Effects of Tillage Systems and Nitrogen Fertilizer on Yield and Yield Components of Faba Bean

¹Ebrahim Azarpour, ²Mohammad Karim Motamed, ¹Hamid Reza Bozorgi and ¹Maral Moraditochaee

¹Department of Agriculture, Lahijan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Lahijan, Iran ²Guilan University, Agricultural College, Department of Rural Development, Iran

Abstract: Field experiment in split plot format based on randomized complete block design was conducted during 2009 farming year at the Langroud Township in Guilan province (north of Iran), to study the effect of tillage systems and nitrogen fertilizer on yield and yield components of Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Main factor of experiment consists of two tillage systems (t₁: conventional tillage and t₂: minimum tillage) and sub factor consists of six nitrogen fertilizer levels (n₁: control, n₂: 25 kg/ha nitrogen, n₃: 50 kg/ha nitrogen, n₄: nitroxin biofertilizer inoculation, n₅: 25 kg/ha nitrogen+ nitroxin inoculation, n₆: 50 kg/ha nitrogen+ nitroxin inoculation). Seed yield, straw yield, biological yield, harvest index, plant height, 100 seed weight, number of pod per plant and number of seed per pod were measured. Results showed Tillage had significant effect on seed yield, biological yield, harvest index, plant height and 100 seeds weight was significant in 5% probability level. But on straw yield, number of pod per plant and number of seed per pod was non significant. Also, effect of nitrogen fertilization treatment on all measured traits had significant differences in 1% probability level. Interaction effect on seed yield, harvest index, 100 seeds weight and number of seed per pod had significant differences in 1% and on straw yield and number of pods per plant in 5% probability level. Also on biological yield and plant height was non significant. In general high seed yield was obtained by t₁n₅ treatment (conventional tillage along with 25 kg/ha nitrogen+ nitroxin inoculation) with 4869 kg/ha.

Key words: Faba Bean · Tillage Systems · Nitrogen Fertilizer · Seed Yield

INTRODUCTION

Legumes are the major direct source of proteins for both man and livestock, especially in poor countries, where animal protein is expensive [1]. Faba bean (Vicia faba L.) is one of the major winter-sown legume crops grown in the Mediterranean region and has considerable importance as a low-cost food rich in proteins and carbohydrates [2]. The tillage systems are applied in order to modify soil characteristics and to control the vegetation factors (water, air, heat, nutritive elements and biological activity), thus facilitating optimal conditions for the growth of plants. The classic system of soil tillage practiced even since the 11th century, that predominantly in usage today is definitely characterized by classical ploughing (with the use of which the soil is turned) and the preparation of the germinal layer. The necessity of the decreasing the number of tillage and also the elaboration of soil tillage system has appeared ever since the 50's and the 60's. Thus new systems of soil tillage have developed (unconventional ones, alternative systems and system of preserving the soil) with several options: working with disc harrow, rotary harrow, chisel and par plow, the system of working with protecting layer, the system of working with strips, direct sowing etc [3]. The implementation of a tillage system should be done in accordance with all the aspects which can influence that system, thus it assumes the knowledge in detail of all the elements which contribute to the increase of the soil fertility. An insufficient analysis of the way in which the soil interacts to these high requirements can have negative consequences, which are the degradation processes or even the destruction of the production capacity [4]. Responses of faba bean growth to tillage operation were evaluated in some researches. EL-Douby and Mohamed (2002) [5] and Nawar and Khalil (2004) [6] observed a tendency for increase in seed yield, 100-seed weight and number of pods/plant of faba bean with tillage, compared to no tillage systems. Nitrogen is required by plants in comparatively larger amounts than other elements [7]. For an optimal yield, the N supply must be available according to the needs of the plant. Nitrogen

deficiency generally results in stunted growth and chlorotic leaves caused by poor assimilate formation that leads to premature flowering and shortening of the growth cycle. The presence of N in excess promotes development of the above ground organs with abundant dark green (high chlorophyll) tissues of soft consistency and relatively poor root growth. This increases the risk of lodging and reduces the plants resistance to harsh climatic conditions and to foliar diseases [8]. Excessive application of fertilizer nitrogen can result in a high soil nitrate concentration after crop harvest [9, 10, 11]. This situation can lead to an increase in the level of nitrate contamination of potable water, because nitrate remaining in the soil profile may leach to groundwater [12]. A good way to solve these problems is usage of biological nitrogen fixation. The utilization of biological nitrogen fixation method can decrease the use of chemical nitrogen fertilizer (urea), prevent the depletion of soil organic matter and reduce environmental pollution to a considerable extent [13]. Some bacteria that are associated with the roots of crop plants can induce beneficial effects on their hosts and often are collectively referred to as PGPR (Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria) [14]. The biological fixation of nitrogen produced by these organisms can constitute a significant and ecologically favorable contribution to soil fertility [15]. Nitroxin is a biologic nitrogen fertilizer that containing Azospirillum and Azotobacter. Azospirillum belongs to family Spirilaceae, heterotrophic and associative in nature. In addition to their nitrogen fixing ability of about 20-40 kg/ha, they also produce growth regulating substances. Although there are many species under this genus like, A.amazonense, A.halopraeferens, A.brasilense, worldwide distribution and benefits of inoculation have been proved mainly with the A.lipoferum and A brasilense. Azotobacter belongs family Azotobacteriaceae, aerobic, free living and heterotrophic in nature. Azotobacters are present in neutral or alkaline soils and A. chroococcum is the most commonly occurring species in arable soils. A. vinelandii, A. beijerinckii, A. insignis and A. macrocytogenes are other reported species. The number of Azotobacter rarely exceeds of 10⁴ to 10⁵ g⁻¹ of soil due to lack of organic matter and presence of antagonistic microorganisms in soil. The bacterium produces anti-fungal antibiotics which inhibits the growth of several pathogenic fungi in the root region thereby preventing seedling mortality to a certain extent. The isolated culture of Azotobacter fixes about 10 mg nitrogen g⁻¹ of carbon source under invitro conditions. Azotobacter also to known to synthesize biologically active growth promoting substances such as vitamins of

B group, indole acetic acid (IAA) and gibberellins. Many strains of Azotobacter also exhibited fungi static properties against plant pathogens such as Fusarium, Alternaria and Helminthosporium [16]. The Current study aimed is investigated the influence of Tillage Systems and different nitrogen fertilizer levels on yield and yield components of Faba bean.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In order to study effect of different tillage systems and nitrogen fertilizer on yield and yield components of Faba bean (Vicia faba L.) an experiment in split plot format based on randomized complete block design with three replications in Langroud township situated on Guilan province (north of Iran), with 37°11' N latitude and 50°0′ E longitude and 20 m above sea level in 2009 was conducted. The main factor of experiment consists of two tillage systems (t₁: conventional tillage and t₂: minimum tillage) and the sub factor consists of six nitrogen fertilization levels (n₁: control, n₂: 25 kg/ha nitrogen, n₃: 50 kg/ha nitrogen, n₄: nitroxin inoculation, n₅: 25 kg/ha nitrogen+ nitroxin inoculation, n₆: 50 kg/ha nitrogen+ nitroxin inoculation). Pure nitrogen prepared from source of urea (46%). The soil texture was loam clay and pH 7.1 (Table 1). Conventional tillage perform with classic plough + rotary harrow + mutual disc and minimum tillage with cultivation of the soil upper layer using a rotary mower was carry out. Inoculation seeds with nitroxin biofertilizer in a dish contain water and nitroxin bio-fertilizer (Azospirillum bacteria and Azotobacter) before cultivation to main field was carried out. Seeds of faba bean were planted on 25 November. Plant spacing of 20×40 cm was used and seeds were planted in depth of 4-5 cm. The normal agricultural practices required for control of weeds and pests were applied as commonly followed in the farm. In maturity time, Seed yield, straw yield, biological yield, harvest index, plant height, 100 seed weight, number of pod per plant and number of seed per pod were measured.

Table 1: Physical and chemical analysis of the tested soil

Soil texture	Loam clay
Clay (%)	46.580
Silt (%)	29.970
Sand (%)	23.450
E.C.(mmhos/cm)	1.310
pH	7.100
Total nitrogen (%)	0.193
P (ppm)	9.100
K (ppm)	197.000

The yield and yield components were analyzed by using MSTATC software. The Duncan's multiple range tests was used to compare the means at %5 of significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of Tillage Systems: With attention to results of variance analysis (Table 2), the effect of tillage systems on seed yield, biological yield, harvest index, plant height and 100 seeds weight was showed significant differences in 5% probability level. Also, on straw yield, number of pod per plant and number of seed per pod was non significant. Comparison of mean between tillage systems treatments show that (Table 3), the highest seed yield with 3596.6 kg/ha, biological yield with 11493.1 kg/ha, harvest index with 30.9 %, plant height with 119.6 cm and 100 seeds weight with 252.4 g was obtained from conventional tillage system. The lowest amount of this traits were recorded from minimum tillage system respectively with 3229.9 kg/ha, 10991.1 kg/ha, 28.9 %, 118.4 cm and 246.3 g. similar results were reported by Herridge and Holland [17], Gomma and El Naggar [19], El-Douby and Mohamed [5] and Nawar et al. [18].

Effect of Nitrogen Fertilization: The analysis of variance presented in Table 2. Showed significant effect of nitrogen fertilizer levels on all measured traits in 1% probability level. Comparison of mean between nitrogen fertilizer levels show that (Table 3), The highest seed yield, biological yield, harvest index, number of pods per plant and number of seeds per pod respectively with 4747 kg/ha, 12830 kg/ha, 36.99 %, 18.74 pods per plant and5.97 seeds per pod was obtained from n₅ treatment (25 kg/ha nitrogen + nitroxin inoculation). The n_6 treatment with 12740 kg/ha biological yield placed in same statistically level with n₅ treatment. Also, the lowest seed yield with 2304 kg/ha, biological yield with 9412 kg/ha, harvest index with 24.44 %, number of pods per plant with 7.25 and number of seeds per pod with 4.32 was found from n₁ treatment (control). The maximum values of straw yield, plant height and 100 seeds weight was recorded from n₆ treatment (50 kg/ha nitrogen +nitroxin inoculation) respectively with 8465 kg/ha, 121.6 cm and 260.6 g. The lowest Straw yield with 7108 kg/ha, plant height with 116.1 cm and 100 seeds weight with 240.4 g was recorded from n₁ treatment (control). Similar results were reported by Kavimndan [20], Rizk et al. [21]. Ahmed et al. [22]. El-Bassiony, [23] and Susheela and Singh [24].

Table 2: Analysis of variance studied traits of faba bean under different levels of tillage systems and nitrogen fertilization

		Seed yield	Straw yield	Biological	Harvest	Plant height	100 seeds	No.of pod	No.of seed
Source of varianc	e DF	(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)	yield (kg/ha)	index (%)	(cm)	weight (g)	per plant	per pod
MS									
Main factor (T)	1	1210000*	$164700.694^{\rm ns}$	22675534.028*	34.869*	12.948*	334.768*	$26.591^{\rm ns}$	$2.862^{\rm ns}$
Error T	2	63653.583	10102.778	121002.194	1.752	0.448	17.810	6.192	0.488
Sub factor (N)	5	5330476.444**	1412852.628**	10937636.317**	141.326**	18.735**	263.994**	95.935**	1.969**
$T\times N$	5	55223.400**	61597.828*	63877.828ns	4.172**	2.076^{ns}	46.376**	1.065*	0.253**
Error N	20	5576.939	19639.944	33008.406	0.231	1.417	10.922	0.391	0.030

Ns, ** and * respectively: non significant, significant in 1% and 5% area

Table 3: Comparison of mean effect of tillage systems and nitrogen fertilization levels on studied traits

	Seed yield	Straw yield	Biological	Harvest	Plant height	100 seeds	No.of pod	No.of seed
Treatment	(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)	yield (kg/ha)	index (%)	(cm)	weight (g)	per plant	per pod
Tillage								
Conventional	3596.6 a	7896.4 a	11493.1 a	30.9 a	119.6 a	252.4 a	15.7 a	5.4 a
Minimum	3229.9 b	7761.2 a	10991.1 b	28.9 b	118.4 b	246.3 b	14 a	4.8 a
Fertilizer								
Control	2304 e	7108 e	9412 e	24.44 f	116.1 с	240.4 d	7.25 d	4.32 e
25 kg/ha nitrogen	3260 с	7467 d	10730 с	30.30 с	118.8 b	248.2 bc	14.74 с	4.83 d
50 kg/ha nitrogen	3286 c	8066 b	11350 b	28.93 d	119.1 b	249.7 bc	16.48 b	5.14 c
Nitroxin	2608 d	7785 с	10390 d	25.07 e	118.5 b	246.4 с	14.82 c	4.82 d
25 kg/ha nitrogen +nitroxin	4747 a	8083 b	12830 a	36.99 a	119.7 b	251.1 b	18.74 a	5.97 a
50 kg/ha nitrogen +nitroxin	4276 b	8465 a	12740 a	33.54 b	121.6 a	260.6 a	16.96 b	5.45 b

Within each column, means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly at P<0.05

Table 4: the interaction effect of tillage systems and nitrogen fertilization on studied traits

Treatment	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Straw yield (kg/ha)	Harvest index (%)	100 seed weight (g)	No.of pod per plant	No.of seed per pod
$\overline{\mathbf{t_1}\mathbf{n_1}}$	2447 ј	7230 e	25.28 f	244.5 с	8.5 f	4.52 f
t_1n_2	3636 e	7470 e	32.73 с	249.4 bc	14.86 de	4.92 de
t_1n_3	3462 f	8011 cd	30.17 d	250.8 bc	17.48 b	5.34 c
t_1n_4	2741 i	7810 d	25.97 f	248.2 bc	15.69 cd	5.03 cde
$t_1 n_5$	4869 a	8316 ab	36.92 a	252.8 b	19.97 a	6.64 a
t_1n_6	4425 c	8542 a	34.11 b	268.9 a	17.65 b	5.78 b
$\mathbf{t}_2\mathbf{n}_1$	2160 k	6986 f	23.6 g	236.3 d	6 g	4.12 g
t_2n_2	2885 h	7464 e	27.86 e	247 bc	14.63 de	4.74 ef
t_2n_3	3110 g	8120 bc	27.69 e	248.5 bc	15.49 cd	4.94 de
t_2n_4	2474 j	7760 d	24.18 g	244.6 с	13.95 e	4.61 f
t_2n_5	4624 b	7850 d	37.06 a	249.3 bc	17.51 b	5.29 c
t_2n_6	4127 d	8387 a	32.97 c	252.3 b	16.27 с	5.13 cd

Within each column, treatments that carry the same superscript letter are not significantly different at P<0.05

Interaction Effect: Interaction effect of tillage systems and nitrogen fertilizer levels on seed yield, harvest index, 100 seeds weight and number of seed per pod had significant differences in 1% and on straw yield and number of pods per plant in 5% probability level. Also on biological yield and plant height was non significant (Table 2). Comparison of mean between interaction levels show that (Table 4), the highest seed yield, harvest index, number of pods per plant and seeds per pod obtained from t₁n₅ (conventional tillage along with 25 kg/ha nitrogen + nitroxin) treatment respectively with 4869 kg/ha, 36.92 %, 19.97 pods per plant and 6.64 seeds per pod. Also, the lowest amounts of this traits was recorded from ton, treatment with 2160 kg/ha seed yield, 23.6 % harvest index, 6 pods per plant and 4.12 seeds per pod. The maximum straw yield with 8542 kg/ha and 100 seeds weight with 268.9 g was found from t₁n₆ (conventional tillage along with 50 kg/ha nitrogen + nitroxin) treatment. Minimum amounts of straw yield and 100 seeds weight respectively with 6986 kg/ha and 236.3 g was recorded from t₂n₁ (Minimum tillage without nitrogen and nitroxin application) treatment. Similar results were reported by Wange [25], Salah et al. [26], Zeidan et al. [27] and El-Ahmed et al. [28].

CONCLUSION

With attention to obtained results use of seed inoculation with nitroxin biofertilizer along with nitrogen chemical fertilizer had a significant and positive effect on yield and yield components of faba bean. Also, Conventional tillage cause to increase of performance attributes. Due to good response of faba bean to

conventional tillage system and combined chemical nitrogen with nitroxin biofertilizer (compound Fertilizer), this method was suggested for faba bean farming in this region.

REFERENCES

- Hubbell, D.H. and K. Gerald, 2003. Biological nitrogen fixation. Fact sheet of the soil and water science department, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, institute of food and agricultural sciences, University of Florida, pp. 4.
- Sepetoğlu, H., 2002. *Grain legumes*. Ege Univ. Fac. of Agric. Publication, 24(4): 262.
- Rusu, T., 2005. The influence of minimum tillage system upon the soil properties, yield and energy efficiency in some arable crops. Central European Agriculture Journal.
- 4. Hamza, M.A. and W.K. Anderson, 2005. Soil compaction in cropping systems: A review of the nature, causes and possible solutions. Soi land Tillage Res., 82(2): 121-145. 6(3): 287-294.
- EL-Douby, K.A. and S.G.A. Mohamed, 2002. Effect of tillage, phosphorus fertilization and weed control on faba bean and estimation of the contribution of yield components statistically. Egyptian J. Agric. Res., 80: 253-274.
- Nawar, A.I. and H.E. Khalil, 2004. Evaluation of some agronomic and economic aspects of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) under different soil tillage systems and bio-and chemical phosphorus fertilization. Adv. Agric. Res., 9: 593-666.
- 7. Marschner, H., 1995. Mineral nutrition of higher plants. Academic Press, (London).

- Lincoln, T. and Z. Edvardo, 2006. Assimilation of mineral nutrition. In: Plant physiology (4th ed.), Sinaur Associates, Inc. Pub. P.O. Box. 407, Sunderland, pp. 705.
- Gordon, W.B., D.A. Whitney and R.J. Raney, 1993. Nitrogen management in furrow irrigated, ridge-tilled corn. J. Pord. Agric., 6: 213-217.
- Jokela, W.E. and G.W. Randall, 1989. Corn yield and residual soil nitrate as affected by time and rate of nitrogen application. Agron. Progress Rep., pp. 398.
- Roth, G.W. and R.H. Fox, 1992. Corn hybrid interaction with soil nitrogen level and water regime. J. Pord. Agric., 5: 137-142.
- Singh, B., Y. Singh and G.S. Sekhon, 1995. Fertilizer N
 use efficiency and nitrate pollution of groundwater in
 developing countries. J. Contam. Hydrol., 20: 167-184.
- Choudhury, A.T.M.A. and I.R. Kennedy, 2004. Prospects and potentials for systems of biological nitrogen fixation in sustainable rice production. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 39: 219-227.
- Vermeiren, H., A. Willems, G. Schoofs, R. De Mot, V. Keijers, W. Hai and J. Vanderleyden, 1999. The rice inoculant strain *Alcaligenes faecalis* A 15 is nitrogen-fixing *Pseudomonas stutzeri*. Appl. Microbiol., 22: 215-224.
- Vlassak, K., L. Van Holm, L. Duchateau, J. Vanderleyden and R. De Mot, 1992. Isolation and characterization of fluorescent Pseudomonas associated with the roots of the rice and banana grown in Sri Lanka. Plant and Soil, 145: 51-63.
- Sheraz Mahdi, S., G.I. Hassan, S.A. Samoon, H.A. Rather, Showkat, A. Dar and B. Zehra, 2010. Bio-Fertilizers in Organic Agriculture. J. Phytol., 2(10): 42-54.
- 17. Herridge, P.F. and J.C. Holland, 1992. Production of summer crops in northern New South Wales. I. Effect of tillage and double cropping on growth, grain yield and N-levels of six crops. Australian J. Agric. Res., 43: 105-122.
- Nawar, A.I., A.H. Al-Fraihat, H. El-Sayed Khalill and A.M. Abou El-Ela, 2010. Response of Faba Bean to Tillage Systems Different Regimes of NPK Fertilization and Plant Interspacing. International J. Agric. and Biol., 10-139/MMI/12-4-606-610

- Gomma, M.R. and H.M.M. EL-Naggar, 1995. Faba bean yield and soil properties as affected by various tillage practices and weed control management. Ann. Agric. Sci., 33: 1195-1209.
- Kavimndan, S.K., 1986. Influence of Rhizobium inoculation on yield of wheat. Plant and Soil, 95: 297-300.
- 21. Rizk, A., M. Fatma and R. Shafeek, 2000. Response of growth and yield of *Vicia faba* plants to foliar and biofertilizers. Egypy. J. Appl. Sci., 15: 652-670.
- 22. Ahmed, K.A., M.M. Badran and S.H. Ashmawy, 2002. Response of soybean to chemical and biofertilization. Egypy. J. Appl. Sci., 17(6): 207-218.
- El-Bassiony, A.M., 2002. Response of some bean cultivars to organic and bio-fertilizer. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ. Egypt.
- 24. Susheela-Negi Dwivedi, G.K. and R.V. Singh, 2007. Integrated nutrient management through biofertilizers, fertilizers organic manure and lime for vegetable pea in an acid inceptisol of temperate region of Uttaranchal. Legume-Res., 30(1): 37-40.
- Wange, S.S., 1997. Use of bio-fertilizers and inorganic nitrogen in garlic. Recent Horticulture, 4: 143-144. (CAB Abstracts 1998, 08-1999, 04).
- Salah, S.A., El M.A. Deeb and A.A. Ragab, 2000. Response of faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*) to Rhizobium inoculation as affected by nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization. Bull. Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ., 51: 17-30.
- Zeidan, M.S., M.O. Kabesh and M.S.M. Saber, 2001.
 Utilization of biofertilizaers in field crop production 14- Effect of organic manuring and biofertilization on yield and composition of two faba bean varieties cultivated in a newly reclaimed soil. Egypt. J. Agron., 23: 47-57.
- EL-Ahmed, M.K.A., M.S. Zeidan and El M.F. Karamany, 2003. Effect of foliar nutrition with potassium sources on growth, yield and quality of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Egypt . J. Agron., 25: 53-58.