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Abstract: Brucellosis is economically important zoonotic bacterial disease caused by genus Brucella. Brucellosis occurs worldwide, except for few countries that have been successfully eradicated. The Aborted fetus, fetal membrane and uterine discharges are considered as the major source of infection. There are different species so far know including B. abortus, B. melitensis, B. suis, B. ovis, B. canis, B. neotome, B. microti that affect terrestrial animals and B. ceti and B. pinnipedia that affect marine mammals as well as human. The first three species are called classical Brucella species important for animal Brucellosis. Bovine brucellosis is mainly transmitted to animals by ingestion of contaminated feed and water, by contact with infected aborted fetus, fetal membrane and genital discharges and by artificial insemination from infected bulls. The bacteria are preferentially localized mainly in the reproductive tract of pregnant animals and consequently cause abortion (late abortion), retained fetal membrane and infertility, whereas orchitis and epididimitis are seen in males. The overall studies of bovine brucellosis in Ethiopia range from 1.1% to 22.6% and 0.05% to 15.2% in intensive and extensive management systems respectively. Among the serological tests, RBPT for screening and CFT for confirmatory are routinely used in Ethiopia and other countries to detect seropositive animals. Bovine brucellosis remains one of the most common zoonotic diseases worldwide with more than 50,000 human cases reported annually. It is mainly transmitted to humans through the consumption of unpasteurized dairy products, direct contact with infected animal and their discharges. The risk of infection to brucella was indicates that consuming of raw milk for human. In Ethiopia according to different authors, the major risks for brucellosis in the pastoral community are living in close proximity of livestock, milking and consuming raw milk and fresh dairy product. The prevalence of human brucellosis differs between areas and has been reported to vary with standards of personal and environmental hygiene, animal husbandry practices and species of the causative agent and local methods of food processing. In conclusions, Brucellosis has been widely reported from cattle as well as human cases in Ethiopia. This requires formulating effective control strategies are needed that includes surveillance to identify infected animals, prevention of transmission to non-infected animals and removal of the reservoir to eliminate the source of infection.
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INTRODUCTION

Brucellosis is one of the oldest zoonotic diseases which remain of economic and public health significance, today with major outcomes of reproductive losses in livestock and debilitating illnesses in humans [1]. The Bovine Brucellosis, usually caused by Brucella abortus and occasionally by Brucella melitensis and Brucellaluis, is characterized by late term abortion, infertility and reduced milk production as a result of retained placenta and secondary endometritis and excretion of the organisms in uterine discharges and milk. The calves may die soon after birth. In fully susceptible herds, abortion rates may vary from 30- 80% [2].
In Africa, bovine brucellosis was first recorded in Zimbabwe (1906), Kenya (1914) and in Orange Free State of South Africa in the year 1915 [3]. However, the epidemiology of the disease in livestock and humans as well as appropriate preventive measures are still not well understood and such information is inadequate particularly in sub-Saharan Africa. The surveillance and control of brucellosis in this region is rarely implemented outside South Africa [4].

In Ethiopia, there is no documented information on how and when brucellosis was introduced and established. Even though, several serological surveys have showed bovine brucellosis is an endemic and widespread disease in urban, peri-urban, highland and lowland, extensive and intensive farming, smallholder farms and ranches of the country [5]. So many studies carried on cattle brucellosis in central and northern Ethiopia did not provide an adequate epidemiological picture of the disease in different agro-ecological zones and livestock production systems of the country [6].

Brucellosis is a most series disease that leads to considerable morbidity [6]. Also, it was characterized by abortion in females and epididymitis and orchitis in males [7]. So, brucellosis can cause significant loss of productivity through abortion, still birth, low herd fertility and comparatively low milk production.

In addition, it poses a barrier to export and import of animals constraining livestock trade and is an impediment to free animal movement and animal products and can seriously impair socioeconomic development of livestock owners [8].

Sources of infection included aborted fetuses, fetal membranes, vaginal discharges and milk from infected cows [9]. Primary clinical manifestations of brucellosis among livestock are related to the reproductive tract. In highly susceptible pregnant cattle, abortion after the five month of pregnancy is cardinal feature of the disease [7]. In humans, the disease is characterized by fever, sweating, anorexia, malaise, weight loss, depression, headache and joint pains that could be confused with malaria and influenza [10]. Brucellosis is transmitted to humans mainly by direct contact with infected livestock and the consumption of unpasteurized contaminated milk and dairy products [10]. The disease presents as an acute or persistent febrile illness with a diversity of clinical manifestations in humans [11].

Currently ten Brucella species are recognized including the better known six classical species comprised of B. abortus (cattle, biovars 1-6 and 9), B. melitensis (goats, sheep, biovars 1-3), B. suis (pigs, reindeer and hares, biovars 1-5), Brucella ovis (sheep), Brucella canis (dogs) and Brucella neotomae (desert wood rats). More recently, new members to the genus include Brucella ceti and Brucella pinnipedialis (dolphins/porpoises and seals respectively), Brucella microtis (voles) and Brucella inopinata (reservoir undetermined) were identified [12].

Among the above species, B. abortus, B. melitensis, B. suis, B. canis and B. ovis are transmitted from animal to human causing undulant fever in humans [13]. Brucellosis in animals called Bang’s disease, contagious abortion and infectious abortion. In case of human, it is known as Malta fever, Mediterranean fever and undulant fever [14]. Of these species, B. melitensis has the greatest risk for human infection followed by B. suis and B. abortus, however several of the other species have been shown to be virulent for humans [14]. Bovine brucellosis is usually caused by B. abortus, less frequently by B. melitensis and rarely by B. suis.

Brucellosis in animals and humans has been reported from different localities of the country, was particularly associated with cattle in different agro-ecology and production systems [15]. The prevalence studies in animals and human were largely confined to serological surveys and commonly targeted bovine brucellosis, occasionally sheep, goats and rarely camels. So far, attempts to identify Brucella species in the country were unsuccessful; the distribution and proportion of their natural hosts was also not studied exhaustively [16]. This is largely attributed to the degree of laboratory development and lack of consumables for laboratory tests [17].

Diagnosis of the disease is based on the isolation and identification of Brucella from the animals aborted materials, udder secretions or from tissues removed at post-mortem or patient’s serum by detection of specific antibodies using appropriate serological methods. Presumptive diagnosis can be made by assessing specific cell-mediated or serological responses to Brucella antigens. All Brucella are related to lifelong chronic animal infection, since they are found within the cells of their milk glands and reproductive system.

This review aimed to through the light on the occurrence of bovine brucellosis in Ethiopia and the approaches for its control, prevention and to present an overview on the public health significance of bovine brucellosis in country.

**General Characteristics of Brucella**

**Etiology:** The Brucella genus is composed of 12 recognized species after isolation and identification of novel species from the mandibular lymph nodes of the red fox [18]. There are six ‘classical’ species (Table 1)
Brucella abortus, Brucella melitensis, Brucella suis, Brucella ovis, Brucella canis and Brucella neotomae and the first three of these are subdivided into biovars based on cultural and serological properties [19]. They affect many animal species, but especially of those that produce food: sheep (especially milk-producing), goats, cattle and pigs and, on a more localized scale, camels, buffaloes, yaks and reindeer [20]. Bovine brucellosis is usually caused by Brucella abortus, less frequently by B. melitensis and rarely by B. suis. In general, brucella have a predilection for both female and male reproductive organs in sexually mature animals and each Brucella species tends to infect a particular animal species. The target organs and tissues of Brucella species are placenta, mammary glands and epididymis in animal reservoir host [21]. Persistent (lifelong) infection is a characteristic of its facultative intracellular organism, with shedding in reproductive and mammary secretions [22].

**Bovine Brucella**

**Characteristics of Brucella Organism:** Brucella species are facultative intracellular, gram-negative, non-spore-forming and non-capsulated, partially acid-fast coccobacilli that lack capsules, endospores or native plasmids. They survive freezing and thawing but most disinfectants active against gram-negative bacteria kill Brucella. Pasteurization effectively kills Brucella in milk. The bacterium is of 0.5-0.7µ in diameter and 0.6-1.5µ in length. They are oxidase, catalase and urease positive. Although Brucella species are described as non-motile, they carry all the genes except the chemotactic system necessary to assemble a functional flagellum [23]. The genomes of the members of Brucella are very similar in size and gene make up Sriranganathan, et al. [24].

Each species within the genus of *brucella* has an average genome size of approximately 3.29Mb and consists of two circular chromosomes, those are Chromosome I, is approximately on average 2.11 Mb and Chromosome II is approximately 1.18 Mb. The G + C content of all *Brucella* genome is 57.2% for Chromosome I and 57.3% for Chromosome II [25]. The *Brucella* have no classic virulence genes encoding capsules, plasmids, pili or exotoxins and compared to other bacterial pathogens relatively little is known about the factors contributing to the persistence in the host and multiplication within phagocytic cells. Also, many aspects of interaction between *Brucella* and its host remain unclear [26].

**Epidemiology of Brucellosis**

**Geographical Distribution of Brucellosis Disease:** The disease occurs worldwide, except in those countries where bovine brucellosis (*B. abortus*) has been eradicated which include Australia, Canada, Cyprus, Denmark, Finland, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Sweden and the United Kingdom which has been reported as eradicated it. This is defined as the absence of any reported cases for at least five years. However, the Mediterranean Countries of Europe, Africa, Near East countries, India, Central Asia, Mexico, Central and South America are still not brucellosis free. Although in most countries brucellosis is a nationally notifiable disease and reportable to the local health authority, it is under reported and official numbers constitute only a fraction of true incidence of the disease [27].

Brucellosis is endemic in many developing countries and is caused by *Brucella* species that affect man, domestic and some wild animals and marine mammals [28]. Ethiopia located in Eastern Africa, the country has diverse agro ecological zones, which have contributed to the evolution of different agricultural production systems. Animal husbandry forms an integral part of agricultural production in almost all ecological zones of the country [28]. In Ethiopia, brucellosis is endemic and the disease is highly susceptible more in cattle than in camels and small ruminants in pastoral and agro-pastoral areas. The highest prevalence is noticed in dairy cattle. It is more prevalent in developing countries and considered to be a serious health problem due to lack of effective public health controls.
measures, domestic animal health programs and appropriate diagnostic facilities. Furthermore, the situation is also worsened by the resemblance of the disease with other diseases leading to misdiagnosis and under reporting [29].

The management systems as well as ecological conditions greatly influence the spread of brucella infection [30]. Ethiopia has several institutionally owned commercial dairy farms, mostly situated in and around Addis Ababa and in some regional towns. These farms have been the focus of most of Brucella surveys, potentially producing a bias in reported findings. These prevalence reports below have been systematically reviewed as semi-intensive and extensive management systems of various regions in Ethiopia.

In general, at the country level brucellosis prevalence studies have been conducted in different localities of the country (Table 2). But, there is little information on specific transmission dynamics within different agro-ecology in the country. Since prevalence studies in animals and human were largely confined to serological surveys and commonly targeted bovine brucellosis, occasionally sheep and goats and rarely camels. Also attempts to identify Brucella species in the country were unsuccessful, the distribution and proportion of their natural hosts were also not studied exhaustively [16]. This is largely attributed to the degree of laboratory development and lack of consumables for laboratory tests [17].

Table 2: Seroprevalence of bovine brucellosis in Ethiopia in different geographical areas under different production systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study areas</th>
<th>N. animal tested (Prevalence)</th>
<th>Type of test</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jimma zone</td>
<td>1, 813 (0.61)</td>
<td>RBPT, SAT</td>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>Extensive &amp; intensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tigray</td>
<td>1, 951 (1.49)</td>
<td>RBPT, SAT</td>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>Extensive &amp; intensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahr Dar</td>
<td>1, 944 (4.63)</td>
<td>RBPT, SAT</td>
<td>[33]</td>
<td>Extensive &amp; intensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cent. Oromia</td>
<td>1, 238 (2.99)</td>
<td>RBPT, SAT</td>
<td>[34]</td>
<td>Extensive &amp; intensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA &amp; Suluta</td>
<td>1, 501 (1.3)</td>
<td>RBPT, SAT</td>
<td>[35]</td>
<td>Extensive &amp; intensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tigray</td>
<td>1, 968 (4.9)</td>
<td>RBPT, SAT</td>
<td>[30]</td>
<td>Semi-intensive &amp; extensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Shewa</td>
<td>1, 106 (11.5)</td>
<td>RBPT</td>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>Pastoral &amp; agro-pastoral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidama zone</td>
<td>1, 627 (1.66)</td>
<td>RBPT, SAT</td>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jijjiga</td>
<td>435 (1.38)</td>
<td>RBPT, SAT</td>
<td>[37]</td>
<td>Agro-pastoral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South &amp; East Eth</td>
<td>1, 623 (3.5)</td>
<td>RBPT, SAT</td>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remark: AA (Addis Ababa), Eth (Ethiopia), N (number)

Fig. 1: Geographical location for studied report on the brucellosis in Ethiopia (Adopted from [38])
Table 3: List of Prevalence of Bovine brucellosis in intensive and semi-intensive management systems in Ethiopia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Management system</th>
<th>Diagnostic test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Semi-Intensive and Intensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Semi-Intensive and Intensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[45]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Semi-Intensive and Intensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[33]</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Semi-Intensive and Intensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[30]</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Semi-Intensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Semi-Intensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[34]</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Semi-Intensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[40]</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>Semi-Intensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[41]</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Intensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Semi-Intensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: List of the studies of Brucella seroprevalence in the extensive management system in Ethiopia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Management system</th>
<th>Diagnostic test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[37]</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>RBPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[30]</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[34]</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[40]</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6]</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>RBPT, CFT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Status of Brucellosis in Ethiopia: Ethiopia, located in Eastern Africa, is predominantly an agrarian country with over 85% of its population engaged in agricultural activity. Since the first report of brucellosis in the 1970s in Ethiopia, the disease has been noted as one of the important livestock diseases in the country [39, 40]. A large number of studies on bovine have been reporting individual brucellosis seroprevalence ranging from 1.1% to 22.6% in intensive livestock management systems [40] and 0.05% -15.2% in extensive (Table 4) management systems [6, 37]. Both husbandry systems as well as environmental conditions greatly influence the spread of *Brucella* infection [10]. Most brucellosis study report for highland agro-ecology was concentrated at urban and pre urban dairy farms. According to different authors herd level seroprevalence ranged between 2.9% and 45.9%.

Over half of the cattle are farmed under extensive lowland pastoralist and agro-pastoralist production system, *brucella* sero-prevalence within extensive cattle rearing systems (Table 4) is lower than that of intensive systems (Table 3). The highest seroprevalence (50%) was documented using ELISA in Didituyura Ranch [42], 2.91% in indigenous Borena breed cows in Borena zone in Southern Ethiopia [43]. In South Eastern Ethiopian pastoral zones of the Somali and Oromia regional state herds, sero-prevalence per species which were 1.4% were reported [17]. The same study in the area showed that anti-*Brucella* antibodies were prevalent in 10.6% [6]. In general accordingly to region-based meta-analysis, forest plot revealed the highest prevalence in central Ethiopia followed by the southern part Figure 1). The lowest prevalence estimate was observed in the western part of the country [44]. The prevalence of disease in country ranged from 15% [41] to 12% [40].

Associated Risk Factor for Animal Brucellosis: The risk factors can be categorized into those associated with characteristics of animal populations, management and the parasite biology [46].

Risk Factors Associated *Brucella spp.* (Agent): *Brucella spp. B abortus* is an important risk for the maintenance of the agent in the animal population with special importance in areas where wildlife and cattle rearing occur together. Moreover, infections in wildlife can hinder eradication efforts in cattle. *B. abortus* is still a human pathogen and outbreaks associated from infected cattle and also from ingesting contaminated dairy
products represent an important risk of infection [47]. B. melitensis is the main etiological agent of brucellosis in small ruminants, although sheep can be also infected by B. ovis. Sporadic cases of brucellosis have been described in sheep and goats as B. abortus and B. suis. The dogs that guard the herds and flocks can also be infected [46].

Risk Factors Associated with Host (Animals): Different Brucella species can affect the same livestock species and human. The principal strain that infects cattle is B. abortus, but also become transiently infected by B. suis and more commonly by B. melitensis when they share pasture or facilities with infected pigs, goats and sheep. B. melitensis and B. suis can be transmitted by cow’s milk and cause a serious public health threat [48]. The main etiologic agent of brucellosis in goats is B. melitensis. However in certain countries like Brazil where there is no B. melitensis, goats can get infected with B. abortus [49].

Age: It has been referred to as one of the intrinsic factors associated with brucellosis. Higher sero-prevalence of brucellosis has been observed in older animals. Brucellosis has traditionally been considered as a disease of adult animals since susceptibility increases after sexual maturity and pregnancy. However, variations in the age of sexual maturity among breeds could present differences between age and brucellosis positivity [6].

Sex: Female ruminants presented a higher odd of brucellosis infection, the same has been observed in female dogs compared to male dogs. It could be associated with the intrinsic biology of the microorganisms and its tropism to the fetal tissue. Since brucellosis infection in males presented clinical signs such as epididymitis and orchitis, the prevalence in males could be lower than females because they may be culled faster. On the other hand, the absence of clinical signs such as abortion or metritis in non-pregnant infected females or the absence of observation/identification/ of abortions in extensive herds may also explain the higher prevalence in females [16].

Herd Size: is another risk factor that affects occurrence of brucellosis. In Amhara region, Mussie et al. [50] observed significant differences of Brucella among three herd size categories in the semi-intensive production system whereas the difference was not statistically significant in the extensive production system. Their findings revealed comparatively higher seropositivity in the larger herd categories than those herds with less than 5 cattle. A separate study in Addis Ababa area by Asfaw, et al. [51] also found significant association between Brucella infection and herd size. Kassahun et al. [52] also reported that in both extensive and intensive systems, infection rates increased with herd size, but these differences failed to achieve statistical significance. On the other hand, Tolosa et al. [31] reported highly significant variation (p <0.001) between herds having 1 to 5 cattle and those with >5 cattle.

Breed: The prevalence of brucellosis in farm animals seems to be lower in small ruminants than large ruminants and lower in sheep than in goats. In most of the circumstances, the main route of spread is the placenta, fetal fluids and vaginal discharges expelled after delivery or abortion. At that time, large numbers of Brucella are released [53].

The vaginal excretion of Brucella spp. in goats is greater and more prolonged than sheep, lasting for 2-3 months. In sheep, it is generally lower and normally ceases within 3 weeks after birth or abortion. The excretion of Brucella in milk is generally intermittent and usually only appears 6 to 12 days after the abortion. In goats, the excretion is more abundant and more prolonged, so there is an increased risk of infection via the consumption of milk from this species [16].

Others Risk Factors
Managemental Risk Factors: The spread of the brucella pathogens disease are transmitted from one herd to the other and from one area to another is almost always due to the movement of an infected animal from infected herd in to a non-infected susceptible herd. Once infected, the time required to become free of brucellosis was increased by large herd size, active abortion and by loss housing, [7].

Intensive Systems: Higher individual bovine brucellosis seroprevalence has been recorded in intensively managed cattle herds as compared to those in the extensive management system. According to same authors, the reasons for the high prevalence of bovine brucellosis in same study areas were explained by low hygienic practices, no use of maternity pen and/or separation of cows during parturition, low cleaning and disinfection activities, low culling of infected animals. An overall seroprevalence of 1.1% -22.6% was also recorded from many Parts of Ethiopia [47].
Extensive Systems: In Ethiopia, 95% of cattle are farmed under extensive systems. Accordingly the available of Brucella prevalence within extensive cattle rearing systems is lower than that of intensive systems. Tolosa, et al. [31] reported overall individual animal prevalence and herd prevalence of 0.77 and 2.9%, respectively in Jimma Zone. Recent reports from North West, Tigray region Haileselassie, et al. [30] and Southern Sidama Zone Asmare, et al. [36], recorded, an overall prevalence of 1.2 and 1.66% following screening 848 and 1627 cattle from extensive system, respectively. The overall prevalence of 0.05% -15.2% in extensive management systems was recorded [6].

Agro-Ecological Factors: Few comparative studies have been under taken to show the status of bovine brucellosis in different agro-climatic areas of this country. For example, Mussie, et al. [50] reported higher seroprevalence in the midland areas (with individual rates of 5.61% compared with 22.4% at herd level), than highland areas (with individual rates of 1.97% and 6.33% at herd level respectively) within the Amhara Regional State. A possible explanation could be a consequence if higher stocking density in the midland area compared with the highland regions.

Production System: In infected cattle populations brucellosis is might lead to a lower calving rate due to temporary infertility and/or abortion, resulting in a decreased milk production cows, increased replacement costs as well as lowered sale value of infected cows. General economic losses, however, go far beyond the financial losses suffered by cattle producers alone. Not only cattle but also other species might be affected by brucellosis, including humans Scholz, et al. [13].

Source of Infection and Mode of Transmission in Animals: In animals, the concentration of the bacteria is highest in pregnant uterus. The aborted fetus, placental membranes or fluids and other uterine discharges were considered as major source of infection. Infected animals also shade organisms in milk which serve as source of infection for the new born. Contaminated feed can spread the infection from infected pasture over long distance during purchasing and selling activities. The disease is transmitted to susceptible animals by ingestion of contaminated feed and water, contact with aborted fetuses, fetal membrane and uterine discharges; infection by inhalation is also possible. The use of infected bull for artificial insemination also poses an important risk and spreads the infection to many herds [54].

Pathogenesis: The ability of Brucella spp. to cause disease requires a few critical steps during infection. Brucella spp. can invade epithelial cells of the host, allowing infection through mucosal surfaces: M-cells in the intestine have been identified as a portal of entry for Brucella spp. Once Brucella spp. has invaded, usually through the digestive or respiratory tract, they are capable of surviving intra cellular within phagocytic or non-phagocytic host cells. Then replicate within the phagocyte, release to circulation and colonization of the bacteria in multiple tissues, like lymphoid tissues, mammary gland and reproductive tract [55].

Invading Brucella usually localize in the lymph nodes, draining the invasion site, resulting in hyperplasia of lymphoid and reticulo-endothelial tissue and the infiltration of inflammatory cells. Survival of the first line of defense by the bacteria results in local infection and the escape of Brucella from the lymph nodes in to the blood. During bacteraemic phase, bones, joints, eyes and brain can be infected, but the bacteria are most frequently isolated from supra-mammary lymph nodes, milk, iliac lymph nodes, spleen and uterus. In bulls, the predilection sites for infection are also the reproductive organs and the associated lymph nodes. During the acute phase of infection, the semen contains large number of Brucella but as the infection becomes chronic, the number of Brucella excreted decreases. However, it may also continue to be excreted for years or just become intermittent [54].

Clinical Signs: Brucellosis is a sub-acute or chronic disease which may affect many species of animals. In cattle, sheep, goats, other ruminants and pigs the initial phase following infection is often not apparent. In sexually mature animals the infection localizes in the reproductive system and typically produces placentitis followed by abortion in the pregnant female, usually during the last third of pregnancy and epididymitis and orchitis in the male. According to WHO [10] B. melitensis is considered to have the highest zoonotic potential, followed by B. abortus and B.suis on those endemic regions.

Although B. abortus is mainly associated with cattle, occasionally other species of animals such as sheep, swine, dogs and horses may be infected. In horses, B. abortus together with Actinomyces bovis may be present in poll evil and fistulous withers [56]. The mammary gland and regional lymph nodes can also be infected and bacteria can be excreted in milk [56].
Diagnosis: Diagnosis of brucellosis is the cornerstone of any control and eradication program of the disease. Especially in humans due to its heterogeneous and poorly specific clinical symptoms, the diagnosis of brucellosis always requires laboratory conformation. It is made possible by direct demonstration of the causal organism using staining, immunoflorescent antibody, culture and directly demonstration of antibodies using serological techniques [21, 57]. In cases of animal brucellosis diagnosis by cultural examination, the choice of samples usually depends on the clinical signs observed. The most valuable samples include vaginal secretions (swabs), aborted fetuses (stomach contents, spleen and lung), fetal membranes and milk, semen and arthritis or pyrograms fluids. From animal carcasses, the preferred tissues for culture are those of the reticulo-endothelial system (i.e. head, mammary and genital lymph nodes and spleen), the pregnant or early post-parturient uterus and the udder. Growth normally appears after 3-4 days, but cultures should not be discarded as negative until 7-10 days have elapsed [58].

Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT): Often used as a rapid screening test; the sensitivity is very high (>99%) but the specificity is disappointingly low as 68.8%. RBPT is a rapid, slide-type agglutination assay performed on serum. The general principle of this test is the agglutination of serum antibodies with Rose Bengal dye-stained B. abortus whole cells buffered at a pH of 3.65 to inhibit nonspecific agglutinins. Due to its simplicity and low cost, it is the most common test used for brucellosis screening purposes, especially in laboratories with limited resources. However, this is of value as a screening test in high risk rural areas where it is not always possible to perform the other tests [59].

Complement Fixation Test (CFT): This test detects specific antibodies of the IgM and IgG1 type that fix complement. The CFT is highly specific but it is laborious and requires highly trained personnel as well as suitable laboratory facilities that makes less suitable for use in developing countries. Although it specify is very important for the control and eradication of brucellosis, it may test false negative when antibodies of the IgG2 type hinder complement fixation. The CFT measures more antibodies of the IgG1 than antibodies of the IgM type. Since it usually appear after antibodies of the IgM type, control and surveillance for brucellosis is best done by CFT [58].

Public Health and Significant Importance of brucella:
Brucellosis (especially B. melitensis), remains one of the most common zoonotic diseases of worldwide with more than 50,000 human cases reported annually [60]. The significance of brucellosis as zoonotic has ever increased in recent times, due to the expansion of international commerce in animals and animal products, with increase urbanization, intensive farms and animal products, having nomadic animal husbandry [61]. Despite the advances made in surveillance and control, the prevalence of brucellosis is increasing in many developing countries due to various sanitary, socioeconomic and political factors [62]. As compared to study of animal brucellosis, study of human brucellosis in Ethiopia is sparse with even less information on risk factors for human infection [63].

A study conducted in traditional pastoral communities by Ragassa, et al. [64] using B. abortus antigen revealed that 34.1% patients with febrile illness from Borena, 29.4% patients from Hammer and 3% patients from Metema areas were tested positive using Brucella IgM/IgG lateral flow assay. Studies conducted in high risk group such as farmers, veterinary professionals, meat inspectors and artificial insemination technicians in Amhara Regional State [50], Sidama Zone of Southern People Nations and Nationalities State [36]. In Addis Ababa, a seroprevalence of 5.30%, 3.78% and 4.8% by screening sera from 238, 38 and 336 individuals respectively were found [65]. The discrepancy between and others might be due to difference in milk consumption habits and sensitivity of test methods used [64].

Humans may become infected by ingestion of unpasteurized cheese or milk, by direct transmission through contact with infected animals or by handling specimens containing Brucella spp. in laboratory. It also transmitted to human by the consumption of raw dairy products and by direct contact with the skin or mucosa during parturition and abortion. Cattle are natural hosts’ for Brucella abortus and sheep (Ovis aries) and goats (Capra hircus) for B. melitensis and B. ovis, respectively. Humans are susceptible to both B abortus and B. melitensis, the latter being most frequently reported in humans [20].

Human brucellosis is also known for complications and involvement of internal organs and its symptoms can be very diverse depending on the site of infection and include encephalitis, meningitis, spondylitis, arthritis, endocarditis, orchitis and prostatitis. Spontaneous abortions, mostly in the first and second trimesters of
pregnancy, are seen in pregnant women infected with *Brucella* [66]. Symptoms and signs of brucellosis usually referred as fever of unknown origin can be confused with other diseases including enteric fever, malaria, rheumatic fever, tuberculosis, cholecystitis, thrombophlebitis, fungal infection, autoimmune disease and tumors [67]. Because of these rather non-specific signs, brucellosis is constantly mis-diagnosed as malaria, which is very prevalent in sub Saharan Africa [68].

**Human Brucellosis:** The true incidence of brucellosis in human and animals worldwide is obscure and the occurrence is expanding in low and middle income nations like Ethiopia. The bacterial pathogen is considered by US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) as a category (B) pathogen that has potential for improvement as a bio-terrorism weapon with a capability of airborne transmission [69]. The incidence of human brucellosis is correlated with the level of incidence in domestic animals [1]. Human cases occur after ingesting raw milk and milk products and coming into close contact with infected animals. Human brucellosis can be a very debilitating disease, although the case fatality rate is generally low [1].

Brucellosis primarily affects livestock, but can be transmitted to humans (Figure 2) by ingestion, close contact, inhalation or accidental inoculation. The prevalence of human brucellosis differs between areas and has been reported to vary with standards of personal and environmental hygiene, animal husbandry practices and species of the causative agent and local methods of food processing [70]. In Ethiopia according to Regassa, et al. [64] the major risks for brucellosis in the pastoral community are living in close proximity of livestock, milking and consuming raw milk and fresh dairy product.

As compared to study of animal brucellosis, study of human brucellosis in Ethiopia is sparse with even less information on risk factors for human infection. For instance, (3.6%) were reported to be positive for *B. abortus* antibodies by RBPT and CFT [31]. A study conducted in traditional pastoral communities by Regassa, et al. [64] using *B. abortus* antigen revealed that 34.1% patients with febrile illness from Borena, 29.4% patients from Hammer and 3% patients from Metema areas were tested positive using *Brucella* IgM/IgG. The seroprevalence studies conducted in high risk group such as farmers, veterinary professionals, meat inspectors and artificial insemination technicians were reported 5.30% by Mussie, et al. [50], 3.78% and 4.8% by Kassahun, et al. [52] and Asmare, et al. [36] in different region of Ethiopia from individuals humans (Table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hawassa</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addis Ababa</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borena</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amhara region</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Gonder</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yabello oromia</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammer</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimma zone</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Robinson, A., 2003

**Sources of Infection and Mode of Transmission in Humans:** The reservoirs of *Brucella* species comprise cattle, goats, sheep and some wildlife [72]. The disease is transmitted to man mainly by direct contact with infected animals or indirect contact and through consumption of raw or uncooked animal products [22]. Usually the main source of brucellosis for urban populations is ingestion of fresh milk or dairy products prepared from unheated milk. Cow, sheep, goat or camel milk contaminated with *Brucella melitensis* is particularly hazardous as it is drunk in fairly large volume and may contain large numbers of organisms [20].

Brucellosis is an occupational disease in shepherds, abattoir workers, veterinarians, dairy-industry professionals and personnel in microbiologic laboratories. However, consumption of hard cheese, yogurt and sour milk are less hazardous, since both propionic and lactic fermentation takes place. Bacterial load in animal muscle tissues is low, but consumption of undercooked traditional delicacies such as liver and spleen has been implicated in human infection [7].

**Treatment, Prevention and Control:** Due to the intracellular localization of *Brucella* and its ability to adapt to the environmental conditions encountered in its replicative niche e.g. macrophage [26], treatment of domestic animals with antibiotics is not usually successful. Even though, treatment failure and relapse rates are also high in humans, treatment depend on the drug combination of doxycycline with streptomycin which is currently the best therapeutic option with less side effects and less relapses, especially in cases of acute and localized forms of brucellosis [74]. A combination of doxycycline treatment (6 weeks duration) with parentally administered gentamycin (5 mg/kg) for 7 days is also considered an acceptable alternate regimen [75]. The initial aim of surveillance and control programs is the reduction of infection in the animal populations to reduce the effect of the disease on animal health and production, thus minimizing its impact on human health.
Fig. 2: Transmission mode of brucellosis within its range, Garin-Bastuji, B., 2014

An effective control of animal brucellosis requires the following elements:

- Regular schedules of surveillance to identify infected animal that may causes herds infections,
- Prevention of transmission or spreads of infection to non-infected animal herds and
- Eradication of the reservoir to eliminate the sources of infection in order to protect vulnerable animals or herds coupled with measures to prevent re-introduction of the disease [60]. In areas where a brucellosis free status has been established or where such a status is assumed from epidemiological data, the risk of importing the disease by means of animal movement must be protected. Movement of infected animals must be prohibited and import permissions should be given only to certified brucellosis-free farms or areas. This is also true for national and international transport of animal products, in accordance with the general principles and procedures specified in the International Zoo-Sanitary Code of the OIE. This code also describes the testing procedures for animals and quarantine measures [46].

**Suggested Prevention and Control Strategies for Livestock Brucellosis in Ethiopia:** As the source of human brucellosis is direct or indirect exposure to infected animals or their products. Prevention must focus on various strategies that will mitigate infection risk. To our knowledge, there has been no national program proposed for prevention and control of brucellosis in Ethiopia. Similarly at regional levels, no strategy is in place to control brucellosis. This is largely a result of lack of facilities and budget to run such a program. Moreover, many responsible bodies may not recognize the significance of brucellosis given the contradictory and sometimes low prevalence data. However, at this time, it is crucial to define geographical extent of the problem and then allocate resources and funds to initiate prevention and control strategies in this country [76].

**CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Brucellosis is worldwide disease and has high prevalence in many African countries. Brucellosis affected both animals and humans, has a very high economic and public health impact. Its impact on Public health is very well related to the infected animal species from which human transmission occurs. The disease transmits from infected animals to human beings through several routes; it can be transmitted via consumption of unpasteurized milk and cheese, direct contact with infected animal and handling of specimen that contaminated with
Brucella species. It is special hazard to occupational groups. It causes considerable losses in cattle as a result of abortion and reduction in milk yield. Even though the disease is prevalent in Ethiopia, few reports in human are available. This may be due to absence of appropriate diagnostic facilities. Based on the above concluding remarks, the following recommendations are forwarded:

- In order to reduce the economic losses and public health impact of the brucellosis disease, control and eradication of disease of animals should be prepared or designed at the national and regional level.
- To convince the decision makers, prevalence, distribution and public health impact of the disease should be further studied and well documented.
- Suitable laboratories for study of the disease have to be established at national and regional level.
- Public education on the transmission and source of infection of the disease as well as control and prevention method should be taught or awareness creation should be applied.
- For both human and animal brucellosis, extension services should include emphasis on addressing the impacts of risk factors for the occurrence of brucellosis.
- Avoid eating or drinking unpasteurized milk, cheese, or ice cream.
- The necessary precautions should be taken to reduce occupational risks. Aware people to use Pasteurized milk widely practiced to prevent human infections.
- Eradication of the reservoir to eliminate the sources of infection in order to protect vulnerable animals or herds coupled with measures to prevent re-introduction of the disease.
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