

Acceptance of Queen Transplantation in Honey Bee Colonies Influenced with Artificial Feeding (Syrup, Nectar or Pollen)

¹Orang Estegamat and ²Majid Gholami

¹Department of Animal Science, Astara Branch, Islamic Azad University, Astara, Iran

²Department of Animal Science, Rasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Rasht, Iran

Abstract: Queen transplantation for a colony is depending on environmental and nutritional factors. Aim of this study was to investigate the effect of supplementation of syrup, nectar or pollen on increasing the acceptance rate of a new queen. The experiment was conducted in three treatments (syrup, nectar or pollen) with various levels. namely: A) pollen (a₁: 1/2 of comb or a₂: one comb), B) Syrup (b₁: four time, b₂: two time and b₃: one time, daily supplemented for each colony and 250 cc for each time, C) nectar (c₁:1/2 of comb, c₂: one comb or c₃:two comb). Pollen supplemented for one comb and artificial nutrition with 500 cc for two time daily (morning and evening) and nectar included combs (only two combs) is prepared suitable nutritive condition for highest queen acceptance rate as 97.1 percent of accepted queen.

Key words: Artificial feeding • Queen rearing • Honey bee

INTRODUCTION

Honey bee queen and her offspring are responsible to colony activities, functions and productivity. The queen can produce 1500-2000 eggs daily. The young queens with high qualitative characteristics are required for these critical functions and colony management [1].

In natural condition, every honey bee colony can produce limited number of queens, but if a colony can't produce a new queen, it may be destroyed soon. The queen can lay 50, 30 and 10 percent of her eggs at first, second and third year of her life and 10 percent of eggs are laid at the next two years [2]. With attention to young queen impacts for colony productivity, bee queen rearing is completely special technique for apiaries.

Nowadays, honey bee regimens and diet formulations are common approach in beekeeping. Also artificial feeding includes supplementation of syrup, syrup with pollen or vitamins [3], carbohydrates and or proteins [4], Soy flour, yeast, milkprotein, linseed oil [5], pollen, sugar, exogenous honey [6] and etc.

Both larvae and adults are highly dependent on food stores in colony and adult honey bees can adapt their foraging or broad-care strategies according to the respective need and supply of carbohydrates and proteins [7].

Goodman [2] mentioned importance of feeding for high queen acceptance rate. Aim of this study was investigation of the affect of artificial feeding with nectar and pollen supplementation in various dosages. on transplanted queen acceptance in honey bee colonies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment has been conducted at bee queen breeding station, Langerood city - north of Iran during 2008-2009. Experimental design was completely randomized design (CRD) which include three treatments; A) pollen (a₁: 1/2 of comb or a₂: one comb), B) Syrup (b₁: four time, b₂: two time and b₃: one time, daily supplemented for each colony and 250 cc for each time, C) nectar (c₁:1/2 of comb, c₂: one comb or c₃:two comb).

Next, combs containing 36-hours-old queen larva, were transferred to transplantation room (35-37°C, RH: 40-60%). the larva were transferred to waxy cup-cells containing royal jelly. In this experiment transplants were located in first nurse-hive and after 48 hours we recorded 1512 successful accepted transplants, from 2430 transplant totally. Next, transplants were transferred to secondary or completivenurse-hive.

Queen transplants acceptance rate in treatments (hives supplemented with different feeds) were recorded at excel software and analyzed by SPSS Ver.10 for detection of significant differences between treatments.

RESULTS

In the Table 1, results obtained from three dietary treatments on queen acceptance rate are presented. Treatments had significant effect on acceptance trait.

DISCUSSION

Currently, artificial dietary supplementations in the hive, regardless to its nutritive functions, also have behavioral and neuro-physiological aspects for honey bee colony. For example, Gao *et al.* [8] showed that high concentration of supplemented nectar in a hive can make workers insensitive for queen signals and allow queen for consumption of higher amounts of nectar without allowing to workers for consumption of supplemented feed.

In queen rearing, the pollen, nectar and syrup are so important nutritional factors. Lack of pollen reduces the number of drone's reared. Also, feeding sugar syrup to cell rising colony has been recommended.

In this case, management of the nutritional status of drone mother colonies becomes critical for the success of rearing quality long lived queen bees that have been satisfactorily mated [9]. Bin *et al.* [10] showed grow promotion and development of queen bee larvae supplemented with extra honey and water beside royal jelly. They had suggest that supplementation of 10% honey for queen larvae ration can match the grow needs of larvae.

Findings of current study (Table 1) with positive effects of artificial feeding include nectar, syrup and pollen was in agreement with Vasfigençer *et al.* [3], Bin *et al.* [10] and Avni *et al.* [6] reports.

In overall, it is concluded pollen supplemented for one comb and artificial nutrition with 500 cc for two time daily (morning and evening) and nectar included combs (only two combs) is prepared suitable nutritive condition for highest queen acceptance rate as 97.1 present of accepted queen. Our observations showed that introducing transplantation comb as middle comb in hive is another positive factor for queen acceptance (may be because of near contact of queen with workers bee or nurse bee).

REFERENCES

Table 1: Effects of different levels of pollen, nectar and artificial nutrition on acceptance of transplantation in three experimental groups (number of accepted transplanted queen)

X.jkl	X.jkl	3	2	1	a	b	c
12	36	14	12	10	1	1	1
12.6	38	15	12	11	1	1	2
13.67	41	14	14	13	1	1	3
16	48	15	17	16	1	2	1
17	51	16	18	17	1	2	2
27.67	83	28	26	29	1	2	3
25.3	76	27	24	25	1	3	1
26.3	79	29	27	23	1	3	2
25.67	77	24	27	26	1	3	3
24	72	23	21	28	2	1	1
32.3	97	30	33	34	2	1	2
36.3	109	36	35	38	2	1	3
39.67	119	42	38	39	2	2	1
36.67	110	37	35	38	2	2	2
39.67	119	40	40	39	2	2	3
31.67	95	31	30	34	2	3	1
42.67	128	44	41	43	2	3	2
44.67	134	45	45	44	2	3	3
X _{ooo} =	1512	510	495	507	X _{iooo}		

1. Ruttner, F., 1988. Breeding techniques and selection for breeding of the honeybee. British islets bee breeders association. Derby, UK.
2. Goodman, R., 2008. Raising Queen Honey Bees. *Agriculture notes*, ID: AG1194. State of Victoria, Department of Primary Industries. ISSN 1329-8062.
3. Vasfigençer, H., S. Qasim Shah and C. Firatli, 2000. Effects of supplemental feeding of queen rearing colonies and larval age on the acceptance on grafted larvae and queen traits. *Pakistan J. Biol. Sci.*, 3(8): 1319- 1322.
4. Hussein, M.H., M.O.M. Omar, M.N. Shoreit and M.F. Abdel-Rahman, 2000. Artificial feeding of honeybee colonies (*Apis mellifera* L.). *Env. Arid Land Agric. Sci.*, 11(1): 3-21.
5. Van Der Steen, J., 2007. Effect of a home-made pollen substitute on honey bee colony development. *J. Apic. Res.*, 46: 114-119.
6. Avni, D., A. Dag and S. Shafir, 2009. The effect of surface area of pollen patties fed to honey bee (*Apismellifera*) colonies on their consumption, brood production and honey yields. *J. Apic. Res.*, 48: 23-28.

7. Schmickl T. and K. Crailsheim, 2004. Inner nest homeostasis in a changing environment with special emphasis on honey bee brood nursing and pollen supply. *Apidologie*, 35: 249-263.
8. Gao, J., G. Zhao, Y. Yu and F. Liu, 2010. High Concentration of Nectar Quercetin Enhances Worker Resistance to Queen's Signals in Bees. *J. Chem. Ecol.*, 36: 1241-1243.
9. Somerville, D., 2005. *Fat Bees Skinny Bees - a manual on honey bee nutrition for beekeepers*. 1st edition, Australian Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation, pp: 15-17. ISBN 1 74151-1526.
10. Bin, Z., Y. Manhong and Z. Ke, 2008. Studies on the artificial feeding conditions of queen bee larvae. *J. Biol. Sci.*, 8(5): 950-953.