World Applied Sciences Journal 22 (4): 583-588, 2013
ISSN 1818-4952

DOI: 10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.22.04.1220

Evaluation of Chemical, Botanical and Cultural Managements of Termites Control

[1]*Jiregna Tasisa and* [2]*Tena Gobena*

[1]Mizan-Tepi University, Mizan Teferi, Ethiopia, P.O. Box 260
[2]West Wollega Zone Agricultural Office, Ghimbi, Ethiopia

Abstract: The study was conducted at Bojdi Dirmaji District, Wollega Zone (Western Ethiopia) using Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. Eight different treatments of chemical, botanical and cultural control methods independently and in combinations were evaluated to identify the most effective method which is environmentally sustainable and economically feasible in controlling the termite problems. The data were collected over 12 weeks and analysis of variance showed significant difference among the treatments for all parameters. *Maesa lanceolata* 100 gm alone showed lower percent damage between 2-8 weeks (33.3%), later on after 9-12 weeks it become non significant and the destructed mound was recovered. Mound treated with Diazinon 60% EC at the rate of 25 ml and 20 ml alone and Diazinon 60% EC combination with queen removal at rate of 15 ml and 10 ml showed significant control overall the treatment. From the results of the study the lower rate of Diazinon 60% EC (10 ml per mound) and queen removal could be better option to manage the termite problem and could be more sustainable and integrated manner in the study area.

Key words: Botanical · Termites · *Maesa lanceolata* · Isopteran · Social insects

INTRODUCTION

Termites are social insect comprise the order isopteran. The individuals are differentiated into various morphological forms or castes which exhibit division of labor performing different biological functions and which live in highly organized and integrated units, societies or colonies. They differ from hymenoptera social insects (ants, bees, warps) in that they are hem boles, their castes are usually bisexual and they have no sub social groups [1]. Termites are devastating insect pests which lead to sever soil degradation by reducing vegetation and leaving the soil surfaces barren and exposed to the elements of erosion [2-4]**.** The consequence of termites attack reduces farm productivity and increases land degradation [5]. In general agricultural production is very difficult in termite infested areas. The crops are attacked while they are standing in the field and the soil are compacted and difficult to plough, this in turn resulting in lower production, low income and famine in the rural society of the area. As a result farmers are forced to leave their farm lands and exposed to sever poverty ([6]. Degradation of soil and forest resources as a result of termite infestation is one of the main problems treating the livelihood of the population in West Wollega Zone in general and Bodji Dirmaji District in particular. Considerable variation of the percentage of the damages between different plants was reported [7]. Moreover yield loss depends on the extent of stand reduction and the attack at different growth stage of the crops by termites. For instance, 45% crop removal at the six-leaf resulted in only 16.5% yield loss, whereas the same reduction at the teaseling stage caused 39.9% yield loss [7]. Besides, termite attack caused up to 62% and 36% reduction in yields of hot pepper and maize, respectively, from seedling stage to maturity of the crops [8].

Use of some cultural control methods such as mounds distraction, removal of the queen, flooding water into the mound, use of hot ash and hot pepper independently, are not effective [9]. As a result control methods heavily depend on synthetic chemicals especially organochlorides, which are currently abandoned from the world market due to their persistent toxicity [7, 10]. According to [6, 10], dressing soil application and fertilizer dressing with Aldrin in two weeks before sowing were effective against termite.

Corresponding Author: Jiregna Tasisa, Mizan-Tepi University, Mizan Teferi, Ethiopia. P.O. Box 260, Mizan Teferi, Ethiopia.

Moreover, Aldrin 40% WP at the rate of 20 to 40 kg a.i/10 kg of seed can be used as seed dressing [10]. Even though using chemical insecticide in controlling insects is highly effective, even though it cannot be environmental sustainable [11]. Moreover, the organochlorine insecticides are banned due to their environmental side effects [12, 13]. The use of plant extracts to overcome insect pests problems can lead to the isolation of new bio-insecticides for the benefit of human health and agriculture production [14]. The possibilities of using different control measures such as fungal insecticides, bio-insecticides and botanical method rather than chemical insecticides have been reported [15-21]. The use of indigenous plant extracts as an alternative for insect pest control also has been reported [22-23]. These have created a critical need to find alternative termite control strategies to tackle these problems. Therefore, the objective of this study is to evaluate different termite control options available and to identify the environmental kind and the optimum combinations of the control strategies.

MATERAL AND METHODS

Study Location: The study was conducted from October, 2007 to February, 2008 at Bojdi Dirmaji District, West Wollega Zone (Western Ethiopia). The study area is located between 08°52' N and 9°07'N latitudes and 35°41' E and 36°10'E longitudes at an altitudes range of 900 m -1950 m above sea level. The area receives more than 1400 mm annual rain fall. The soil is predominantly nitsol and is characterized by having reddish brown to red color and clay texture. The natural vegetation is predominately woody shrubs, grass land and grass land with river rain forests along the river banks.

Experimental Materials and Design: The study was conducted by evaluating eight treatments, chemical and botanical pesticides and indigenous knowledge of termite control using Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. Eight mounds were selected for replications over allocations. A total of 24 mounds including the control were used and mound poisoning was done at the same time over the locations. The treatments used include 25 ml Diazinon 60% EC per mound, 20 ml Diazinon 60% EC per mound, 15 ml Diazinon 60% EC per mound and queen removal, 10 ml Diazinon 60% EC per mound and queen removal, queen removal alone, *Maesa lanceolata* extract 100 gm per mound and queen removal, *Maesa lanceolata* extract 100 gm per mound alone and untreated check.

Preparation of Plant Extracts: The fresh leaves of *Maesa lanceolata* were collected from nearby forestland and were chopped and sun dried for three days. The chopped and dried leaves were powdered into fine using blender and 200 gram of the leave powder was diluted with one litter tap water and used for application.

Methods of Data Collection: The treatment was monitored every 14 days for three months and data were collected on the treatment mound with respect to fungal growth i.e. an indication of the destruction of the colony and movement of termite in and around of the mound for three months. Accordingly, 0 = for no movement, 0.5 = for little movement, 1= for normal movement of the termite was recorded.

Methods of Data Analysis: The data collected were subjected to analysis of variance for Randomized Complete Block Design as per [24]. SAS Statistical Software Package [25] was employed for analysis of variance. The statistical significance was determined by using F-test. List Significance Difference (LSD) was used to undertake mean separation in order to identify the most effective treatment.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance showed all the treatments are significantly superior to the untreated (control). But the first four treatments, namely 25 ml, 20 ml Diazinon 60% EC alone and 15 ml and 10 ml Diazinon 60% EC plus queen removal showed significantly superior result over all the treatments and there was no significant differences between them in controlling the termite (Table 1). The treatment with queen removal alone, extract of *Maesa lanceolata* plus queen removal and *Maesa lanceolata* have showed non significant differences to the control.

Among the control measures used chemical, cultural and botanical control methods were more significant over the control treatment when applied independently i.e. 100%, 44.4% and 19.4% respectively (Table 1). Similar results have been reported result for use of chemical pesticides in controlling pests by [20, 21]. Farmers in the study area also appreciated the use of chemical against termite control without considering the main side effects. Since no one has assessed the deleterious effects of organochlorides on the environment in the zone at all. The combination of 15 ml and 10 ml Diazinon 60% EC with queen removal were as effective as 25 ml and 20 ml Diazinon 60% EC while *Maesa lanceolata* 100 gm with queen removal was less significant as compared to both

Table 1: Mean of termite mound poisoned with eight different treatments of chemical, botanical and cultural control methods over the replications in the three months

No	Treatments	Mean%age of termite mound destroyed, between (2-12) weeks.
1	25 ml Diazinon 60% EC	100*a*
2	20 ml Diazinon 60% EC	100*a*
3	15 ml Diazinon 60% EC plus queen removal	100*a*
4	10 ml Diazinon 60% EC plus queen removal	97.2*a*
5	Queen removal alone	44.4b
6	*Maesa lanceolata* 100 gm plus queen removal	19.4b
7	*Maesa lanceolata* 100 gm alone	19.4b
8	Untreated check	0.0c

CV% = 12.5
LSD = 1.83

Values in the column followed by the same letter are non significant at probability 0.05 level of significance

Table 2: Mean of damage percentage of mound poisoned and destroyed within 2 -12 weeks over the replications

		Time interval in the week		
	Treatments	2-4 weeks	5-8 weeks	9-12 weeks
1	25 ml Diazinon 60% EC	100%		
2	20 ml Diazinon 60% EC	100%		
3	15 ml Diazinon 60% EC with queen removal	100%		
4	10 ml Diazinon 60% EC plus queen removal	91.7%	100%	
5	Queen removal alone	25%	50%	50%
6	*Maesa lanceolata* 100 gm plus queen removal	16.7%	50%	66.7
7	*Maesa lanceolata* 100 gm alone	25	33.3	0
8	Untreated check	0	0	0

of them, even though queen removal alone and *Maesa lanceolata* 100 gm with queen removal could not completely control the termite from the mound (Table 2). This similar with the reports of [26], which indicated the queen removal only may not destroy the colony. This experiment also indicated that the using chemical with queen removal was effective. However combination of queen removal with *Maesa lanceolata* minimizes the number of termite per mound for a given period of time (Table 2). This result in agreement with results indicted by [27-29] for antiviral, haemolytic, molluscicidal, bactericidal and fungicidal effects of the chemical found in *Maesa lanceolata* leaf extracts. And it also supports the indication of [30] Guachan *et al*. (1998), for the use of queen removal to control termites. This result is similar with findings of [31] Logan *et al.,* 1999) which indicated that Neem tree *(Azadirachta indica)* and *Ipomoea fistula* mulches help to reduce termite activities.

The treatments with high dozes 25 ml, 20 ml Diazinon 60% EC and 15 ml Diazinon plus queen removal was resulted in quick destruction of the colony i.e 100% destroyed the colony and fungal development was observed on each mound immediately within two weeks after the treatment. This result is in agreement with the reports of[21]. This indicated the effectiveness of the chemical to control termite problem if it is used without considering their economic and environmental drawbacks. While the lowest chemical doze i.e. 10 ml Diazinon 60% EC plus queen removal produced similar effect with high dozes chemical (Table 2) indicating the possibility to control termite problem by using the combination of lower doses of Diazinon 60% EC and cultural control which may reduce the environmental impact of the chemical pesticides and the expenses to purchase the pesticides. The findings reported by [20, 32], was similar to the result of above report for utilizing lower dosage of insecticides to control insect pests. The mound treated with *Maesa lanceolata* 100 gm with queen removal and queen removal alone destroyed 66.7% between 9-12 weeks. This result supports the indication of the reports by [33-35] on the possibility to utilize plant extracts as an alternative method to control pests. According [36], termite managements should be built on farmers' indigenous knowledge and adequate understanding of the ecology of the local termite species.

The treatment with *Maesa lanceolata* 100 gm alone resulted in the recovery of the mound after 9-12 weeks (Table 2) indicating use of *Maesa lanceolata* 100 gm alone to control termite may not be effective. According to [37] Silva *et al*. (2012), the effectives of utilizing any botanical plants in insect pests protection depends on it toxicity to target pest, its effect on development and reproduction of the target organism or any other factors that leads to the reduction of its population.

CONCLUSION

The aim of this study was to identify termite control method that is environmentally sustainable, integrated and participatory way of managing the pest and the damage caused by it. This was done by conducting an experiment on field with eight different treatments of chemical, botanical and cultural control methods independently and/or in combination. From the eight treatments 25 ml and 20 m1 Diazinon 60% EC were more significant when used independently. The 15 ml and 10 ml Diazinon with queen removal were also showed equal significance with 25 ml and 20 ml for it completely destroyed the colony within 2-5 weeks. *Maesa lanceolata* extract 100 gm with queen removal and queen removal alone were less significant and only helped to reduce termite number rather than completely eliminating them. While *Maesa lanceolata* extract 100 gm alone was found non significant and the destroyed mounds developed to the normal condition between 9-12 weeks. In general, from the result obtained, it could be concluded that the farmers can use 10 ml Diazinon 60% EC with queen removal in controlling this catastrophic pest since small amount of insecticides are used per nest as it is less likely to pollute the environment. It also could be concluded that using *Maesa lanceolata* extract 100 gm plus queen removal could be used for termite control where the intensity of the colony is low and this could be environmentally friendly and economically feasible. Furthermore, further experimentation is needed to determine the most appropriate dosage of *Maesa lanceolata* extract to be used with combination of queen removal to apply it for complete destruction of the colony, as this method is the most environmentally sustainable and economically feasible.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Authors are grateful to Bodji Dirmaji District Agriculture and Rural Development Office for its financial and material supporting of this study. And they are also grateful to development agents Mr. Dagim Asfaw, Mr. Hailu Likasa and Mr. Malkamun Tadesa for their assistance during data collection.

REFERENCE

1. Krishna, K. and F.M. Weesner, 1966. Biology of termite. In Academic press, New York and London.
2. Abraham, T., 1990. Termites; problems and possible methods of their control in agriculture with reference to the Ethiopian condition. pp: 50-74. In proceeding of the 10th Annual meeting of the Ethiopian Entomologists (CEE) 7-9 February 1990. Addis Ababa Ethiopia.
3. Dolly Kumar and M. Pardeshi, 2011. Biodiversity of Termites in Agro-ecosystem and Relation between their Niche Breadth and Pest Status. Journal of Entomology, 8(3): 250-258.
4. Bong, J.C.F., P.J.H. King, K.H. Ong and N.M. Mahadi, 2012. Termites Assemblages in Oil Palm Plantation in Sarawak, Malaysia. Journal of Entomology, 9(2): 68-78.
5. Altieri, M.A. and D.K. Letourneau, 1984. Vegetation diversity and insect pest out breaks. Critical Review in Plant Science, 2: 131-169.
6. Abraham, T. and K. Adane, 1995. The effect of seed dressing with Aldrin on termite in Maize western Ethiopia. In second proceeding of crop protection society of Ethiopia 26-27 April 1995, pp: 23. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
7. Abdurahaman, A., 1990. Foraging activity and control of termite in western Ethiopia PhD thesis Submitted to University of London, Department of pure and Applied Biology, Imperial College of science, Technology and medicine. Silwood park Ascot.
8. Temesgen Diriba and Aschalew Sisay, 1996. Preliminary study on the phonology and Ecology of termite tolerant indigenous forage spies at Gawo Dalle District (Western Ethiopia) (Unpublished research paper).
9. Alschalew Sisay, Abraham and Tadele Tefera, 2001. Integrated termite control Option of western oromiya. (Unpublished research paper).
10. Abraham, T., 1986. Method and rate of Alden application on teff to control termite (Unpublished research paper).
11. Rishi Kumar, Mukesh Nitharwal, Rahul Chauhan, Vijender Pal and K.R. Kranthi, 2012. Evaluation of Ecofriendly Control Methods for Management of Mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley in Cotton. Journal of Entomology, 9(1): 32-40.
12. Wardell, D.A., 1987. Control of termite in nurseries and young plantation in Africa; Established practices and alternative courses of action common wealth forestry Review, 66: 77-89.

13. Bigger, M., 1966. The Biology and control of termite damaging field crops in Tanganyika Bulletin of Entomological Research, 56: 471-444.
14. Derbalah, A.S., A.M. Hamza and A.A. Gazzy, 2012. Efficacy and Safety of Some Plant Extracts as Alternatives for *Sitophilus oryzae* Control in Rice Grains. Journal of Entomology, 9: 57-67.
25. Sahayaraj, K. and J. Francis Borgio, 2012. Screening of Some Mycoinsecticides for the Managing Hairy Caterpillar, *Pericallia ricini* Fab. (Lepidoptera: Arctiidae) in Castor. Journal of Entomology, 9: 89-97.
16. Silva, L.B., Z.F. Xavier, C.B. Silva, O. Faccenda, A.C.S. Candido and M.T.L.P. Peres, 2012. Insecticidal Effects of *Croton urucurana* Extracts and Crude Resin on *Dysdercus maurus* (Hemiptera: Pyrrocoridae). Journal of Entomology, 9(2): 98-106.
17. Sujatha, S., L.S. Vidya and G.S. Sumi, 2012. Prey-predator Interaction and Info-chemical Behavior of *Rhynocoris fuscipes* (Fab.) on Three Agricultural Pests (Heteroptera: Reduviidae). Journal of Entomology, 9(2): 130-136.
18. Khorram, M.S., N. Taher Nasabi, S. Jafarnia and S. Khosroshahi, 2011. The Toxicity of Selected Monoterpene Hydrocarbons as Single Compounds and Mixtures against Different Developmental Stages of Colorado Potato Beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Journal of Entomology, 8(5): 404-416.
19. Raghavendra, B.S., K.P. Prathibha and V.A. Vijayan, 2011. Larvicidal Efficacy of *Eugenia jambolana* Linn. Extracts in Three Mosquito Species at Mysore. Journal of Entomology, 8: 491-496.
20. Mirmoayedi, A. and M. Maniee, 2009. Integrated Pest Management of Cotton`s Spiny Bollworm (*Earias insulana*) with Spray of Diazinon and Relaese of Green Lacewings. Journal of Entomology, 6: 56-61.
21. Mirmoayedi A.M. Maniee and A. Yaghutipoor, 2010. Control of Cotton Spiny Bollworm, *Earias insulana* Boisduval, Using Three Bio-Insecticides, Bt, Spinosad and Neem-Azal Journal of Entomology, 7: 89-94.
22. Sathyaseelan, V., V. Baskaran and S. Mohan, 2008. Efficacy of Some Indigenous Pesticidal Plants Against Pulse Beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) On Green Gram Journal of Entomology, 5: 128-132.
23. Abdullahi, N., Q. Majeed and T.I. Oyeyi, 2011. Studies on the Efficacy of *Vittallaria paradoxa* Seed Oil on the Oviposition, Hatchability of Eggs and Emergence of *Callasobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) on Treated Cowpea Seed. Journal of Entomology, 8: 391-397.
24. Montgomery, D.C., 2005. Design and Analysis of Experimentals. 6th Edn., John Wiley and Sons Inc., USA., Pages, pp: 280.
35. SAS, 2001. Statistical Analysis System Software. Ver.8.2., SAS Instutute Inc., Carry, NC.
26. Pearce, M.J., 1997. Termites: Biology and Pest Management. CAB International, New York.
27. Apers, S., S. Baronikova, J.B. Sindambiwe, *et al*., 2001. Antiviral, haemolytic and molluscicidal activities of triterpenoid saponins from Maesa lanceolata: establishment of structure-activity relationships. Planta Med., 67: 528-32.
28. Manguro, L.O.A., O.M. Jacob, L.F. Tietze, *et al*., 2011. Triterpene saponins of *Maesa lanceolata* leaves. ARKIVOC, 3: 172-198.
29. Paul O. Okemo, P.O. Harsh Pal Bais, H.P. and J.M. Vivanco, 2003. *In vitro* activities of *Maesa lanceolata* extracts against fungal plant pathogens. Fitoterapia, 74: 312-316.
30. Gauchan, D., J. Ayo-Odongo, Vaughan, *et al*., 1998. A participatory systems analysis of the termite situation in West Wollega, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia: Working Document Series 68, ICRA, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
31. Logan, J.M.W., R.H. Cowie and T.G. Wood, 1999. Termite control in Agriculture and forestry by non chemical methods: A review bullet in Entomological Research, 80: 309-330.
32. Su, N.Y. and R.H. Scheffrahn, 1998. A review of subterranean termite control practices and prospects for integrated pest management programs. Intenerated Pest Management, Review, 3: 1-13.
33. Scott, I.M., H. Jensen, J.G. Scott *et al*., 2003. Botanical insecticides for controlling agricultural pests: Piperamides and the Colorado potato beetle *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology, 54: 212-225.
34. Scott, I.M., H. Jensen, R., L. Nicol, *et al*., 2004. Efficacy of *Piper* (Piperaceae) extracts for control of common home and garden insect pests. Journal of Economic Entomology, 97: 1390-1403.

44. Zolotar, R.M., A.I. Bykhovets, Z.N. Kashkan *et al*., 2002. Structure-activity relationship of insecticidal steroids. VII. C-7-oxidized â-sitosterol and stigmasterols. Chemistry of. Natural. Compound, 38(2): 171-174.
36. Sileshi, G.W., P. Nyeko, P.O.Y. Nkunika, B.M. Sekematte, F.K. Akinnifesi and O.C. Ajayi, 2009. Integrating ethno-ecological and scientific knowledge of termites for sustainable termite management and human welfare in Africa. Ecology and Society, 14(1): 48.
37. Silva, L.B., Z.F. Xavier, C.B. Silva, O. Faccenda, A.C.S. Candido and M.T.L.P. Peres, 2012. Insecticidal Effects of *Croton urucurana* Extracts and Crude Resin on *Dysdercus maurus* (Hemiptera: Pyrrocoridae). Journal of Entomology, 9: 98-106.