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Abstract: Polar codes have emerged as an important error correction codes due to their capacity achieving property and also seen them as a major breakthrough in coding theory. For (n, k) polar codes, the delay in partial sum network (PSN) implementation are a bottleneck for designing high speed polar decoder. Therefore, an efficient PSN updating algorithm and its corresponding architecture was proposed, which achieves delay performance irrespective of code lengths are introduced. Our work has significantly reduces critical path delay and hardware complexity. Simulation results show that high speed PSN with 2-bit successive cancellation pre-computation decoder achieves 40% hardware efficiency and 1.05 times improved in speed by comparing with the prior least latency SC decoder.
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INTRODUCTION

A polar code is a linear block error correcting code developed by “Erdal Arýkan”. Different from other well-known capacity-approaching codes, such as Turbo codes and LDPC codes, polar code is the first family of codes known to achieve channel capacity with explicit construction. Besides achieving the capacity for binary-input symmetric memory less channels, polar codes have also been proved to be able to achieve the capacity for any discrete and continuous memory less channel. They form a family of error correcting codes with an explicit and efficient construction encoding and decoding algorithms [1]. Moreover, based on the successive cancellation decoder (SCD), the channel capacity is asymptotically achieved by length polar codes with a low encoding and encoding complexity. To date, they are the first codes to provably achieve channel capacity with tractable decoding complexity. Polar codes are the only known solution which is both explicit and efficient in some information theoretic applications, such as achieving the secrecy capacity of the wiretap channel in the general case. They are therefore seen as a major breakthrough in coding and information theory. From a practical point of view, however, polar codes come close to achieving the channel capacity only for very large code lengths.

These are the first error-correcting codes with an explicit implementation to provably achieve the symmetric capacity of memory less channels. They have two properties that are of interest to data storage systems: (a) Very low error-floor due to their large stopping distance (b) Low complexity implementations.

A polar code decoder can be roughly divided into three types: Successive cancellation decoder (SCD) in [2], Belief propagation decoder (BPD) in [3] and SCD with multiple codeword candidates such as list decoder, stack decoder and chase decoder. In this work, the long latency of SC algorithm which was reduced using 2-bit decoding has been used. This 2-bit sc decoding has the following benefits. Firstly, hardware complexity and critical path in the last stage of SC algorithm is reduced significantly and at each clock cycle 2bits can be decoded simultaneously instead of 1 bit. As a result, this new decoder, referred to as 2-bit SC decoder, reduces latency from (2n-2) to (1.5n-2) without performance loss. With the use of overlapped scheduling technique, further reduces the latency to (n-1) [4, 5], referred to as the 2-bit SC...
Overlapped scheduling decoder. With the use of pre-computation technique in [6, 7], further reduces the latency from to (n-1) to (3n/4-1), referred to as the 2-bit SC pre-computation decoder.

Generally two classes of operations, the arithmetic and the logical operations, are executed in a SC decoder. The arithmetic operation in SCD is min-sum operation. The logical operation is executed to generate binary hard values which determine the amount of interference to be cancelled in the arithmetic operation and are passed through an XOR network to generate these binary hard value bits which are also known as the partial-sums. Because of dependency of the arithmetic operations on the partial-sums, to avoid the idling of the processing elements, the partial-sums are required to be generated in the same cycle of the arithmetic operation. In this work, low-latency PSN architecture is proposed based on the polar codes property. Regardless of the code length, the proposed PSN architecture has a constant updating complexity for each partial-sum. As a result, its critical path is short, consisting of only one XOR gate and a 2-input AND gate.

The organization of this paper is as follows. Section II discusses about the architecture for conventional polar encoder and decoder. Section III discusses about the existing PSN architectures. Section IV discusses about the proposed PSN architecture. Section V provides the simulated results and compared with existing and proposed work. Section VI concludes the paper by describing observations and also gives the scope of future work [8].

Polar Codes and its Preliminaries

Polar Encoding: With an efficient construction approach, the reliability of decoded bits will be polarized based on their different positions at the source data. An efficient polar-based encoder shown in Figure 1 can be constructed based on the following principles:

Sending required “message” bits at “good” positions, which can strongly guarantee the reliability of transmission.

Sending fixed “0” at “bad” positions, since after the transmission any decoded bits at these “bad” positions are highly unreliable.

In [3], those “0” bits are called “frozen” bits since these are fixed and their positions are known at both the encoder and the decoder. Similarly, call the non-frozen information bits as “free” bits in this project.

Accordingly, an (n,k) polar code contains information (“free”) bits and (n-k) “frozen” bits. An (n,k) polar code can be constructed in two steps from the original k-bit message bits . For this, denote the free and frozen bits positions.

The first encoding step for to get the polar code from original message is, to construct an n-bit source data vector, as .

The second encoding step is to compute the transmitted codeword as, by the generator matrix G.

\[ x = uG, \ G=F^n, \ F=\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \]  

(1)

Polar 2-bit Sc Decoding: At the receiver end, corrupted by the transmission noise, the received codeword will no longer be x, but change to and the goal of polar decoding is to recover u from y. In this recovery can be accomplished by the SC algorithm. With a recursive computation procedure, the SC algorithm can use the log-likelihood ratios (LLRs), in [9]. This estimated u is denoted as .

Processing Element (Pe) For F and G Nodes: As shown in Figure 2, p nodes are used in stage- m and f and g nodes are used in other stages to calculate the propagated LLR values [10]. For simplicity of hardware design, the functions of f and g nodes are always implemented by unified processing elements (PEs) in Figure 3 shows the architecture of this PE based on the LLR version. Here S2C is the block that performs the
conversion from sign-magnitude form to 2’s complement form, while C2S unit carries out the inverse conversion. Additionally, adder and subtractor are employed to carry out addition and subtraction between the two inputs. The corresponding sum and difference are selected by the partial sum signal $\sum_{i}$ from the PSG block. Finally, at the output end of the PE, control signal is used to determine the output as LLR $(\hat{a})$ or LLR $(\hat{b})$ which is propagated to the next stage.

\[
\hat{u} = \text{frozen 1} \cdot (\text{sign (LLR (c))} \cdot \text{sign (LLR (d))}) + \text{frozen 2} \cdot (\text{comp (LLR (d))) + (\text{comp frozen1 frozen 2 sign(LLR (c)))}
\]

Accordingly, this serial decoding leads to an overall latency of 14 cycles. In general, for (n,k) polar code, the decoding latency of SC decoder is $(2n-2)$.

**Overall Architecture for 2-Bit Sc Decoder:** Based on the circuits of the PE and the p node in Figures 3 and 4, respectively, the overall 2-bit SC decoder can be.

---

**Fig. 2:** Modified 2-bit sc decoding for polar decoder with $n=8$

**Fig. 3:** PE architecture for f and g nodes.

**Fig. 4:** P node architecture
constructed as a butterfly-like architecture (Figure 2) which is not hardware-efficient and at least half of nodes in each stage are always idle during decoding procedure. Therefore, in order to increase hardware utilization, tree-based architecture, is usually used to construct overall SC decoder. Figure 5 shows the architecture of a tree-based 2-bit SC decoder with n=8. In this design, when a particular stage is activated, all the nodes in that stage are activated. Therefore, a total of (n-2) PEs and a single p node are needed.

Similar to tree-based 2-bit SC architectures, the 2-bit SC version of overlapped and pre-computation techniques can be used to reduce output decoding latency satisfactorily.

**2-Bit Sc Overlapped Scheduling Architecture:** In this, it is observed that the p node has shorter critical path than the PE and this can be exploited to reduce the overall latency to (n-1).

After a careful examination of the decoding sequence, it is found that the computations of p and g nodes can be overlapped. The new decoding sequence with overlapped scheduling has the computations of the p and g nodes are carried out in the same clock cycle; therefore, one cycle can be saved each time. Considering p node is activated for 0.5 cycles, this overlapped scheduling approach reduces the overall latency to (1.5n - 2) - (0.5n - 1) = (n-1).

**2-Bit Sc Pre-computation Architecture:** In [3], pre-computation technique was exploited to reduce the overall latency of the original SC algorithm. The essential idea of this method is to merge the computation of f and g nodes in the same stage. In each clock cycle, the computations of f and g nodes are carried out at the same time. As a result, the overall latency is 50% less than that of the conventional scheme. Moreover, in order to implement the pre-computation scheme, [3] proposed to employ merged PEs (Figure 6).
Partial-Sum Update Logic: In [8], a parallel updating logic is adopted here. Generally, partial-sum $\hat{s}_j^t$ can be viewed as the XOR combination of a supporting subset of $\hat{u}_N$. Based on this, an auxiliary variable, $J(i, t, j)$, is defined. If $\hat{u}_j$ belongs to the supporting subset of $\hat{s}_j^t$, $J(i, t, j) = 1$. Otherwise, $J(i, t, j) = 0$. Thus, $\hat{s}_j^t = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} J(i, t, j) \hat{u}_j$.

When a new $\hat{u}_j$ is decoded, $\hat{s}_j^t$ is serially updated in the following way

$$\hat{s}_j^t(i) = \hat{S}_j^t(i-1) \oplus (J(i, t, j) \cdot \hat{u}_j),$$

where $\hat{S}_j^t(i) = \sum_{k=0}^{i} J(i, t, j) \cdot \hat{u}_k$. is the partially updated $\hat{s}_j^t$, when $\hat{u}(0 : i)$ is available.

The most complicated part for the PSUL logic is the evaluation of $J(i, t, j)$.

Compared with the FFA, the delay of the PSUL is enhanced by the parallel updating logic. However, the complexity of the $J(i, t, j)$ logic also scales up logarithmically with the code length $N$.

In summary, both the FFA and the PSUL have a complexity depending on $N$.

Proposed High Speed PSN Architecture: After a particular bit is decoded, let $\hat{u}_{N/2}$, only some partial sums $\hat{s}_j^t$’s with $j \geq N/2$ are required for the remaining $g$ nodes.

At the same time, for the $g$ functions that are required for the decoding of $\hat{u}_j$ where $0 \leq i < N/2$, only partial sums of $\hat{s}_j^t$’s where $j < N/2$ are required. These can be given as,

$$\forall 0 \leq i \leq N/2.$$  

$$\hat{s}_j^t = \hat{S}_j^t(i) \oplus (J(i, t, j) \cdot \hat{u}_j)$$

(6)

where $\hat{s}_j^t = \sum_{k=0}^{i} J(i, t, j) \cdot \hat{u}_k$. is the partially updated $\hat{s}_j^t$, when $\hat{u}(0 : i)$ is available.

$\forall 0 \leq i \leq N/2.$

(7)

for $0 \leq i \leq N/2$.

$\forall N/2 \leq i \leq N.$

(8)

for $N/2 \leq i \leq N$.

where $\hat{s}_j^t(N/2:N-1)$ for $0 \leq i \leq N/2$ is a zero vector because of the lower triangular structure of $G_N$.

According to these equations, $\hat{s}_j^t(i)$ and $\hat{s}_j^t(i+N/2)$, where $0 \leq j \leq N/2$, their calculations are independent and $\hat{s}_j^t(i+N/2)$ won’t start until $\hat{s}_j^t(i)$ is used. This shows that, they can share same register for storing the intermediate value and hence only $N/2$ registers are needed, denoted by $r_{x_N}$. Comparing with feed-forward and partial sum update logic, the number of registers required to store is reduced to half.
Table 1: Comparison Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Parameters</th>
<th>Conventional Sc Polarfa Decoder</th>
<th>Modified SC Polar Decoder with High Speed PSN</th>
<th>2-BIT SC</th>
<th>Overlapped SC</th>
<th>Pre-Computation SC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gate counts</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>823</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output decoding latency (cycle)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clock frequency (MHz)</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of PSN</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power(mW)</td>
<td>167.32</td>
<td>332.97</td>
<td>323.28</td>
<td>315.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9: Proposed high speeds PSN

The logic used here includes the encoding of $G_n$. Whenever a new bit is decoded, $\hat{\xi}_i$ is updated from $\hat{\xi}_{i-1}$ based on above equations and the critical path of the PSN architecture is independent of $N$ and is equal to the sum of the delay of a 2-input AND gate and an XOR gate, as shown in Figure 9.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Polar encoder with $n=8$ i.e., 4 information bits (1111) and 4 free bits (0000) have been given as input. And the source data vector formed is 11101000, using the first encoding step. The corresponding codeword obtained is 10010110.

Polar decoder output was observed as 11101000, which is same as the encoder input (11101000) i.e. source data vector, thereby achieving capacity achieving property, with corresponding output decoding latency of 14 clock cycles using FFA.

Finally, the successive cancellation polar decoder has been designed with use of high speed PSN and counters in order to enable each PE stage accordingly. Here also the inputs to the decoder are Log-Likelihood Ratios, which have been calculated manually and converted them into equivalent hexadecimal single precision using floating point converters. It was observed the decoder output as 11101000, which is same as the encoder input (11101000) i.e. source data vector, thereby achieving capacity achieving property, with corresponding output decoding latency of 10 clock cycles.

After applying the overlapped scheduling technique for the modified 2-bit sc decoder, the output decoding latency is reduced to 7 clock cycles.

After applying the pre-computation scheduling technique for the modified 2-bit sc decoder, the output decoding latency is further reduced to 5 clock cycles. This has been done using verilog HDL in quartus II. The comparisons of different sc decoders for $n=8$ polar code are shown in Table 1.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, work included in implementing hardware architectures for the polar codes. By comparing with the conventional polar decoder using FFA with proposed work on implementing it with the efficient pre-computation high speed PSN architecture, reduces the latency with decreased hardware complexity. Future work includes in modifying the architecture with error detection block to improve the efficiency.
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