

A Study of Importance Items of Internet Financial Reporting: A Case of Malaysian Auditors

Mohd Noor Azli Ali Khan and Nurul Ain Omar

Department of Accounting and Finance, Faculty of Management,
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Abstract: The objective of this research is to analyse the important items in the disclosure of content and presentation dimension that can be used to describe the level of IFR from auditor's perception. Questionnaires were distributed to 100 auditors. A total of 40 questionnaires were completed and returned, giving a response rate of 40 percent. From the survey, it was found that the five important items in the content dimension are income statement of current year, income statement of past years, cash flow statement of current year, notes to financial statements of current year and balance sheet of current year. Meanwhile, in the presentation dimension, five items which important to disclosure are loading time of the website below 10 seconds, annual report in PDF format, hyperlinks inside the annual report, ability to download reports and hyperlinks to financial analysts. Finally, the limitation of this study was also highlighted and there are suggestions for future research.

Key words: Internet Financial Reporting • Index • Auditors • Content • Presentation • Malaysia

INTRODUCTION

IFR is another medium to communicate among users via Internet and is also chosen by companies to disclose their financial reporting [1]. Nowadays, most companies use the internet as a tool to deliver information regarding their company's financial reporting by using the World Wide Web (WWW) as a medium to showcase the company's financial data, annual reports, databases on press release and also other related information for financial disclosure about the company activity [2]. The internet allows interactive communication to notify any changes or activities that occur in the financial reporting of the company [3]. Other than that, the internet is another way used by companies to share information, apart from using the existing printed-based practices [4]. Furthermore, the internet is a medium to communicate in order to exchange information globally, which brings opportunities in fast delivery and is economical in obtaining information [5]. When a company is willing to exchange business information and build business globally on the internet, it becomes part of the company network by adopting IFR [4, 6].

Adopting the internet has become a phenomenon throughout the world today and is continuously growing during the recent years [7, 8]. Companies use websites to deliver information to stakeholders of financial reporting [8, 9]. Many countries have also developed securities markets, such as Bursa Malaysia which uses IT for corporate reporting and is very well-established [4]. Moreover, the usage of the internet, known as IT, by firms has become increasingly sophisticated and more complex [10]. However, less researchers study the importance of IFR practices and the effectiveness in disclosing financial reporting [1, 11]. The implementation of disclosing financial reporting using internet as a medium to deliver information creates unique opportunities, challenges and implication for auditors [8]. The technology gives the impact of implementing IFR and is derived from other factors such as globalisation and notion accountability, which brings changes in audit practice on financial reporting [8, 12]. Yet, no specific body has issued a formal standard to highlight or investigate the issues of auditing in IFR practices [4, 8, 13]. The increasing number of financial reporting disclosures brings audit issues and subsequently, the disclosure of financial statement with

some restrictions on information will increase the potential of misleading interpretation among users of financial reporting such as auditors [4].

There are many researchers who have studied the issue of IFR in many developing countries, bringing benefits towards users of corporate financial statement [1, 11]. Nevertheless, there is little empirical evidence on the disclosure of financial reporting on the factors influencing the practice in Asian-listed companies [14]. Hence, these studies intend to investigate disclosure issues of financial reporting towards user. Furthermore, there are less participation in previous studies to research about the impact of IFR on audit professionals and audit task and procedures on audit issues [1, 3]. Hence, by implementing IFR audit problems occur and the auditors' perception needs to be analysed on a more comprehensive framework [1]. Thus, this study intends to research about auditors' perception on IFR which they are part of the users. As auditors, they are exposed to IT systems to determine the terms in records, processes and report transactions or other related financial data and can also facilitate their understanding regarding financial reporting disclosure issues [10, 14].

Auditors serve as a part towards contributing to the company's performance to measure whether the company can be approved or not. Hence, auditors nowadays face many IT environments which involve data received by electronic rather than paper-based media [10]. Moreover, auditors should increase their awareness on the consequences of IFR during audit fieldwork [10]. Also, in developing internet environment in financial reporting, it needs review from securities regulators and audit standards. In addition, they give guidelines to the auditors on the implications of IFR from being manipulated when receiving data [4]. Thus, researchers noticed that there are an increasing number of unsatisfied users, especially auditors, towards the organisation's behaviour for not really updating their financial statement in the current year, thus making it difficult for auditing purpose [15].

Useful financial reporting should be presented in understandable, credible and timeliness manner as they are important characteristics influenced by both preparers and users of financial reporting [16]. It is also hoped to make this research a basis for other studies on IFR disclosure which highlights auditor as the main indicator to research in detail. Besides, these researches are essential for every organisation to improve their disclosure related to financial reporting and to be more transparent in disclosing their financial reporting [14].

Thus, to make it more transparent, quarterly corporate financial statement should be introduced [17]. Nevertheless, it is not sufficient to maintain transparency for the whole annual report presented [14]. Information in financial reporting was rated as primary sources of information in various users of corporate reporting [11, 16, 18].

Therefore, the objectives of this study are to evaluate the important items of IFR disclosure in terms of content and presentation dimension presented and to analyse the auditors' issues in IFR. The impact of this study will support the empirical evidence related to auditors' perception on IFR that is going to be highlighted. This article is presented as the following: IFR is analysed from previous studies and next is the methodology used then we will come up with the analysis and findings of the study. Finally, the last part will present the conclusion of the study and several recommendations for future research.

Literature Review: The characteristics of financial reporting disclosure are that it must be reliable, material, relevant and easy to understand [14]. Advanced technology leads IFR to become more interesting by further investigating two main dimensions, which are content and presentation dimensions to measure the important items of IFR disclosure that should be present in IFR [19]. There are many benefits of implementing IFR dimension such as to academicians and industrialists and have contributed in developing IFR to obtain information in an effective manner [20]. Evidently, there are many dimensions that were introduced, such as content and timeliness, timeline basis, technology and customer support and lastly content and usability [20].

Hence, to measure the important items of financial reporting disclosure level of IFR, two dimensions were usually used; namely content and presentation [20]. Thus, most researchers like to focus only on annual report of the IFR itself [21]. There are several reasons for the implementation of content and presentation dimensions stated from previous studies. Firstly, it is frequently used and it is popular to indicate the important items of financial reporting disclosure from previous studies [22, 23]. Secondly, from previous studies, there are indications that the disclosure of important items in financial reporting would enhance corporate transparency [4, 23]. In addition, other important criteria of financial reporting consist of timeliness disclosure, reliability and auditor environment [17]. Timeliness is about the duration of presenting the financial reporting for disclosure to

promote transparency [4]. In other words, it is promoted to minimise the problem of inside information, encourage reliable information and also to make it accessible to users. In Malaysian practices, financial reports are submitted to Bursa Malaysia within two month before the end of the year [17].

Advantages and Disadvantage of IFR: Using IFR will save cost, has wider reach and is easy to access [22, 24]. Although using the internet encourages cost-saving, it still incurs some additional costs if companies are willing to use traditional methods such as paper-based together with internet-based ones [3, 8]. This can improve the accessibility of information that results in more equitable information dissemination [8, 25]. Others advantages of IFR from a previous study are that they are attractive for readers, easy to understand and save time in analysing data [26]. Basically, new technologies introduced like IFR need someone who has knowledge and experience to handle it. Thus brings the limitation to such according to the ways of implementation and compliance and the quality of the overall disclosure may be less effective [14, 27]. The IFR is exposed to unauthorised-access risk when it is not properly managed and then also leads to others to insert errors into the data files which can cause security problem [6]. There are many critical changes since adopting IFR of corporate performance [4]. Some of them are that it will incur some problems such as security problem and authentication, attestation and legal impediments and cost and expertise [15, 28]. Several factors contribute to lower effectiveness in using financial reporting, for instance delay in published financial reporting in the current year, lack of trust and lack of adequate information in the credibility of the financial reporting and excessive risk exposures such as being easy to manipulate [11, 14].

Auditors Perspective: In the auditors' perspective, reliability is an important element for auditing purpose to ensure the information received are of quality, free from error of fraud and also represent accuracy [17]. In other words, reliability is an important issue to highlight which is not specifically subjected to the auditor himself but it concerns the frequently-exposed disclosed information that have higher risk of accounting error. In addition, the main issues in the auditors' environment are the role and responsibility of information on websites, the high potential of unaudited financial reporting, omission of audit report, inappropriate audit issues and also timing, nature of the content form of the audit report on a website

[1, 3, 4, 29]. Changes in the roles of the IFR development required auditors to report activities from paper-based to online reporting [10]. Report incorporated in printed financial reporting is easier for the auditors to recognise the document [22]. Subsequently, lack of information in the financial reporting presented on the internet is a problem to auditors [22]. In addition, financial reporting in internet has a high risk on not being updated which will reduce the reliability of information [1, 3]. Hence, there is a need for regulation and control in the growing number of internet usage for financial reporting to avoid securities problem [3]. Usually, financial reporting shows a part of the corporate website, has potential numbers of misleading information alongside the financial data. Thus, the auditor is the one responsible if the data are not relevant for auditing and has become problematic [4].

As [22] noted, there are several issues highlighted to audit in IFR such as the document presented on a website that can be changed and are difficult for auditors to identify the documents accordingly. Another issue is the security of the auditor's report in the internet environment and the nature of the evidence of document review, which brings additional risk associated with online system and increasing litigation risk for auditors [8]. Audit reports are always exposed to changes in information by others such as hackers which increase the risk in IFR [1]. Others than that, organisations that lack in organising and managing a web and the ability to have all web resources will bring problem to an auditor. As there is limited number of researchers, there is no national professional body issuing a formal standard to address specific auditing issues as stated [8].

There are several issues highlighted. One of them is that the currently unregulated financial reporting disclosure on the internet arises because of the global nature and the law stated on internet environment not being appropriate [4, 8]. Sometimes, there are some errors when publishing information on the internet during the conversion or transposition process. Also, information presented can be modified by unauthorised users internal or external to the presenting financial reporting [6, 8, 9]. In a worse situation, information can be manipulated or very fluid in nature such that it can be easy to modify or delete remotely at any point in time without leaving any of these actions thus the information are not accurate anymore.

Financial reporting in Malaysia is low that disclose financial reporting in general information to users [12]. Moreover, there is no specific formatting structure or rules and requirement on how auditing work should be

performed in IFR [13]. In addition, the reliance of auditors on IT makes it important to set up new regulations regarding the auditing practice in IFR [27]. This can be done by setting up the standards on auditing involvement and to minimise audit issues by producing a new form of reporting by frequency and data orientation rather than information orientation [1, 29]. Consequently, applying the set of regulations and law will make improvement in the auditing practice [9, 13, 27]. From the audit issues, some improvement should be highlighted as the increase in the quality of the audit IT process will improve the IFR results [27].

Research Design: Proper-structuring of the questionnaire plays an important role in determining the accuracy of the data to be collected. Thus, questionnaires should be clear for the respondents and oriented towards the objective of the research [30]. The questionnaire for this research is extracted from a previous study [1, 4, 8, 23, 27]. The researcher sent out questionnaires to solicit auditors' opinion and feedback on IFR issues in relation to auditing issues. The questionnaire required respondents to grade the importance of each item on a scale of 1 to 5 for content, presentation dimension and audit issues, where 5 meant that the item was extremely important, 4 as important, 3 as rarely important, 2 as not important and 1 as not important at all.

Sample of Study: Sample size is used to identify the population to be selected for the purpose of the research [30, 31]. In other words, the sample size plays an important part to identify the accuracy of the data involved. Thus, the level of accuracy of the research can be measured in a suitable appropriate size of the sample [32]. There are 40 audit companies in south Johor Bahru. Hence, to decide the size of the population, the researcher used a range of 36 companies [33].

Data Collection: A hundred of questionnaires were distributed to the auditors and the process of collecting data took approximately two weeks to complete. The distribution of questionnaires was from 8 October 2012 to 15 October 2012 plus another week for the respondents to complete the questionnaire. The questionnaires were distributed randomly to the respondents by providing five sets of questionnaire to each company. In the end, only 40 sets were collected. It seemed that some respondents lacked of participation due to no time to entertain and less corporation given. These were the major factors that contributed to less questionnaires collected.

Response Rate: Only 40 completed questionnaires were collected which represents a response rate of 40 percent. The percentages involved are in between the percentage from the previous studies with a response rate of 43 percent [26], 49 percent [11], followed by other researcher response rates, 13.29 percent [26], 17.2 percent [14] and 14.4 percent [17]. Hence, the response rate in this research is acceptable and reliable when compared to previous studies. In addition, many efforts had been taken to improve the response rate, including extending the period of answering the questionnaires for the respondents and also shortening the length of the questionnaire.

Data Analysis: After the questionnaires have been collected by the researcher, all the data were entered into computer software named Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) to process the raw data. The analysis of the data collected uses three steps to measure the accuracy and validity, namely Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Test, Descriptive and Frequency Analysis. Thus, the data analysis identified the result structure and interpretation about the data collected [34]. In other words, data analysis is an importance tool to measure the accuracy and validity of the data to obtain the result.

To measure the accuracy of the research, descriptive statistics was used in order to explore the data collected. Descriptive figure is used to examine the validity of the sample data [35]. Mean is used to make some general information about the date collected. In this study, descriptive analysis is used to determine the important items of disclosure in the content and presentation dimensions and audit issues. Meanwhile, standard deviation and variance give more information about the distribution of each variable. Thus, by adopting Descriptive Analysis, the major types of descriptive statistics such as frequencies, mean, variance, standard deviation, measure of control tendency, range, maximum and minimum score can be identified. Furthermore, to make the classification of mean easy to identify, the group of classification of mean from previous studies is used: greatly important for mean exceeding 4.00, moderately important for mean in the range of 3.00 to 4.00 and slightly important for mean less than 2.00 [11, 23].

DISCUSSIONS

Table 1 below displays the demographic background details obtained from 40 respondents which are auditor in south of Johor Bahru who are involved in this study. Out of 40 auditors, 10 were males 25 percent and 30 were females' respondents 75 percent. In terms of age, 26

Table 1: Profile of auditors (n=40)

Demographic	Item	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	10	25
	Female	30	75
Age	< 30 years	26	65
	31 – 40 years	14	35
Academic qualification	Diploma	5	12.5
	Degree / Professional	32	80
	Master	3	7.5
Majoring	Accounting	34	85.0
	Accounting & Finance	6	15.0
Number of years working in new organization	< 5 years	27	67.5
	5 – 10 years	10	25.2
	11 – 15 years	1	2.5
	16 – 20 years	2	5.0

Table 2: The level of reliability (n=40)

Dimension	No of Item	Cronbach's Alpha
Content	97	0.973
Presentation	58	0.971
Audit issues	7	0.890

auditors are aged below 30 years old 65 percent and 14 auditors between 31 to 40 years old 35 percent. Only five auditors are diploma holders 12.5 percent while 32 respondents are degree holders 80 percent and only three auditors are master holder 7.5 percent. For the scope of their academic field, most auditors are from an accounting background 85 percent and a small amount is from accounting and finance which is only six auditors 15 percent. Meanwhile, most of the respondents have an experience in the auditing field for less than five years which are 27 people 67.5 percent, while in between five to ten years there are 10 respondents 25.2 percent, only one auditor has between 11 to 15 years 2.5 percent and only two auditors has working experience around 16 to 20 years 5.0 percent.

Meanwhile, Table 2 shows the amount of alpha of each dimension present. From the result, each dimension to obtain the auditors perception on IFR must score a reliability coefficient (alpha) of greater than 0.7 to be accepted as reliable in this study [36, 37]. Overall, the alpha value in Table 2 of each dimension is consistent.

Next, to determine the importance of content dimension in Table 3, respondents were required to provide feedback on 97 items. Thus, Table 3 shows the items important in content dimension measured by the value of mean. From the result obtained, 30 items exceeded the mean value of 4.00. Meanwhile, findings showed that 90 items listed under content dimension were categorised as important item as the mean exceeded 3.50. The balance of seven items was categorised as unimportant with a mean lesser than 3.50. And this

showed the importance of IFR from previous studies in content dimension [14, 19, 23, 28] was used to measure the content dimension of IFR index. Thus, from the 97 disclosure index items that were presented, only 30 items were categorised as very important the mean exceeded 4.00.

In addition, the ranking among the top five disclosure items to show the level of IFR for content dimension in this field of study are (1) income statement of current year, (2) income statement of past years, (3) cash flow statement of current year, (4) notes to financial statement of current year and (5) balance sheet of current year. The result are quite consistent with the previous study which stated income statement, balance sheet, cash flow statement, note to accounts and director report as the five most important items in financial reporting [14]. Other than that, another previous research indicated the five important items in financial reporting as profit and loss account, auditor's report, balance sheet, notes to accounts and statement of cash flow [11]. Moreover, a different researcher classified five important items in user's rating of the degree of credibility in financial reporting as financial statement, financial position, notes to financial statements, directors' report and auditor's report [16]. On the other hand, five important items in the financial reporting section are: balance sheet, notes to the account, income statement, auditor's report and cash flow statement [18].

Meanwhile, the lowest five items to determine content dimension are: (1) past year resolutions of the Supervisory Board, (2) phone number to investor

Table 3: Auditors' perception on the important items of disclosure for content dimension

Disclosure Item	Mean	Std. Dev.	Rank
Great Importance			
1 Income statement of current year	4.62	.586	1
2 Income statement of past years	4.60	.545	2
3 Cash flow statement of current year	4.60	.545	3
4 Notes to financial statements of current year	4.57	.501	4
5 Balance sheet of current year	4.57	.594	5
6 Auditor report of current year	4.55	.597	6
7 Balance sheet of past years	4.52	.599	7
8 Annual report of current year (full text)	4.50	.679	8
9 Cash flow statement of past year	4.45	.504	9
10 Statement of changes in shareholders' equity	4.45	.597	10
11 Auditor report of past years	4.42	.594	11
12 Notes to financial statements of past years	4.35	.533	12
13 Auditor signature of current year	4.30	.687	13
14 Financial Reporting Standard (FRS) basis in the current year	4.25	.707	14
15 English version of annual report	4.20	.723	15
16 Supplement or amendment to current year annual report	4.18	.594	16
17 Accounting policy	4.17	.844	17
18 Annual report of past years (full text)	4.15	.662	18
19 Changes in stockholders' equity in the current year	4.15	.736	19
20 Summary of annual report of current year	4.15	.736	20
21 Company address	4.12	.648	21
22 Auditor signature in past years report	4.12	.791	22
23 Financial ratios	4.08	.656	23
24 Analyses of main business risks	4.07	.694	24
25 Management report/analysis in current year	4.07	.730	25
26 Dividend information	4.05	.714	26
27 Current share prices	4.02	.577	27
28 Web page in English	4.02	.891	28
29 Share price performance in relation to stock market index	4.00	.784	29
30 CEO signature in the report	4.00	.847	30
Moderate Important			
31 Users quickly find the financial information	3.98	.660	31
32 Sales of key products	3.98	.800	32
33 Summary of financial data over a period of at least five years	3.95	.639	33
34 Segmental reporting by line of business in current year	3.95	.639	34
35 Members of the Board of Directors	3.95	.714	35
36 Indicator for finding current information directly	3.95	.714	36
37 Half-year report of current year	3.92	.656	37
38 Summary of key ratios over a period of at least five years	3.92	.764	38
39 Shareholder information	3.90	.672	39
40 Other than English web page (such as Malay)	3.90	.744	40
41 Disclaimer	3.88	.686	41
42 Charters for the audit committee	3.88	.883	42
43 Corporate information	3.85	.580	43
44 Number of share traded	3.85	.700	44
45 Classes of shares	3.82	.594	45
46 Directors shareholding information	3.82	.747	46
47 Segmental reporting by line of business in past years	3.78	.577	47
48 Current year information can be distinguished from last years information	3.78	.620	48
49 Projected information	3.78	.660	49
50 Share quote	3.78	.660	50
51 Information on the date of latest websites update	3.78	.733	51
52 Company's charter in the current year	3.78	.768	52
53 Annual report of current year (excerpt)	3.78	.800	53
54 Quarterly report of current year	3.78	.800	54

Table 3: Continued

Disclosure Item	Mean	Std. Dev.	Rank
55 Information on intellectual capital	3.75	.630	55
56 Historical share prices	3.75	.630	56
57 Frequently Asked Question (FAQ)	3.75	.776	57
58 Specific update time for the stock/share price data	3.75	.776	58
59 Current press releases or news	3.75	.809	59
60 Link to Bursa Malaysia websites	3.75	.809	60
61 Annual report of past years (excerpt)	3.75	.840	61
62 Segmental reporting by region in current year	3.72	.679	62
63 Chairman's report	3.72	.877	63
64 Monthly share prices	3.70	.564	64
65 Indication of audited and unaudited information (half yearly and quarterly)	3.70	.723	65
66 Half-year report of past years	3.70	.648	66
67 Quarterly report of past years	3.70	.648	67
68 Annual general meetings information	3.70	.853	68
69 Recent monthly financial data	3.68	.797	69
70 Corporate social responsibility report	3.68	.797	70
71 Corporate governance principles/guidelines	3.68	.797	71
72 Segmental reporting by region in past years	3.65	.662	72
73 Information regarding a dividend reinvestment plan	3.63	.667	73
74 Current year resolutions of the Board of Directors	3.63	.807	74
75 Calendar of events of interests to investors	3.63	.807	75
76 Calendar of future financial activities	3.63	.952	76
77 Share price graphs	3.62	.740	77
78 Information on corporate strategy	3.60	.778	78
79 Current year resolutions of the Supervisory Board	3.60	.810	79
80 Code of conduct and ethics for directors, officers and employee (employer)	3.58	.813	80
81 Current year resolutions of shareholders' meeting	3.58	.874	81
82 Past year resolutions of shareholders' meeting	3.58	.874	82
83 Top 10 stockholders in current year	3.55	.677	83
84 Employee shareholding information	3.55	.749	84
85 Listing of analysts following the firm	3.55	.749	85
86 Option provided to register for future email alerts regarding press releases, newsletters, etc.	3.53	.640	86
87 Charters of others committees	3.53	.751	87
88 Information of third party opinion about company	3.50	.679	88
89 Glossaries	3.50	.641	89
90 Past year resolutions of the Board of Directors	3.50	.847	90
91 Information about managers, at least the identity and curriculum vitae of executives	3.43	.712	91
92 Text of speeches and presentations	3.40	.709	92
93 Past year resolutions of the Supervisory Board	3.40	.810	93
94 Phone number to investor relations	3.40	1.033	94
95 Postal address to investor relations	3.32	.917	95
96 Monthly or weekly sale or operating data	3.25	.840	96
97 E-mail to investor relations	3.15	.834	97

(1 = not important at all; 5 = very important)

relations, (3) postal address to investor relations, (4) monthly or weekly sale or operating data and (5) e-mail to investor relations. In summary, basically in annual report, the important part users usually analyse is profit and loss account which classifies as income statement, followed by balance sheet and the statement of cash flows [21]. All the result in Table 3 is consistent with previous study.

Meanwhile, Table 4 shows items important in presentation dimension based on the mean value. The findings show that 52 out of 58 items exceeded the mean

value of 3.50 and this shows the importance of IFR similar to previous studies in content dimension [14, 19, 23, 28]. Furthermore, 15 items were categorised as very important by exceeding 4.00 of the level of index presentation dimension. Meanwhile, six items were categorised as unimportant with a mean lesser than 3.50. The top five IFR disclosure items for presentation dimension are: (1) loading time of the website below 10 seconds, (2) annual report in PDF format, (3) hyperlinks inside the annual report, (4) ability to download reports and (5) hyperlinks

Table 4: Auditors' perception on the important items of disclosure for presentation dimension

Disclosure Item	Mean	Std. Dev.	Rank
Great importance			
1 Loading time of the website below 10 seconds	4.32	.616	1
2 Annual report in PDF format	4.32	.616	2
3 Hyperlinks inside the annual report	4.18	.712	3
4 Ability to download reports	4.12	.607	4
5 Hyperlinks to financial analysts	4.10	.709	5
6 Link to table of contents	4.08	.474	6
7 Link to homepage	4.08	.572	7
8 There are investment calculators available (e.g. investment return or dividend calculator)	4.07	.730	8
9 Internal search engine	4.05	.552	9
10 Help information/site	4.05	.783	10
11 Users can download the full annual reports in sections	4.05	.846	11
12 Financial information can be viewed in more than one currency (UK£ & US\$)	4.05	.904	12
13 Table of content/sitemap	4.02	.620	13
14 Financial data in processable format (such as Excel)	4.02	.768	14
15 Use of presentation slides	4.00	.716	15
Moderate Important			
16 Link to top homepage	3.95	.552	16
17 Annual report in HTML format	3.93	.797	17
18 Next/previous bottoms to navigate sequentially	3.90	.545	18
19 Users can compare and analyse comparative stock or other performance on the same screen	3.90	.841	19
20 Menu click over	3.85	.662	20
21 Menu pull-down	3.85	.662	21
22 One click to get to investors relations information	3.85	.700	22
23 Format of reports suitable for calculations	3.85	.802	23
24 Change to printing friendly format possible	3.82	.675	24
25 Hyperlinks texts	3.82	.712	25
26 Clear boundaries for annual reports	3.80	.648	26
27 Online feedback	3.80	.687	27
28 Online shareholder services available (e.g. change address, dividend paid directly into account)	3.80	.966	28
29 Annual report in multiple file format	3.78	.862	29
30 Use of multimedia technology (in general)	3.78	.768	30
31 Users can download the financial information in more than one type of format	3.78	.920	31
32 Hyperlinks to data on a third-party's website	3.75	.707	32
33 Direct e-mail hyperlinks to investor relations	3.75	.707	33
34 Direct e-mail contacts (feedback) available	3.75	.742	34
35 Content can be viewed in different browsers (Internet Explorer and Netscape)	3.72	.640	35
36 Download plug-in on spot	3.72	.679	36
37 One click to get to press releases or news	3.70	.648	37
38 External links to related content	3.70	.723	38
39 Online investor information order service	3.68	.730	39
40 User can subscribe to public announcement via e-mail	3.68	.797	40
41 There is information concerning technical devices (formats, size of downloads)	3.68	.829	41
42 Moving picture such as JAVA applications	3.68	.944	42
43 Annual meeting	3.65	.736	43
44 Notice book	3.63	.628	44
45 Mail listings	3.60	.672	45
46 Function to recommend the page	3.60	.709	46
47 Users have a choice of download (black and white or full colour)	3.58	.931	47
48 Technical hints for the user (browsers, screen resolution)	3.57	.813	48
49 Contact to the webmaster	3.55	.749	49
50 E-mail alerts	3.55	.749	50
51 Service to change data in the Share register online	3.50	.784	51
52 Conferences	3.50	.816	52
53 Graphic images	3.37	.838	53
54 Use of frames	3.35	.802	54
55 Video files	3.35	.893	55
56 Chat room	3.28	.877	56
57 Flashes	3.18	.844	57
58 Sound files	3.18	.984	58

(1 = not important at all; 5 = very important)

to financial analysts; while, the lowest five items in presentation dimension are: (1) use of frames, (2) video files, (3) chat room, (4) flashes and (5) sound files.

Previous studies indicated that the reasons an increasing number of users are dissatisfied in regards to financial reporting are that it is not updated in the current year which will put delay in publishing the financial report and it lacks access to adequate information [11, 14-16]. Therefore, using PDF which is an Acrobat format makes it easier for users to obtain information in IFR, consequently making it an attractive medium when accessing financial reports on the web browser, search engine, or hyperlinks [4, 14]. Another way IFR should be attractive for users is that the ability to search the content of the report by using keywords would improve the accessibility of the information download that results in more equitable information dissemination [8, 25]. In short, the findings on the presentation dimension are quite consistent with the previous researches in this field of study.

As a conclusion, based on the auditors' views and feedback, a number of 90 out of 97 items were identified as the basis for IFR index measurement for content dimension. On the other hand, 52 out of 58 items were identified as the basis for IFR index measurement for presentation dimension. The overall findings indicate that a total of 142 items out of 155 items were identified as the basic measurement to evaluate the level of IFR for Bursa Malaysia's listed companies. Therefore, the minimum total score of 3.50 is similar to previous studies [14, 19, 23, 28].

CONCLUSION

The aim of this study is to explore the perception of auditors in Malaysia on the important items of content and presentation dimension and come out with audit issues related to professional auditors.

The findings showed that the five important items of disclosure for content dimension are income statement of current year, income statement of past years, cash flow statement of current year, notes to financial statement of current years and balance sheet of current year. Secondly, auditors ranked their perception on the importance of five important items for presentation dimension as loading time of the website below ten seconds, annual report in PDF format, hyperlinks inside the annual report, ability to download reports and hyperlinks to financial analysts. As a conclusion, based on the auditors' views and feedback, a number of 90 out of 97 items were identified as the basis for IFR index measurement for content

dimension. On the other hand, 52 out of 58 items were identified as the basis for IFR index measurement for presentation dimension. The overall findings indicated that a total of 142 items out of 155 items were identified as the basic measurement to evaluate the level of IFR for Bursa-Malaysia-listed companies [14, 19, 23, 28].

Furthermore, three items important for audit issues as perceived by auditors are the conversion or transposition process involved in publishing information on the internet is susceptible to error, information on the internet is exposed to accessibility and modification by unauthorised users both external and internal to the reporting entity and information on the internet has the potential to be very fluid.

This study is being conducted in order to evaluate the important of IFR in terms of content and presentation dimension presented in order to know the level of index disclosure of financial reporting in IFR and some audit issues were highlighted which brings light to dissatisfactions occurring among auditors. The result of the study is contributed to the understanding among preparers and users in order to obtain information regarding the important items on IFR and issues occurring for auditing purpose in IFR and also as information to list items in Bursa Malaysia to identify the important items in IFR for user's information. It provides critical information especially for auditors on issues in auditing plus some views on IFR

Based on the literature review, there are limited efforts from the previous studies regarding analysing the need of item checklist for annual report such as the content and presentation dimensions present in this field of study. From the limited knowledge of researchers, some of the previous studies only highlighted the content of annual report but did not take into consideration the needs of users in financial reporting such as presentation dimension as in this study which brings to the effectiveness of using IFR as an attraction for users to obtain information. Moreover, there are limited efforts to study in detail the contribution on auditor by which IFR can create unique opportunities, challenges and implications for the auditing profession, or in other words, not simplifying the needs of auditors and the ways to minimise the problems that occur.

Thus, the result of this study is important because it seeks to contribute to the empirical evidence of the items needed in content and presentation dimensions which are present in Bursa Malaysia. And then there are no actions from the professional body issuing a formal standard of IFR [8]. In other words, no standardisation is written to

improve the quality of IFR to make it more attractive and useful towards users. Hence, the result gained from the study acts as a guideline for preparers of financial reports to take action for continuous improvement and to minimise past mistakes to be repeated such as security problems and high degree of data manipulation. On the other hand, as discusses before, the implementation of IFR has contributed to the benefit of users but there are some limitations that should be minimised. Therefore, this paper provides important insights on item disclosure and audit issues of IFR from the perspective of auditors in financial reporting which were not specifically highlighted by previous studies.

From the result of this study there are some limitations, where this study should have been conducted with more time and more respondents so that perhaps a different result may be achieved to measure higher potential on accuracy of data. In addition, the scope of the study is only focused in south of Johor Bahru due to the time constraint of this study. Most of the respondents came from the auditors group which is part of the users of financial reporting. Hence, the result of this study might not produce comprehensive result as they were only for auditors and not the variety of user of financial reporting such as accountant, manager, academician etc. There was also less participation in answering the set of questionnaires due to time constraints on respondents and less effort to fill up the questionnaire.

Implementation has brought dissatisfaction towards the users of financial reporting. Furthermore, it may also affect the perception of auditors on IFR which are the main users of financial reporting. There are several suggestions provided by the researcher, mainly based on the limitation of the study. Hopefully, further research can be carried out involving a larger sample size. Besides that, the research can also be expanded to other states in Malaysia to obtain more perception and feedback. Also, by extending the duration of collecting the questionnaire, it enables respondents to spend more time to answer the set of questionnaire. There may also be other research procedures that can be used such as email or interview to obtain more feedback on IFR. Further research can be carried out not only focusing on the auditors, but other users of financial reporting as well as those who study in detail about the audit issues in IFR. To make it more interesting, future researchers can make comparison between preparers' and users' perception on the IFR issues and for the evaluation of perception, they should address the varying opinion among these groups. In addition, future research can be carried out to

investigate the contributions of IFR in creating unique opportunities, challenges and implications for the auditing profession. Lastly, it can obtain feedback from the professional bodies regarding auditing issues in IFR.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The financial support for the authors' research from Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE), Malaysia and Research Management Center (RMC), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia vote no. Q.130000.2629.07J40 by Research University Grant (RUG) also appreciated. The authors also gratefully acknowledge the contribution of Norhayati Salleh and Ho Shuang Tien for completing this manuscript.

REFERENCE

1. Ismail, T.H. and N.M. Sobhy, 2009. Determinants of Auditors' Perception of the Work Needed in the Audit of Internet- Based Financial Report in Egypt. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 10(2): 132-150.
2. Deller, D., M. Stubenrath and C. Weber, 1999. A Survey on the Use of the Internet for Investor Relations in the USA, the UK and Germany. *The European Accounting Review*, 8(2): 351-364.
3. Xiao, J.Z., M.J. Jones and A. Lymer, 2002. Immediate Trends in Internet reporting. *European Accounting Review*, 11(2): 245-276.
4. Lymer, A. and R. Debreceny, 2003. The Auditor and Corporate Reporting on the Internet: Chalenges and Institutional Responses. *International Journal of Auditing*, 7: 103-120.
5. Al-Htaybat, K., 2011. Corporate Online Reporting in 2010: A Case Study in Jordan. *Journal of Financing Reporting and Accounting*, 9(1): 5-26.
6. Hansen, J.V., 2001. Internet Commerce Security: Issues and Model for Control Checking. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 52(10): 1159-1164.
7. Moradi, M., M. Salehi and A. Arianpoor, 2011. A Study of the Reasons for shortcomings in establishment of Internet Financial Reporting in Iran. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(8): 3312-3321.
8. Fisher, R., P. Oyelere and F. Laswad, 2004. Corporate Reporting on the Internet: Audit Issues and Content Analysis of Practices. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 19(3): 412-439.

9. Velmurugan, M.S., 2009. Security and Trust in E-Business: Problem and Prospects. *International Journal of Electronic Business Management*, 7(3): 151-158.
10. Virginia, M.C. and J.C. Michael, 2003. Impact of SAS no.94 on computer audit techniques. *Information System Control Journal*, pp: 1-9.
11. Mirshekary, S. and S.M. Saudagaran, 2005. Perceptions and characteristics of financial statement users in developing countries: Evidence from Iran. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 14: 33-54.
12. Sumiani, Y., Y. Haslinda and G. Lehman, 2007. Environmental Reporting in a Developing Country: A Case on Status and Implementation in Malaysia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 15: 895-901.
13. Ohman, P. and E. Wallerstedt, 2012. Audit Regulation and the Development of the Auditing Profession: The Case of Sweden. *Accounting History*, 17(2): 241-257.
14. Ho, S.S.M. and S.W. Kar, 2001. A study of Corporate Disclosure Practice and Effectiveness in Hong Kong. *Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting*, 12(1): 75-100.
15. Joshi, P.L. and J. Al-Modhahki, 2003. Financial Reporting on the Internet: Empirical Evidence from Bahrain and Kuwait. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting*, 11(1): 88-101.
16. Naser, K., R. Nuseibeh and A. Al-Hussaini, 2003. Users' Perceptions of Various Aspects of Kuwaiti Corporate Reporting. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 18(6-7): 599-617.
17. Ku Ismail, K.N.I. and R. Chandler, 2005. Perceptions of Professional Investors in Malaysia on the Usefulness of Quarterly Financial Reports. *Journal of Management*, 24: 105-124.
18. Alattar, J.L. and K. Al-Khater, 2007. An Empirical Investigation of Users' Views on Corporate Annual Report in Qatar. *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, 11(4): 312-325.
19. Ali Khan, M.N.A. and N.A. Ismail, 2012. Various Aspects of Internet Financial Reporting: Evidence from Malaysian Academician. *Journal of Global Business and Economics*, 4(1): 1-15.
20. Ali Khan, M.N.A. and N.A. Ismail, 2011. The level of Internet Financial Reporting of Malaysian Companies. *Asian Journal of Accounting and Governance*, 2: 27-39.
21. Abdul Rahman, A., 2001. The Use and Perceived Importance of Annual Reports by Accountants in the Service Industry in Malaysia. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 9(2): 117-126.
22. Debreceeny, R., G.L. Gray and A. Rahman, 2002. The Determinants of Internet Financial Reporting. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 21(4-5): 371-394.
23. Ali Khan, M.N.A., 2010. Pelaporan Kewangan Menerusi Internet Indeks, Tahap Pelaporan dan Faktor Penentunya. Unpublished PhD Dissertation: Universiti Utara Malaysia, Sintok Kedah.
24. Celik, O., A. Ecer and H. Karabacak, 2006. Impact of Firm Specific Characteristics on the Web Based Business Reporting: Evidence from the Companies Listed in Turkey. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 4(3): 100-133.
25. Oyeler, P., F. Laswad and R. Fisher, 2003. Determinants of Internet Financial Reporting by New Zealand Companies. *Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting*, 14(1): 1-39.
26. Mohd Isa, R., 2006. Graphical Information in Corporate Annual Report: A Survey of Users and Preparers Perception. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 4(1): 39-60.
27. Stoel, D., D. Havelka and J.W. Merhout, 2012. An Analysis of Attributes that Impact Information Technology Audit Quality: A Study of IT and Financial Audit Practitioners. *International Journal of Accounting Information System*, 13: 60-79.
28. Ali Khan, M.N.A. and N.A. Ismail, 2009. Internet Financial Reporting in Malaysia, Factors, Pros and Cons. *Accountants Today*, 22(2): 28-31.
29. Griffiths, P., 2012. Information Audit: Towards Common Standards and Methodology. *Business Information Review*, 29(1): 39-51.
30. Davies, M.B., 2007. *Doing a Successful Research Project*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
31. Creswell, J.W., 2005. *Educational Research: Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research*. (2nd ed.). New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall.
32. Barlett, J.E., J.W. Kotrlik and C.C. Higgins, 2001. Organizational Research: Determining Appropriate Sample Size in Survey Research. *Information Technology, Learning and Performance Journal*, 9(1): 43-50.
33. Krejcie, R.V. and D.W. Morgan, 1970. Determining Sample Size for Research Activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 30: 607-610.

34. Marshall, C. and G.B. Rossman, 1999. Designing Qualitative Research. London: Stage Publication.
35. Heller, T. and S. Greene, 2012. Using SPSS for Data Analysis: Support Document for SPSS Output Tables. UW-Stout Office of Planning, Assessment, Research and Quality (PARQ), pp: 2-51.
36. Field, A.P., 2005. Discovering Statistics Using SPSS (2nd ed.). London: Sage.
37. Radhakrishna, R.B., 2007. Tips for Developing and Testing Questionnaire or Instrument. Journal of Extension, 45(1): 1-4.