Global Veterinaria 11 (2): 225-228, 2013 ISSN 1992-6197 © IDOSI Publications, 2013 DOI: 10.5829/idosi.gv.2013.11.2.74147

Role of Androgen in the Clitoris of Camel

¹Mahmoud Abdel Ghaffar Emam, ²Badia Abughrien and ¹Ihab El-Zoghby

¹Department of Histology and Cytology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt ²Department of Anatomy and Histology, Faculty of Veterinary medicine, Tripoli University, Libya

Absrtact: Ten adult female camels with ages ranging from 6 to 8 years were used to define the role of androgen in the clitoris via immunolocalization of the androgen receptors (AR) in the clitoral tissues during rutting and non-rutting seasons. AR was expressed in the cells of prepuce, pacinian-like corpuscles, stroma and chondrocytes of the clitoris from both rutting and non-rutting camels. Expression of AR in the clitoris was higher in non-rutting camels than rutting ones. This seasonal difference suggests that the expression of AR in the clitoris of camel may be hormonally controlled.

Key words: Androgen receptors · Immunohistochemistry · Clitoris · Camel

INTRODUCTION

Camel is an important livestock species in Arabic countries. Humans depend on camel not only for meat, milk and hide but also as mode of transportation especially in the desert "Ship of the desert" [1]. Androgen plays an essential role in follicular development, atresia and luteinization as autocrine or paracrine agents [2-6]. Androgens are the immediate precursor for the synthesis of estrogens in the female. Consequently, imbalance in androgen biosynthesis or metabolism may cause undesirable effects on female sexual reproductive functions [7]. Androgens act on its target tissues through their receptors which are members of steroid receptor superfamily [8]. To better define the role of androgen in the functions of the clitoris of camel; we have proceeded to immunolocalize AR during rutting and non-rutting season.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals: A total of 10 of adult female camels were collected from Toukh abattoir in Kalyobyia Governorate, Egypt. The ages of the animals were determined according to William and Payne [9].

Tissues Specimens and Processing: Immediately after slaughter, different parts from the clitoris were collected. Specimens were immediately fixed in formalin, then were

dehydrated in ascending grades of ethyl alcohol, cleared in xylene and embedded in paraffin wax.

Immunohistochemistry: Paraffin sections of 5 micrometer from clitoris of the rutting and non-rutting camels were collected on positive charged microscope slides. Sections were deparaffinized in xylene, rehydrated sequentially in 95% ethanol, 70% ethanol, distilled water and rinsed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Sections were incubated with the preheated antigen retrieval solution (Dako Cytomation, CA, USA) in steamer for 40 minutes at 99°C. Then, sections were incubated in 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 15 minutes. For blocking of the nonspecific reactions, sections were incubated in a humidified chamber for 60 minutes with PBS containing 10% normal goat serum (NGS) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., CA, USA). Sections were incubated with the primary antibody overnight at 4°C in dilution 1:300. The primary antibody was rabbit polyclonal antibody (N-20:sc-816), against peptide mapping at the N-terminus of AR of human origin and cross react with mouse, rat, human, equine, canine, bovine and procine, (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., CA, USA). Sections were incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature with anti-rabbit IgG, diluted 1:600 in PBS, as a secondary antibody (Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA). The visualization was performed using Vectastain ® Elite ABC Reagent (Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA). Sections were treated with diaminobenzidine substrate chromagen

Corresponding Author: Mahmoud Abdelghaffar Emam, Histology and Cytology Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Moshtohor, Benha, Egypt 13736.

Table 1: Immunohistochemical grading scores

Intensity score (IS)		Proportional score (PS)		
Score 0	No staining	Score 0	No positive cells	
Score 1	Weak staining	Score 1	<1% positive cells	
Score 2	Moderate staining	Score 2	1-9% positive cells	
Score 3	Strong staining	Score 3	10-32% positive cells	
Score 4	Very strong staining	Score	4 33-65% positive cells	
		Score	5 >65% positive cells	

system (Dako Cytomation, CA, USA) and counterstained with haematoxylin. For negative controls, the primary antibodies were omitted and a normal rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., CA, USA) were used at a concentration equal to that of the primary antibodies.

Morphometry: AR immunostaining in the clitoris of camels were scored according to the immunohistochemical grading scores method of Vermeirsch *et al.* [10] and Vermeirsch *et al.* [11] as shown in (Table 1). The total score (TS) is obtained by the addition of a proportional score (PS) to an intensity score (IS). The total score of AR immunoreactivity is scored as: 1-3, weak grade; 4-6, moderate grade; and 7-9, strong grade.

RESULTS

AR is localized in the nuclei of the epithelial cells of the prepuce, pacinian-like corpuscles, stroma and chondrocytes of the clitoris from both rutting and nonrutting seasons. There is no immunostaining for AR in the negative control sections. In rutting season, few epithelial cells of the clitoral prepuce show AR immunoreactivity that is weak immunostining (Fig.1), while in non-rutting season, almost all of epithelial cells of the clitoral prepuce show stronger immunostaining for AR (Fig.2). Comparing the intensity and proportion of positive epithelial cells shows significant seasonal difference as it is higher during non-rutting season (p<0.05, Table 2). Both stromal cells and cells of pacinian-like corpuscles from both rutting and non-seasons show moderate AR immunostaining (Figs.1,2,5) with no significant seasonal difference (p<0.05, Table 2). Several chondrocytes show positive immunoreactivity for AR in the non-rutting season with strong immunostaining (Fig.4), but it is weaker and fewer in rutting season (Fig.3). A significant higher AR immunoreactivity in chondrocytes from the non-rutting season than in the rutting season is detected (p<0.05, Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Clitoris of the camel expressed AR in its preputial epithelium, pacinian-like corpuscles, stroma and chondrocytes during both rutting and non-rutting seasons. This work identified a significant seasonal difference in expression of AR in the clitoris of camel, while, Vermeirsch et al. [11] in the vagina and vulva of bitch and Cardenas and Pope [12] in the uterus of sow, did not found any cyclic changes in AR immunostaining in these tissues. In addition, Pessina et al. [13] found no effect of ovariectomy and estradiol replacement on AR expression in the vagina of rat. This work showed higher expression of AR in the camel's clitoris during the nonrutting season (progesterone dominant season) and lower expression of AR during the rutting season (estrogen dominant season) indicating that estrogen downregulates expression of AR, while progesterone upregulates expression of AR in the clitoris of camel which is completely differed from findings of West et al. [14], Slayden et al.[15] and Slayden and Brenner [16] as they stated that estrogen increases and progesterone decreases expression of AR in the endometrial tissues of monkey and woman. The localization of AR basically in the nuclei of basal and intermediate of epithelial cells of the clitoral prepuce of camel suggests that they may be

Table 2: Immnuostaining proportional (PS) scores, intensity (IS) scores and total (TS) scores ± standard deviation (SD) for AR in the camel's clitoris during both rutting and non-rutting seasons

AR (Rutting season)			AR (Non-rutting season)						
PS	IS	TS	PS	IS	TS				
1.8±1.0	0.8±0.5	2.5±1.5*	5.00	2.5±0.6	7.5±0.6*				
3.6±0.5	1.4±0.4	5.0±0.5	4.00	1.8±0.4	5.8±0.4				
2.8±0.5	1.8±0.4	4.6±0.7	2.8±0.5	1.6±0.5	4.4±0.5				
2.8±0.5	2.4±0.4	5.2±0.5*	5.00	3.5±0.5	8.5±0.5*				
	AR (Rutting season) PS 1.8±1.0 3.6±0.5 2.8±0.5 2.8±0.5	AR (Rutting season) PS IS 1.8±1.0 0.8±0.5 3.6±0.5 1.4±0.4 2.8±0.5 1.8±0.4 2.8±0.5 2.4±0.4	AR (Rutting season) TS PS IS TS 1.8±1.0 0.8±0.5 2.5±1.5* 3.6±0.5 1.4±0.4 5.0±0.5 2.8±0.5 1.8±0.4 4.6±0.7 2.8±0.5 2.4±0.4 5.2±0.5*	AR (Rutting season) AR (Non-rutting PS IS TS PS 1.8±1.0 0.8±0.5 2.5±1.5* 5.00 3.6±0.5 1.4±0.4 5.0±0.5 4.00 2.8±0.5 1.8±0.4 4.6±0.7 2.8±0.5 2.8±0.5 2.4±0.4 5.2±0.5* 5.00	AR (Rutting season) AR (Non-rutting season) PS IS TS PS IS 1.8±1.0 0.8±0.5 2.5±1.5* 5.00 2.5±0.6 3.6±0.5 1.4±0.4 5.0±0.5 4.00 1.8±0.4 2.8±0.5 1.8±0.4 4.6±0.7 2.8±0.5 1.6±0.5 2.8±0.5 2.4±0.4 5.2±0.5* 5.00 3.5±0.5				

* Within TS row, means a significant difference (P < 0.05) in comparison between rutting and non-rutting data.

Insert figs here

Global Veterinaria, 11 (2): 225-228, 2013



- Fig. 1: Prepuce of clitoris of rutting camel showing few and weaker immunostaining for AR (arrow) compared to Fig.2. Stromal cells showing AR immunostining (small arrow). (Inset showing negative control). X40 Obj.
- Fig. 2: Prepuce of clitoris of non-rutting camel showing more and stronger immunostaining for AR compared to Fig.1. Stromal cells showing AR immunostining (small arrow) X40 Obj.
- Fig. 3: Cartilage of clitoris of rutting camel showing few and weaker immunostaining for AR (arrow) compared to Fig.4. (Inset showing negative control). X40 Obj.
- Fig. 4: Cartilage of clitoris of non-rutting camel showing stronger immunostaining for AR (arrow) compared to Fig.3. X40 Obj.
- Fig. 5: Pacinian-like corpuscle in prepuce of clitoris of rutting camel showing positive immunostaining for AR (arrow) in its cells. (Inset showing negative control). X60 Obj.

involved in the growth and differentiation of this epithelium that was supported by Buchanan *et al.* [17] and Hodgins *et al.* [18]. In addition, AR may be involved in the healing of the wounds in this epithelium that was supported by Hodgins *et al.* [18] and Krzysiek-Maczka [19]. Moreover, the presence of AR in the pacinian-like corpuscles suggests that it has role in sexual arousal and sensation.

CONCLUSION

This study revealed expression of AR in the clitoris of camel and showed significant difference between the rutting and non-rutting seasons. We suggest that androgen has role in the function of clitoris especially sexual arousal and sensation.

REFERENCES

 Ibrahim, M.A., 2008. Camel reproduction and production in Egypt. Proceedings of the WBC/ICAR 2008 Satellite Meeting on Camelid Reproduction. Budapest, Hungary.

- Horie, K., K. Takakura, H. Fujiwara, H. Suginami, 2. S. Liao and Τ. Mori, 1992. Immunohistochemical localization of androgen receptor in the human ovary throughout the menstrual cycle in relation to oestrogen and progesterone receptor expression. Hum. Reprod., 7:184-190.
- Suzuki, T., H. Sasso, N. Kimura, M. Tamura, T. Fukaya, A. Yajima and H. Nagura, 1994. Immunohistocheical distribution of progesterone and rogen and oestrogen receptors in the human ovary during the menstrual cycle: relationship to expression of steroidogenic enzyemes. Hum. Reprod., 9: 1589-1595.
- Hirai, M., S. Hirata, K. Osoda, K. Hagibara and J. Kato, 1994. Androgen receptor mRNA in the rat ovary and uterus. J. Steroid Biochem. and Mol. Biol., 49: 1-7.
- Hillier, S.G., M. Tetsuka and H.M. Fraser, 1997. Location and developmental regulation of androgen receptor in primate ovary. Hum. Reprod., 12: 107-111.

- Hampton, J.H., M. Manikkama, D.B. Lubahn, M.F. Smith and H.A. Garverick, 2004. Androgen receptor mRNA expression in the bovine ovary. Dom. Anim. Endocrinol., 27: 81-88.
- Breuer, H., 1980. Androgen production in the women. In Androgenization in women, Eds., J. Hammerstein, U. Lachnit-Fixson, F. Neumann, G. Plewig and N.J. Pricenton. Excerpta Media, pp: 21-39.
- Carson-Jurica, M.A., W.T. Schrader and B.W. O'Malley, 1990. Steroid receptor family: structure and functions. Endocrine Reviews, 11: 201-210.
- Williamson, G. and W.J. Payne, 1978. An Introduction to Animal Husbandry in the Tropics. 3rd Ed. England and New York.
- Vermeirsch, H., W. Van den Broeck and P. Simoens, 2002a. Immunohistochemical detection of androgen receptors in the canine uterus throughout the estrus cycle. Theriogenology, 57(9): 2203-2216.
- Vermeirsch, H., W. Van den Broeck and P. Simoens, 2002b. Immunolocalization of sex steroid hormone receptors in canine vaginal and vulvar tissue and their relation to sex steroid hormone concentrations. Reprod. Fertil. Dev., 14: 251-258.
- Cardenas, H. and W. Pope, 2003. Distribution and changes in amounts of the androgen receptor in the pig uterus during the estrous cycle, early pregnancy and after treatment with sex steroids. J. Endocrinol., 177: 461-469.
- Pessina, M.A., R.J. Hoyt, I. Goldstein and A.M. Traish, 2006. Differential regulation of the expression of estrogen, progesterone and androgen receptors by sex steroid hormones in the vagina: immunohistochemical studies. J. Sex Med., 3: 804-814.

- West, N.B., C. Chang, S. Liao and R.M. Brenner, 1990. Hormonal regulation of androgen receptors in uterus and oviduct of rhesus monkeys. In program and abstracts of The Endocrine Society 72nd Annual Meetig, pp: 201.
- Slayden, O.D., N.R. Nayak, K.A. Burton, K. Chwalisz, S.T. Cameron, H.O. Critchley, K.A. Baird and R.M. Brenner, 2001. Progesterone antagonists increase androgen receptor expression in the rhesus macaque and human endometrium. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab., 86: 2668-2679.
- 16. Slayden, O.D. and R.M. Brenner, 2004. Hormonal regulation and localization of estrogen, progestin and androgen receptors in the endometrium of nonhuman primates: effects of progesterone receptor antagonists. Arch. Histol. Cytol., 67: 393-409.
- Buchanan, D.L., T. Kurita, J.A. Taylor, D.B. Lubahn, G.R. Cunha and P.S. Cooke, 1998. Role of stromal and epithelial estrogen receptors in vaginal epithelial proliferation, stratification and cornification. Endocrinol., 139: 4345-4352.
- Hodgins, M.B., R.C. Spike, R.M. Mackie and A.B. MacLean, 1998. An immunohisto-chemical study of androgen, oestrogen and progesterone receptors in the vulva and vagina. Br. J. Obstet. Gynaecol., 105: 216-222.
- 19. Krzysiek-Maczka, G., 2005. Skin cells as steroid target; the steroid action in some skin diseases and wound healing. Pizegl. Lek., 62: 181-187.