

A Minimax Inequality and its Applications to Fixed Point Theorems in R-trees

T. Dinevari and S.M. Vaezpour

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
 Amirkabir University of Technology, Hafez Ave., P.O. Box 15875-4413, Tehran, Iran

Abstract: Recently, Kirk and Panyanak proved the KKM mapping principle in R-trees. In this paper, using this principle, we establish an R-tree version of famous Fan's minimax inequality and we apply this result to get some fixed point and best approximation theorems.

Key words: R-trees . minimax inequality . fixed point theorems . best approx-imation . KKM theorems

INTRODUCTION

R-trees, also known as metric trees, were first introduced by Tits [1]. The idea has been also studied in [2] and was called T-theory. An R-tree is a geodesic space for which there is a unique arc joining any two of its points and this arc is a metric segment details [3-5]. Many applications have been found for R-trees within different fields of mathematics [6]. Moreover there are applications in biology and computer science as well [7, 8].

The famous Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz theorem (in short, KKM theorem) [9] and its generalizations have a fundamental importance in modern nonlinear analysis. Recently, Kirk and Panyanak [5] established the KKM mapping principle for R-trees and applied it to prove an extension of Ky Fan's best approximation theorem to upper semicontinuous mappings in this setting. In this paper, a minimax inequality in R-trees is established and as an application of it, some fixed point and best approximation theorems in an R-tree setting are proved.

At first some relevant notations and terminologies are described. Let X be a metric space and $A \subset X$, henceforth we use $\text{Int}(A)$, $\text{Bd}(A)$, $B(x, r)$ and $\text{conv}_X(A)$ respectively to denote the interior of A , boundary of A , closed ball centered at x with radius $r \geq 0$ and the intersection of all closed convex subsets of X that contain A .

Let X and Y be two topological Hausdorff spaces and $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be a multivalued function with nonempty values if

$$T^{-1}(B) := \{x \in X : T(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$$

then T is said to be:

- Upper semicontinuous, if for each closed set $B \subset Y$, $T^{-1}(B) \subset X$ is closed in X
- Lower semicontinuous, if for each open set $B \subset Y$, $T^{-1}(B)$ is open in X
- Continuous, if it is both upper and lower semicontinuous.

Definition 1: An R-tree is a metric space X such that:

- There is a unique geodesic segment denoted by $[x, y]$ joining each pair of points $x, y \in X$.
- If $[y, x] \cap [x, z] = \{x\}$, then $[y, x] \cup [x, z] = [y, z]$.
- If $x, y, z \in X$ then $[x, y] \cap [x, z] = [x, w]$ for some $w \in X$.

This notion was introduced in [1]. Standard examples of R-trees include the radial and river metrics on \mathbb{R}^2 . Much more subtle examples exist; e.g. the real tree in [10].

Definition 2: Let X be an R-tree, C be a closed convex subset of X . A function $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be metrically quasi-concave (resp., metrically quasi-convex) if for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\{x \in C : f(x) \geq \lambda\}$ (resp., $\{x \in C : f(x) \leq \lambda\}$) is closed and convex.

Definition 3: Let C be a nonempty subset of an R-tree X . A multivalued mapping $G: C \rightarrow 2^X$ is said to be a KKM mapping if for each nonempty finite set $F \subset C$,

$$\text{conv}_X(F) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in F} G(x)$$

This notion was introduced in [5], in which the following important result is established.

Corresponding Author: Dr. S.M. Vaezpour, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology, Hafez Ave., P.O. Box 15875-4413, Tehran, Iran

Theorem 1. (KKM mapping principle): Suppose C is a closed convex subset of a complete R-tree X and $G: C \rightarrow 2^X$ has nonempty closed values. If G is a KKM mapping then $\{G(x)\}_{x \in C}$ has a finite intersection property. Moreover, if C is geodesically bounded, then

$$\bigcap_{x \in C} G(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

MAIN RESULTS

We first establish the following lemma in order to prove our main results.

Lemma 1: Let C be a closed convex subset of an R-tree X and suppose $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies:

- For each $y \in C$, the function $f(., y): C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is metrically quasi-concave (resp., metrically quasi-convex),
- There exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x, x) \leq \gamma$ (resp., $f(x, x) \geq \gamma$) for each $x \in C$,

Then the mapping $G: C \rightarrow 2^X$ which is defined by:

$$G(x) = \{y \in C : f(x, y) \leq \gamma\} \text{ (resp., } G(x) = \{y \in C : f(x, y) \geq \gamma\})$$

is a KKM mapping.

Proof: The conclusion is proved for the concave case; the convex case is completely similar. On the contrary assume that G is not a KKM mapping. Suppose that there exists a finite subset $F = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of C and a point $x_0 \in \text{conv}_X(F)$ such that $x_0 \notin G(x_i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then we have $f(x_i, x_0) > \gamma$ for each i . By setting

$$\lambda = \min\{f(x_i, x_0) : i = 1, \dots, n\} > \gamma$$

and

$$A = \{z \in C : f(z, x_0) \geq \lambda\}$$

for each i , $x_i \in A$. According to hypothesis 1, A is closed and convex and hence $x_0 \in \text{conv}_X(F) \subset A$. Therefore $f(x_0, x_0) \geq \lambda > \gamma$, this is a contradiction to hypothesis 2. Thus G is a KKM mapping.

The following is an Rtree version of the Fan's minimax inequality [11].

Theorem 1: Suppose C is a closed convex geodesically bounded subset of a complete R-tree X and $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies:

- For each $x \in C$, the function $f(x, .): C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is lower semicontinuous (resp., upper semicontinuous);

- For each $y \in C$, the function $f(., y): C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is metrically quasi-concave (resp., metrically quasi-convex);
- There exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x, x) \leq \gamma$ (resp., $f(x, x) \geq \gamma$) for each $x \in C$.

Then there exists a $y_0 \in C$ such that $f(x, y_0) \leq \gamma$ (resp., $f(x, y_0) \geq \gamma$) for all $x \in C$ and hence

$$\sup_{x \in C} f(x, y_0) \leq \sup_{x \in C} f(x, x) \\ \text{(resp., } \inf_{x \in C} f(x, y_0) \geq \inf_{x \in C} f(x, x) \text{)}.$$

Proof: By hypothesis 3, $\lambda = \sup_{x \in C} f(x, x) < \infty$. For each $x \in C$, define the mapping $G: C \rightarrow 2^X$ by:

$$G(x) = \{y \in C : f(x, y) \leq \lambda\}.$$

which is closed by hypothesis 1. By Lemma 1, G is a KKM mapping. Since C is a closed convex and geodesically bounded, by using of the KKM mapping principle, $\bigcap_{x \in C} G(x) \neq \emptyset$

Therefore there exists a y_0 in this intersection. Thus $f(x, y_0) \leq \lambda$ for all $x \in C$ and hence

$$\sup_{x \in C} f(x, y_0) \leq \sup_{x \in C} f(x, x)$$

Now as an application of minimax inequality in R-trees, we prove some fixed point and best approximation theorems. The following result is an extension of Fan's best approximation theorem to lower semicontinuous multivalued mappings in an R-tree setting. Note that we use $c(X)$ to denote all closed convex subsets of X .

Theorem 2 Suppose X is a complete R-tree, C is a closed convex geodesically bounded subset of X and $T: C \rightarrow c(X)$ is lower semicontinuous. Then there exists a $y_0 \in C$ such that

$$d(y_0, T(y_0)) = \inf_{x \in C} d(x, T(y_0)).$$

Moreover, if $y_0 \notin T(y_0)$ then $y_0 \in \text{Bd}(C)$.

Proof: Define the mapping $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x, y) = d(y, Ty) - d(x, Ty), \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

It is proved that f satisfies the hypotheses in Theorem 1. Obviously, $f(x, .)$ is lower semicontinuous. For each $y \in C$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$A = \{x \in C : d(y, Ty) - d(x, Ty) \geq \lambda\} = \{x \in C : d(x, Ty) \leq \gamma\},$$

Where $\gamma = d(y, Ty) - \lambda$.

Since C is a subtree of X and Ty is closed and convex, A is also closed and convex. Hence $f(.,y)$ is metrically quasi-concave.

Obviously $f(x,x) = 0$ for all $x \in C$. Therefore by Theorem 1, there exists $y_0 \in C$ such that

$$d(y_0, Ty_0) \leq d(x, Ty_0), \quad \forall x \in C \quad (i)$$

which implies that

$$d(y_0, T(y_0)) = \inf_{x \in C} d(x, T(y_0)).$$

Suppose $y_0 \notin T(y_0)$ and $d(y_0, T(y_0)) = r > 0$. We prove that $y_0 \notin \text{Bd}(C)$. On the contrary assume that $y_0 \in \text{Int}(C)$; then there exists an $\varepsilon \in (0, r)$ such that $B(y_0, \varepsilon) \subset C$. Take $z_0 \in Ty_0$ such that $d(y_0, z_0) < r + \varepsilon/2$. By metric convexity of X , take $x_0 \in [y_0, z_0]$

Such that $d(y_0, x_0) = \varepsilon/2$. Since X is an R-tree, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_0, Ty_0) &\leq d(x_0, z_0) = d(y_0, z_0) - d(y_0, x_0) < r \\ &= d(y_0, Ty_0), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (i). Therefore $y_0 \in \text{Bd}(C)$.

Corollary 1: Let X, C, T be the same as Theorem 2. If $Tx \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in \text{Bd}(C)$, then T has a fixed point.

Proof: On the contrary assume that T doesn't have a fixed point. Therefore by Theorem 2 there exists an $y_0 \in \text{Bd}(C)$ such that

$$0 < d(y_0, Ty_0) \leq d(x, Ty_0), \quad \forall x \in C \quad (ii)$$

Since $y_0 \in \text{Bd}(C)$, we have $Ty_0 \cap C \neq \emptyset$. Thus by (ii) we get $d(y_0, Ty_0) = 0$, which is a contradiction.

If in Theorem 2, T is single valued then it reduces to the following analog of Fan's best approximation theorem to point valued mappings in geodesically bounded R-trees which was proved in [4] (Theorem 3.6.).

Corollary 2: Suppose X is a complete Rtree, C is a closed convex geodesically bounded subset of X and $T: C \rightarrow X$ is continuous. Then there exists an $y_0 \in C$ such that

$$d(y_0, Ty_0) \leq d(x, Ty_0), \quad \forall x \in C$$

The following is an analog of Fan's fixed point theorem [12] in R-trees.

Theorem 3 Suppose X is a complete Rtree, C is a closed convex geodesically bounded subset of X and $T: C \rightarrow X$ is continuous and for every $c \in X$ with $c \neq T(c)$,

$$(c, T(c)) := [c, T(c)] \setminus \{c\}$$

contains at least one point of C , then T has a fixed point.

Proof: By the Corollary 2, there exists $y_0 \in C$ such that

$$d(y_0, Ty_0) \leq d(x, Ty_0), \quad \forall x \in C \quad (iii)$$

We claim that y_0 is a fixed point of T . On the contrary assume that $y_0 \neq Ty_0$. Then by assumption there exists a $z \in C$ such that $z \in (y_0, Ty_0]$. Therefore

$$d(z, Ty_0) = d(y_0, Ty_0) - d(y_0, z) < d(y_0, Ty_0),$$

which is a contradiction to (iii).

Note that if $T(C) \subset C$, then the hypothesis of Theorem 3 is satisfied. Therefore as an immediate consequence, we obtain the following fixed point theorem which is due to Kirk ([4], Theorem 3.4.), by different method; however it is also a direct consequence of Theorem 2.

Corollary 3: Suppose X is a geodesically bounded complete R-tree. Then every continuous mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ has a fixed point.

REFERENCES

1. Tits, J., 1977. A theorem of Lie-Kolchin for Trees. In: Contributions to Algebra: A collection of Papers dedicated to Ellis Kolchin. Academic Press, New York.
2. Dress, A., 1984. Trees, tight extensions of metric trees and the cohomological dimension of certain groups: A note on combinatorial properties of metric spaces. Adv. Math., 53: 321-402.
3. Aksoy, A.G. and M.A. Khamsi, 2006. A selection theorem in metric trees. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 134: 2957-2966.
4. Kirk, W.A., 2004. Fixed point theorems in CAT (0) spaces and R-trees. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 4: 309-316.
5. Kirk, W.A. and B. Panyanak, 2007. Best approximation in R-trees. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., 28: 681-690.
6. Bestvina, M., 2002. R-trees in topology, geometry and group theory. In: Handbook of Geometric Topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, pp: 55-91.

7. Bartolini, I., P. Ciaccia and M. Patella, 1999. String matching with metric trees using an approximate distance. SPIR Lecture notes in Comput. Sci. 2476, Springer, Berlin.
8. Semple, C., 2003. Phylogenetics. Oxford Lecture Ser. Math. Appl. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford.
9. Knaster, B., C. Kuratowski and S. Mazurkiewicz, 1929. Ein Beweis des Fix-punksatzes für n -dimensionale simplexe. *Fund. Math.*, 14: 132-137.
10. Dress, A. and W. Terhalle, 1996. The real tree. *Adv. Math.*, 120: 283-301.
11. Fan, K., 1972. A minimax inequality and applications. In: Shisha, O. (Ed.). *Inequalities*, Academic Press, New York, 3: 103-113.
12. Fan, K., 1969. Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F.E. Browder. *Math. Z.*, 112: 234-240.