

## Body Dissatisfaction and Mental Health of Competitive and Recreational Male Bodybuilders

<sup>1</sup>Shirkoo Ahmadi, <sup>2</sup>Nahid Jabari, <sup>3</sup>Mahmoud Jamali Gale, <sup>4</sup>Javad Moradi Chaleshtori and <sup>5</sup>Abolfazl Sadeghi

<sup>1</sup>Department of physical education, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of physical education, Tabriz branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Education organization of Chaharmahal va Bakhtiari, Shahrekord, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Technical and vocational training college of Sama, Shahrekord Branch,  
Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord, Iran

<sup>5</sup>Payamnoor University, Kurdistan, Iran

---

**Abstract:** Interaction of physical and mental dimensions is necessary to survive. The purpose of present study was to examine body dissatisfaction and mental health of competitive and recreational male bodybuilders. The sample composed of 97 bodybuilders (46 competitive, 51 recreational ones), aged 21 to 34 years old, selected randomly from Tehran gymnasias. It was ex-post facto research. Mental health was assessed by GHQ-28 and body dissatisfaction was evaluated by FRS. Results showed that there was significant difference between competitive and recreational male bodybuilders in social dysfunction. Recreational male bodybuilders had better performance in social function. However, there was not significant difference in other dimensions of mental health ( $p < 0.05$ ). BMI predicted body dissatisfaction significantly in group of recreational. However, age, body weight and marital status did not predict body dissatisfaction significantly in both groups of competitive and recreational ( $p > 0.05$ ). Moreover, BMI did not predict body dissatisfaction significantly in group of competitive.

**Key words:** Mental health • Body dissatisfaction • Competitive male body builder • Recreational male body builder

---

### INTRODUCTION

Human includes physical and mental dimensions which their interaction and balance are necessary to survive. Sport is a tool to improve the interaction of physical and mental dimensions. Sport composes of physical activities which decrease the anxiety and physical and affective tiredness. Sport increases the physical and mental health [1].

Mental health is health of body, psyche, social power and affective power. The specialists believe that depression, anxiety, aggression and social abnormalities threat mental health. Such factors not only threat mental health but also physical health [2].

During three decades, body dissatisfaction has been increased among men since 15% to 43% which the value has been changed close to the statistics of female dissatisfaction [3]. The laboratory and cross-sectional studies show that women wish for thin beauty standards

and men desire ideally thin muscular body as advertised in the media. Consequently, it increases body dissatisfaction followed by negative impact on both men and women [4-8].

The most of young men follow thinner, larger and more muscular body [5]. Body dissatisfaction incompatible with the beauty standards exists in both sexes. The prevalence of body dissatisfaction is worrying since the subject of body image mostly stimulates nutritional disorders, compulsory behaviors [9] and use of anabolic-androgenic steroids [10].

Male body builders have high levels of body dissatisfaction [11], mental involvement of body shape and size, incorrect corrective exercises such as severe diet and dehydration [12] and use of anabolic-androgenic steroids [13].

Loosemore and Moriarty (1990) showed more nutritional disorders and body dissatisfaction in male bodybuilders than athletes and non-athletes.

However, more positive body image was demonstrated in male bodybuilders [14] in comparison with active subjects [15] and inactive control group [16]. According to psychological traits, the male bodybuilders have more egoism [17], masculinity beliefs [18] and inefficient feeling [13].

Nutritional behavior and psychological traits have been slightly considered between recreational and competitive bodybuilders. Davis and Scott-Robertson (2000) found that there was not significant difference in egoism between competitive male bodybuilders and women suffering from anorexia nervosa but both of them significantly differ from group control. Moreover, the competitive male bodybuilders had more positive comprehension of body image and self worth than women suffering from anorexia nervosa [17]. Mangweth *et al.* (2001) understood that the male bodybuilders had more body dissatisfaction and weight dissatisfaction than control group of male non-athletes [19].

Sport significantly influences mental health. We tried to find whether there is different mental health between competitive and recreational male bodybuilders. The purpose of present study was to examine body dissatisfaction and mental health of competitive and recreational male bodybuilders.

**Methodology:** The body builders were recruited by posting advertisements in local gymnasias. They were given a cover letter describing the purpose of the study as to examine “body dissatisfaction and mental health of competitive and recreational male bodybuilders.” To protect their anonymity, bodybuilders were instructed not to include their name or contact information on any of the surveys and they were guaranteed that data obtained would only be available to study staff. Any publication of data would involve aggregated data so that no individual could be identified. The sample composed of 97 bodybuilders (46 competitive, 51 recreational), aged 21 to 34 years old, selected randomly from Tehran gymnasias. Demographic characteristics were age, weight, height and marital status (single, married). BMI was calculated through the equation ( $BMI = \text{weight}/\text{height}^2$ ). In the General Health Questionnaire-28 (GHQ-28), the participants responded to the items using a 4-point Likert Scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 4 (much more than usual). The higher the total score, the poorer the psychological well-being is. It composed of four dimensions including somatic symptoms, anxiety, social dysfunction and depression. It has proven to be a valid

and reliable instrument [20,21]. Moreover, Figure Rating Scale (FRS) was used in order to measure body dissatisfaction [22]. Stunkard's body figure rating scale consists of 9 silhouettes, which progressively change from extremely thin to extremely fat. Each figure is marked with a number from 1 to 9. Participants were asked to choose a number, figure, which most closely matches their own body, figure which they wish to have and the one they consider ideal in their country. The level of body dissatisfaction was figured as a difference between the ideal and the current body figure or country ideal and the current body figure. The values could range from -8 to +8. Values <0 indicated a desire to be heavier than one's perceived current image, whereas values >0 indicated a desire to be lighter than one's perceived current image. This instrument has reliable test-retest values of 0.71 to 0.92 and an adequate constructive validity [23]. Also, the competitive were separated from the recreational by the specific criteria. Inclusion criteria for the competitive male bodybuilders required a person to be either actively training for a competition or to have competed within the past 12 months. Recreational male bodybuilders were defined as those people who engaged in traditional forms of weight training (for example, free weights) at least twice weekly for 7 months or more (although all did significantly more) and who had never competed in a bodybuilding competition and had no plans to do so in the next 12 months. Demographic characteristics were measured by descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation). Data analysis was done by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, independent-samples t test and multiple regression. All the statistical calculations were done by SPSS 16 software.

## RESULTS

Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the participants.

There were 46 competitive male bodybuilders (age:  $27.2 \pm 4.4$  years old, height:  $176.31 \pm 5.4$  centimeters, weight:  $95.2 \pm 13.5$  kilograms, BMI:  $31.7 \pm 3.1$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and 51 recreational male bodybuilders (age:  $24.6 \pm 5$  years, height:  $178.1 \pm 8.1$  centimeters, weight:  $80.6 \pm 11.7$  kilograms, BMI:  $25.9 \pm 5$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>).

Table 2 presents the comparison of the mental health between competitive and recreational male bodybuilders.

As shown in table 2, there was significant difference between competitive and recreational male bodybuilders in social dysfunction ( $t$  ( $df = 95$ ) = -2.95,  $p=0.01$ ).

Table 1: Demographic characteristics

	competitive		recreational	
	mean	Std. deviation	mean	Std. deviation
Age (years old)	27.2	4.4	24.6	5
Height (cm)	176.31	5.4	178.1	8.1
Weight (kg)	95.2	13.5	80.6	11.7
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	31.7	3.1	25.9	5

Table 2: Comparison of mental health

	Recreational		Competitive		df	t	sig
	M	SD	M	SD			
somatic symptoms	5.22	4.35	6	4.32	95	-1.25	0.21
anxiety	7.07	4.85	6.80	5.11	95	0.37	0.71
social dysfunction	7.11	4.9	9.06	4.33	95	-2.95	0.004
depression	6.66	5.94	6.63	6.01	95	0.043	0.96

Table 3: Prediction of body dissatisfaction from different factors

	recreational			competitive						
	B	Std. error	B	t	sig	B	Std. error	β	t	sig
Constant	0.260	2.248		2.598	0.008	1.699	1.503		1.131	0.260
Age	0.634	0.51	-0.91	-0.764	0.410	-0.042	0.042	-0.031	-0.476	0.634
Body weight	0.356	0.201	-0.009	-0.108	0.929	0.94	0.121	0.59	0.925	0.356
BMI	0.343	0.072	-0.123	-1.976	0.05	-0.048	0.052	-0.069	-0.951	0.343
Marital status	0.599	0.647	-0.102	-0.9	0.37	-0.231	0.352	-0.033	-0.527	0.599

Note. Recreational (R<sup>2</sup>=0.184, adjusted R<sup>2</sup>=0.10), competitive (R<sup>2</sup>=0.296, adjusted R<sup>2</sup>=0.36).

The mean value of the recreational (M = 7.11) was less than competitive (M = 9.06). So, the recreational had better performance in social function. However, there was not significant difference in other dimensions of mental health (p<0.05).

Table 3 demonstrates the prediction of body dissatisfaction from demographic characteristics (age, body weight, BMI, marital status).

BMI predicted body dissatisfaction significantly in group of the recreational (β =-0.123, t =-1.976, p<0.05). However, age, body weight and marital status did not predict body dissatisfaction significantly in both groups of competitive and recreational (p>0.05). Moreover, BMI did not predict body dissatisfaction significantly in group of the competitive (p>0.05).

## DISCUSSION

The purpose of present study was to examine body dissatisfaction and mental health of competitive and recreational male bodybuilders. We found that there was significant difference between competitive and recreational male bodybuilders in social dysfunction and recreational male bodybuilders had better performance in social function. However, there was not significant difference in other dimensions of mental health. The reason why recreational male bodybuilders had better performance in social function is a challenge.

The culture, media, gender, society, peers, parent, family, teachers and sport trainers influence the desired

body shape [24,25]. The definition of desired body was changed in the middle of 1900 [26] as a result of media propaganda. A moderate thin body was advised for female and muscular thin body was suggested for male. So, there is distance between actual and desired body (here body shape measured by BMI is considered).

There was difference in BMI of competitive and recreational male bodybuilders. BMI is a way to measure adipose tissue indirectly. It is an index of body composition. The high BMI of bodybuilders does not mean obesity since high weight is a principle in bodybuilding to have more muscle size. Therefore, the difference is natural. Maybe, the high competition of competitive bodybuilders is the reason of more value.

According to the theory of self discrepancy [27], the difference between real and ideal ego leads to the motivation of attempts at desired body condition [28]. If the desired condition was unrealistic or unattainable, the dangerous and inappropriate ways would be selected to lose weight [29]. Biological factors are the other limit since the weight is influenced by the genetic traits [30]. Also, the distribution of muscle and fat is impacted by the genetic traits. The adipose pattern of individuals is specific [31].

## REFERENCES

1. Dimatteo, M.R., 1999. The psychology of health. Translated by Hashemian, Kianoosh, *et al.* Tehran: Samt press. Iran.

2. Secher, N.H., 1999. Cardiovascular function and oxygen delivery during exercise. In *Physiological Determinants of Exercise Tolerance in Humans*, ed. WHIPP, B. J. and SARGEANT, A. J., pp: 93-113. Portland Press, London.
3. Garner, D.M., 1997. The 1997 body image survey result. *Psychology Today*, 30(1): 30-44, 75-80, 84.
4. Anderson, A.E. and L. DiDomenico, 1992. Diet vs shape content of popular male and female magazines: A dose response relationship to the incidence of eating disorders? *Int. J. Eating Disord*, 11: 283-287.
5. Cohane, G. and H. Pope, 2001. Body image in boys: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 29: 373-379.
6. Leit, R.A., J.J. Gray and H.G. Pope, 2002. The media's representation of the ideal male body: A cause for muscle dysmorphia? *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 31: 334-338.
7. Ogden, J. and K. Mundary, 1996. The effect of the media on body satisfaction: The role of gender and size. *Euro Eat. Disord Rev.*, 4: 171-182.
8. Pope, H.G.J.R., 1999. Evolving ideals of male body image as seen through action toys. *Int. J. Eating Disord*, 26: 65-72.
9. American Psychiatric Association, 1994. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (4th ed.)*. Washington, DC: Author.
10. Kanayama, G., J.R.H.G. Pope and J.I. Hudson, 2001. "Body image" drugs: a growing psychosomatic problem. *Psychother. Psychosom.*, 70: 61-65.
11. Klein, A.M., 1987. Fear and self-loathing in Southern California: Narcissism and fascism in bodybuilding subculture. *J. Psychoanal. Anthropol.*, 10: 117-137.
12. Anderson, R.E., S.J. Bartlett, G.D. Morgan and K.D. Brownell, 1995. Weight loss, psychological and nutritional patterns in competitive male body builders. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 18: 49-57.
13. Blouin, A.G. and G.S. Goldfield, 1995. Body image and steroid use in male bodybuilders. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 18: 159-165.
14. Loosemore, D.J. and D. Moriarty, 1990. Body image dissatisfaction and body image distortion in selected groups of males. *Canadian Association for Health, Physical Education and Recreation*, 12: 11-15.
15. Passman, L. and J.K. Thompson, 1988. Body image and eating disturbance in obligatory runners, obligatory weightlifters and sedentary individuals. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 7: 759-769.
16. Anderson, S.L., K. Zager, R.K. Hetzler, M. Nahikian-Nelms and G. Syler, 1996. Comparison of Eating Disorder Inventory-2 (EDI-2) scores of male bodybuilders to the male college student subgroup. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition*, 6: 255-262.
17. Davis, C. and L. Scott-Robertson, 2000. A psychological comparison of females with anorexia nervosa and competitive male bodybuilders: body-shape ideals in the extreme. *Eating Behaviors*, 1: 33-46.
18. Rubinstein, G.M., 2003. Narcissism, homophobia, agency, communion and authoritarianism-a comparative study among Israeli bodybuilders and a control group. *Psychol. Men and Mascul*, 4: 100-110.
19. Mangweth, B., H.G.J.R. Pope, G. Kemmler, A. Hausmann, C. De Col, B. Kreutner, *et al.*, 2001. Body image and psychopathology in male bodybuilders. *Psychother Psychosom.*, 70: 38-43.
20. Goldberg, D. and P. Williams, 1988. *A user's guide to the General Health Questionnaire*. Nfer Nelson Publishing Company Ltd. Windsor.
21. Krol, B., R. Sanderman, T. Moum, T. Suurmeijer, D. Doeglas, W. Krijnen, I. Robinson, S. Briançon, A. Bjelle and W. Heuvel van den, 1994. A comparison of the General Health Questionnaire-28 between patients with rheumatoid arthritis from the Netherlands, France, Sweden and Norway. *Eur. J. Psychol. Assess*, 10: 93-101.
22. Stunkard, A., T. Sorensen and F. Schulsinger, 1983. Use of the Danish Adoption Register for the study of obesity and thinness. In: S. Key, I. Roland, R. Sigman and S. Matthyse, (eds). *The genetics of neurological and psychiatric disorders*. Raven Press: New York
23. Thompson, K.J. and M.N. Altabe, 1991. Psychometric qualities of the figure rating scale. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 10(5): 615-619.
24. Black, D.R., 1991. *Eating disorders among athletes*. American Alliance for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance. Reston: VA.
25. Thompson, J.K., L.J. Heinberg, M. Altabe and S. Tantleff-Dunn, 1999. *Exacting beauty: Theory, assessment and treatment of body image disturbance*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
26. Garner, D.M., P.E. Garfinkel, D. Schwartz and M. Thompson, 1980. Cultural expectations of thinness in women. *Psychological Reports*, 47: 483-491.

27. Higgins, E.T., 1987. Self-Discrepancy: A theory relating self and affect. *Psychological Review*, 94(3): 319-340.
28. Albertini, R.S. and K.A. Phillips, 1999. Thirty-three cases of body dysmorphic disorder in children and adolescents. *J. American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 38(4): 453-459.
29. Snyder, R., 1997. Self-discrepancy theory, standards for body evaluation and eating disorder symptomatology among college women. *Women and Health*, 26(2): 69-84.
30. Wichmann, S. and D. Martin, 1993. Eating disorders in athletes. *The Physician and Sportsmedicine*, 21: 125-135.
31. Swan, N. and G. Egger, 1991. The research for the ideal body. *The Health Reader*, 3(2): 7-8.