control and WR groups of Alex and NEW rabbits from 35 -70 days of age, however; control groups showed higher non-significant increase in final body weight (70 days of age) than WR groups followed by WR 3 h/day group, while WR 2 h/day group represented the lowest means for BW either for Alex or NEW rabbits. Similar results were recorded by Bergaoui *et al.* [17] who found that feed restriction significantly affected daily weight gain and reduced the growth and final weight of Hyla rabbits.

Table 1: Means ± Standard Errors (Mean ± SE) of some growth parameters of two rabbit breeds subjected to two systems of WR.

| | | Alex | | | NZW | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Item | Free access | 2 hours | 3 hours | Free access | 2 hours | 3 hours |
| Body weight | | | | | | |
| W35 | 498.00±38.57 | 507.63±43.52 | 540.44±45.75 | 507.60±35.36 | 516.30±24.96 | 516.30±35.33 |
| 35-42 | 740.00±71.24 | 752.63±54.89 | 774.00±65.14 | 748.40±39.99 | 709.40±34.04 | 713.70±50.43 |
| 42-49 | 938.44±74.64 | 944.50±53.40 | 975.44±71.48 | 1047.00±45.37 | 950.80±49.28 | 986.22±62.13 |
| 49-56 | 1117.44±87.23 | 1170.75±67.42 | 1176.33±85.41 | 1272.89±57.84 | 1124.56±56.99 | 1161.78±70.76 |
| 56-63 | 1369.67±96.13 | 1361.88±94.99 | 1406.11±100.03 | 1541.89 ± 60.90 | 1400.00±66.50 | 1441.67±60.02 |
| 63-70 | 1539.56±91.10 | 1472.50±98.39 | 1500.22±91.53 | 1711.00±56.68 | 1523.89±54.46 | 1561.67±66.29 |
| Daily weight gain | | | | | | |
| 35-42 | 29.60±3.81 | 34.99±3.48 | 33.37±3.89 | 34.40±1.90 | 27.59±3.65 | 28.20±4.18 |
| 42-49 | 28.35±2.43 ^b | 27.41±1.97 ^b | 28.78±3.12 ^{ab} | 40.32±3.47 ^a | 34.49±5.00 ^{ab} | 34.87±5.06 ^{ab} |
| 49-56 | 25.57±2.62 | 32.32±3.10 | 28.69±3.91 | 32.27±2.54 | 22.75±2.40 | 25.08±3.17 |
| 56-63 | 36.03±2.72 ^{ab} | 27.31±4.70 ^b | 32.82±3.95 ^{ab} | 28.43±1.14ª | 39.50±3.33ª | 39.99±2.26ª |
| Overall restricted | 29.35±1.73 ^b | 30.51±2.05 ^{ab} | 30.92±2.80 ^{ab} | 36.50±1.45ª | 31.38±2.02 ^{ab} | 32.45±1.41 ^{ab} |
| 63-70 | 24.27±1.41ª | 15.80±2.20 ^b | 13.45±2.52 ^b | 24.16±2.37ª | 17.70±2.44 ^{ab} | 17.14±2.06 ^{ab} |
| Overall 35-70 | 28.34±1.21b | 27.57±1.86 ^b | 27.42±2.10 ^b | 34.03±1.01ª | 28.64±1.30 ^b | 29.38±1.26 ^b |
| RGR | | | | | | |
| 35-42 | 33.77±2.07 | 39.34±3.40 | 35.72±3.74 | 39.10±2.59 | 29.84±4.87 | 31.87±4.14 |
| 42-49 | 24.76±2.66 | 23.29±2.19 | 23.69±2.87 | 31.42±2.60 | 28.86±4.07 | 27.80±3.82 |
| 49-56 | 17.53±1.46 ^{ab} | 21.30±1.71ª | 18.80±2.36 ^{ab} | 19.42±1.17 ^{ab} | 15.24±1.51 ^b | 16.50±2.30 ^{ab} |
| 56-63 | 20.79±1.90ª | 14.69±1.62 ^b | 17.86±2.07 ^{ab} | 19.30±0.77 ^{ab} | 21.94±1.73ª | 22.28±2.21ª |
| Overall restricted | 91.32±1.78 | 91.83±2.89 | 89.09±6.14 | 99.82±3.52 | 91.24±3.89 | 92.42±3.11 |
| 63-70 | 12.24±1.27 ^a | 7.90±1.10 ^{ab} | 6.97±2.24 ^b | 10.55±1.05 ^{ab} | 8.87±1.44 ^{ab} | $7.98{\pm}0.91^{ab}$ |
| Overall 35-70 | 100.98±2.25 ^{ab} | 97.83±2.39 ^{ab} | 94.50±6.00 ^b | 107.50±3.37 ^a | 98.14±3.43 ^{ab} | $98.59{\pm}2.94^{ab}$ |
| | | | | | | |

Means bearing different letters within the same row are significantly different at (P<0.05).

Global Veterinaria, 12 (5): 673-681, 2014

Table 2: Means ± Standard errors (Mean ± SE) of water and feed consumption as well as feed conversion ratio of two rabbit breeds subjected to two systems of WR

| | | Alex | | | NZW | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Item | Free access | 2 hours | 3 hours | Free access | 2 hours | 3 hours |
| Daily water consum | ption (DWC) | | | | | |
| 35-42 | 189.93±12.37ª | 80.79±5.30° | 98.67±4.81° | 143.49±11.07 ^b | 81.68±8.00° | 88.74±6.15° |
| 42-49 | 222.74±6.06ª | 91.96±3.59° | 100.38±5.24° | 176.53±6.82 ^b | 91.09±6.76° | 92.57±4.75° |
| 49-56 | 237.93±8.32ª | 125.73±2.18b | 135.77±8.44 ^b | 234.86±9.39ª | 125.89±8.72 ^b | 137.93±5.48 ^b |
| 56-63 | 283.86±12.34ª | 166.83±4.50 ^b | 188.04±13.83 ^b | 279.07±12.53ª | 137.13±7.09° | 195.66±7.36 ^b |
| Overall restricted | 233.61±5.27ª | 116.33±2.53de | 130.71±5.54° | 208.49±5.69b | 108.95±4.27° | 128.73±4.23 ^{cd} |
| 63-70 | 253.39±11.56 ^b | 592.14±52.87ª | 442.79±33.40 ^b | 389.71±22.23b | 357.54±39.20 ^b | 442.39±30.26b |
| Overall 35-70 | 257.57±21.40ª | 210.74±43.09b | 193.13±36.81 ^b | 244.73±16.57ª | 158.66±35.99° | 191.46±30.81 ^b |
| Daily feed consumpt | tion (DFC) | | | | | |
| 35-42 | 66.85±4.36ª | 51.19±2.69° | 58.40±2.72 ^{abc} | 61.41±1.90 ^{ab} | 51.35±4.22° | 54.36±2.18 ^{bc} |
| 42-49 | 70.25±3.39ab | 67.10±2.32ab | 57.49±2.46° | 76.04± 3.62ª | 62.89±3.04 ^{bc} | 64.83±3.40 ^{bc} |
| 49-56 | 93.98±5.50 ^{ab} | 83.40±1.63bc | 75.10±4.35° | 96.41±3.66ª | 74.07±5.40° | 75.35±2.65° |
| 56-63 | 107.54±3.55ª | 90.64±5.06 ^b | 94.76±3.02b | 117.72±4.25 ^a | 94.77±3.63 ^b | 85.58±3.01b |
| Overall restricted | 84.65±2.15 ^a | 73.08±1.36 ^b | 71.44±1.75 ^b | 87.90±1.43ª | 70.77±3.39 ^b | 70.03±1.43 ^b |
| 63-70 | 121.03±3.96 | 113.69±5.15 | 127.07±5.47 | 126.26±2.88 | 119.53±7.51 | 126.65±4.90 |
| Overall 35-70 | 91.28±1.80 ^a | 81.20±1.63 ^b | 82.56±1.90 ^b | 95.57±1.10 ^a | 80.52±4.06 ^b | 81.35±1.54 ^b |
| Feed conversion rati | o (FCR) | | | | | |
| 35-42 | 2.26 | 1.46 | 1.75 | 1.79 | 1.86 | 1.93 |
| 42-49 | 2.48 | 2.45 | 2.00 | 1.89 | 1.82 | 1.86 |
| 49-56 | 3.68 | 2.58 | 2.62 | 2.99 | 3.26 | 3.00 |
| 56-63 | 2.98 | 3.32 | 2.89 | 4.14 | 2.40 | 2.14 |
| Overall restricted | 2.88 | 2.40 | 2.31 | 2.41 | 2.26 | 2.16 |
| 63-70 | 4.99 | 7.20 | 9.45 | 5.23 | 6.75 | 7.39 |
| Overall 35-70 | 3.22 | 2.95 | 3.01 | 2.81 | 2.81 | 2.77 |

Means bearing different letters within the same row are significantly different at (P<0.05).

Regarding DWG, control group of NEW rabbit recorded higher non-significant increase in DWG than other groups at overall restricted period from 35-63 days of age (36.50 g/day) while, it recorded the highest significant DWG among all groups at overall experimental period from 35-70 days of age (34.03 g/day). Moreover, overall RGR revealed higher non-significant increase for control group of Alex and NEW rabbits than WR groups (100.98 and 107.50 %, respectively). Ben Rayana *et al.* [10] reported that daily weight gain decreased (P<0.01) with the degree of water restriction regimes (36.9, 32.8 and 29.9 g/d respectively for control, 2 h/day and 4 h/ day).

Concerning DWC, treated groups of Alex and NEW rabbits consumed water significantly lower than control ones of both breeds at overall restricted period (35-63 days of age) and overall experimental period (35-70 days of age). Free water intake in rabbits decreased linearly and significantly (P<0.05) as the duration of water deprivation increased [18]. Similarly, DFC followed the same trend of DWC where, WR groups of both Alex and NEW rabbits consumed feed significantly lower than control groups of the studied breeds, these results indicated that WR used

as an indirect factor to reduce FC. Several reports noticed a direct correlation between WR and FC; Boisot *et al.* [19] reported 18.1% feed intake reduction after 28 days period of restricted access to drinking water of 2h/ day. Moreover, Verdelhand *et al.* [14] recorded decreased feed intake to 78 and 83% of the ad libitum level in response to 1h 30 and 2h 30 access drinking water per day from 32 - 62 d of age. Also, Ben Rayana *et al.* [10] obtained feed intake reduction of 25 and 20% due to WR of 2 or 4 h/ day continuously from weaning (28 days) to slaughter (77 days). Furthermore, Elmaghraby [11] and Bovera *et al.* [20] reported feed intake reduction in water restricted post weaning rabbits.

It was clear that, WR groups 2 h/day and 3 h/day of both Alex and NEW rabbits showed improved FCR at overall restricted period (35-63 days) and overall experimental period (35-70 days of age) in comparison with those of control ones of either Alex or NEW rabbit breeds. The improvement of FCR explained as WR groups consumed feed significantly lower than free access groups and recorded non-significant differences in body weights in comparison with those of free access ones of

| Global Veterinaria, | 12 | (5): | 673-681, | 2014 |
|---------------------|----|------|----------|------|
|---------------------|----|------|----------|------|

| Table 3: Means ± Standard errors (| Mean ± SE | of carcass and blood | parameters of two rabbit | t breeds subjected t | o two systems of WR |
|------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|
| ruore s. means = standard errors (| mean - or | or cureass and oroou | | corectas subjected t | 0 000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |

| | | Alex | | | NZW | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Item | Free access | 2 hours | 3 hours | Free access | 2 hours | 3 hours |
| Carcass parameters | s (%) | | | | | |
| Dressing | 46.30±0.28 ^{ab} | 47.10±1.09 ^{ab} | 44.31±0.61b | 47.60±1.60 ^a | 44.26±0.60 ^b | 45.55±1.02 ^{ab} |
| Head | 6.86±0.32 | 6.74±0.64 | 7.49±0.26 | 6.73±0.23 | 7.06±0.10 | 6.66±0.15 |
| Liver | 2.97±0.21 ^{ab} | 3.17±0.13 ^{ab} | 3.05±0.15 ^{ab} | 2.43±0.14b | 3.26±0.22 ^{ab} | 3.44±0.51ª |
| Heart | 0.39±0.04 | 0.33±0.01 | 0.35±0.05 | 0.36±0.02 | 0.34±0.01 | 0.37±0.03 |
| Spleen | $0.08{\pm}0.01^{ab}$ | 0.14±0.03ª | 0.09±0.03 ^{ab} | $0.08{\pm}0.01^{ab}$ | 0.06±0.01 ^b | $0.07{\pm}0.02^{b}$ |
| Kidneys | 0.80±0.03 | 0.93±0.17 | 1.02±0.25 | 0.72 ± 0.02 | 0.71±0.02 | 0.78 ± 0.07 |
| Pancreas | 0.15±0.01 | 0.22±0.07 | 0.17±0.03 | 0.18±0.04 | 0.11±0.01 | 0.17±0.01 |
| Abdominal fat | 0.56±0.10 | 0.33±0.08 | 0.29±0.03 | 0.50±0.13 | 0.28±0.09 | 0.36 ± 0.08 |
| Blood parameters | | | | | | |
| RBCs | 6.10±0.03ª | 5.95±0. ^{ab} | 4.95±0.02° | 5.96±0.03 ^b | 4.98±0.02° | 5.95±0.02 ^b |
| Hb | 11.77±0.15 ^b | 12.33±0.03ª | 10.47±0.15° | 12.33±0.12ª | 10.23±0.09° | 12.10±0.12 ^{ab} |
| НСТ | 28.30±0.57ª | 27.70±0.06 ^{ab} | 22.20±0.21b | 28.10±0.12ª | 22.10±0.23b | 27.00±0.46 ^b |
| MCV | 47.00±0.91ª | 46.93±0.38ª | 46.03±0.12 ^{ab} | 46.33±0.72 ^{ab} | 45.07±0.09 ^b | 46.17±0.13 ^{ab} |
| MCH | 19.40±0.26° | 20.83±0.35b | 20.67±0.26 ^b | 21.70±0.35ª | 20.93±0.09 ^{ab} | 20.47 ± 0.20^{b} |
| MCHC | 41.37±0.98° | 44.57±0.19b | 45.20±0.25 ^{ab} | 44.17±0.35b | 46.33±0.19ª | 45.67±0.52 ^{ab} |
| WBCs | 5.70±0.15 ^e | 13.57±0.19 ^b | 14.37±0.18ª | 7.40±0.15° | 5.80±0.12e | 6.30±0.12 d |
| Lymphocytes | 62.57±0.58ª | 33.40±0.95 ^d | 27.67±0.23° | 52.60±0.32b | 52.87±0.67 ^b | 43.97±0.22° |
| Granulocytes | 29.33±0.41 ^d | 57.37±0.75 ^b | 67.07±0.09ª | 38.27±0.34° | 36.80±0.55° | 37.03±0.50° |
| Platelets | 439.00±9.29ª | 129.00±1.15 ^b | $29.67{\pm}0.67^{d}$ | 99.00±1.15° | 39.33±0.88 ^d | $31.33{\pm}0.88^{d}$ |

Means bearing different letters within the same row are significantly different at (P<0.05).

both breeds. Our results are in agreement with the findings of Bovera *et al.* [21] who reported better FCR in feed restricted rabbits over the whole fattening period. Furthermore, Bergaoui *et al.* [17] reported improved FCR by 5 and 8% respectively for R85% and R70% of ad-libitum feed intake rabbits. In contrast, Boisot *et al.* [19] did not observe compensatory growth in rabbits subjected to restricted access to DW of 2 and 3 h between 35 and 63 days of age. Our results also disagreed with those obtained by Gualterio *et al.* [22] who found that FCR was lower in WR groups in comparison with those of control one. Furthermore, Bovera *et al.* [20] reported that FCR was lower for the WR group rabbits.

Carcass Characteristics: Results in Table (3) showed that different treatments had no significant carryover effect on most of carcass parameters (head, heart, kidneys and pancreas percentages). While, the abdominal fat percentage decreased in WR groups in comparison to control groups.

The NZW rabbit had a higher non-significant dressing percentage with free access than a NZW rabbit with WR 2 h/ day and Alex rabbit with WR 3 h/ day, respectively. In addition, higher liver percentage was observed with a NZW rabbit with WR 3 h/ day compared

with other groups. A higher spleen percentage was recorded for WR 2 h/ day Alex rabbits in comparison with other groups. Similarly, other reports indicated little impact of a variety of restriction protocols on carcass traits [11, 13, 19, 23-25]. Moreover, with quantitative and time FR, dressing percentage decreased within the range of -1.2 to -0.8% than control group [26-28].

Blood Parameters: The data presented in Table (3), showed that free access of water Alex rabbits group had higher means for RBCs numbers, HCT, MCV, lymphocytes and blood platelets than the other groups. While, WR 2 h/ day Alex rabbits and free access of water NZW rabbit had significantly higher Hb than the other groups. Moreover, free access water groups NZW rabbits had significantly higher MCH compared to the other groups. The WR 2 h/ day NZW rabbits had nonsignificantly higher MCHC than the other groups, while the highest significant values for WBCs and granulocytes was recorded for Alex rabbits WR 3 h/ day group. El-Moty and El-Moty [29] concluded that hematocrit was significantly decreased by feed restriction in rabbits. Moreover, Ebeid et al. [30] reported that feed restriction significantly reduced the RBCs number and Hb concentration, meanwhile MCV was increased, but had no significant effect on WBCs numbers and HCT value.

| | | Alex | | | NZW | |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Item | Free access | 2 hours | 3 hours | Free access | 2 hours | 3 hours |
| Ingestive behavior | | | | | | |
| Feeding (min/h) | 9.83±1.16 | 9.24±1.06 | 11.17±1.12 | 11.85±1.49 | 12.00±1.59 | 11.17±1.03 |
| Ceacotrophy (No/h) | $0.01{\pm}0.02^{b}$ | 4.21±1.30 ^a | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{b}$ | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{\text{b}}$ | $0.02{\pm}0.02^{b}$ | $0.02{\pm}0.02^{b}$ |
| Drinking (min/h) | 2.40±0.46ª | 0.97 ± 0.38^{b} | 0.75±0.29 ^b | 2.42±0.45ª | 1.25±0.53 ^{ab} | 1.58±0.44 ^{ab} |
| Resting behavior (min | /h) | | | | | |
| Sitting | 11.77±1.39 ^b | 19.10±1.72 ^a | 15.17±1.59 ^{ab} | 15.67±1.59 ^{ab} | 16.08±1.68 ^{ab} | 15.33±1.74 ^{ab} |
| Sternal laying | 31.58±2.09ª | 22.64±2.00 ^b | 26.33±1.94 ^{ab} | 26.08±2.09 ^{ab} | 26.75±1.89 ^{ab} | 23.25±1.95 ^b |
| Lateral laying | 3.33±0.66 ^b | 3.61±0.88 ^b | 6.58±1.57 ^{ab} | 3.83±0.95 ^b | 4.25±1.26 ^b | 8.83±1.61ª |
| Movement activities (1 | No/h) | | | | | |
| Walking | 0.40±0.11 ^{abc} | 0.33±0.09 ^{bc} | 0.32±0.08 ^{bc} | 0.60±0.11 ^{ab} | 0.27±0.07° | 0.68±0.12ª |
| Running | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{\text{b}}$ | 0.32±0.14ª | 0.08±0.05 ^{ab} | $0.17{\pm}0.10^{ab}$ | $0.02{\pm}0.02^{b}$ | $0.20{\pm}0.07^{ab}$ |
| Body care (No/h) | | | | | | |
| Licking | 0.90±0.15 ^b | 1.00±0.13 ^b | 0.95±0.13 ^b | 1.57±0.23ª | 1.12±0.21 ^{ab} | 0.68±0.12 ^b |
| Scratching | 0.37±0.10 ^a | 0.26±0.06 ^{ab} | $0.18{\pm}0.06^{ab}$ | $0.37{\pm}0.08^{a}$ | $0.08{\pm}0.04^{b}$ | 0.07 ± 0.03^{b} |
| Exploratory behavior (| No/h) | | | | | |
| Exploration of cage | 0.20±0.07 | 0.26±0.06 | 0.23±0.06 | 0.15±0.05 | 0.28±0.07 | 0.17±0.07 |
| Exploration of others | $0.08{\pm}0.04^{ab}$ | 0.10±0.04ª | 0.03±0.02 ^{ab} | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{\text{b}}$ | $0.08{\pm}0.04^{ab}$ | $0.05{\pm}0.03^{ab}$ |
| Exploration of trough | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.01 ± 0.00 | $0.00{\pm}0.00$ | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |

Table 4: Means ± Standard Errors (Mean ± SE) of some behavioral performance of two rabbit breeds subjected to two systems of WR.

Means bearing different letters within the same row are significantly different at (P<0.05).

But in poultry hematological values were significantly influenced by severity of water restrictions, However WBCs increased in WR group [31]. Moreover, Tumova *et al.* [12] reported an increased number of lymphocytes in feed restricted rabbits

Behavioral Parameters: Data of behavioral performance parameters are presented in Table (4). Despite, the WR had no significant effect on feeding time among groups of both Alex and NZW rabbits, free access and WR groups of NZW rabbits had a higher feeding time than Alex rabbits. However, ceacotrophy frequency was higher in WR (2 h and 3 h) than free access groups. In fact, the domestic rabbit is no longer without eating, since it has more than 20 meals of dry feed a day and it also has meals of caecotrophes (Early morning). Moreover, Hirakawa [32] pointed out that leporids (Including rabbits) also consumed a part of their own hard faeces that are masticated contrary to soft faeces that are swallowed. Rabbits of WR groups recorded the higher ceacotrophy frequencies than free access ones of both breeds as they drink small amount of water and this induced low feed consumption so increased eating their soft faeces. Moreover, drinking time increased significantly in free access groups than WR groups (2 h and 3 h) either in Alex or NZW breeds.

The effect of WR on resting behavior of growing rabbit revealed significant differences between the WR and free access water intake groups in sitting, sternal lying and lateral lying time. Alex rabbits of WR 2h/day group had the highest sitting time, however the highest sternal lying time was observed for free access Alex rabbits. On the other hand, WR 3h /day NZW rabbits recorded highest lateral lying.

Results of movement activities revealed that the highest walking frequencies were observed for WR 3 h/ day NZW rabbits. However, the highest running frequencies were recorded for the WR 2h / day Alex rabbits. Verdelhan *et al.* [14] found that limited access to water, the rabbit moving each day for about 10 minutes around the drinker and they rushed to drink after drinking the rabbits ate their diets, then they calmed down in the cage.

Regarding the effect of WR on the body care behavior of growing rabbits, the highest licking frequency was recorded for free access water intake NZW rabbits. While the highest scratching frequency recorded for both free access water intake Alex and NZW rabbits. The investigatory behavior of growing rabbits significantly affected by WR, the higher frequencies were observed for restricted groups in both breeds, this was for needs for water.

| Global Veterinaria, | 12 | (5): | 673-681, | 2014 |
|---------------------|----|------|----------|------|
|---------------------|----|------|----------|------|

Table 5: Means ± Standard errors (Mean ± SE) for overall growth performance parameters of two rabbit breeds subjected to two systems of WR

| Table 6: | Means \pm Standard errors (Mean \pm SE) for breed effect on carcass, |
|----------|--|
| | blood and behavioral parameters of two rabbit breeds subjected to |
| | two systems of WR |

| Parameter | Alex | NZW | Significance |
|---------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|
| Body weight | | | |
| W0 | 515.08±24.50 | 513.40±17.07 | NS |
| 35-42 | 755.65±36.05 | 723.83±22.45 | NS |
| 42-49 | 953.16±37.94 | 993.11±29.71 | NS |
| 49-56 | 1154.23±45.51 | 1186.41±36.64 | NS |
| 56-63 | 1379.88±54.08 | 1461.19±36.60 | NS |
| 63-70 | 1505.31±52.07 | 1598.85±36.54 | NS |
| Daily weight gain | | | |
| 35-42 | 32.69±2.16 | 30.06±1.88 | NS |
| 42-49 | 28.21±1.44 | 36.49±2.55 | * |
| 49-56 | 28.73±1.89 | 26.70±1.71 | NS |
| 56-63 | 32.24±2.23 | 39.26±1.35 | * |
| Overall restricted | 30.29±1.29 | 33.44±1.01 | NS |
| 63-70 | 17.92±1.78 | 19.67±1.42 | NS |
| Overall 35-70 | 27.76±1.00 | 30.69±0.81 | * |
| RGR | | | |
| 35-42 | 36.26±1.85 | 33.60±2.23 | NS |
| 42-49 | 23.94±1.46 | 29.34±1.97 | * |
| 49-56 | 19.13±1.09 | 17.05 ± 1.02 | NS |
| 56-63 | 17.90±1.16 | 21.17±0.97 | * |
| Overall restricted | 90.68±2.39 | 94.49±2.09 | NS |
| 63-70 | 9.08±1.03 | 9.13±0.67 | NS |
| Overall 35-70 | 97.64±2.50 | 101.41±1.99 | NS |
| Water consumption | | | |
| 35-42 | 123.13±8.79 | 104.64±6.51 | * |
| 42-49 | 138.36±9.76 | 120.06±7.14 | * |
| 49-56 | 166.47±8.83 | 166.23±8.86 | NS |
| 56-63 | 212.91±10.08 | 203.95±10.51 | NS |
| Overall restricted | 160.22±8.57 | 148.72±7.24 | * |
| 63-70 | 462.77±25.77 | 396.55±18.47 | * |
| Overall 35-70 | 220.48±6.76 | 198.29±7.05 | * |
| Feed consumption | | | |
| 35-42 | 58.81±2.13 | 55.71±1.79 | NS |
| 42-49 | 64.95±1.77 | 67.92±2.10 | NS |
| 49-56 | 84.16±2.63 | 81.94±2.79 | NS |
| 56-63 | 97.65±2.50 | 99.36±2.95 | NS |
| Overall restricted | 76.39±1.36 | 76.23±1.82 | NS |
| 63-70 | 120.59±2.89 | 124.14±3.10 | NS |
| Overall 35-70 | 85.02±1.22 | 85.81±1.81 | NS |
| Feed conversion rat | io | | |
| 35-42 | 1.82 | 1.86 | |
| 42-49 | 2.31 | 1.86 | |
| 49-56 | 2.96 | 3.08 | |
| 56-63 | 3.06 | 2.89 | |
| Overall restricted | 2.53 | 2.28 | |
| 63-70 | 7.21 | 6.46 | |
| Overall 35-70 | 3.06 | 2.80 | |

NS=non-significance differences (P=0.05) *=significant at (P<0.05)

| Parameter | Alex | NZW | Significance |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Carcass parameters | | | |
| Dressing % | 45.90±0.56 | 45.80±0.75 | NS |
| Head % | 7.03±0.25 | 6.82±0.10 | NS |
| Liver % | 3.06±0.09 | 3.04±0.23 | NS |
| Heart % | 0.36±0.02 | 0.36±0.01 | NS |
| Spleen % | $0.10{\pm}0.01$ | 0.07 ± 0.01 | * |
| Kidneys % | $0.92{\pm}0.09$ | $0.74{\pm}0.02$ | NS |
| Pancreas % | $0.18{\pm}0.02$ | 0.15±0.02 | NS |
| Abdominal fat % | 0.39±0.06 | 0.38±0.06 | NS |
| Blood parameters | | | |
| RBCs | 5.67±0.18 | 5.63±0.16 | NS |
| Hb | 11.52±0.28 | 11.56±0.34 | NS |
| HCT | 26.07±0.99 | 25.73±0.93 | NS |
| MCV | 46.66±0.33 | 45.86±0.29 | NS |
| MCH | 20.30±0.27 | 21.03±0.22 | * |
| MCHC | 43.71±0.66 | 45.39±0.37 | * |
| WBCs | 11.21±1.39 | 6.43±0.27 | * |
| Lymphocytes | 41.21±5.41 | 49.81±1.48 | * |
| Granulocytes | 51.26±5.66 | 37.37±0.33 | * |
| Platelets | 199.22±61.69 | 56.56±10.69 | * |
| Behavioral parameters | | | |
| Ingestive behaviour | | | |
| Feeding | 10.03±0.64 | 11.6 ± 0.80 | NS |
| Ceacotrophy | 1.59±0.51 | 0.01 ± 0.00 | * |
| Drinking | 1.35±0.23 | 1.75±0.27 | NS |
| Resting behavior | | | |
| Sitting | 15.58±0.94 | 15.69±0.96 | NS |
| Sternal laying | 26.59±1.19 | 25.36±1.14 | NS |
| Lateral laying | 4.45±0.63 | 5.64 ± 0.76 | NS |
| Movement activities | | | |
| Walking | 0.35 ± 0.06 | 0.52 ± 0.06 | * |
| Running | 0.15 ± 0.06 | 0.13 ± 0.04 | NS |
| Body care behavior | | | |
| Licking | 0.95 ± 0.08 | 1.12 ± 0.11 | NS |
| Scratching | 0.27 ± 0.04 | $0.17{\pm}0.03$ | NS |
| Exploration behavior | | | |
| Explor. of cage | 0.23±0.04 | 0.20±0.04 | NS |
| Explor. of other | 0.07 ± 0.02 | $0.04{\pm}0.02$ | NS |
| Explor. of trough | $0.01{\pm}0.01$ | 0.01 ± 0.01 | NS |

NS=non-significance differences (P=0.05) *= significant at (P<0.05)

Effect of Breed: Data in Table (5) showed that breed (Alex and NZW) had no significant effect on BW, RGR and DFC at 35–70 days of age. However, the NZW rabbit had significantly higher DWG at 35-70 days of age and recorded the best FCR at both overall restricted period (35-63 d) and overall experimental period (35-70 d) than Alex rabbits, while Alex rabbit consumed water

significantly higher than the NZW rabbits. The NZW had significantly higher body gain than Californian, Palomino and White Satin rabbits [33]. On the other hand, no significant differences between NZW and Soviet Chinchilla breeds of rabbits [34].

Data of breed effect on carcass, blood and behavioral parameters are presented in Table (6). Breed of rabbit (Alex and NZW) had no significant effect on most of carcass traits (dressing, head, liver, heart, kidneys, pancreas and abdominal fat percentages), while Alex rabbit had significantly higher spleen percentage than the NZW rabbit. Alex and NZW rabbits had no significant effect on RBCs, Hb, HCT and MCV, while NZW rabbit had significantly higher MCH, MCHC and lymphocytes and had significantly lower WBCs, granulocytes and platelets than Alex rabbit.

Regarding breed effect on behavioral parameters, there were no significant effects on resting, body care, exploration, running and ingestive behavior. On the other hand, ceacotrophy was significantly higher for Alex rabbit, while NZW rabbit had significantly higher walking frequencies than Alex rabbit.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the results of this study confirmed that WR can be used as a successful alternative factor for feed restriction, which easy to be applied to improve the growth performance of fattening rabbits, beside, saving additional costs for rabbit production programs

REFERENCES

- Pond, W.G., D.C. Church, K.R. Pond and P.A. Schoknecht, 2005. Basic Animal Nutrition and Feeding. 5th ed. John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken.
- Cizek, L.J., 1961. Relationship between food and water ingestion in the rabbit. American Journal of Physiology, 201: 557-566.
- Zumbrock, B., 2002. Untersuchungen zu mo¨ glichen Einflu¨ ssen der Rasse auf die Futteraufnahme undverdaulichkeit, Gro¨ sse und Fu¨ llung des Magen-Darm-Traktes sowie zur Chymusqualita¨ t bei Kaninchen (Deutsche Riesen, Neuseela¨nder und Zwergkaninchen). Doctoral thesis, Tiera¨rztliche Hochschule, Hannover.
- Marai, I.F.M., A.A.M. Habeeb and A.E. Gad, 2005. Tolerance of imported rabbits grown as meat animals to hot climate and saline drinking water in the subtropical environment of Egypt. Animal Science, 81: 115-123.

- Scheelje, R., H. Niehaus, K. Werner and A. Kru⁻ger, 1975. Kaninchenmast: Zucht und Haltung der Fleischkaninchen, 2nd edn. Verlag Eugen Ulmer, Stuttgart.
- Potter, M.P. and G.L. Borkowski, 1998. Apparent psychogenic polydipsia and secondary polyuria in laboratory-housed New Zealand white rabbits. Contemporary Topics (American Association for Laboratory Animal Science), 37: 87-89.
- Prud'hon, M., M. Che'rubin, Y. Carles and J. Goussopoulos, 1975. Effets de diffe'rents niveaux de restriction hydrique sur l'ingestion d'aliments solides par le lapin. Annales zootechniques, 24: 299-310.
- Ewringmann, A., 2005. Leitsymptome beim Kaninchen, diagnostischer Leitfaden und Therapie. Enke Verlag, Stuttgart.
- 9. Eusebio, J.A., 1980. Pig Production in the tropics. Int. Tropic. Agric. Series Longman.
- Ben Rayana, A., M. Ben Hamouda and R. Bergaoui, 2008. Effect of WR time of 2 and 4 h per day on performances of growing rabbits. Proceedings of the 9th World Rabbit Congress, June 10-13, Verona, Italy, pp: 541-545.
- Elmaghraby, M.M.A., 2011. Effect of restricted access to drinking water on growth, feed efficiency and carcass characteristics of fattening rabbits. Asian Journal of Animal Sciences, 5(2): 136-144.
- Tumova, E., L. Zita, V. Skrivanova, A. Fucikova, M. Skrivan and M. Buresova, 2007. Digestibility of nutrients, organ development and blood picture in restricted and ad libitum fed broiler rabbits. Arch.Geflügelk., 71(1): 6-12,
- Yakubu, A., A.E. Salako, A.O. Ladokun, M.M. Adua and T.U.K. Bature, 2007. Effects of feed restriction on performance, carcass yield, relative organ weights and some linear body measurements of weaner rabbits. Pak. J. Nutr., 6: 391-396.
- Verdelhan, S., A. Bourdillon and A. Morel-Saives, 2004. Effect of a limited access to water on water consumption, feed intake and growth of fattening rabbits. In: Proc. 8th World Rabbit Congress, September, Puebla, Mexico, pp: 1015-1021.
- Sena, L., S. Sena and Y. Bicoku, 2012. Effect of reduction of feeding time on the performance ofgrowing rabbits. Third International Scientific Symposium "Agrosym Jahorina, pp: 504-508.
- 16. SAS, 2004. Users guide statistics. As. Institute Cary, North Carolina. USA.

- Bergaoui, R., M. Kammoun and K. Ouerdiane, 2008. Effects of feed restriction on the performance and carcass of growing rabbits. Proceeding of the 9th World Rabbit Congress, June 10-13, Verona, Italy, pp: 547-550.
- Bawa, G.S., S.B. Afolayan, D.B. Olumeyan and R. Ashiru, 2006. Effects of Various Durations of Water Deprivation on Performance of Weaner Rabbits in a Sub-Humid Environment. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 5(6): 551-554.
- Boisot, P., J. Duperray, X. Dugenetais and A. Guyonvarch, 2004. Interest of hydric restriction times of 2 and 3 h per day to induce feed restriction in growing rabbits.. Proceedings of the 8th World Rabbit Congress, Sep. 7- 10, Puebla, Mexico, pp: 759-764.
- Bovera, F., A. Lestingi, G. Piccolo, F. Iannaccone, Y.A. Attia and A. Tateo, 2013. Effects of water restriction on growth performance, feed nutrient digestibility, carcass and meat traits of rabbits. Animal, 7(10): 1600-1606.
- Bovera, F., C. Di Meo, S. Marono, N. Vella and A. Nizza, 2008. Feed restriction during summer: effect on rabbit growth performance. Proceeding of the 8th World Rabbit Congress, September 7-10, 2008, Puebla, Mexico, pp: 567-572.
- Gualterio, L., P. Gonzalez-Redondo, P. Negretti, A. Finzi, 2008. Rationing of drinking water supply in relationship with growth and sanitary performances of growing rabbits. 9th World Rabbit Congress – June 10-13, Verona, Italy, pp: 282.
- Dalle Zotte, A., H. Remignon and J. Ouhayoun, 2005. Effect of feed rationing during post-weaning growth on meat quality, muscle energy metabolism and fibre properties of biceps femoris muscle in the rabbit. Meat Sci., 70: 301-306.
- Tumova, E., L. Zita and L. Stole, 2006. Carcass quality in restricted and Ad libitum fed rabbits. Czech J. Anim. Sci., 51: 214-219.
- De Oliveira, M.C., R.P. da Silva, L.S. Araújo, V.R. da Silva, E.A. Bento and D.M. da Silva. 2012. Effect of feed restriction on performance of growing rabbits. R. Bras. Zootec, 41(6):1463-1467.

- Matics, Z.S., A. Dalle Zotte, I. Radnai, M. Kovacs, S.Z. Metzger and Z.S. Szendro, 2008. Effect of restricted feeding after weaning on the productive and carcass traits of growing rabbits. Proceedings of the 9th World Rabbit Congress, June 10-13, Verona, Italy, pp: 741-745.
- Metzger, S.Z., M. Bianchi, C. Cavani, M. Petracci and M. Gyovai, E.Biró-Németh, I. Radnai and Z. Szendr, 2008. Effect of nutritional status of kits on carcass traits and meat quality (preliminary results). Proceedings of the 9th World Rabbit Congress, June 10-13, 2008, Verona, Italy, pp: 1399-1404.
- Gidenne, T. and A. Feugier, 2009. Feed restriction strategy in the growing rabbit. 1. Impact on digestion, rate of passage and microbial activity. Animal, 3: 501-508.
- El–Moty, A.K.I. and A.K.I.A. El-Moty, 1991. Effect of reducing eating time on growth performance, reproduction performance and some blood constituents of rabbits. Egyptian J. Rabbit Sci., 1: 87-97.
- Ebeid, T., E. Tùmová and Z. Volek, 2012. Effects of a one week intensive feed restriction in the growing rabbit: part 1 - performance and blood biochemical parameters. Proceedings 10th World Rabbit Congress -September 3 - 6, 2012- Sharm El- Sheikh -Egypt, pp: 607- 611.
- Iheukwumere, F.C. and U. Herbert, 2003. Physiological Responses of Broiler Chickens to Quantitative Water Restrictions: Haematology and Serum Biochemistry. International Journal of Poultry Science, 2(2): 117-119.
- Hirakawa, H., 2001. Coprophagy in leporids and other mammalian herbivores. Mammal Review, 31: 61-80.
- McNitt, J.I. and S.D. Lukefahr, 1993. Breed and environmental effects on post weaning growth of rabbits. J Anim Sci., 71(8): 1996-2005.
- 34. Ghosh, S.K., A. Das, K.M. Bujarbaruah, Das Asit, K.R. Dhiman and N.P. Singh, 2010. Effect of breed and season on rabbit roduction under subtropical climate. World Rabbit Sci., 16: 29-33.