

Biofilm Formation of *Escherichia coli* O₁₁₁ on Food Contact Glass Surfaces

¹Mohammad Hosein Movassagh and ²Amir Reza Karami

¹Department of Food Hygiene and Food Quality Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Islamic Azad University, Shabestar Branch, Shabestar, Iran

²Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Islamic Azad University, Shabestar Branch, Shabestar, Iran

Abstract: A biofilm can be defined as a sessile bacterial community of cells that live attached to each other and to surfaces. Attachment and biofilm formation by food-borne pathogens and spoilage microorganisms on food contact surfaces in processing plants are a public health and cross-contamination concern. Biofilm formation by *Escherichia coli* O₁₁₁ on commonly used food contact glass surfaces were studied. For this study 12 glass chips (food grade) were used. *E. coli* strain was added to the beakers with Tryptic Soya Broth and the samples. *Escherichia coli* O₁₁₁ formed biofilm with a mean cell density of 5.03 ± 0.14 log CFU/cm² on glass surfaces. Based on these results, it can be concluded that *Escherichia coli* O₁₁₁ can survive on food contact glass surfaces forming biofilm. This is the first report, as far as we are aware, of biofilm formation by *Escherichia coli* O₁₁₁ on food contact glass surfaces. We were unable to find reports in our search of the literature.

Key words: Biofilm • *Escherichia coli* • Glass

INTRODUCTION

Microbial biofilms are attracting attention of scientists in different areas such as the medical field, aquatic environment, food processing industries etc. Microbial biofilms may be detrimental and undesirable in food processing premises. Biofilms by pathogenic bacteria such as *Salmonella* [1- 4], *Klebsiella* [3, 5], *Pseudomonas* [6], *Campylobacter* and enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli* O157:H7 [4] and *Listeria* [7,8] have been reported. Such biofilms could be a continuous source of contamination to foods coming in contact with them when formed on contact surfaces.

This study was undertaken to understand the ability of *Escherichia coli* O₁₁₁ to form biofilms on potential food contact glass surfaces.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Escherichia coli O₁₁₁ strain PTCC 1270 (Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology) was used.

Glass was used to develop the biofilm. Glass chips (4 cm², commonly used for food packaging) were cleaned with acetone to remove grease and were etched by

submerging in 5N HCl for 15 min, cleaned in detergent solution and finally rinsed in HPLC grade water. For this study 12 glass chips were used. Experiments were conducted wherein two samples of the same type viz glass were placed in 1000 ml glass beakers and 200 ml of Tryptic Soya Broth (Scharlau, Spain) were added. *E. coli* strain was grown in TSB (Scharlau, Spain) for 24 h at 37°C and 2 ml of this culture was added to the beakers with TSB and the samples. After incubation at 30°C for 48 h, the samples were aseptically removed, washed in sterile phosphate buffer saline (PBS, pH 7.4) to remove unattached cells and placed in beakers with fresh TSB [8]. This procedure was repeated five times every alternate day to complete the biofilm formation.

To enumerate biofilm cells after ten days of incubation, the samples were washed with sterile PBS to remove unattached cells and the biofilm cells were removed by swabbing with sterile cotton swabs. The swabs were transferred to 100 ml physiological saline (0.85% NaCl, w/v prepared in the laboratory) shaken vigorously and enumerated by standard spread plate technique. Tryptone soy agar (TSA, Scharlau, Spain) was used for enumeration and plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 h. For the statistical analysis the SPSS computer program was used.

Table 1: Mean of *Escherichia coli* O₁₁₁ biofilm population (log CFU/cm²)

Types of surface	Number of surfaces	Mean	Std Deviation	Std Error Mean
Glass	12	5.03	0.51	0.14

RESULTS

Escherichia coli O₁₁₁ formed biofilm with a mean cell density of 5.03 ± 0.14 log CFU/cm² on glass (Table 1).

Biofilm variables are expressed log CFU per cm² of surface area.

DISCUSSION

Escherichia coli O₁₁₁ formed biofilms on glass surfaces. The model system we studied indicates that the bacteria encountered in food processing environments can be very hardy and difficult to eliminate. Bacterial attachment and subsequent survival involve interactions between a bacterial cell, a surface and the surrounding microenvironment.

Movassagh, *et al.* [9, 10] showed that *Escherichia coli* O₁₁₁ formed biofilm with a mean cell density of 5.14 ± 0.21 and 7.69 ± 0.19 log CFU/cm² on cement and plastic surfaces, respectively. At this study the mean cell density of *Escherichia coli* O₁₁₁ was lower than other type surfaces biofilms (cement and plastic).

Scanning electron micrographs have also shown that food-borne pathogens and spoilage microorganisms accumulate as biofilms on stainless steel, aluminum, glass, rubber and Teflon seals and nylon materials typically found in food-processing environments [11-14].

Helke [15] showed that Milk and its components such as casein and α -lactoglobulin have also been found to inhibit the attachment of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella typhimurium*.

In the dairy industry, improperly cleaned and sanitized equipment [12,16] and air-borne microflora [17] are usually considered to be the major sources of contamination of milk and milk products. Cleaning-in-place (CIP) procedures are usually employed in milk processing lines [18,19]. However, the limitation of CIP procedures is the accumulation of microorganisms on the equipment surfaces resulting in biofilm formation [20 -22].

Based on these results, it can be concluded that *Escherichia coli* O₁₁₁ can survive on food contact surfaces e.g. glass surfaces forming biofilm. This is the first report, as far as we are aware, of biofilm formation by *Escherichia coli* O₁₁₁ on food contact glass surfaces. We were unable to find reports in our search of the literature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks J. Dolgharisharaf for laboratory assistance and Dr.V.Deibel for her help in review of literature.

REFERENCES

1. Dhir, V.K. and C.E.R. Dodd, 1995. Susceptibility of suspended and surface attached *Salmonella enteritidis* to biocides at elevated temperatures. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 61: 1731-1738.
2. Humphery, T.J., E. Slater, K. McAlpine, R.J. Rowbury and R.J. Gilbert, 1995. *Salmonella enteritidis* Phage type 4 isolated more tolerant of heat, acid or hydrogen peroxide also survive longer on surfaces. *Appl. Env. Microbiol.*, 61: 3161-3164.
3. Jones, K. and S.B. Bradshaw, 1996. Biofilm formation by the Enterobacteriaceae: A comparison between *Salmonella enteritidis*, *E. coli* and a Nitrogen fixing strain of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.*, 80: 458-464.
4. Somers, E.B., S.L. Schoeni and A.C.L. Wong, 1994. Effect of Trisodium phosphate on biofilm and planktonic cells of *Campylobacter jejuni*, *E. coli* O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella typhimurium*. *Int. J. Food. Microbiol.*, 22: 269-276.
5. Morin, P., A. Camper, W. Jones, D. Gatel and J. Goldman, 1996. Colonization and disinfection of biofilms hosting coliform colonized carbon fines. *Appl. Env. Microbiol.*, 62: 4428-4432.
6. Brown, M.L., C.A. Henry and J.J. Gauthier, 1995. Relation between Glycocalyx and Povidone-Iodine resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853) biofilms. *Appl. Env. Microbiol.*, 61: 187-193.
7. Mafu, A.A., D. Roy, J. Gonlet and P. Magny, 1990. Attachment of *Listeria monocytogenes* to stainless steel, glass, polypropylene, rubber surfaces after short contact times. *J. Food. Prot.*, 53: 742-746.
8. Ren, T.J. and J.F. Frank, 1993. Susceptibility of starved planktonic and biofilm *Listeria monocytogenes* to quaternary ammonium sanitizer as determined by direct viable and agar plate counts. *J. Food. Prot.*, 56: 573-576.
9. Movassagh, M.H., J. Dolgharisharaf, M. Khajeh and K. Najafian, 2008. Biofilm formation of *Escherichia coli* O₁₁₁ on plastic surfaces, *J. Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 7: 1282-1284.

10. Movassagh, M.H., A.R. Karami and J. Dolgharisharaf, 2009. Biofilm formation of *Escherichia coli* O₁₁₁ on cement surfaces, Research J. Biological Sci., 4: 113-115.
11. Blackman, I.C. and J.F. Frank, 1996. Growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* as a biofilm on various food-processing surfaces. J. Food Prot., 59: 827-831.
12. Czechowski, M.H. and M. Banner, 1990. Control of biofilms in breweries through cleaning and sanitizing. Tech. Q. Masters Brew. Assoc. Am., 29: 86-88.
13. Herald, P.J. and E.A. Zottola, 1988. Scanning electron microscopic examination of *Yersinia enterocolitica* attached to stainless steel at elevated temperature and pH Values J. Food Prot., 51: 445-448.
14. Notermans, S., J.A.M.A. Dormans and G.C. Mead, 1991. Contribution of surface attachment to the establishment of microorganisms in food processing plants: A review. Biofouling, 5: 1-16.
15. Helke, D.M., E.B. Somers and A.C.L. Wong, 1993. Attachment of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella typhimurium* to stain less steel and Buna-N in the presence of milk and milk components. J. Food Prot., 56: 479-484.
16. Koutzayiotis, C., 1992. Bacterial biofilms in milk pipelines. South African J. Dairy Sci., 24: 19-22.
17. Schroder, M.J.A., 1984. Origins and levels of post pasteurization contamination of milk in the dairy and their effect on keeping quality. J. Dairy Res., 51: 59-67.
18. Dunsmore, D.G., 1981. Bacteriological control of food equipment surfaces by cleaning systems. I. Detergent effects. J. Food Prot., 44: 15-20.
19. Dunsmore, D.G., A. Twomey, W.G. Whittlestone and H.W. Morgan, 1981. Design and performance of systems for cleaning product-contact surfaces of food equipment: a review. J. Food Prot., 44: 220-240.
20. Mattila, T., A. Manninen and A.L. Kylasiurola, 1990. Effect of cleaning-in-place disinfectants on wild bacterial strains isolated from a milking line. J. Dairy Sci., 57: 33-39.
21. Maxcy, R.B., 1964. Potential microbial contaminants from dairy equipment with automated circulation cleaning. J. Milk Food Technol., 27: 135-139.
22. Maxcy, R.B., 1969. Residual microorganisms in cleaned-in-place systems for handling milk. J. Milk Food Technol., 32: 140-143.