

A Hybrid Wind-Solar Energy System: A New Rectifier Stage Topology

Jaffar Sadiq Ali

Department of EEE,
 Bharath University, Chennai, India

Abstract: Environmentally friendly solutions are becoming more prominent than ever as a result of concern regarding the state of our deteriorating planet. This paper presents a new system configuration of the front-end rectifier stage for a hybrid wind/photovoltaic energy system. This configuration allows the two sources to supply the load separately or simultaneously depending on the availability of the energy sources. The inherent nature of this Cuk-SEPIC fused converter, additional input filters are not necessary to filter out high frequency harmonics. Harmonic content is detrimental for the generator lifespan, heating issues and efficiency. The fused multiinput rectifier stage also allows Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) to be used to extract maximum power from the wind and sun when it is available. An adaptive MPPT algorithm will be used for the wind system and a standard perturb and observe method will be used for the PV system. Operational analysis of the proposed system will be discussed in this paper. Simulation results are given to highlight the merits of the proposed circuit.

Key words: Cuk-SEPIC fused converter • High frequency harmonics • Adaptive MPPT algorithm

INTRODUCTION

With increasing concern of global warming and the depletion of fossil fuel reserves, many are looking at sustainable energy solutions to preserve the earth for the future generations. Other than hydro power, wind and photovoltaic energy holds the most potential to meet our energy demands. Alone, wind energy is capable of supplying large amounts of power but its presence is highly unpredictable as it can be here one moment and gone in another. Similarly, solar energy is present throughout the day but the solar irradiation levels vary due to sun intensity and unpredictable shadows cast by clouds, birds, trees, etc. The common inherent drawback of wind and photovoltaic systems are their intermittent natures that make them unreliable. However, by combining these two intermittent sources and by incorporating maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithms, the system's power transfer efficiency and reliability can be improved significantly.

When a source is unavailable or insufficient in meeting the load demands, the other energy source can compensate for the difference. Most of the systems in literature use a separate DC/DC boost converter connected in parallel in the rectifier stage as shown in




Fig. 1: Hybrid system with multi-connected boost converter

Figure 1 to perform the MPPT control for each of the renewable energy power sources. A simpler multiinput combine the sources from the DC-end while still achieving MPPT for each renewable source. The structure is a fusion of the buck and buck-boost converter. The systems in literature require passive input filters to remove the high frequency current harmonics injected into wind turbine generators. The harmonic content in the generator current decreases its lifespan and increases the power loss due to heating.





Fig. 3: Only wind source operational (SEPIC)



Fi. 4: Only PV source is operational (Cuk)

In this paper, an alternative multi-input rectifier structure is proposed for hybrid wind/solar energy systems. The proposed design is a fusion of the Cuk and SEPIC converters. The features of the proposed topology are: 1) the inherent nature of these two converters eliminates the need for separate input filters for PFC [1, 2], 2) it can support step up/down operations for each renewable source (can support wide ranges of PV and wind input); 3) MPPT can be realized for each source; 4) individual and simultaneous operation is supported. The circuit operating principles will be discussed in this paper. Simulation results are provided to verify with the feasibility of the proposed system.

Proposed Multi-input Rectifier Stage: A system diagram of the proposed rectifier stage of a hybrid energy system is shown in Figure 2, where one of the inputs is connected to the output of the PV array and the other input connected to the output of a generator. The fusion of the two converters is achieved by reconfiguring the two existing diodes from each converter and the shared utilization of the Cuk output inductor by the SEPIC converter. This configuration allows each converter to operate normally individually in the event that one source is unavailable. Figure 3 illustrates the case when only the wind source is available. In this case, $D1$ turns off and $D2$ turns on; the proposed circuit becomes a SEPIC converter

and the input to output voltage relationship is given by (1). On the other hand, if only the PV source is available, then $D2$ turns off and $D1$ will always be on and the circuit becomes a Cuk converter as shown in Figure 4. The input to output voltage relationship is given by (2). In both cases, both converters have step-up/down capability, which provide more design flexibility in the system if duty ratio control is utilized to perform MPPT control.

$$\frac{V_{dc}}{V_W} = \frac{d_2}{1-d_2} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{V_{dc}}{V_{PV}} = \frac{d_1}{1-d_1} \quad (2)$$

Figure 5 illustrates the various switching states of the proposed converter. If the turn on duration of $M1$ is longer than $M2$, then the switching states will be state I, II, IV. Similarly, the switching states will be state I, III, IV if the switch conduction periods are vice versa. To provide a better explanation, the inductor current waveforms of each switching state are given as follows assuming that $d_2 > d_1$; hence only states I, III, IV are discussed in this example. In the following, $I_{i,PV}$ is the average input current from the PV source; $I_{i,W}$ is the RMS input current after the rectifier (wind case); and I_{dc} is the average system output current. The key waveforms that illustrate the switching states in this example are shown in Figure 6. The mathematical expression that relates the total output voltage and the two input sources will be illustrated in the next section.

State I (M_1 on, M_2 on):

$$i_{L1} = I_{i,PV} + \frac{V_{PV}}{L_1} t \quad 0 < t < d_1 T_s$$

$$i_{L2} = I_{dc} + \left(\frac{v_{c1} + v_{c2}}{L_2} \right) t \quad 0 < t < d_1 T_s$$

$$i_{L3} = I_{i,W} + \frac{V_W}{L_3} t \quad 0 < t < d_1 T_s$$

State III (M_1 off, M_2 on):

$$i_{L1} = I_{i,PV} + \left(\frac{V_{PV} - v_{c1}}{L_1} \right) t \quad d_1 T_s < t < d_2 T_s$$

$$i_{L2} = I_{dc} + \frac{v_{c2}}{L_2} t \quad d_1 T_s < t < d_2 T_s$$

$$i_{L3} = I_{i,W} + \frac{V_W}{L_3} t \quad d_1 T_s < t < d_2 T_s$$

State IV (M_1 off, M_2 off):

$$i_{L1} = I_{i,PV} + \left(\frac{V_{PV} - v_{c1}}{L_1} \right) t \quad d_2 T_s < t < T_s$$

$$i_{L2} = I_{dc} - \frac{V_{dc}}{L_2} t \quad d_2 T_s < t < T_s$$

$$i_{L3} = I_{i,W} + \left(\frac{V_W - v_{c2} - V_{dc}}{L_3} \right) t \quad d_2 T_s < t < T_s$$




Fig. 5: (I-IV): switching states within a switching cycle




Fig. 6: Proposed circuit inductor waveforms

Analysis of Proposed Circuit: To find an expression for the output DC bus voltage, V_{dc} , the volt-balance of the output inductor, $L2$, is examined according to Figure 6 with $d2 > d1$. Since the net change in the voltage of $L2$ is zero, applying volt-balance to $L2$ results in (3). The expression that relates the average output DC voltage (V_{dc}) to the capacitor voltages (v_{c1} and v_{c2}) is then obtained as shown in (4), where v_{c1} and v_{c2} can then be obtained by applying volt-balance to $L1$ and $L3$ [3]. The final expression that relates the average output voltage and the two input sources (V_W and V_{PV}) is then given by (5). It is observed that V_{dc} is simply the sum of the two output voltages of the Cuk and SEPIC converter. This further implies that V_{dc} can be controlled by $d1$ and $d2$ individually or simultaneously.

$$(v_{c1} + v_{c2})d_1T_s + (v_{c2})(d_2 - d_1)T_s + (1 - d_2)(-V_{dc})T_s = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$V_{dc} = \left(\frac{d_1}{1 - d_2} \right) v_{c1} + \left(\frac{d_2}{1 - d_2} \right) v_{c2} \quad (4)$$

$$V_{dc} = \left(\frac{d_1}{1 - d_1} \right) V_{PV} + \left(\frac{d_2}{1 - d_2} \right) V_W \quad (5)$$

The switches voltage and current characteristics are also provided in this section. The voltage stress is given by (6) and (7) respectively. As for the current stress, it is observed from Figure 6 that the peak current always occurs at the end of the on-time of the MOSFET. Both the Cuk and SEPIC MOSFET current consists of both the input current and the capacitors ($C1$ or $C2$) current. The peak current stress of $M1$ and $M2$ are given by (8) and (10) respectively. L_{eq1} and L_{eq2} , given by (9) and (11), represent the equivalent inductance of Cuk and SEPIC converter respectively.

The PV output current, which is also equal to the average input current of the Cuk converter is given in (12). It can be observed that the average inductor current is a function of its respective duty cycle ($d1$). Therefore by adjusting the respective duty cycles for each energy source, maximum power point tracking can be achieved.

$$v_{ds1} = V_{pv} \left(1 + \frac{d_1}{1-d_1} \right) \quad (6)$$

$$v_{ds2} = V_w \left(1 + \frac{d_2}{1-d_2} \right) \quad (7)$$

$$i_{ds1, pk} = I_{i, PV} + I_{ds, avg} + \frac{V_{pv} d_1 T_s}{2L_{eq1}} \quad (8)$$

$$L_{eq1} = \frac{L_1 L_2}{L_1 + L_2} \quad (9)$$

$$i_{ds2, pk} = I_{i, W} + I_{ds, avg} + \frac{V_w d_2 T_s}{2L_{eq2}} \quad (10)$$

$$L_{eq2} = \frac{L_3 L_2}{L_3 + L_2} \quad (11)$$

$$I_{i, PV} = \frac{P_o}{V_{ds}} \frac{d_1}{1-d_1} \quad (12)$$

MPPT Control of Proposed Circuit: A common inherent drawback of wind and PV systems is the intermittent nature of their energy sources. Wind energy is capable of supplying large amounts of power but its presence is highly unpredictable as it can be here one moment and gone in another. Solar energy is present throughout the day, but the solar irradiation levels vary due to sun intensity and unpredictable shadows cast by clouds, birds, trees, etc. These drawbacks tend to make these renewable systems inefficient. However, by incorporating maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithms, the systems' power transfer efficiency can be improved significantly. To describe a wind turbine's power characteristic, equation (13) describes the mechanical power that is generated by the wind [4].

$$P_m = 0.5 \rho A C_p(\lambda, \beta) v_w^3 \quad (13)$$




Fig. 7: Power Coefficient Curve for a typical wind turbine

where

ρ = air density,

A = rotor swept area,

$C_p(\lambda, \beta)$ = power coefficient function

λ = tip speed ratio,

β = pitch angle,

v_w = wind speed

The power coefficient (C_p) is a nonlinear function that represents the efficiency of the wind turbine to convert wind energy into mechanical energy. It is dependent on two variables, the tip speed ratio (TSR) and the pitch angle. The TSR, λ , refers to a ratio of the turbine angular speed over the wind speed. The mathematical representation of the TSR is given by (14) [5]. The pitch angle, β , refers to the angle in which the turbine blades are aligned with respect to its longitudinal axis.

$$\lambda = \frac{R \omega_b}{v_w} \quad (14)$$

Figure 7 and 8 are illustrations of a power coefficient curve and power curve for a typical fixed pitch ($\beta=0$) horizontal axis wind turbine. It can be seen from Figure 7 and 8 that the power curves for each wind speed has a shape similar to that of the power coefficient curve. Because the TSR is a ratio between the turbine rotational speed and the wind speed, it follows that each wind speed would have a different corresponding optimal rotational speed that gives the optimal TSR. For each turbine there is an optimal TSR value that corresponds to a maximum value of the power coefficient ($C_{p,max}$) and therefore the maximum power. Therefore by controlling rotational speed, (by means of adjusting the electrical loading of the turbine generator) maximum power can be obtained for different wind speeds.




Fig. 8: Power Curves for a typical wind turbine




Fig. 9: PV cell equivalent circuit

A solar cell is comprised of a P-N junction semiconductor that produces currents via the photovoltaic effect. PV arrays are constructed by placing numerous solar cells connected in series and in parallel [6]. A PV cell is a diode of a large-area forward bias with a photovoltage and the equivalent circuit is shown by Figure 9. The current-voltage characteristic of a solar cell is derived in and as follows:

$$I = I_{ph} - I_D \quad (15)$$

$$I = I_{ph} - I_0 \left[\exp \left(\frac{q(V + R_s I)}{A k_B T} \right) - 1 \right] - \frac{V + R_s I}{R_{sh}} \quad (16)$$

where

I_{ph} = photocurrent,

I_D = diode current,

I_0 = saturation current,

A = ideality factor,

q = electronic charge 1.6×10^{-19} ,

k_B = Boltzmann's gas constant (1.38×10^{-23}),

T = cell temperature,

R_s = series resistance,

R_{sh} = shunt resistance,

I = cell current,

V = cell voltage




Fig. 10: PV cell voltage-current characteristic




Fig. 11: PV cell power characteristic

Typically, the shunt resistance (R_{sh}) is very large and the series resistance (R_s) is very small [6]. Therefore, it is common to neglect these resistances in order to simplify the solar cell model. The resultant ideal voltage-current characteristic of a photovoltaic cell is given by (17) and illustrated by Figure 10 [6].

$$I = I_{ph} - I_0 \left(\exp \left(\frac{qV}{kT} \right) - 1 \right) \quad (17)$$

The typical output power characteristics of a PV array under various degrees of irradiation is illustrated by Figure 11. It can be observed in Figure 11 that there is a particular optimal voltage for each irradiation level that corresponds to maximum output power. Therefore by adjusting the output current (or voltage) of the PV array, maximum power from the array can be drawn.

Due to the similarities of the shape of the wind and PV array power curves, a similar maximum power point tracking scheme known as the hill climb search (HCS) strategy is often applied to these energy sources to extract maximum power. The HCS strategy perturbs the operating point of the system and observes the output. If the direction of the perturbation (e.g an increase or decrease in the output voltage of a PV array) results in a




Fig. 13: Individual operation with only PV source (Cuk operation) Top: Output power, Bottom: Switch currents (M_1 and M_2)





Fig. 14: Individual operation with only wind source (SEPIC operation) (I) The injected three phase generator current: (II) Top Output power, Bottom: Switch currents (M_1 and M_2)

Table 1: Design Specifications

Output power (W)	3kW
Output voltage	500V
Switching frequency	20kHz

positive change in the output power, then the control algorithm will continue in the direction of the previous perturbation. Conversely, if a negative change in the output power is observed, then the control algorithm will reverse the direction of the pervious perturbation step. In the case that the change in power is close to zero (within a specified range) then the algorithm will invoke no changes to the system operating point since it corresponds to the maximum power point (the peak of the power curves).





Fig. 15: Simultaneous operation with both wind and PV source (Fusion mode with Cuk and SEPIC) (I) The injected three phase generator current; (II) Top: Output power, Bottom: Switch currents (M_1 and M_2)




Fig. 16: Solar MPPT-PV output current and reference current signal (Cuk operation)

Simulation Results: In this section, simulation results from PSIM 8.0.7 is given to verify that the proposed multi-input rectifier stage can support individual as well as simultaneous operation. The pecifications for the design example are given in TABLE I. Figure 13 illustrates the system under the condition where the wind source has failed and only the PV source (Cuk converter mode) is supplying power to the load. Figure 14 illustrates the system where only the wind turbine generates power to the load (SEPIC converter mode). Finally, Figure 15 illustrates the simultaneous operation (Cuk-SEPIC fusion mode) of the two sources where M_2 has a longer conduction cycle (converter states I, IV and III—see Figure 5) [7-10].




Fig. 17: Wind MPPT-Generator speed and reference speed signal (SEPIC operation)

Figure 16 and 17 illustrates the MPPT operation of the PV component of the system (Cuk operation) and the Wind component of the system (SEPIC operation) respectively.

CONCLUSION

In this paper a new multi-input Cuk-SEPIC rectifier stage for hybrid wind/solar energy systems has been presented. The features of this circuit are: 1) additional input filters are not necessary to filter out high frequency harmonics; 2) both renewable sources can be stepped up/down (supports wide ranges of PV and wind input); 3) MPPT can be realized for each source; 4) individual and simultaneous operation is supported. Simulation results have been presented to verify the features of the proposed topology.

REFERENCES

1. Erickson, R.W., 1997. Some Topologies of High Quality Rectifiers in the Proc. of the First International Conference on Energy, Power and Motion Control.
2. Simonetti, D.S.L., J. Sebastian and J. Uceda, 1997. The Discontinuous Conduction Mode Sepic and Cuk Power Factor Preregulators: Analysis and Design IEEE Trans. On Industrial Electronics, 44(5).

3. Mohan, N., T. Undeland and W Robbins, 2003. Power Electronics: Converters, Applications and Design, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
4. Dos Reis, F.S., K. Tan and S. Islam, 2004. Using PFC for harmonic mitigation in wind turbine energy conversion systems in Proc. of the IECON 2004 Conference, pp: 3100-3105.
5. Marques, J., H. Pinheiro, H. Grundling, J. Pinheiro and H. Hey, 2003. A Survey on Variable-Speed Wind Turbine System, Proceedings of Brazilian Conference of Electronics of Power, 1: 732-738.
6. Chen, Y.M., Y.C. Liu, S.C. Hung and C.S. Cheng, 2007. Multi-Input Inverter for Grid-Connected Hybrid PV/Wind Power System, IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, pp: 22.
7. Kim, S.K., J.H. Jeon, C.H. Cho, J.B. Ahn and S.H. Kwon, 2008. Dynamic Modeling and Control of a Grid-Connected Hybrid Generation System with Versatile Power Transfer, IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 55: 1677-1688.
8. Das, D., R. Esmaili, L. Xu and D. Nichols, 2005. An Optimal Design of a Grid Connected Hybrid Wind/Photovoltaic/Fuel Cell System for Distributed Energy Production, in Proc. IEEE Industrial Electronics Conference, pp: 2499-2504.
9. Ahmed, N.A., M. Miyatake and A.K. Al-Othman, 2008. Power fluctuations suppression of stand-alone hybrid generation combining solar photovoltaic/wind turbine and fuel cell systems, in Proc. Of Energy Conversion and Management, 49: 2711-2719.
10. Jain, S. and V. Agarwal, 2008. An Integrated Hybrid Power Supply for Distributed Generation Applications Fed by Nonconventional Energy Sources, IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, pp: 23.