

## Approximate Solution Corresponding to Periodically Heterogeneous Love-Kirchhoff Plate

*Bachir Nour Kharrat*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Aleppo University, Aleppo-Syria

**Abstract:** In this paper, we give an approximate mathematical model of a periodically non-homogeneous Love-Kirchhoff plate with periodic inclusions or perforations. Dealing with the variational formulation, we prove the existence and the uniqueness of a weak solution. The asymptotic expansion is used to derive the homogenized approximate problem and the first correction term. The use of the finite element method in such case is very complicated. The homogenization method [1-6] leads to replace this complicated finite element problem in the whole domain  $\Omega$  by two simple problems in one cell  $Y$ .

**Key words:** Boundary value problem • Approximate solutions • Homogenization theory

### INTRODUCTION

In the study of some composite materials, in Mec, Phy and Engineering one is led to the study of boundary value problem in media with periodic structure. If the period of the structure is very small compared to the size of the region in which the system is to be studied (i.e. if the number of heterogeneities is very large). For solving such problem numerically by using for example F.E.M the periodic medium must be divided into a very hunk small elements. Moreover, this number increases infinitely when the number of the cells becomes very big, that is when the length of the period becomes very small. Therefore the use of the F.E.M in this case is very complicated. The homogenization method consists in replacing the heterogeneous medium (microscopic) by an “equivalent” homogeneous (macroscopic) one. The homogenization method has been employed with full advantage in solving boundary value problem in non-homogeneous media with periodic structures [1-2]. The homogenization method of a plate with periodic structures was treated in detail [1-8], but only in the case of thin plate, However, the correction terms are not available.

**Differential Form Governing:** the displacements in periodically non-homogeneous Love-Kirchhoff plate.

According to the Love-Kirchhoff hypotheses (the linear theory of thin sheets that are not subject to shear stresses) The mathematical model of non-homogenous isotropic Love-Kirchhoff plate with periodic inclusions (consist of two parts i.e. major and minor) can be represented by the following boundary value:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (\epsilon a_{ij}^{kl} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_l}) u^\epsilon = f_D & \text{in } \Omega \\ u^\epsilon = \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_D \\ F(u^\epsilon) = C(u^\epsilon) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_N \\ \partial\Omega = \partial\Omega_L \cup \partial\Omega_N & f_D = \frac{f}{D} \quad D = \frac{Ee^3}{12(1-\nu)} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $D$  is a rigidity coefficient,  $\nu$  Poisson's ratio and  $e$  the thickness of the plate.

The periodicity of the plate can be expressed by the periodicity of the coefficients which can be expressed by the speed variable  $y = \frac{x}{\epsilon}$  in the forms:  $\epsilon a_{kl}^{ij}(x) = a_{kl}^{ij}(y)$

where  $x$  is macroscopic quantity and  $y = \frac{x}{\epsilon}$  is a

microscopic one, we define the cell by  $Y = \prod_{i=1}^2 [0, Y_i]$

**Variational Formulation:** The weak formulation of the problem (1) is given by:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } u^\varepsilon \in V \text{ such that} \\ a(u^\varepsilon, v)_V = (f_D, v)_{L^2(\Omega)} \forall v \in V \end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$

where:

$$a(u^\varepsilon, v)_V = \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon a_{kl}^{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} dx$$

$$V = \left\{ v \in H^2(\Omega); \text{trace } v \Big|_{\partial\Omega_D} = 0 \quad \text{trace } \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \Big|_{\partial\Omega_D} = 0 \right\}$$

and  $H^2(\Omega) = \{v; D^\alpha v \in L^2(\Omega) \forall \alpha; |\alpha| \leq 2\}$

It is clear that the space V is a Hilbert space with the scalar product:

$$(u, v)_V = \int_{\Omega} \partial^2 u \partial^2 v = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} dx$$

(a closed subspace of  $H^2(\Omega)$ ).

By Applying Green formula and using the boundary condition, one prove the equivalent between the differential form (1) and the variational form (2).

The bilinear form verified the inequality:

$$\alpha |u|_V^2 < a(u, u) < \beta |u|_V^2$$

This means that this bilinear form is positive definite on V (V-coercive or V-elliptic and bounded on V), therefore, the Lax-Milgram lemma prove that the solution of (2) exist and it is unique.

**Asymptotic Expansions:** Using the technique of homogenization method [1-3], the solution of the weak form (2) can be expressed as an asymptotic expansions:

$$u^\varepsilon(x) = u_0(x) + \varepsilon u_1(x, y) + \varepsilon^2 u_2(x, y) + \dots \quad (3)$$

where  $u_i(x, y)$  is Y-periodic in y.

Substituting (3) in (2) and taking into account the composite derivations:

$$\frac{d}{dx_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i},$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dx_i dx_j} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

then by grouping the terms of the same orders in  $\varepsilon$ , we can write (2) in the form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon^{-4} B_{-4}(x, y) + \varepsilon^{-3} B_{-3}(x, y) + \varepsilon^{-2} B_{-2}(x, y) + \\ \varepsilon^{-1} B_{-1}(x, y) + \varepsilon^0 B_0(x, y) + \varepsilon B_1(x, y) + \dots + \int_{\Omega} f_D \cdot v dx \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

Passing to the limit when  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, applying the mean lemma and if we compare the terms of the same orders in  $\varepsilon$  we obtain the following equations with respect to the functions  $u_i(x, y)$ ,  $i=0, 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} B_0(x, y) = \int_{\Omega} f_D \cdot v dx$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} B_i(x, y) = 0 \quad i = -1, -2, -3, -4$$

**The Problem on  $u_0(x, y)$ :** This problem can be obtained by taking the limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} a_{ijkl}(y) \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial y_k \partial y_l} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} dy = 0 \quad (5)$$

Since the test function v must be periodic with respect to the variable y, it can be chosen from the form:

$$v = v(x) \cdot w(y), \quad v(x) \in D_D(\Omega), \quad w(y) \in H_Y^2(Y)$$

where:

$$D_D(\Omega) = \{v \in D_D(\bar{\Omega}); v \text{ and all their derivatives} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_D\}$$

$$H_Y^2(Y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} w \in H^2(Y); \text{are } Y\text{-periodic taking the} \\ \text{same value on the opposite faces of } Y \dots \\ \text{and } \bar{w} = \frac{1}{Y} \int_Y w dy = 0; \bar{w} = \text{average (mean) value} \end{array} \right\}$$

Then we have the following problem on  $u_0(x,y)$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } u_0 \in H_Y^2(Y) \text{ such that} \\ \int_Y a_{ijkl}(y) \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial y_k \partial y_l} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} dy = 0 \forall w \in H_Y^2(Y) \end{array} \right. \quad (6)$$

The preceding problem (6) accepts a periodic solution independent of  $y$ , that is, the function  $u_0$  is constant with respect to  $y$  That is  $u_0 = u(x)$  Similarly, we get a similar result for  $u_1 = u_1(x)$

**The Problem on  $u_2(x,y)$ :** This problem can be obtained by solving the equation

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} B_{-2}(\varepsilon) = 0$$

which can be written in the following weak form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } u_2 \in H_Y^2(Y) \text{ such that} \\ \int_Y a_{ijkl}(y) \frac{\partial^2 (u_2 + u_0)}{\partial y_k \partial y_l} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} dy = 0, \forall w \in H_Y^2(Y) \end{array} \right. \quad (7)$$

Then by virtue the linearity of the previous boundary values problem, it is possible to search for the function  $u_2(x,y)$  as the from

$$u_2(x,y) = W^{mn}(y) \frac{d^2 u_0}{dx_m dx_n} + c(x)$$

where  $w^{mn}$   $Y$ -periodic taking the same value on the opposite faces of the boundary of the cell  $Y$ , this condition plays the role of boundary condition verified the following local boundary value problem.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } W^{mn} \in H_Y^2(Y) \text{ such that} \\ \int_Y a_{ijkl}(y) \frac{d^2 W^{kl}}{dy_k dy_l} \cdot \frac{d^2 v}{dy_i dy_j} dy = \\ - \int_Y a_{ijmn}(y) \cdot \frac{d^2 v}{dy_i dy_j} dy \\ \forall v \in H_Y^2(Y) \end{array} \right. \quad (8)$$

**The Homogenized Problem:** The boundary problem representing the mean behavior (homogeneous problem) is written in the following variational (weak) form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } u_0 \in V \text{ such that} \\ \int_{\Omega} a^h_{ijkl}(y) \frac{d^2 u_0}{dx_k dx_l} \cdot \frac{d^2 v}{dx_i dx_j} = \int_{\Omega} f_D v dx \quad \forall v \in V \end{array} \right. \quad (9)$$

where:

$$a^h_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y [a_{ijkl}(y) - a_{ijmn}(y) \frac{d^2 W^{kl}(y)}{dy_m dy_n}] dy \quad (10)$$

The relationship between the solution of the non-homogenous problem (local problem) and the solution of the homogeneous problem (global problem) can be established by following proposition:

**Proposition:** The solution of initial problem  $u^\varepsilon$  converge weakly to as  $u^0$  tends to zero.

**Proof:** By using the Poincare inequality, V-elliptic Characteristic and Schwartz inequality respectively we have the following inequalities:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} c \|u^\varepsilon\|_V^2 \leq c_1 \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 dx \leq a(u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) = \\ (f_D, v) \leq c_2 \|u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c_3 \|u^\varepsilon\|_V \end{array} \right. \quad (10)$$

As a result we get:

$$\|u^\varepsilon\|_V \leq K > 0$$

Therefore

$$u^\varepsilon \rightarrow U \text{ weakly in } V$$

Whereas, the homogeneous problem verified the conditions of Lax-Milgram lemma, then it accepts a unique solution and from it follows that:

$$U = u^0$$

### CONCLUSION

It follows from the previous results that the homogenization method is an effective method for solving boundary value problem in a heterogeneous medium (periodically non-homogeneous plate). It leads to replace

a very (complicated BVP “numerically expensive”) in the whole domain corresponding to periodically inclusion (specifically when the number of the cells is very big that is when the length of the period becomes very small) by two simple BVP the first defined in a representative cell and the second in whole domain with constant coefficients who can be solved easily. Furthermore the case of the perforated plate can be considered as limit case.

### REFERENCES

1. Sanchez, P., 1980. Non-homogeneous media and vibration theory, Springer- Verlag.
2. Lions, A.A. and J.L. Papanicolaou, 1978. Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures, North Holland.
3. Kharrat, B.N., 1982. Homogénéisation des problèmes aux valeurs propres non auto -adjoint, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, T. 295: 373-375.
4. Kharrat, B.N., 2010. Approximate solution for boundary value problem corresponding to periodically non-homogeneous Love-Kirchhoff plate, Fourth Saudi conference..on..science..K.S.A.
5. Hubert, J.S. and P. Sanchez, 1989. Vibration and coupling of continuous system, Springer-Verlag.
6. Mel'nik, T.A. and P.S. Vashchuk, 2005. Homogenization of a Boundary Value, Problem with Mixed Type of Boundary Conditions in a Thick Junction, Kiev National University, Kiev, Ukraine.
7. Ling, F.F., (ed.), 1995. Vibrations of Elastic Plates Linear and Nonlinear Dynamical Modeling of Sandwiches, Laminated Composites and Piezoelectric Layers, Springer-Verlag, New York.
8. Eslam N. El-Ganzoury, Said Y. Aboul-Haggag and Abd-Allah M. El-Marhomy, 2016. Deflection of Free Opposite Edges Rectangular Plates Using Minimum Energy Concept World Applied Sciences Journal, 34(2): 223-228.
9. Timoshenko, S., 1964. The theory of plates and Boundary element analysis of thick Reissner plates in shells. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company; 2<sup>nd</sup>.
10. Vahid Monfared, 2012. Effect of Geometric Factor and Loading on Strength of Rectangular Plate Under Bending Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, 11(11): 1546-1549.
11. Fatouh Mohamed Shaker W.M. Abd Elrahman, 2014. Behavior of flush and extended end-plate beam-to-column joints under bending and axial force Plate Under Bending World Applied Sciences Journal, 30(6): 685-695.
12. Vahid Monfared, 2012. Effect of Geometric Factor and Loading on Strength of Rectangular Plate Under Bending Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, 11(11): 1546-1549.
13. Vladimir Vasilevich, Karpov and A. Maslennikov, 2013. Methods for solving non-linear tasks for calculating construction structures World Applied Sciences Journal, 23(13): 178-183.
14. Jeremy Bleyer, 2014. A computational homogenization approach for the yield design of periodic thin plates Part I. International Journal of Solids and Structures..