

Survival, Development and Growth of Whiteleg Shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* Zoea Fed with Monoalgae (*Chaetoceros* and *Tetraselmis*) Diets

¹Zahra Khojasteh, ¹Reza Davoodi, ²Reza Ghorbani Vaghei, ¹Hamidreza Nooryazdan

¹Department, Agricultural and Natural Resources College, Persian Gulf University, Bushehr, Iran

²Shrimp Research Institute, Bushehr, Iran

Abstract: In this study, effect of feeding two species of phytoplankton, *Tetraselmis* and *Chaetoceros*, on zoea stage of *Litopenaeus vannamei* were evaluated. The larvae were fed with microalgae from Zoea 1 (Z1) until they change to Mysis 1 (M1). Survival rate, growth (total length) and larval development was evaluated every day. The highest survival rate (66.63%) was obtained in larvae fed with *Chaetoceros*. The highest growth rate (total length) was also related to *Chaetoceros* treating larvae with an average length of 3.17 mm. After over 128 hours, the best development stages of larvae was obtained in *Chaetoceros* treating with 100% conversion of larvae to M1, whereas about 90% of larvae fed with *Tetraselmis* were observed at Z3. Generally, *Chaetoceros* treatment showed better results between the treatments and statistically showed significant difference with *Tetraselmis* treatment ($p < 0.05$).

Key words: *Litopenaeus vannamei* • Growth • Survival • Microalgae • *Tetraselmis* • *Chaetoceros*

INTRODUCTION

Although there have been some marked changes in catch trends by country, fishing area and species, the overall global capture fisheries production continues to remain stable at about 90 million tons [1] which does not meet the demands of growing world population. The experts of utilization have concluded that the only way to increase fisheries production and sustainable development is the industry of proliferation and reproducing of aquaculture [2]. In the last three decades, world food fish production of aquaculture has expanded by almost 12 times, at an average annual rate of 8.8 percent but more slowly than in the 1980s and 1990s [1].

Brackishwater aquaculture yielded only 7.9 percent of world production in terms of quantity but accounted for 12.8 percent of total value because of the relatively high-valued marine shrimps cultured in brackish-water ponds [1]. Shrimp aquaculture output is dominated by two species, *Penaeus monodon* and *Litopenaeus vannamei*, but the main trend is the increasing proportion accounted for by *L. vannamei*, particularly since 2001, when this species was introduced into Asia [3].

There are two important stages of shrimp life cycle, larval stage and stage of sexual maturation, which demands for natural feeds and live foods [4]. Live feed continues to be the principal nutritional basis for culture of larvae [5]. Studies

on different species of shrimp have shown that using phytoplankton and zooplankton as live food in larval stage is essential and can play an important role in improving larval growth and survival [6]. But microalgal species vary significantly in their nutritional value which is also dependent on culturing conditions [7, 8]. *Tetraselmis* and *Chaetoceros* are two of the main phytoplankton species use in shrimp hatcheries in Iran. In view of the global activity about the proliferation of *L. vannamei* as the dominant species and need of the larval stage of this species to live food and phytoplankton, this study aimed to determine the most appropriate phytoplankton diet in the larval stage of Zoea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in Shrimp Research Institute of Iran located in Bushehr. Twenty liters' plastic tanks were used for larval rearing from Naplius-5 to

Mysis-1. Water (30 ppt.) used for the study was disinfected, de-chlorinated and treated with 10 ppm EDTA before being transferred to the tanks. Hatched larvae of N4 and N5 stages were adapted ambient condition for 15 minutes and then with 100N/L density 1000s nauplii were transferred to each experimental tank. Phytoplankton was produced under laboratory condition using F/2 medium and mass culture using TMRL medium. Ambient condition of 25ppt. salinity, 25 to 27 °C temperature and light intensity of 5,000 to 10,000 lux were used for the cultivation of algae. Feeding started from N-6 to M-1 three times a day. Sampling was done on daily basis and length, survival rate and larval stage were recorded at the end of each day. All the physical and chemical parameters such as light, temperature, salinity and oxygen content were stable during the experiment.

This study was conducted using a completely randomized design with two treatments (*Tetraselmis* and *Chaetoceros*) and 3 replicates. Data analyzes was performed using ANOVA on SAS software. To assess the difference between treatments, Duncan test at 95% confidence level was used. Graphs were plotted with Excel.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The highest survival (66.63%) and total length (3.17 mm) was recorded for the larvae fed with *Chaetoceros* compare to 38.83% survival and 2.15 mm total length for *Tetraselmis* (Figs. 1, 2). After over 128 hours of rearing, 100% of the larvae fed *Chaetoceros* converted to M-1 while 90% of the larvae




Fig. 1: Survival rate of whiteleg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) zoea larvae fed with different phytoplankton




Fig. 2: Growth (total length) rate of whiteleg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) zoea larvae fed with different phytoplankton

fed *Tetraselmis* were in Z-3 stage. Only 24 hours later, 3.33% of the larvae treated with *Tetraselmis* converted to Mysis-1 with the total length of 2.26 mm.

No doubt, the larval stage, especially during of the yolk sac absorption, is extremely sensitive and to prevent mortality of larvae it is essential to provide good nutrition for them. Due to the small size of larvae and their ability to swim, it is necessary to provide a food fit to their mouth size and metabolic needs [9]. The obtained results showed

that, based on statistical analysis, there is a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in growth rate and survival of fish treated with *Chaetoceros* and *Tetraselmis*. *Chaetoceros* treating showed complete dominance than *Tetraselmis* treating in terms of growth, survival and larval development.

Penaeid larvae have an absolute requirement for long-chain unsaturated fatty acids, especially for C20 and C22: n-3 and n-6. In particular, their growth seems to be

promoted by the polyunsaturated docosahexaenoic fatty acid (DHA) 22: 6n-3 and enhanced further by eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) 20: 5n-3 [8, 10, 11]. In addition to these, D'Souza and Loneragan [12] found that the 20: 4n-6 arachidonic acid (ARA) could enhance growth of the protozoa stages of *P. semisulcatus*, *P. monodon* and *M. japonicus*. The difference in the rate of larval growth and survival is possibly due to differences in the fatty acid content of *Chaetoceros* and *Tetraselmis* algae. Study on Indian white shrimp (*Fenneropenaeus indicus*) [13] is also insisting these results. The results of this study are consistent with the results of studies on other species of shrimp. In a survey [9] on green tiger shrimp (*Penaeus semisulcatus*) higher survival, growth and larval development was found for larvae fed *Chaetoceros* microalgae than *Tetraselmis* microalgae. In another study [14] on the protozoa larvae of western white shrimp, the results were similar to the results of this study and *Chaetoceros* microalgae showed higher growth and survival compared to *Isocrysis* and *Tetraselmis* algae.

Therefore, it is concluded that although *Tetraselmis* is considered a good food source for several species of penaeid shrimp [15, 16], but it is clearly inadequate as food for the zoea stages of *L. vannamei* [12].

REFERENCES

1. Food and Agriculture Organization report, 2012. The status of world fisheries and aquaculture.
2. Beveridge, M.C.M., L.G. Ross and J.A. Stewart, 1996. The development of mariculture and its implication for biodiversity, in: marine biodiversity, pattern and processes. Eds R.F.G. Ormond and J. Gage, Cambridge University Press.
3. Secretariat of the Pacific Community, 2011. Shrimp farming in Pacific Island countries and territories: Status and trends in 2010.
4. Mourente, G.A., Medina, S. Gonzales and A. Rodriguez, 1995. Variation in lipid content and nutritional status during larval development of marine shrimp *Penaeus kerathurus*. *Aquaculture*, 130(2-3): 187-199.
5. Richmond, A., 2004. Handbook of Microalgal Culture: Biotechnology and applied phycology. Edit. Blackwell Publishing, USA., pp: 566.
6. Gallardo, P., E. Alfonso and G. Gaxiola, 1995. Feeding schedule for *Penaeus setiferus* larvae based on diatoms (*Chaetoceros ceratosporum*), flagellates (*Tetraselmis chuii*) and *Artemia* nauplii. *Aquaculture*, 131: 239-252.
7. Enright, C.T., G.F. Newkirk, J.S. Craigie and J.D. Castell, 1986. Evaluation of phytoplankton as diets for juvenile *Ostreae edulis* L. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 96: 1-13.
8. Brown, M.R., S.W. Jeffrey, J.K. Volkman and G.A. Dunstan, 1997. Nutritional properties of microalgae for mariculture. *Aquaculture*, 151: 315-331.
9. Hagh Nejad, M., Gh. Dalierpour, B. Ghaednia, M. Mirbakhsh and M. Alkhorshied, 2005. Comparative analysis of the growth and survival of protozoa stages of *Penaeus semisulcatus* fed with four phytoplankton species. *Iranian Scientific Fisheries Journal*, 14: 25-32. (In Persian).
10. Jones, D.A., A. Kanazawa and S.A. Rahman, 1979. Studies on the presentation of artificial diets for rearing the larvae of *Penaeus japonicus* Bate. *Aquaculture*, 17: 33-43.
11. Jones, D.A., A.B. Yule and D.L. Holland, 1997. Larval nutrition. In: L.R. D'Abramo, D.E. Conklin and D.L. Akiyama, (Eds.), *Crustacean Nutrition, Advances in World Aquaculture*, vol. 6. World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge, LA, USA, pp: 353-389.
12. D'Souza, F.M.L. and N.R. Loneragan, 1999. Effects of monospecific and mixed-algae diets on survival, development and fatty acid composition of penaeid prawn (*Penaeus* spp.) larvae. *Mar. Biol.*, 133: 621-633.
13. Nematollahi, M., A. Zabihi, S. Samadi and A. Sepahi, 2010. Comparative analysis of the growth and survival of protozoa stages of *Penaeus indicus* fed with four phytoplankton species. *Tertiary Congress Iranian Shrimp*, Bushehr, 1389, pp: 56. (In Persian).
14. Pina, P., D. Voltolina, M. Nieves and M. Robles, 2005. Survival, development and growth of the Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* protozoa larvae, fed with monoalgal and mixed diets, *Aquaculture*, 253: 523-530.
15. Liao, I.C., H.M. Su and J.H. Lin, 1993. Larval foods for penaeid prawns. In: J.P. Mc Vey, (Ed.), *Handbook of Mariculture, Crustacean Aquaculture*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1: 43-69.
16. Loya-Javellana, G., 1989. Ingestion saturation and growth responses of *Penaeus monodon* larvae to food density. *Aquaculture*, 81: 329-336.