# Improving Seed Germination and Seedling Growth of Some Mango Rootstocks

A.E.A. Shaban

Department of Pomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

**Abstract:** This experiment was conducted during 2007, 2008 and 2009 years at the nursery of Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Egypt to study the effect of seed husking and soaking in GA<sub>3</sub> on germination and vegetative growth parameters of Zebda, Sukkary, Sabre and "13-1" polyembryonic mango rootstocks under nursery conditions. Germination percentage and number of seedlings per seed increased with seed husking and soaking in GA<sub>3</sub> at 100 or 200 ppm concentrations for 48 hours. The highest germination percentage and number of seedlings were recorded by Sabre rootstock meanwhile, the lowest was recorded by Sukkary rootstock. Seedling length, seedling diameter, number of leaves per seedling, leaf area and root length of the studied rootstocks were increased with seed husking and GA<sub>3</sub> treatments. The highest values of seedling length, diameter, leaf area and number of leaves per seedling were observed by Zebda and the lowest was by "13-1". Zebda, Sukkary and Sabre rootstocks recorded higher values of growth parameters than "13-1" rootstock. Husking mango seeds and soaking them in GA<sub>3</sub> prior to sowing improved germination and seedling growth.

Key words: Mango (Mangifera indica L.) · Rootstocks · Husking · GA<sub>3</sub> · Seed germination · Seedling growth

## INTRODUCTION

Mango seeds are used in the production of rootstocks for grafting various desired cultivars. These rootstocks resulted from seeds, either monoembryonic or polyembryonic. Sabre and "13-1" are considered suitable for use as polyembryonic rootstocks and both of them produce uniform, vigorous seedlings with good compatibility with other cultivars. In mango growing areas, the selected polyembryonic and monoembryonic mango are grafted onto uniform, nucellar seedling rootstocks [1]. In Egypt, mostly mango seedlings of any type are available and used as rootstocks. However Sukkary and Zebda cvs became wide spread as trusted polyembryonic rootstocks [2]. Moreover, Wahdan [3] and Melouk [4] recommended using Sukkary mango as a salt tolerant rootstock in saline soils. Also, Hamed [5] recommended using Sukkary rootstocks for grafting Keitt and Sediek mango cultivars. So, improving mango seed germination and growth of the polyembryonic rootstocks help in promoting its growth. Germination percentage of mango seeds was improved by husking or removing the hard seed coat [2, 5, 6, 7]. Also, application of GA<sub>3</sub> to mango seeds at 100 or 200 ppm enhanced the

growth and vigour of mango seedlings [8-10]. Improving seed germination and enhancing seedling growth of mango rootstocks are very important for producing healthy and good rootstocks in a short period as well as increasing the income of the mango nursery.

Therefore, this experiment was conducted to access the effect of seed husking and soaking in GA<sub>3</sub> on germination and seedling growth of Zebda, Sukkary, Sabre and "13-1" polyembryonic mango rootstocks.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This investigation was conducted during the years 2007, 2008 and 2009 at the nursery and the laboratory of Pomology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University at Giza Governorate, Egypt. Mango fruits of Zebda, Sukkary, Sabre and "13-1" cultivars were collected in August of both 2007 and 2008 seasons from trees planted in a private orchard located in Sharkeia Governorate, Egypt.

Freshly extracted seeds were cleaned with tap water then the healthy and normally weight seeds were selected. Seeds of each tested cultivar were received one of the following treatments:

- Unhusked seeds (intact seeds) with soaking in tap water for 48 hr (control).
- Unhusked seeds with soaking in GA<sub>3</sub> at 100 ppm concentration for 48 hr.
- Unhusked seeds with soaking in GA<sub>3</sub> at 200 concentration ppm for 48 hr.
- Husked seeds (removing seed coat) with soaking in tap water for 48 hr.
- Husked seeds with soaking in GA<sub>3</sub> at 100 ppm concentration for 48 hr.
- Husked seeds with soaking in GA<sub>3</sub> at 200 ppm concentration for 48 hr.

The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete blocks design. Each treatment was comprised three replicates, each of 50 seeds. After that, seeds of each treatment were immediately sown in black polyethylene bags containing a mixture of clay and clean sand. Number of germinated seeds and total number of germinated seedlings per seed was counted after two months from sowing the seeds. The germination (%) was calculated by the following equation:

Germination (%) = 
$$\frac{\text{Number of germinated seeds}}{\text{Total number of seeds}} \times 100$$

After eight months from seed germination (April 2008 and 2009 seasons), seedling length (cm), seedling diameter (cm), number of leaves per seedling, leaf area (cm²) and root length (cm) were measured. The current study followed a randomized complete blocks design in factorial analysis, with three factors (A: rootstocks, B: husking and C: GA<sub>3</sub>). The obtained data were tabulated and statistically analyzed according to Snedecor and Cochran [11] and mean values were compared by Duncan's multiple range test at 5% level of probability [12].

#### RESULTS

**Germination Percentage:** Effects of seed husking and soaking in GA<sub>3</sub> on germination percentage of Zebda, Sukkary, Sabre and "13-1" mango rootstocks are presented in Table 1. It is obvious that, husked seeds gave higher significant germination percentage than unhusked ones. Also, soaking both husked and unhusked seeds in GA<sub>3</sub> (at 100 or 200 ppm) significantly improved germination percentage as compared with the

control. However, seed husking was more effective in improving germination percentage than GA<sub>3</sub> applications. Regardless of seed treatments, the germination percentage varied significantly between the studied rootstocks where the highest value was recorded with Sabre followed by Zebda and "13-1" rootstocks respectively. On the contrary, seeds of Sukkary rootstock recorded the least germination percentage. Regarding the interaction effect between rootstocks and seed treatments, the highest germination percentage resulted from soaking husked Zebda seeds in GA<sub>3</sub> at 200 ppm. Meanwhile, the lowest germination percentage resulted from unhusked Sukkary seeds without any of GA<sub>3</sub> treatments.

Number of Germinated Seedlings per Seed: Data presented in Table 2 showed that husked seeds produced a higher significant number of germinated seedlings than the unhusked ones. Soaking seeds in GA<sub>3</sub> at 200 ppm significantly increased number of germinated seedlings however, GA<sub>3</sub> at 100 ppm had no significant effect on this number of both husked and unhusked seeds. Regardless of seed treatments, Sabre rootstock produced the highest significant number of seedlings. On the other hand, there were no significant differences between the other three tested rootstocks regarding the number of germinated seedlings. Considering the interaction effect between rootstocks and seed treatments, the highest number of germinated seedlings per seed resulted from Sabre seeds which were husked and dipped in GA<sub>3</sub> at 200 ppm. On the contrary, the lowest number was resulted from unhusked seeds of both Sukkary and "13-1" without any of GA<sub>3</sub> treatments.

Seedling Length: Seedling length was significantly longer for husked seeds than the unhusked ones (Table, 3). Soaking in GA<sub>3</sub> produced longer seedling for both husked and unhusked seeds than soaking in tap water. Regardless of seed treatments, Zebda rootstock produced the longest seedling followed by Sabre and Sukkary rootstocks. Meanwhile, the shortest seedling was detected with "13-1" rootstock. Interaction indicated that seed husking and soaking them in GA<sub>3</sub> at 200 ppm produced the maximum seedling length for all the studied rootstocks and the highest values were observed with Zebda. On the other hand, the shortest seedling was resulted from unhusked seeds without application of GA<sub>3</sub> and the lowest value was detected with "13-1" rootstock.

 $\underline{\textbf{Table 1: Germination percentage of some mango rootstocks after treatments with seed husking and soaking in GA_{2} during 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 seasons}$ 

	Seed treatments (husking and soaking in GA <sub>3</sub> )										
	Unhusked	seed			Husked see	Husked seed					
	GA <sub>3</sub> conce	entrations (ppn	1)		GA <sub>3</sub> concer						
Cultivars (Rootstocks)	0	100	200	Mean	0	100	200	Mean	Average		
	2007/2008	3 season									
Zebda	52.6m	64.6ij	72.0h	63.1E	85.3def	90.0bcd	94.0ab	89.7A	76.4B		
Sukkary	46.6n	54.0lm	61.3jk	53.9G	72.0h	80.0fg	82.0fg	78.0C	65.9D		
Sabre	54.0lm	69.3hi	78.0g	67.1D	88.0cde	93.3abc	97.3a	92.8A	79.9A		
"13-1"	46.6n	56.0klm	61.3jk	54.9F	82.0fg	82.6fg	85.3def	83.3B	69.1C		
Mean	49.9F	61.0E	68.1D	59.9B	81.8C	86.4B	89.6A	85.9A			
	2008/2009 season										
Zebda	53.3lm	65.3j	72.0ghi	63.5E	87.3c	92.0b	93.3b	90.8B	77.2B		
Sukkary	48.0n	53.3lm	65.3j	55.5F	70.0hi	78.5ef	83.3cd	77.2C	66.4C		
Sabre	56.0klm	68.6ij	76.0fg	66.8D	92.0b	96.0ab	98.0a	95.3A	81.1A		
"13-1"	52.6m	57.3kl	60.0k	56.6F	74.0gh	80.0def	82.0de	78.6C	67.6C		
Mean	52.4F	61.1E	68.3D	60.6B	80.8C	86.6B	89. IA	85.5A			

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability

Table 2: Number of germinated seedlings per seed of some mango rootstocks after treatments with seed husking and soaking in GA<sub>3</sub> during 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 seasons

2008/2009 sea		. 4 1:	1 1: :	- C4 \								
	Seed treat	Seed treatments (husking and soaking in GA <sub>3</sub> )										
	Unhusked	l seed			Husked see	d						
	GA <sub>3</sub> conc	entrations (ppr	n)		GA <sub>3</sub> concer							
Cultivars (Rootstocks)	0	100	200	Mean	0	100	200	Mean	Average			
	2007/200	8 season										
Zebda	1.3 ef	1.4 ef	1.6 ef	1.43 C	2.8 cd	3.0 bcd	3.1 bcd	2.96 B	$2.20\mathrm{B}$			
Sukkary	1.2 ef	1.3 ef	1.2 f	1.23 C	2.7 d	2.8 cd	2.9 cd	$2.80~\mathrm{B}$	2.01 B			
Sabre	1.3 ef	1.4 ef	1.7 e	1.46 C	3.2 abc	3.4 ab	3.6 a	3.40 A	2.43 A			
"13-1"	1.2 f	1.3 ef	1.3 ef	1.26 C	2.8 cd	3.0 bcd	3.0 bcd	2.93 B	$2.10\mathrm{B}$			
Mean	1.25 C	1.35 C	1.45 C	1.35 B	2.87 B	3.05 AB	3.15 A	3.02 A				
	2008/2009 season											
Zebda	1.2 fg	1.4 efg	1.6 ef	1.40 CD	2.8 cd	2.9 bcd	3.2 abc	2.96 B	2.18 B			
Sukkary	1.1 g	1.3 fg	1.4 efg	1.26 D	2.7 d	2.7 d	3.0 abcd	$2.80~\mathrm{B}$	2.03 B			
Sabre	1.4 efg	1.5 efg	1.8 e	1.56 C	3.0 abcd	3.3 ab	3.4 a	3.23 A	2.40 A			
"13-1"	1.1 g	1.3 fg	1.5 efg	1.30 D	2.8 cd	2.8 cd	3.0 abcd	$2.86\mathrm{B}$	$2.08\mathrm{B}$			
Mean	1.20D	1.37 D	1.57 C	1.38 B	2.82 B	2.92 B	3.15A	2.96 A				

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability

Table 3: Seedling length (cm) of some mango rootstocks after treatments with seed husking and soaking in GA<sub>3</sub> during 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 seasons

Seed treatments (husking and soaking in GA<sub>3</sub>)

	Unhusked	seeds			Husked seed					
	GA <sub>3</sub> conce	entrations (ppn	1)		GA <sub>3</sub> concen					
Cultivars (Rootstocks)	0	100	200	Mean	0	100	200	Mean	Average	
	2007/2008	season								
Zebda	56.5 fg	60.4 de	64.2 c	60.3 C	65.2 c	70.3 ab	73.4 a	69.6 A	65.0 A	
Sukkary	45.2 j	48.0 ij	53.2 gh	48.8 E	53.5 gh	58.2 ef	64.6 c	58.7 C	53.7 C	
Sabre	49.0 i	51.3 hi	54.1 gh	51.4 D	62.4 cd	64.2 c	69.1 b	65.2 B	58.3 B	
"13-1"	32.21	37.5 k	38.5 k	36.1 G	39.1 k	40.3 k	45.2 j	41.5 F	38.8 CD	
Mean	45.72 F	49.30 E	52.50 D	49.1 B	55.0 C	58.2 B	63.1 A	58.7 A		
	2008/2009 season									
Zebda	48.6 ij	58.2 efg	63.1 bcde	56.6 C	64.2 bc	66.3 ab	70.4 a	66.96 A	61.8 A	
Sukkary	46.3 j	47.3 ij	52.0 hi	48.5 D	58.6 defg	60.1 cdef	62.3 bcde	60.33 B	54.4 C	
Sabre	47.3 ij	52.1 hi	54.3 gh	51.2 D	55.6 fgh	63.6 bcd	67.3 ab	$62.16\mathrm{B}$	56.7 B	
"13-1"	34.11	36.4 kl	38.2 kl	36.2 F	39.7 k	40.8 k	40.2 k	$40.23\mathrm{E}$	38.2 D	
Mean	44. 1 E	48.5 D	51.9 C	48.1 B	54.52B	57.70A	60.05 A	57.42 A		

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly differed at 0.05 level of probability

 $\underline{\text{Table 4: Seedling diameter (cm) of some mango rootstocks after treatments with seed husking and soaking in GA_3 during 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 seasons}$ 

	Seed treatments (husking and soaking in GA <sub>3</sub> )										
	Unhusked	seed			Husked see						
	GA <sub>3</sub> conce	ntrations (ppm	 ı)		GA <sub>3</sub> concen						
Cultivars (Rootstocks)	0	100	200	Mean	0	100	200	Mean	Average		
	2007/2008	season									
Zebda	0.52 def	0.54 cd	0.64 ab	0.56 AB	0.55 cd	0.59 abc	0.66 a	0.60 A	0.58 A		
Sukkary	0.54 cd	0.52 def	0.63 ab	0.56 AB	0.54 cd	0.58 bcd	0.65 ab	0.59 A	0.57 A		
Sabre	0.45 fg	0.51 def	0.52 def	0.49 C	0.53 cde	0.54 cd	0.54 cd	0.53 B	0.51 B		
"13-1"	0.41 g	0.43 g	0.45 efg	0.43 D	0.42 g	0.52 def	0.52 def	0.48 C	0.46 C		
Mean	0.48B	0.50B	0.56 A	0.51 B	0.51 B	0.56A	0.59A	0.55 A			
	2008/2009 season										
Zebda	0.54 b-e	0.65 a	0.65 a	0.61 A	0.56 a-e	0.57 a-e	0.62 ab	0.58 AB	0.59 A		
Sukkary	0.52 def	0.63 abc	0.64 ab	0.59 A	0.56 a-e	0.63 abc	0.64 ab	0.61 A	0.60 A		
Sabre	0.50 ef	0.52 def	0.53 cde	0.51 CD	0.53 cde	0.53 cde	0.64 ab	0.56 ABC	0.54 B		
"13-1"	0.42 f	0.51 ef	0.52 def	0.48 D	0.52 def	0.53 cde	0.54 b-e	0.53 BCD	$0.50\mathrm{B}$		
Mean	0.49 C	0.57AB	0.58 AB	0.55 A	0.54 BC	0.56AB	0.61A	0.56 A			

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability

Table 5: Number of leaves per seedling of some mango rootstocks after treatments with seed husking and soaking in GA<sub>3</sub> during 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 seasons

	Seed treatments (husking and soaking in GA <sub>3</sub> )										
	Unhusked	seed			Husked see	d					
	GA <sub>3</sub> conce	entrations (ppn	ı)		GA <sub>3</sub> concer						
Cultivars (Rootstocks)	0	100	200	Mean	0	100	200	Mean	Average		
	2007/2008	3 season									
Zebda	25.2 ghi	26.5 f-i	32.4 bcd	28.0 C	32.8 bc	40.2 a	44.5 a	39.1 A	33.6 A		
Sukkary	23.1 hij	24.2 g-j	27.4 e-h	24.9 D	25.6 f-i	27.2 e-i	31.6 b-e	28.1 C	26.5 C		
Sabre	26.4 f-i	28.2 c-g	28.1 d-g	27.5 CD	30.2 b-f	33.1 b	34.6 b	$32.6\mathrm{B}$	30.1 B		
"13-1"	18.2 k	20.2 jk	22.7 ijk	20.4 E	23.4 hij	25.3 ghi	26.2 f-i	24.9 D	22.6 D		
Mean	23.2 D	24.7 D	27.6 C	25.2 B	28.0 C	31.4 B	34.2 A	31.2 A			
	2008/2009 season										
Zebda	24.1 efg	25.3 d-g	27.5 c-f	25.6 CDE	31.3 bc	32.7 b	43.2 a	35.7 A	30.6 A		
Sukkary	23.2 fgh	24.2 efg	26.3 def	24.5 DE	26.0 d-g	28.2 b-e	29.3 bcd	27.7 BC	26.1 C		
Sabre	25.6 d-g	27.6 c-f	28.2 b-e	27.1 BCD	27.1 c-f	29.1 bcd	32.5 b	29.5 B	28.3 B		
"13-1"	18.1 i	18.9 hi	21.4 ghi	19.4 F	23.7 efg	24.6 d-g	24.3 efg	24.2 E	21.8 D		
Mean	22.7 E	24.0 DE	25.8 CD	24.2 B	27.0 BC	28.6 B	32.3 A	29.3 A			

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability

 $Table \ 6: Leaf \ area \ (cm^2) \ of some \ mango \ rootstocks \ after \ treatments \ with \ seed \ husking \ and \ soaking \ in \ GA_3 \ during \ 2007/2008 \ and \ 2008/2009 \ seasons$ 

Seed treatments (husking and soaking in GA <sub>3</sub> )									
Unhusked s	seed			Husked seed					
GA <sub>3</sub> concer	ntrations (ppm)			GA <sub>3</sub> concent					
0	100	200	Mean	0	100	200	Mean	Average	
2007/2008	season								
38.6 m	44.3 jk	46.0 jk	42.9 F	39.8 lm	48.0 ij	52.1 hi	46.6 E	44.8 D	
70.7 e	83.7 c	89.2 b	81.2 B	79.2 d	94.3 a	96.2 a	89.9 A	85.5 A	
39.0 m	45.1 jk	46.6 jk	43.6 F	44.1 jk	57.4 fg	59.2 f	53.5 D	48.5 C	
43.6 kl	54.5 gh	59.8 f	52.6 D	46.3 jk	60.3 f	67.2 e	57.9 C	49.6 C	
48.0 F	56.9 D	60.4 C	55.1 B	52.3 E	65.0 B	68.6 A	61.9 A	55.2 B	
2008/2009 season									
41.2 k	45.0 ijk	47.6 hij	44.6 G	41.9 k	49.2 ghi	54.0 fg	48.3 EF	46.4 D	
73.1 c	81.6 b	86.2 b	80.3 B	82.2 b	92.3 a	95.1 a	89.8 A	85.1 A	
42.1 jk	46.8 ijk	49.5 ghi	46.1 FG	43.2 jk	56.2 ef	60.3 de	53.2 D	49.6 C	
45.1 ijk	52.8 fgh	56.7 ef	51.5 DE	49.1 ghi	61.2 de	63.4 d	57.9 C	54.7 B	
50.3 E	56.5 D	60.0 C	55.6B	54.1 D	64.7 B	68.2A	62.3 A		
	Unhusked s GA <sub>3</sub> concer 0 2007/2008 38.6 m 70.7 e 39.0 m 43.6 kl 48.0 F 2008/2009 41.2 k 73.1 c 42.1 jk 45.1 ijk	Unhusked seed  GA <sub>3</sub> concentrations (ppm)  0 100  2007/2008 season  38.6 m 44.3 jk  70.7 e 83.7 c  39.0 m 45.1 jk  43.6 kl 54.5 gh  48.0 F 56.9 D  2008/2009 season  41.2 k 45.0 ijk  73.1 c 81.6 b  42.1 jk 46.8 ijk  45.1 ijk 52.8 fgh	Unhusked seed  GA <sub>3</sub> concentrations (ppm)  0 100 200  2007/2008 season  38.6 m 44.3 jk 46.0 jk  70.7 e 83.7 c 89.2 b  39.0 m 45.1 jk 46.6 jk  43.6 kl 54.5 gh 59.8 f  48.0 F 56.9 D 60.4 C  2008/2009 season  41.2 k 45.0 ijk 47.6 hij  73.1 c 81.6 b 86.2 b  42.1 jk 46.8 ijk 49.5 ghi  45.1 ijk 52.8 fgh 56.7 ef	Unhusked seed  GA <sub>3</sub> concentrations (ppm)  0 100 200 Mean  2007/2008 season  38.6 m 44.3 jk 46.0 jk 42.9 F  70.7 e 83.7 c 89.2 b 81.2 B  39.0 m 45.1 jk 46.6 jk 43.6 F  43.6 kl 54.5 gh 59.8 f 52.6 D  48.0 F 56.9 D 60.4 C 55.1 B  2008/2009 season  41.2 k 45.0 ijk 47.6 hij 44.6 G  73.1 c 81.6 b 86.2 b 80.3 B  42.1 jk 46.8 ijk 49.5 ghi 46.1 FG  45.1 ijk 52.8 fgh 56.7 ef 51.5 DE	Unhusked seed Husked seed  GA <sub>3</sub> concentrations (ppm)  0 100 200 Mean 0  2007/2008 season  38.6 m 44.3 jk 46.0 jk 42.9 F 39.8 lm  70.7 e 83.7 c 89.2 b 81.2 B 79.2 d  39.0 m 45.1 jk 46.6 jk 43.6 F 44.1 jk  43.6 kl 54.5 gh 59.8 f 52.6 D 46.3 jk  48.0 F 56.9 D 60.4 C 55.1 B 52.3 E  2008/2009 season  41.2 k 45.0 ijk 47.6 hij 44.6 G 41.9 k  73.1 c 81.6 b 86.2 b 80.3 B 82.2 b  42.1 jk 46.8 ijk 49.5 ghi 46.1 FG 43.2 jk  45.1 ijk 52.8 fgh 56.7 ef 51.5 DE 49.1 ghi	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability

 $\underline{\text{Table 7: Root length (cm) of some mango rootstocks after treatments with seed husking and soaking in $GA_3$ during $2007/2008$ and $2008/2009$ seasons}$ 

	Seed treatments (husking and soaking in GA <sub>3</sub> )									
	Unhusked	seed			Husked seed					
	GA <sub>3</sub> conce	entrations (ppn	ı)		GA <sub>3</sub> concen					
Cultivars (Rootstocks)	0	100	200	Mean	0	100	200	Mean	Average	
	2007/2008	3 season								
Zebda	42.8 efg	43.2 ef	44.0 def	43.3 C	51.3 abc	52.3 ab	53.6 a	52.4 A	47.8 A	
Sukkary	30.2 kl	35.4 ij	41.5 fgh	35.7 D	43.2 ef	44.0 def	47.2 cde	44.8 BC	$40.2\mathrm{B}$	
Sabre	33.6 ijk	38.3 ghi	38.2 ghi	36.7 D	46.2 def	47.5 b-e	48.3 bcd	47.3 B	$42.0\mathrm{B}$	
"13-1"	21.2 m	26.11	27.21	24.8 F	27.11	33.2 jk	37.0 hij	32.4 E	28.6 C	
Mean	31.9 D	35.7 C	37.7 C	35.1 B	41.9 B	44.2 AB	46.5 A	44.2 A		
	2008/2009 season									
Zebda	35.5 i-l	42.4 fgh	43.9 c-g	40.6 C	48.2 a-d	49.3 ab	50.6 a	49.3 A	44.9 A	
Sukkary	33.11	35.0 jkl	39.2 g-j	35.7 D	44.0 c-g	48.7 abc	45.0 b-f	45.9 B	$40.8\mathrm{B}$	
Sabre	32.21	38.2 h-k	40.1 f-i	36.8 D	43.0 e-h	43.2 d-h	47.6 a-e	44.6 B	$40.7  \mathrm{B}$	
"13-1"	25.2 n	26.0 n	26.7 mn	25.9 F	31.6 lm	32.01	33.2 kl	32.2 E	29.0 C	
Mean	31.5 C	35.4B	37.4 B	34.7 B	41.7 A	43.3 A	44.1 A	43.0 A		

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability

**Seedling Diameter:** Data presented in Table 4 showed a higher seedling diameter with the husked seeds than unhusked ones but the differences were significant in the first season only. Soaking seeds in GA3 at 200 ppm recorded higher values of seedling diameter than 100 ppm or the untreated seeds, this was noticed with both husked and unhusked seeds. Regardless of seed treatments, the diameter varied between rootstocks where seedling Zebda and Sukkary recorded the highest values while the lowest seedling diameter was found with "13-1" rootstock. Interaction effect between seed treatments and rootstocks showed that the highest seedling diameter was observed with seed husking and soaking in GA3 at 200 ppm. On the other hand, the lowest seedling diameter was achieved with unhusked seeds without any of GA3 treatments.

Number of Leaves per Seedling: Seed husking increased number of leaves per seedling significantly comparing with the unhusked ones (Table 5). Soaking seed in GA<sub>3</sub> at 100 or 200 ppm increased the number of leaves per seedling for husked and unhusked seeds. Regardless of seed treatments, Zebda seedling recorded the highest significant number followed by Sabre and Sukkary rootstocks. On the contrary, the lowest number of leaves was observed with "13-1" rootstock. Regarding the interaction effect between seed treatments and rootstocks, seed husking and soaking in GA3 at 200 ppm increased this number and the highest values were recorded with Zebda rootstock. On the other hand, unhusked seeds without GA3 treatments decreased this number and the lowest values were recorded with "13-1" rootstock.

Leaf Area: Seedling leaf area of all studied rootstocks significantly higher with husked seed than unhusked ones (Table 6). Seed treatments with GA3 at 100 or 200 ppm recorded a significant increase in area for both husked and unhusked seeds. leaf Regardless of seed treatments, leaf area varied significantly between the studied rootstocks where the highest values were recorded with Sukkary followed by "13-1" rootstock and Sabre. Meanwhile, the lowest leaf area was detected with Zebda rootstock. Interaction between seed treatments and rootstocks recorded the highest leaf area with soaking husked seeds of Sukkary in GA<sub>3</sub> at 200 ppm. On the other hand, the lowest leaf area was detected with unhusked seed of Zebda without any of GA, treatments.

Root Length: Table 7 showed that seed husking significantly increased root length of all studied rootstocks than unhusking. Soaking in GA3 increased root length although the differences between the two concentrations 100 and 200 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub> were insignificant. Regardless of seed treatments Zebda rootstock produced the highest average of root length meanwhile, the lowest root length was observed with "13-1" rootstock. Sukkary and Sabre rootstocks produced intermediate values of root length and the differences between them were insignificant. With respect to the effect of interaction between seed treatments and rootstocks, husking Zebda seeds and soaking them in GA<sub>3</sub> at 200 ppm produced the longest roots. On the other side, the lowest root length was exhibited with unhusked seeds of "13-1" rootstock without any of GA3 treatments.

### DISCUSSION

Results of this study showed that, seed husking and soaking in GA<sub>3</sub> resulted in the highest germination percentage and number of germinated seedlings per seed for all studied polyembryonic rootstocks. The beneficial effect of seed husking towards germination characters could be explained to the barrier effect of hard seed coat on germination of embryos and due to its damage effect on embryos through release from the seeds. Thus, the preferable effect of husking mango seeds just before sowing might be attributed to the supply of oxygen and moisture to the embryos in order to help the seedlings to emerge. Such differences in seed germination of mango cultivars were previously found by Abd El-Zaher [2] and Hamed [5]. Also, the GA<sub>3</sub> application increased the percentage of seed emergence of Annona [13].

The results of the present study also revealed that, the germination percentage and average number of germinated seedlings/seed significantly differed according to the studied rootstocks. Sabre and Zebda rootstocks had the highest values, followed by "13-1" and Sukkary rootstocks. In this concern, Abd El-Zaher [2], Singh *et al.* [14] and Perez *et al.* [15] noticed that polyembryonic mango seeds varied in the number of nucellar seedlings originated from a single seed.

Seed husking and soaking in GA3 before sowing resulted in seedlings attained the highest significant values of seedling length, diameter, number of leaves, leaf area and root length. Meanwhile, seedlings a raised from unhusked seeds without any GA3 treatment exhibited the least significant values in all growth parameters. This may be related to enhancement of growth resulted from removing hard seed coat, which helped in increasing the growth parameters. Also, this improvement in seedling growth may be related to the role of GA3 in inducing growth. Moreover, seed husking might accelerated the absorptions of GA3 by embryos and this enhanced these embryos to produce better seedling growth than unhusked seeds. The favorable effect of such seed treatments on seedling growth was in agreement with the results of Abdel-Galil [7] who improved mango germination by seed husking. Also, Abd El-Zaher [2] recorded highest growth of mango rootstocks when their seeds were subjected to coat removal or cracking. Also, Venkata and Reddy [8] and Venkata et al. [10] reported that application of mango seeds with GA<sub>3</sub> at 100 or 200 ppm enhanced germination and growth of

mango seedlings. Moreover andrade et al. [16] promoted germination and growth of papaya by application of GA3 which produced higher emergency and seedling growth. The parameters of mango seedlings as influenced by the studied seed treatments were found to be differed by rootstocks under investigation. This variation between the studied rootstocks in their vegetative growth might due to growth habit of these rootstocks rather than seed treatments. In general, Zebda, Sukkary and Sabre seedlings had the highest values in studied parameters as compared with "13-1" mango rootstocks. In this concern, Srivastava et al. [17] showed that, growth potential of different mango rootstocks by observing various vegetative parameters helped in screening of rootstocks at nursery stage. In addition, Abd El-Zaher [2], Venkata and Reddy [9] and Perez et al. [15] recorded a variation in vegetative growth measurements of different mango rootstocks. Also, Venkata et al. [10] cleared that the application of mango seeds with GA3 enhanced growth and vigor of mango seedlings. Moreover andrade et al. [16] promoted germination and seedling growth of papaya by application of GA<sub>3</sub>.

It could be concluded that, seed husking of mango rootstocks and soaking them in GA<sub>3</sub> prior to sowing improved germination and accelerating seedling growth.

## REFERENCES

- Litz, R.E., 2008. The Mango Botany, Production and Uses. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. CAB international. New York, pp. 670.
- Abd El-Zaher, M.H., 2004. A comparative study on polyembryonic rootstocks grafting three mango cultivars. J. Agric. Mansoura Univ., 29: 3464-3479.
- Wahdan, M.T., 2004. Effect of salinity of irrigation water on growth and nutritional status of mango nurslings. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 29(12): 7347-7362.
- Melouk, A.M., 2005. Response of some mango nursery trees to salt stress (NaCl). The 6<sup>th</sup> Arabic Conference for Horticulture, Ismailia Univ., Egypt, pp: 383-398.
- Hamed, H.H., 2009. Morphological, histological and molecular identification of nucellar embryos and their compatibility with some mango cultivars. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., pp: 125.
- Abdel-Galil, H.A., 1992. Evaluation of certain techniques for germination of mango seed stones. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 23: 136-151.

- Abdel-Galil, H.A., 2002. Effect of media and husking on seeds germination of some mango cultivars. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 33: 25-40.
- 8 Venkata, R. and Y.T.N. Reddy, 2005a. Effect of osmopriming on germination, seedling growth and vigour of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) stones. Karnataka J. Horticulture, 1(4): 29-35.
- Venkata, R. and Y.T.N. Reddy, 2005b. Performance of polyembryonic mango rootstocks under nursery conditions. Indian J. Hort., 62(3): 298-299.
- Venkata, R., Y.T.N. Reddy, N. Srinivas and M. Shiragur, 2006. Growth of mango (Mangifera indica L.) seedlings as influenced by stone treatments. J. Asian Hort., 2(3): 160-165.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran, 1980. Statistical Methods, 7<sup>th</sup> Ed. The Iowa State Univ. Press, Amer., Iowa., USA., pp. 278.
- 12. Duncan, D.B., 1955. Multiple Ranges and Multiple F test. Biometrics, 11: 1-42.
- Lima, B.A., V.C.A. Campos, J.R.F. Santana and A.L.C. Dornelles, 2006. Effect of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) on emergence of *Annona crassiflora Mart.*, *Annona squamosa L.* and *Annona muricata L.* seedlings. Magistra, 18(1): 27-33.

- Singh, N.P., K.L. Chadha and R.P. Srivastava, 1983.
   Nucellar seedling from polyembryonic mango stones.
   Current Science, India, 52: 782-784.
- Perez, P.E., C.M. Quintero, S.L. Sandoval and Z. Viloria, 1998. Germination and morphological characteristics of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) ev. Pico de Loro seedlings, tolerant to salinity. Revista de la Facultad de Agronomia, Universidad Del Zulia, 15: 526-533.
- Andrade, R.M., H.J.J. Ayala, T.I. Alia, M.H. Rodriguez, D.C.M. Acosta and V. Lopez, 2008. Effect of germination promoters and substrates in the development of papaya seedlings. Revista de la Facultad de Agronomia, Universidad del Zulia., 25(4): 617-635.
- Srivastava, R.P., K.L. Chadha and N.P. Singh, 1997.
   Standardization of rootstocks in mango. Annual Report. Central Mango Research Station, Lucknow., pp: 14-15.