American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 11 (3): 456-461, 2011
ISSN 1818-6769


# Callus Induction and Root Formation on the Leaf Micro-Cuttings of *Matthiola incana* Using Kn and NAA

[1]*Behzad Kaviani,* [1]*Afshin Ahmadi Hesar,* [2]*Alireza Tarang,*
[2]*Sahar Bohlooli Zanjani,* [1]*Davood Hashemabadi and* [3]*Mohammad Ali Rezaei*

[1]Department of Horticultural Science, Rasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Rasht, Iran
[2]Department of Tissue Culture, Agricultural Biotechnology Research Institute,
North Region Branch, Rasht, Iran
[3]Department of Biology, Gorgan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Gorgan, Iran

**Abstract:** Callus induction and root formation on callus are possible when kinetin (Kn) and naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) are added to Murashige and Skoog's (MS) medium. Seeds of *Matthiola incana* (an ornamental plant) were germinated on solid MS medium without plant growth regulators. Leaf micro-cuttings from four-week-old *in vitro* germinated seedlings were subcultured on solid MS medium containing Kn (0.0, 0.5 and 1.0 mg/L) and NAA (0.0, 0.5 and 1.0 mg/L). The callus was induced from these media after four weeks of culture, except for medium containing 0.5 and 1.0 mg/L NAA. The development of roots was observed from callus in MS medium containing suitable concentration of Kn and NAA. MS mediums containing 0.5 mg/L NAA (100%) and 0.5 mg/L Kn + 0.5 mg/L NAA (100%) were most effective in induction of callus on leaf micro-cuttings. The largest number (1.83) and the highest length (15.7 mm) of roots were obtained in MS medium supplemented with 0.5 mg/L Kn + 1.0 mg/L NAA. NAA did not stimulate callus induction and root formation when it was applied alone. Also, this hormone prevented root formation originated from callus with concentration of 1.0 mg/L along with 0.5 and 1.0 mg/L Kn in medium.

**Abbreviations:** Kn-kinetin · MS-Murashige and Skoog · NAA-naphthalenacetic acid
**Key words:** Brassicaceae · Micropropagation · Organogenesis · Ornamental plants · Plant growth regulators

## INTRODUCTION

The ornamental species *Matthiola incana*, belonging to Brassicaceae, is a pot plant. The Brassicaceae is a fairly large family with many economically important taxa, but from viewpoint of tissue culture, it has been little studied. Natural propagation of *Matthiola incana* takes place by seed. The economic value of ornamental plants has increased significantly worldwide and is increasing annually by 8-10% [1]. The techniques for *in vitro* propagation of ornamental plants and tissue culture laboratory equipment are being continuously improved to meet the demand of the floriculture breeding and industry [2]. Tissue culture has become a routine technique in agricultural and horticultural development which has revolutionized the ornamental industry and most popular application of this technique is micropropagation [3-4]. Micropropagation through tissue culture permits the regeneration of large numbers of disease free plants from small pieces (explants) of stock plants in a relatively short period and, crucially, without seasonal restrictions [5]. In general, the number of publications on different aspects of the culture of *Matthiola incana* is limited, with emphasis on micropropagation through somatic explants [6]. In the field of ornamental plants, tissue culture has allowed mass propagation of superior genotypes and plant improvement, thus enabling the commercialization of healthy and uniform planting material [7-8]. The success of the micropropagation method depends on several factors like genotype, media, plant growth regulators and type of explants, which should be observed during the process [9-10]. In general, three modes of *in vitro* plant regeneration have been in practice: organogenesis, embryogenesis and axillary proliferation. In tissue culture, cytokinins and auxins play a crucial role as promoters of cell division and act in the induction and development of meristematic centers leading to the formation of organs

**Corresponding Author:** Behzad Kaviani, Department of Horticultural Science, Rasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Rasht, Iran. Tel: +989111777482, E-mail: b.kaviani@yahoo.com.

[11]. The most frequently used growth regulators for micropropagation of ornamental plants by organogenesis, embryogenesis and axillary proliferation are naphthalenacetic acid (NAA) and benzyl adenine (BA) [1]. Kn has been applied for micropropagation of many plants [1]. In this paper, potential of leaf explants of *in vitro* grown *Matthiola incana* seedling to induce of callus and root by Kn and NAA has been discussed.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seeds of *Matthiola incana* were prepared from Mohaghegh-e-Ardabili University, Iran. The seeds were washed thoroughly under running tap water for 20 min and disinfected with a 20% NaOCl aqueous solution and Tween-20 for 10 min then rinsed three times in sterile distilled water (10 min each). At the end, seeds were sterilized for 2 min in 70% ethanol followed by three times rinses with sterile distilled water (15 min each). Five seeds were cultivated in culture flasks on MS [12] basal medium without growth regulators (Figure 1). Micro-cuttings (leaves) were isolated from 4-week-old plants and cultivated on MS medium supplemented with 0.0, 0.5 and 1.0 mg/L Kn and 0.0, 0.5 and 1.0 mg/L NAA. The media were adjusted to pH 5.7-5.8 and solidified with 7 g/L Agar-agar. The media were pH adjusted before autoclaving at 121°C, 1 atm. for 20 min. The cultures were incubated in growth chamber whose environmental conditions were adjusted to 25±2°C and 75-80% relative humidity, under a photosynthetic photon density flux 50 $\mu$mol/m$^2$/s with a photoperiod of 14 h per day. Some characters such as callus, fresh weight, number of root and root length were recorded after 30 days. The experimental design was R.C.B.D. Each experiment was carried out in three replicates and each replicate includes five specimens. Data were subjected to ANOVA (analysis of variance) and significant differences between treatments means were determined by LSD test.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The plant growth regulators are widely used for callus, rooting and shoot induction in tissue culture studies. Therefore, we studied the effect of Kn and NAA on callus production and rooting of *Matthiola incana*, an ornamental plant. Data are presented in Table 1. This revealed that the largest number and highest length of root were obtained in MS basal medium containing 0.5 mg/L Kn + 1.0 mg/L NAA. Our data revealed that there are differences in the effect of the different




Fig. 1: (a-f) Process of culture, induction of callus and root formation of *Matthiola incana* through *in vitro* culture of leaf. (a) Culture of seeds on MS basal medium without plant growth hormones. (b) Germination of seeds on MS basal medium without plant growth hormones. (c) Development of plantlets from seeds cultured on MS basal medium without plant growth hormones after 4 weeks. (d) Leaves explants cultured on MS basal medium containing Kn and NAA. (e) Callus induced from leaf segments after 4 weeks of culture on MS basal medium containing Kn and NAA. The callus formation was initiated within 2 weeks after initiation of leaf culture. (f) Regeneration of root from the basal portion of leaf segments cultured on MS basal medium containing 1.0 mg/L Kn + 0.5 mg/L NAA

Table 1: Effect of different concentrations of Kn and NAA on the root length and number, callugenesis percent and fresh weight of *Matthiola incana*

| | Traits | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plant growth Regulators (mg/L) | Root length (mm) | Root No. | Callugenesis (%) | Fresh weight (g) |
| 0.0 Kn | 7.44[a] | 1.08[a] | 75.00[a] | 0.75[a] |
| 0.5 Kn | 8.22[a] | 0.96[a] | 52.10[b] | 0.66[a] |
| 1.0 Kn | 1.30[b] | 0.12[b] | 29.70[c] | 0.49[b] |
| 0.0 NAA | 2.44[b] | 0.27[b] | 15.00[c] | 0.68[a] |
| 0.5 NAA | 5.77[a] | 0.72[a] | 84.80[a] | 0.65[a] |
| 1.0 NAA | 7.44[a] | 1.05[a] | 57.00[b] | 0.58[a] |
| 0.0 Kn + 0.0 NAA | 7.33[d] | 0.83[e] | 45.00[e] | 0.75[b] |
| 0.5 Kn + 0.0 NAA | 1.00[g] | 0.21[h] | 7.00[g] | 0.51[e] |
| 1.0 Kn + 0.0 NAA | 1.00[g] | 0.30[f] | 8.00[g] | 0.47[f] |
| 0.0 Kn + 0.5 NAA | 2.00[f] | 1.10[c] | 100.00[a] | 0.83[a] |
| 0.5 Kn + 0.5 NAA | 8.33[c] | 1.06[d] | 100.00[a] | 0.65[c] |
| 1.0 Kn + 0.5 NAA | 9.00[b] | 0.20[i] | 54.70[d] | 0.55[d] |
| 0.0 Kn + 1.0 NAA | 6.67[e] | 1.33[b] | 80.00[b] | 0.68[c] |
| 0.5 Kn + 1.0 NAA | 15.67[a] | 1.83[a] | 56.30[c] | 0.81[a] |
| 1.0 Kn + 1.0 NAA | 1.00[g] | 0.22[g] | 34.70[f] | 0.45[f] |

In each column, means with the similar letters are not significantly different at 5% level of probability using LSD test

Table 2: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the effect of different concentrations of Kn and NAA on the root length and number, callugenesis percent and fresh weight of *Matthiola incana*

| | | M.S. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Source of variations | df | Root length | Root No. | Callugenesis | Fresh weight |
| Kn | 2 | 185.44** | 3.202** | 4601.59** | 0.166** |
| NAA | 2 | 58.33** | 1.370** | 11139.37** | 0.0231ns |
| Kn × NAA | 4 | 64.61** | 0.680* | 510.44ns | 0.0348ns |
| Error | 15 | 7.48 | 0.192 | 258.44 | 0.0123 |
| Total | 23 | | | | |
| c.v. | | 52.37 | 64.04 | 30.74 | 17.42 |

**: Significant at $\alpha = 1\%$, *: Significant at $\alpha = 5\%$, ns=Non sense

concentrations of Kn and NAA on the root number and length. The most roots length (15.67 mm) and the most number of roots (1.833) were found when we used 0.5 mg/L Kn + 1.0 mg/L NAA (Table 1). This result was comparatively better than the growth of control. Data analysis showed that the effect of Kn and NAA was significant on the length and number of root ($p \leq 0.01$) (Table 2). Interaction effect of Kn and NAA was significant on the length and number of root ($p \leq 0.01$ and $p \leq 0.05$, respectively) (Table 2, Figure 1). The highest percent of callus induction (100%) was seen in explants grown in MS medium containing 0.5 mg/L NAA and 0.5 mg/L Kn + 0.5 mg/L NAA (Table 1, Figure 1). Data analysis showed that the effect of Kn and NAA were significant on the callus formation ($p \leq 0.01$) (Table 2). The effect of Kn + NAA was no significant on the callus formation (Table 2). The most fresh weight was obtained in explants grown in MS medium supplemented with 0.5 mg/L NAA (0.833 g) and 0.5 mg/L Kn + 1.0 NAA (0.817 g) (Table 1). Data analysis showed that the effect of Kn was significant on the fresh weight ($p \leq 0.01$) (Table 2). No the effect of NAA and Kn + NAA were significant on the fresh weight (Table 2).

All living parts of plants can be used as explants, but in case of ornamental plants, leaf especially obtained from *in vitro* grown plantlets has more extensively been applied. We used from leaf explants taken from *in vitro* germinated seeds of *Matthila incana*. Many researchers applied leaves of ornamental plants as explants [13-18].

Organogenesis in explants during micropropagation takes place either directly or after callus formation. Studies on many ornamental plants showed both kinds of organogenesis [1]. There are many reports on organogenesis via callus formation [1, 19]. Studies of Maira *et al*. [3] on *Anthurium andreanum* Lind cv Rubrun revealed that the four-week-old in plants obtained from micro-cuttings, showed callus proliferation at the stem base. The development of plantlets was observed from callus tissue. *In vitro* leaf explants in *Rosa damascena* and some other ornamental plants were used for direct organogenesis [20-22]. Nencheva [23] showed direct organogenesis from pedicel explants of Chrysanthemum.

Cytokinins and auxins are usually known to promote the formation of callus and root in many excited and *in vitro* cultured organs [1]. Proper type and concentration of these hormones are different for each

species. We observed that callus was formed on the explants in many treatments. NAA did not stimulate much callus induction and root formation when it was applied alone (Table 1). Similar to our findings, many researchers showed that cytokinins and auxins induced callus induction and root formation in ornamental plants [1,19,24-28]. Callus induction and root formation was performed for most Rhododendron genotypes by indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), NAA, indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) and 2,4-Dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4-D) [17]. Rout *et al.* [29] observed that the addition of benzylaminopurine (BAP) (2.0-3.0 mg/L) as the only growth regulator in the culture medium resulted in feeble callusing at the cut ends of the explants and the shoot elongation was considerably slow.

Rooting is a crucial step to the success of micropropagation. Without an effective root system plant acclimatization will be difficult and the rate of plant propagation may be severely affected [30]. The ideal concentrations of cytokinins and auxins differ from species to species and need to be established accurately to achieve the effective rates of multiplication [30]. The most types of cytokinins and auxins applied for root formation on callus or organs are BA, Kn and IAA and NAA, IBA and 2,4-D, respectively. Some studies showed the positive effect of cytokinins on rooting [30]. A review of the literature clearly points out to a negative effect of cytokinins on shoot rooting [31], although a positive role has been occasionally referred [32-33]. Studies of Godo *et al.* [16] and Wong and Bhalla [34] on *Lysionotus pauciflorus* Maxim. and *Scaevola*, respectively, showed that the regenerated shoots rooted easily on medium without any plant growth regulators. Current study showed the positive effect of Kn and NAA on root formation. Contrary to our findings, root formation was inhibited in the medium culture of *Lilium longiflorum* Georgia containing BA [35]. Nayak *et al.* [36] showed that the lowest rooting of *Bambusa arundinacea* was observed in medium without Kn. Fuller and Fuller [24] demonstrated that the least and most percentage of explants regeneration with root percent (5.0% and 65.0%) in *Brassica* spp. obtained in culture medium without IBA and Kn and 2 mg/L IBA without Kn, respectively. The studies of Gautam *et al.* [6] on *in vitro* regeneration of plantlets from somatic explants of *Matthiola incana* showed only a few shoots developed on explants reared on MS medium supplemented with 0.1 mg/L Kn. Also, NAA (1 and 4.0 mg/L) induced profuse rooting in explants. Nhut *et al.* [10] demonstrated adventitious shoots of *Begonia tuberous* can be rooted on MS medium supplemented with 0.5 mg/L BA + 0.1 mg/L NAA. Root was induced on nodal segments of *Vanda teres* on medium containing 2.0 mg/L Kn + 0.5 mg/L NAA [37]. Tyagi *et al.* [15] showed root induction at the cut ends of shoots obtained from leaf explants of *Crataeva adansonii* on MS basal medium devoid of growth regulators. Shoot cuttings induce roots on MS medium with 1.0 mg/L NAA in 4-5 weeks and in *Dianthus caryophyllus* L. with NAA and IBA [38]. IAA (0.5-1.0 mg/L) helped rooting in *Pelargonium* × *hortorum* [27]. Studies of Ruffoni *et al.* [39] on *Myrtus communis* showed that rooting was better in medium containing IAA than control, BA and BA + IAA. Ochatt *et al.* [28] demonstrated that for rooting of *Lathyrus odoratus* L. micro-shoots, they are explanted onto medium with 0.5-1.0 mg/L NAA for 3 weeks.

In conclusion, kind and concentration of growth regulators and kind of species are the most important factors in production of callus and root. Current study showed positive effect of Kn and NAA on callus induction and root formation on *in vitro* grown leave explants of *Matthiola incana*, if we use suitable concentrations of them, alone or in combination.

## REFEREENCES


1. Jain, S.M. and S.J. Ochatt, 2010. Protocols for *in vitro* propagation of ornamental plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press.
2. Rout, G.R., A. Mohapatra and S.M. Jain, 2006. Tissue culture of ornamental pot plant: a critical review on present scenario and future prospects. Biotechnol. Adv., 24(6): 531-560.
3. Maira, O., M. Alexander and T.E. Vargas, 2010. Micropropagation and organogenesis of *Anthurium andreanum* Lind cv Rubrun. In: Jain SM, Ochatt SJ (eds) Protocols for *In vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 3-14.
4. Bhattacharya S., S. Bhattacharyya, 2010. *In vitro* propagation of *Jasminum officinale* L.: a woody ornamental vine yielding aromatic oil from flowers. In: Jain, S.M. and S.J. Ochatt (eds) Protocols for *In vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 117-126.
5. Preil, W., P. Florak, U. Wix and A. Back, 1988. Towards mass propagation by use of bioreactors. Acta Hortic. 226: 99-107.
6. Gautam, V.K., A. Mittal, K. Nanda and S.C. Gupta, 1983. *In vitro* regeneration of plantlets from somatic explants of *Matthiola incana*. Plant Sci. Letters, 29: 25-32.

7. Winkelmann, T., T. Geier and W. Preil, 2006. Commercial *in vitro* plant production in Germany in 1985-2004. Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult., 86: 319-327.
8. Nhut, D.T., N.T. Don, N.H. Vu, N.Q. Thien, D.T.T. Thuy, N. Duy and J.A. Teixeira da Silva, 2006. Advanced technology in micropropagation of some important plants. In: Teixeira da Silva J.A. (ed) Floriculture Ornamental and Plant Biotechnology, vol. II. Global Science Books, UK, pp: 325-335.
9. Pati, P.K., S.P. Rath, M. Sharma, A. Sood and P.S. Ahuja, 2005. *In vitro* propagation of rose-a review. Biotech. Advances, pp: 94-114.
10. Nhut, D.T., N.T. Hai and M.X. Phan, 2010. A highly efficient protocol for micropropagation of *Begonia tuberous*. In: Jain SM, Ochatt SJ (eds) Protocols for *In vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 15-20.
11. Peeters, A.J.M., W. Gerards, G.W.M. Barendse and Wullems, 1991. *In vitro* flower bud formation in tobacco: interaction of hormones. Plant Physiol., 97: 402-408.
12. Murashige, T. and F. Skoog, 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue culture. Physiol Plant, 15: 473-497.
13. Ibrahim, R. and P.C. Debergh, 2000. Improvement of adventitious bud formation and plantlet regeneration from *in vitro* leaflet explants of roses (*Rosa hybrida* L.). Acta Hortic., 520: 271-280.
14. Pati, P.K., M. Sharma, A. Sood and P.S. Ahuja, 2004. Direct shoot regeneration from leaf explants of *Rosa damascena* Mill. *In Vitro* Cell. Dev. Biol. Plant, 40: 192-195.
15. Tyagi, P., P.K. Sharma and S.L. Kothari, 2010. Micropropagation of *Crataeva adansonii* D.C. Prodr: an ornamental avenue tree. In: Jain SM, S.J. Ochatt (eds) Protocols for *In vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 39-46.
16. Godo, T., Y. Lu and M. Mii, 2010. Micropropagation of *Lysionotus pauciflorus* Maxim. (Gesneriaceae). In: Jain SM, Ochatt SJ (eds) Protocols for *In vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 127-140.
17. Eeckaut, T., K. Janssens, E.D. Keyser and J.D. Riek, 2010. Micropropagation of Rhododendron. In: Jain S.M. and S.J. Ochatt, (eds) Protocols for *In vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 141-152.
18. Radice, S., 2010. Micropropagation of *Codiaeum variegatum* (L.) Blume and regeneration induction via adventitious buds and somatic embryogenesis. In: Jain, S.M. and S.J. Ochatt (eds) Protocols for *In vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 187-186.
19. Pati, P.K., N. Kaur, M. Sharma and P.S. Ahuja, 2010. *In vitro* propagation of rose. In: Jain, S.M. and S.J. Ochatt (eds) Protocols for *In Vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 163-176.
20. Leffering, R. and E. Kok, 1990. Regeneration of rose via leaf segments. Prophyta, 8: 244.
21. Ibrahim, R. and P.C. Debergh, 2001. Factors controlling high efficiency adventitious bud formation and plant regeneration from *in vitro* leaf explants of roses (*Rosa hybrida* L.). Sci. Hortic., 88: 41-57.
22. Dubois, L.A.M. and D.P. De Vries, 1995. Preliminary report on direct regeneration of adventitious buds on leaf explants of *in vitro* grown glass house rose cultivars. Gartenbauwissenschaft, 60: 249-253.
23. Nencheva, D., 2010. *In vitro* propagation of Chrysanthemum. In: Jain SM, Ochatt SJ (eds) Protocols for *In Vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 177-186.
24. Fuller, M.P. and F.M. Fuller, 1995. Plant tissue culture using Brassica seedlings. J. Biol. Edu., 20(1): 53-59.
25. Sangavai, C. and P. Chellapandi, 2008. *In vitro* propagation of a tuberose plant (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.). Electronic J. Biol., 4(3): 98-101.
26. Hashemabadi, D. and B. Kaviani, 2010. *In vitro* proliferation of an important medicinal plant Aloe-A method for rapid production. Aus. J. Crop Sci., 4(4): 216-222.
27. Dorion, N., H.B. Jouira, A. Gallard, A. Hassanein, M. Nassour and A. Grapin, 2010. Methods for *in vitro* propagation of *Pelargonium* × *hortorum* and others: from meristems to protoplasts. In: Jain, S.M. and S.J. Ochatt, (eds) Protocols for *In vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 197-212.
28. Ochatt, S.J., C. Conreux and L. Jacas, 2010. *In vitro* production of sweet peas (*Lathyrus odoratus* L.) via axillary shoots. In: Jain, S.M. and S.J. Ochatt (eds) Protocols for *In vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 293-302.

29. Rout, G.R., B.K. Debata and P. Das, 1990. *In vitro* clonal multiplication of roses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India, 60: 311-318.
30. Gomes, F., M. Simões, M.L. Lopes and M. Canhoto, 2010. Effect of plant growth regulators and genotype on the micropropagation of adult trees of *Arbutus unedo* L. (strawberry tree). New Biotech.
31. Van Staden, D., 2008. Plant growth regulators, II: cytokinins, their analogues and inhibitors. In: Plant Propagation by Tissue Culture (edn 3) (George EF, *et al.* eds), Springer, pp: 205-226.
32. Nemeth, G., 1979. Benzyladenine-stimulated rooting in fruit-tree rootstocks cultured *in vitro*. Pflanzenphysiol., 95: 389-396.
33. Bennett, I.J. *et al*., 1994. Alternating cytokinins in multiplication media stimulates *in vitro* shoot growth and rooting of *Eucalyptus globules* Labill. Ann. Bot., 74: 53-58.
34. Wong, C.E. and P.L. Bhalla, 2010. *In vitro* propagation of Australian native ornamental plant, *Scaevola*. In: Jain SM, Ochatt SJ (eds) Protocols for *In Vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 235-242.
35. Han, B.H., H.J. Yu, B.W. Yae and K.Y. Peak, 2004. *In vitro* micropropagation of *Lilium longiflorum* 'Georgia' by shoot formation as influenced by addition of liquid medium. Sci. Hortic., 103: 39-49.
36. Nayak, S., B. Hatwar and A. Jain, 2010. Effect of cytokinin and auxins on meristem culture of *Bambusa arundinacea*. Der. Pharmacia Letter, 2(1): 408-414.
37. Alam, M.F., P. Sinha and M.L. Hakim, 2010. Micropropagation of *Vanda teres* (Roxb.) Lindle. In: Jain SM, Ochatt SJ (eds) Protocols for *In vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 21-28.
38. Casas, J.L., E. Olmos and A. Piqueras, 2010. *In vitro* propagation of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.). In: Jain SM, Ochatt SJ (eds) Protocols for *In vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 109-116.
39. Ruffoni, B., C. Mascarello and M. Savona, 2010. *In vitro* propagation of ornamental Myrtus (*Myrtus communis*). In: Jain, S.M. and S.J. Ochatt, (eds) Protocols for *In vitro* Propagation of Ornamental Plants. Springer Protocols. Humana Press., pp: 257-270.