

Root Extracts of Plants to Control Root-Knot Nematode on Edible Soybean

¹A.A. Adegbite and ²S.O. Adesiyun

¹Institute of Agricultural Research and Training,
Obafemi Awolowo University, P.M.B. 5029, Moor Plantation, Ibadan, Nigeria

²Department of Crop Protection and Environmental Biology,
University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

Abstract: Chemical control of plant-parasitic nematodes, essentially, involves the use of synthetic nematicides. However, apart from its very high cost, increased concern for the environment has necessitated a reduction in the amount of nematicide used for nematode control. Additionally, there has been an increase in the intensity of search for other efficient, ecologically sound and safe control methods. *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid and White) Chitwood, eggs were exposed to concentrations of root extracts of Siam weed [*Chromolaena odorata* (L.) King and Robinson], Neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Jass), Castor bean (*Ricinus communis* L.) and Lemon grass (*Cymbopogon citratus* (DC.) Stapf). One hundred percent concentration of root extracts of Siam weed and Neem exhibited 100% inhibition of egg hatch and larval mortality. While 100% concentration of root extracts of Castor bean and Lemon grass exhibited 93 and 95% inhibition of egg hatch and 62.1 and 75% larval mortality respectively. Egg inhibition and larval mortality decreased with an increase in the dilution of all the extracts. Similarly with an increase in exposure time, juvenile mortality was also increased. Significant differences were also observed in the concentrations of the root extracts in respect of the two parameters.

Key words: Edible soybean • egg hatching • larval mortality • *Meloidogyne incognita* • root extracts

INTRODUCTION

Root-knot nematode [*Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid and White) Chitwood], is an important pest of vegetables. Its approximate distribution in agricultural soils of Nigeria is 75% among *Meloidogyne* species [1]. It attacks almost all the cultivated plants and can cause high losses [2 - 5].

Initially, very few pests and diseases were found on edible soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] in Nigeria [6]. As cultivation expanded and became more intensive, pest and disease problems increased in incidence and severity [7, 8]. Nematodes did not initially constitute a serious problem on edible soybean in Nigeria although some important nematode species were found attacking the crop [1, 9]. With more intensive cultivation of edible soybean in areas that are frequently used in growing other vegetables, damage from root-knot nematode to edible soybean is increasing [1, 2, 9]. Greenhouse studies confirmed this [10 - 12].

Indiscriminate use of synthetic pesticides for controlling nematodes is likely to give rise to

phytotoxicity, environmental pollution and nematode resistance. Unsafe use of pesticides may result in poisoning of humans is a problem especially in developing countries [13 - 15].

There is a need to develop naturally occurring nematicide, which may be less toxic to man and animals but as effective against nematodes of various crops as synthetic ones. Toxicity of root extracts of different plants against nematodes has been reported by many researchers [16 - 19]. The present research was undertaken to evaluate plant root extracts to control root-knot nematode on edible soybean.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of extracts: Twenty-five gram of thoroughly washed and chopped roots of Siam weed [*Chromolaena odorata* (L.) King and Robinson], Neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Jass), Castor bean (*Ricinus communis* L.) and Lemon grass (*Cymbopogon citratus* (DC.) Stapf) were ground separately in an electric grinder in 100 mL of distilled water. These were then centrifuged and filtered

through Whatman No. 1 Filter Paper (Whatman, Bristol, UK) and constituted the undiluted (100%) treatment. This extract was diluted 5, 10 and 20 times with distilled water.

Extraction of juveniles: Root-knot nematode was identified on the basis of perineal pattern Eisenback *et al.* [20]. Its was maintained on the edible soybean cv. TGX 1485-1D and the second juveniles were extracted from roots using the tray method of Whitehead and Hemming [21].

Extraction of eggs: Egg masses collected from edible soybean roots were vigorously shaken with 200 mL of 5.2% sodium hypochlorite in stoppered flasks for 2 min. Eggs were washed by rinsing with tap water through a 75 µm sieve, collected on a 26 µm sieve and transferred into distilled water forming egg suspension.

Effect of root extract on egg hatching: A small drop of eggs suspended in distilled water was placed in Petri dishes and eggs counted under a stereo microscope. Ten milliliter of the undiluted and each dilution of root extract of each plant was added. Petri dishes containing distilled water served as controls. Each treatment was replicated four times. The Petri dishes were incubated at room temperature. Hatching was observed after 7 days and the percentage inhibition calculated as follow.

Effect of root extracts on larval mortality: Ten milliliter of the undiluted and each dilution of root extract of each plant were separately poured into Petri dishes and 1 mL of suspensions containing 20 freshly hatched juveniles were added to each Petri dish. All treatments were replicated four times. The Petri dishes were incubated at room temperature. Percent mortality was calculated after 12, 24 and 48 h. All the data collected were analyzed using analysis of variance and means separated with the Duncan Multiple Range Test [22].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Egg hatch: Table 1 shows the effect of concentration of extracts of plants on number of eggs and percentage hatch inhibition indicated that one hundred percent concentration of root extracts of Siam weed and Neem gave the maximum inhibition of egg hatching (100%) followed by Lemon grass and Castor bean with 95 and 93.2% inhibition, respectively. Other dilutions viz. 20, 10 and 5%, though significant, were less effective as compared to 100% concentration. It is evident that as extract was diluted, toxicity was decreased resulting in correspondent decrease in inhibition and minimum inhibition was observed in distilled water (0% concentration). The inhibitory effect of the extracts might be due to the chemicals present in the extracts that possess ovicidal and larvicidal properties.

Table 1: Effects of concentration of plant root extracts on egg hatch, and exposure time on larval mortality on *Meloidogyne incognita*

Plant	Concentration ^z	Egg hatch		Larval mortality (%) after		
		No. of eggs ^y	Hatch inhibition after 7 days (%) ^y	12 h ^y	24 h ^y	48 h ^y
<i>C. odorata</i>	100	28.9a	100.0a	100.0a	100.0a	100.0a
	20	21.9b	91.2b	91.0b	98.8a	97.0a
	10	20.0b	71.9c	14.6c	35.9b	56.7b
	5	17.4c	71.8c	5.0d	7.8c	15.9c
	0	23.3b	18.3d	0.0e	2.5d	2.5d
<i>A. indica</i>	100	22.1a	100.0a	100.0a	100.0a	100.0a
	20	19.4a	89.6b	81.0b	89.0b	90.0b
	10	14.7b	68.3c	12.3c	27.9c	45.9c
	5	13.7b	67.9c	1.7d	6.8d	14.6d
	0	14.0b	15.1d	0.0d	0.0e	0.0e
<i>R. communis</i>	100	18.3a	93.2a	62.1a	68.3a	75.6a
	20	21.5a	75.4b	21.1b	27.9b	38.9b
	10	21.2a	56.7c	14.2c	23.6c	26.8c
	5	20.3a	43.5d	6.7d	9.0d	12.3d
	0	12.3b	13.9e	0.0e	1.7e	1.7e
<i>C. citratus</i>	100	23.5a	95.0a	75.0a	77.6a	87.5a
	20	18.0b	73.5b	17.1b	26.8b	50.0b
	10	12.5c	63.6c	14.1c	13.5c	24.7c
	5	12.5c	52.9d	3.3d	6.7d	13.5d
	0	21.0a	13.0e	0.0d	0.0e	0.0e

^z100 = undiluted extract; 20, 10 and 5 represent extract that was diluted 5, 10 and 20 times, respectively; 0 = distilled water control

^yMeans in a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p<0.05, according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test

These chemicals either affected the embryonic development or killed the eggs or even dissolved the egg masses. It has been reported [2, 17 - 19] that extracts contained alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, amides including benzamide and ketones that singly and in combination inhibited hatching.

Larval mortality: Table 1 shows the effects of larval mortality over time due to the concentration of extracts of roots of the test plants. The root extracts of test plants were effective in causing larval mortality; 100% concentration of extracts being more efficacious and show high significant difference than other concentrations. 100% concentration extracts of Siam weed and Neem showed 100% mortality even after 12 h of exposure time. While 100% concentration of Lemon grass and Castor bean showed 75 and 62.1% mortality after 12 h of exposure time. The juvenile mortality increased with increase in exposure time. The mortality was found to differ significantly between different concentrations of extracts of the tested plants at all the three intervals tried (12, 24 and 48). As the exposure period increased mortality increased to 87.5 and 75.6%, respectively in Lemon grass and Castor bean at 100% concentration of the root extracts.

CONCLUSIONS

It has been concluded from present research that certain plant extracts are a source of cheap and effective nematicides of root knot nematodes. The root extracts of Neem, Siam weed, Lemon grass and Castor bean were found to have nematicidal properties. This finding is important from the point of view of controlling root-knot nematodes affecting edible soybean without the use of nematicides in view of the environmental pollution likely to cause. The future looks bright for identifying new classes of pesticides from natural plants to replace the synthetic dangerous and expensive chemicals used at present. The cooperation of nematologists, breeders, chemists, ecologists and others in the field of agriculture is necessary to achieve maximum progress in this important field of research.

REFERENCES

1. Caviness, F.E., 1967. End of tour progress report on Nematology. Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Research Division, Ibadan, Nigeria, pp: 119.
2. Adegbite, A.A., 2003. Comparative effects of Carbofuran and water extract of *Chromolaena odorata* on growth, yield and food components of root-knot nematode-infested soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill]. Ph.D Diss., University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.
3. Adegbite, A.A. and S.O. Adesiyun, 2001. Efficacy of Carbofuran (Furadan) on the performance of four nematode susceptible varieties of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill]. Tropical Oilseeds J., 6: 11-23.
4. Schmitt, D.P. and K.R. Barker, 1985. Plant parasitic nematodes in soybean in North Carolina. The North Carolina Agr. Ext. Serv. Bulletin No. 15.
5. Schmitt, D.P. and G.R. Noel, 1984. Nematode Parasites of Soybeans, In: W.R. Nickel (Ed.). Plant and Insect Parasitic Nematodes. Marcel Dekker, Inc., N.Y., pp: 445-449.
6. Akem, C.N., 1991. Soybean diseases: Biology, Identification Control Management. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture research guide 40, 3rd Edn.
7. Akem, C.N., 1996. Management of Soybean diseases. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture. Research Guide, 3rd Edn., pp: 40.
8. Akem, C.N. and K.E. Dashiell, 1992. Evaluation of soybean cultivars for field resistance to Frogeye leaf spot and Bacterial pustule in Nigeria. Tropical Oilseeds J., 1: 3-8.
9. Ogunfowora, A.O., P.O. Oyekan and N.O. Afolabi, 1983. Association of Nematodes with premature senescence of soybean plants in south-western Nigeria. Proceeding 3rd National Meeting of Nigerian Soybean Scientists, 3: 103-107.
10. Afolami, S.O. and J. Atungwu, 1999. Field evaluation of some breeding lines of soybean for resistance to Meloidogyne. Tropical Oilseeds J., 4: 116-120.
11. Afolami, S.O. and J. Atungwu, 2000. Reaction of four varieties of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] to infection of *M. incognita* race 2. Tropical Oilseeds J., 5: 1-9.
12. Afolami, S.O. and J. Atungwu, 2001. Correlation studies on Meloidogyne induced root-galls and some growth parameters of four elite varieties of *Glycine max* (L.) Merr. Tropical Oilseeds J., 6: 93-97.
13. Yudelman, M., A. Ratta and D. Nygaard, 1998. Pest Management and Food Production: Looking to the future, Food, Agriculture and the Environment. Discussion Paper 25, International Food Policy Research Institute, USA, pp: 50.

14. Backman, P.A., 1997. Pesticide Inputs Now and into the 21st Century, In: B.K. English, R.L. White and L.H. Chuang (Eds.). Crop and Livestock Technologies Symposium Ames, Iowa. Iowa State University Press, Ames., pp: 125-128.
15. Conway, G., 1995. The depletion of natural resources: The impact of food. A 2020 vision for food, agriculture and the environment. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, D.C., pp: 125.
16. Onifade, A.K. and O.A. Egunjobi, 1994. Suppression of *Meloidogyne incognita* populations with water hyacinth and water lettuce in Nigeria. Afro-Asian J. Nematol., 4: 96-100.
17. Goswami, B.K. and V. Vijayalakshmi, 1986. Nematicidal properties of some indigenous plant materials against root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* on tomato. Ind. J. Nematol., 16: 65-68.
18. Egunjobi, O.A. and S.O. Afolami, 1976. Effects of *Azadirachta indica* leaf extracts on the population of *Pratylenchus brachyurus* and the growth and yield of *Zea mays*. Nematologica, 22:125-132.
19. Hackney, R.W. and O.J. Dickerson, 1975. Marigold, Castor bean and *Chrysanthemum* as control of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Pratylenchus alleni*. J. Nematol., 7: 84-90.
20. Eisenback, J.D., H. Hirschmann, J.N. Sasser and A.C. Triantaphyllou, 1981. A guide to the four most common species of root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) with a pictorial key. A cooperative publication of North Carolina State University and USAID., pp: 48.
21. Whitehead, A.G. and J.R. Hemming, 1965. A comparison of some quantitative methods of extracting small vermiform nematodes from soil. Annals of Applied Biol., 55: 25-38.
22. Gomez, K.A and A.A. Gomez, 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, 2nd Edn., John Willey and Sons, New York.