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Abstract: Bovine tuberculosis is a chronic bacterial disease of cattle caused by Mycobacterium bovis. In many countries, bovine tuberculosis is a major infectious disease of cattle, other domesticated animals and certain wildlife populations. It has a public health significance. Bovine tuberculosis is usually diagnosed in the live animals based on the basis of delayed hypersensitivity reactions using various tuberculin tests such as single intradermal, comparative intradermal, short thermal and stormont tests. Infection of bovine tuberculosis is often sub clinical; when present, clinical signs are not specifically distinctive. After death, infection is diagnosed by necropsy, histopathological and bacteriological examination and biochemical tests like nitrate reduction, niacin production, deamination of pyrazinamide and urease tests. Molecular tests like polymerase chain reactions, spoligotyping and blood-based laboratory tests; gamma interferon assay, the lymphocyte proliferation assay and the indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay can be used too.
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INTRODUCTION

Bovine tuberculosis is an infectious disease caused by Mycobacterium bovis that affects cattle, other domesticated animals and certain free or captive wild species. It is usually characterized by the formation of nodular granulomas known as tubercles. Although. It is commonly defined as a chronic debilitating disease it is occasionally progressive [1]. Bovine tuberculosis occur worldwide and because of the zoonotic implications of the disease and production losses due to its chronic and progressive nature, eradication programmes have been introduced in many countries [2]. There is a growing perception that no single method is sufficient for detecting all cattle infected with bovine tuberculosis; therefore a multidisciplinary approach must be employed based on current status of the disease [3].

Identification of Mycobacterium bovis has been traditionally based on clear cut differences in phenotypic characteristics and biochemical tests, animal inoculation and chromatographic analysis [2, 4].

Advances in a molecular medicine and understanding of genetic structure of mycobacterium has provided us with many new technological tools that can be used for confirmation of identity of isolates from clinical samples [5].

Existing strategies for long term bovine tuberculosis control or eradication campaigns are being reconsidered in many countries because of the development of new testing technologies, increased global trade, continued struggle with wildlife reservoirs of bovine tuberculosis, redistribution of international trading partner or agreements and emerging financial and animal welfare constraints on herd depopulation [6]. Mycobacterium bovis shows a dysgenic colony shape on Lowenstein-Jensen medium and microaerophilic growth, while Mycobacterium tuberculosis shows eugenic colony shape and aerophilic growth [4].

In cattle, clinical evidence of tuberculosis is usually lacking until very extensive lesions have developed. For this reason, its diagnosis in individual animals and an eradication program were not possible prior to the development of tuberculin test. Tuberculin, a concentrated sterile culture filtrate of tubercle bacilli grown on glycerinated beef broth and more recently, on synthetic media and it is based on a delayed type hypersensitivity to mycobacterial tuberculo-protein, a standard ante-mortem test in bovine [1, 7].

More recently, several molecular methods have been developed that provide clear criteria for the identification of Mycobacterium bovis. These comprise a variety of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods, which is based
Table 1: Differentiation of Mycobacteria of the tuberculosis group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth type</th>
<th>M. tuberculosis</th>
<th>M. bovis</th>
<th>M. avium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colony form on media with glycerol</td>
<td>‘Rough, tough, buff’. Colonies are hard to break up easily.</td>
<td>Small moist-sheen colonies that break up easily.</td>
<td>Whitish, stocky colonies that break up easily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol required for growth</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced growth with 0.4% sodium pyruvate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where, + = positive reaction, - = negative reaction
Source: Quinn *et al.* [8].

Colonial Morphology: The luxuriant growth of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* on glycerol containing media, giving the characteristic ‘rough, tough and buff’ colonies is known as eugenic while the growth of *Mycobacterium avium* on media containing glycerol is also described as eugenic. *Mycobacterium bovis* has sparse, thin growth on glycerol containing media that is called dysgenic. *Mycobacterium bovis*, however, grow well on pyruvate-containing media without glycerol [9].

Diagnostic Techniques of Bovine Tuberculosis

Culture of Mycobacteria

Media for Mycobacteria: The egg based Lowenstein-Jensen and stone brinks media are most commonly used in veterinary bacteriology. Lowenstein-Jensen medium can be obtained commercially. An agar-based medium such as middle brook 7H_{10} and 7H_{11} or blood based agar medium may also be used [1]. The media are prepared as solid slants in screw-capped bottles. Malachite green dye (0.025g/100ml) is commonly used as selective agent. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Mycobacterium avium* and many of the atypical mycobacteria require glycerol for growth. However, glycerol is inhibitory to *Mycobacterium bovis* while sodium pyruvate (0.4%) enhances its growth. Thus, the media with glycerol and without glycerol (but with sodium pyruvate) should be inoculated. The media can be made more selective by the addition of cycloheximide (400µg/ml), lincomycin (2µg/ml) and nalidixic acid (35µg/ml). Each new batch of culture medium should be inoculated with the stock strains of *Mycobacteria* to ensure that the medium supports satisfactory growth [8]. The inoculated media may have to be incubated at 37°C for up to 8 weeks and preferably for 10 to 12 weeks with or without carbon dioxide for the mycobacteria in the tuberculosis group [1]. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium avium* prefer the caps on the culture media to be loose while *Mycobacterium bovis* grows best in airtight containers [2].

Histology and Acid-fast Staining: During necropsy of cattle suspected of being infected with BTB, tissue samples are collected and examined for histopathological (microscopic) lesions that are compatible with *M. bovis*. In addition to looking for specific lesions under the microscope, pathologist can use special stain to identify organisms that are compatible with *M. bovis*, the bacterium that causes BTB. This is called an acid-fast stain [9, 10]. The cell walls of these acid-fast bacteria contain approximately equal amounts of polysaccharide. The high lipid content, which ranges from 20 - 40% of the dry cell weight, is largely responsible for the ability of these bacteria to resist decolorization with acidified organic solvents [11]. The bacteria that take up this stain, including *M. bovis*, will appear as short red or pink rods when examined under a microscope [9].

Preliminary examination of tissues suspected of being tuberculous should include the preparation of suitably stained smears. The identifiable smear can be made on a new slide from scrapings of the cut surface of tissue. The smear should be air dried and fixed by flaming for one to two seconds. The kinyoum modification of the Ziehl-Neelsen stain is recommended because no heat is required [12]. The Ziehl-Neelsen method is commonly used to stain the mycobacteria. The smears are treated as with concentrated carbol fuchsin by heating and then decolorized with a sulfuric acid and alcohol solution. Malachite green or methylene blue is commonly used counter stains [11]. The stained slides are observed with
Pigment Production and Response to Light:
The Mycobacteria that produce yellowish-orange carotenoid pigments are called chromogenic [8, 12]. The term photochromogenic is applied to those mycobacteria that produce pigment only if exposed to light. The scotochromogenic Mycobacteria produce pigment when incubated either in light or in the dark. Pigment formation is tested with young, well-developed colonies on Lowenstein-Jensen medium. The cultures are exposed to a 100 Watt, clear electric light bulb, at a distance of 50 cm, for at least an hour and then incubated again in darkness for a further 1-3 days. After this treatment the photochromogens will develop pigment. Older colonies of mycobacteria in the tuberculosis group often have a yellowish hue but they are described as non-chromogenic [8].


Niacin Production Test: The commercially available niacin test strips (Difco) are easier and safer to use as this avoids employing toxic BrCN solution used in conventional tests. M. tuberculosis is positive and M. avium is negative in this test [8].

Nitrate Reduction: Place a few drops of sterile distilled water in a screw-capped tube and add a loop full of a young culture of the mycobacterium. Use un-inoculated tube as a negative control. Add 2ml of NaNo solution (0.01 M solution of NaNo, in 0.022M phosphate buffer, pH 7). Shake and incubate in a water bath at 37°C for 2 hours. Add a drop of 1:2 dilution of concentrated HCl, 2drops of 0.2% aqueous solution of sulphanilamide and then 2 drops of 0.1% aqueous N-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine dihydrochloride. Examine for the development of a pink to red color and compare with the negative control. As strong red indicates nitrate reduced to nitrite. Add a pinch of powdered zinc to all negative tubes (converts nitrate to nitrite). The production of a red color indicates a negative test (nitrates not reduced). The commercial paper strip method can be used but a negative result should be confirmed by test [8, 12].

Deamination of Pyrazinamide: The medium is a broth base containing 0.1g pyrazinamide, 0.2g of pyruvic acid and 15.0g agar per liter. Dispense in 15 ml amounts in screw-capped tubes. Autoclave at 121°C for 15 minutes and solidifies in an upright position. Incubate the agar with a heavy suspension of a young culture and incubate at 37°C for 4 days. A positive reaction is given by a pink band in the agar. Use an uninoculated tube and M. avium tube as negative and positive controls, respectively [8].

Urease Test: Mix one part of urea-agar base concentrate with nine parts of sterile water. Dispense in 4 ml amounts in screw-capped tubes (16 x 125 mm). Emulsify a loopful of young culture in the tube of substrates. Incubate at 37°C. A color change from amber to pink or red is a positive reaction. Discard after three days [7].

Inhibition and Tolerance Test: Reagents such as 5% NaCl and thiophen-2-carboxylic acid hydrazide (TCH) 10µg/ml are usually incorporated into a media such as Lowenstein-Jensen [8].

Immunological Diagnostic Methods
Tuberculin Skin Test: The tuberculin test based on a delayed type hypersensitivity to mycobacterial tuberculoprotein, is the standard ante mortem test in cattle [7]. It is convenient, cost effective method for assessing cell mediated responses to a variety of antigens and it is “gold standard” for diagnostic screening for detection of new or asymptomatic Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex infection [13]. The reaction in cattle is usually detectable 30 - 50 days after infection [7].

Table 2: Biochemical differentiation of Mycobacteria of tuberculosis group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>M. tuberculosis</th>
<th>M. bovis</th>
<th>M. avium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Niacin production</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Pyrazinamide production</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Nitrate reduction</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Inhibited by TCH 10mg/ml</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Urease</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where, + = positive, - = negative, TCH= thiophen-2-carboxylic acid hydrazide

Source: Quinn et al. [8].
The tuberculin is prepared from cultures of *M. tuberculosis* or *M. bovis* grown on synthetic media [14]. The tuberculin test is usually performed between the mid necks, but the test can also be performed in the caudal fold of the tail. The skin of the neck is more sensitive to tuberculin than the skin of the caudal fold. To compensate for this difference, higher doses of tuberculin may be used in the caudal fold of the tail [1].

Bovine tuberculin is more potent and specific and the potency of tuberculins must be estimated by biological methods, based on comparison with standard tuberculins and potency is expressed in the international unit (IU) [14]. In several countries, bovine tuberculin is considered to be of acceptable potency if its estimated potency guarantees per bovine dose at least 2000 IU in cattle. In cattle with diminished allergic sensitivity, a higher dose of bovine tuberculin is needed and the volume of each injection dose must not exceed 0.2ml [1].

Cell mediated hypersensitivity, acquired through infection can be demonstrated systematically by fever or ophthalmically by conjunctivitis, or dermatologically by local swelling, when tuberculin test or its purified protein derivative (PPD) is given by the subcutaneous, conjunctival or intradermal route, respectively [15]. There are various types of tuberculin tests.

**Single Intradermal (SID) Test:** This test is applied by the intradermal injection of 0.1ml of bovine tuberculin PPD into a skin fold at the base of the tail or into the cervical fold and the subsequent detection of swelling as a result of delayed hypersensitivity. The reaction is read between 48 and 96 hours after injection with a preference for 48 - 72 hours for maximum sensitivity and at 96 hours for maximum specificity. The positive reaction constitutes a diffuse swelling at the site of injection [14, 15].

The main disadvantage of the SID test is its lack of specificity and the number of no-visible-lesion reactors (NVLs) which occur. Mammalian tuberculin is not sufficiently specific to differentiate between reactions due to infection with *M. bovis* and infection with *M. avium, M. tuberculosis* and *M. paratuberculosis* including vaccination or *Nocardia farcinicus*. The maximum permissible of NVL reactors is 10% and when this rate is exceeded, tests other than the SID test should be used [10, 14].

The other disadvantages of SID test include failure to detect cases of minimal sensitivity, in old cows and in cows which have recently calved [14, 16]; as well as in early infection, in some cattle in an unresponsive state, referred to as anergy which is developed due to antigen excess or immunosuppression which in turn caused by non specific factors such as malnutrition and stress [2, 15].

Comparative intra-dermal (CID) test: In this test, two sites on the mid neck, 10 - 12 cm apart, are shaved and the thickness is measured in millimeters with caliper before the injection of tuberculin [17]. In the CID test, 0.1ml of avian PPD and 0.1ml of bovine PPD are injected intradermally into separate clipped sites on the side of the neck. Care must be taken in placing the injection as varied from place to place in the skin [14]. After 72 hours the thickness of the skin at the sites is measured again [2].

When the change in skin thickness is greater at the avian PPD injection site, the result is considered negative for BTB. When the change in skin thickness increased at both sites, the difference between the two changes is considered. Thus, if the increased in the skin thickness at the injection site for the bovine (B) is greater than the increase in the skin thickness at the injection site at the avian (A) and (B – A), is less than 1mm, between 1 and 4 mm, or a 4 mm and above, the result is classified as negative, doubtful, or positive for bovine tuberculosis, respectively and the animal with the evidence of infection is termed as reactor [2, 17].

The comparative test is used to differentiate between animals infected with *M. bovis* and those responding to bovine tuberculin as a result of exposure to other mycobacteria. This sensitization can be attributed to the antigenic cross reactivity among mycobacterial species and related genera [1].

**Short Thermal Test:** Intradermal tuberculin (4ml) is injected subcutaneously into the neck of cattle which have a rectal temperature of not more than 39°C (102°F) at the time of injection and for 2 hours later. If the temperature at 4, 6 and 8 hours after injection rises above 40°C (104°F), the animal is classed as a positive reactor. The temperature peak is usually at 6 - 8 hours and is generally over 41 °C (105.8°F) [14].

**Stormont Test:** Stormont test is a more sensitive test than Short thermal test of tuberculosis in cattle. This test relies on the increased sensitivity of the test site, which occurs after a single injection [18]. The test is performed similarly to the SID test in the neck with a further injection at the same site 7 days later. An increase in the thickness of 5 mm or more, 24 hours after this second injection, is a positive result. The loss of sensitivity is probably due to the general immunological hyporeactivity that occurs associated with parturition [14].
Table 3: Comparison of tuberculin tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single intradermal test</td>
<td>Routine testing</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Prone to false positive and Poor sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparative intradermal test</td>
<td>When avian TB or Johne’s disease is prevalent</td>
<td>More specific than SID</td>
<td>More complex than SID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short thermal test</td>
<td>Used in postpartum animal’s and in infected animals</td>
<td>High efficiency</td>
<td>Time consuming and risk of anaphylaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormont test</td>
<td>Used in postpartum animal’s and in advanced cases</td>
<td>Very sensitive and accurate</td>
<td>Three visits required May sensitize an animal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Tizard [18].

**Blood Based Diagnostic Techniques:** Besides the classical intradermal tuberculin test, a number of blood tests have been used. Due to the cost and more complex natures of laboratory based assays they are usually used as ancillary tests to maximize the detection of infected animals (parallel testing), or to confirm or negate the result of an intradermal skin test (serial testing). There is also evidence that when an infected animal is skin tested, an enhanced blood test can occur during the following week. This allows for better separation of in-vitro blood test responses leading to greater test accuracy [1].

**Gamma Interferon Assays (Bovigam):** This **in vitro** assay is a laboratory based test detecting specific cell mediated immune responses by circulating lymphocytes. In this test, the release of the lymphokine gamma interferon (IFN-γ) is measured in a whole-blood culture system. The assay is based on the release of IFN-γ from sensitized lymphocytes during a 16 - 24 hours incubation period with specific antigen [19]. The test makes use of comparison of IFN-γ production following stimulation with avian and bovine PPD [1].

The detection of bovine IFN-γ is carried out with a sandwich ELISA that uses two monoclonal antibodies to bovine gamma-interferon [1, 19]. It is recommended that the blood samples be transported to the laboratory and the assay set up as soon as practical, but not later than the day after blood collection. Because of the IFN-γ test capability of detecting early infections, the use of both tests in parallel allows the detection of a greater number of infected animals before they become a source of infection for other animals as well as a source of contamination of the environment [20].

The use of defined mycobacterium antigens such as ESAT-6 and CFP-10 shows promise for improved specificity [20]. The use of these antigens may also offer the ability to differentiate BCG-vaccinated from unvaccinated animals. In animals that are difficult or dangerous to handle, such as excitable cattle or other bovidae, the advantage of the IFN-γ test over the skin test is that the animals need be captured only once. The IFN-γ test is used for serial testing (to enhance specificity) and parallel testing (to enhance sensitivity) [1].

The advantages of the IFN-γ assay are its increased sensitivity, the possibility of more rapid repeat testing and no need for a second visit to the farm and more objective test procedures. The limitations of IFN-γ comprise a reduced specificity, high logistical demands (culture start is required within 24 hours after blood sampling), an increased likelihood of non specific response in young animals (owing to natural killer (NK) cell activity) and its high cost [19, 20].

**Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays (ELISA):** The ELISA appears to be the most suitable of the antibody-detection tests and can be a complement, rather than an alternative, to test based on cellular immunity [1]. ELISA is a valuable complementary tool in order to identify possible anergic cows that may be acting as reservoirs of the agent [21]. An advantage of the ELISA is its simplicity, but sensitivity is limited mostly because of the late and irregular development of humoral immune response in cattle during the course of the disease. Specificity is also poor in cattle when complex antigens such as tuberculin or culture filtrates are used. *M. bovis* has been shown to be useful in increasing specificity in the ELISA. Improvement may be possible by using a combination of different antigens including proteins such as MPB 70 and MPB 83, which are specific but lack sensitivity [22].

Immune responses to MPB 70 and MPB 83, proteins derived from pathogenic strains of *M. bovis* culture filtrates, are both representative of a strong antigen-induced cell mediated immune response in the early stages of the tuberculosis infection. MPB 70 is the major secreted antigen of *M. bovis*, while MPB 83 is a cell wall lipoprotein [22]. The ELISA may also be useful for detecting *M. bovis* infections in wildlife. For example, a lateral flow-based rapid test (TB statpak) has been shown...
to be useful for detecting tuberculous animals, particularly some domestic animals, wildlife and zoo animals, where no cellular immunity tests like the gamma-interferon test are available and where skin testing has been proven unreliable. However, its sensitivity in cattle is relatively low [1].

**Lymphocyte Proliferation Assay:** This type of in-vitro assay compares the reactivity of peripheral blood lymphocytes to tuberculin PPD (PPD-B) and a PPD from mycobacterium avium (PPD-A). They can be performed on whole blood or purified lymphocytes from peripheral blood samples. This test endeavors to increase specificity of the assay by removing the response of lymphocytes to “non-specific” or cross-reactive antigens associated with non-pathogenic species of mycobacteria to which the animal may have been exposed. Resulted are usually analyzed as the value obtained in response to PPD-B minus the value obtained in response to PPD-A. The B - A value then be above a cut-off point that can be altered in order to maximize either specificity or sensitivity of the diagnosis. The assay has scientific value, but is not used for routine diagnosis because the test is time-consuming and the logistics and laboratory execution are complicated, meaning it requires long incubation times and the use of radio-active nucleotides. As with the IFN-γ test, the lymphocyte proliferation assay should be performed shortly after blood is collected. The test is relatively expensive and has not been subjected to inter-laboratory comparisons [21].

**Molecular Diagnostic Techniques**

**Polymerase chain reaction (PCR):** A PCR is a powerful tool that is used in a wide variety of diagnostic procedures. The PCR is used to detect the presence of genetic material (DNA) that is unique and specific to an organism of interest. PCR works by amplifying a portion of DNA that is specific for that organism. This product can be easily visualized using standard laboratory procedures. The PCR test is very sensitive and can detect the presence of an organism when present at very low levels [1].

PCR methods allow direct identification of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex and can detect less than 10 bacteria in a clinical specimen. PCR’s sensitivity ranges from 70 - 90% compared to the results of culture and its specificity varies between 90 and 95%. In smear of positive cases, the sensitivity of PCR is greater than 95%, but in smear of negative cases, it is only 50 to 60%. Therefore, at present amplification methods should not replace diagnostic convectional culture [5].

For the diagnosis of bovine tuberculosis, PCR is used to identify M. bovis in tissue collected at necropsy from animals suspected of being infected with bovine tuberculosis. PCR is the only used on tissue that have histological (microscopic) evidence compatible with bovine tuberculosis. The result can typically be obtained within 7 days and are classified as either positive or negative. A positive test obtained on PCR is highly suggestive that the animal is infected with bovine tuberculosis. PCR has been widely evaluated for the detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTC) in clinical samples, mainly sputum in human patients and has recently been used for the diagnosis of tuberculosis in animals [24].

**Spoligotyping:** Spoligotyping also called spacer oligonucleotides typing is a novel or new method for simultaneously detection and typing of mycobacterium tuberculosis complex bacteria, has been recently developed. This method is based on polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of highly polymorphic direct repeat (DR) locus in the M. tuberculosis genome. The DR region in M. bovis BCG contains direct repeat sequences of 36 bp, which is interspersed by the non-repetitive DNA spacers of 35 – 41 bp in length. Other MTC strains contain one or more IS6110 elements in DR-region [5].

Spoligotyping applied to culture is simple, robust and highly reproducible [25]. Results can be obtained from a M. tuberculosis culture within one day. Thus the clinical usefulness of spoligotyping is determined by its rapidity, both in detecting causative bacteria and in providing epidemiologic information on strain identities. It can also be useful for identification of outbreak and can facilitate contact tracing of tuberculosis. PCR based methods are available as diagnostic and confirmatory test for tuberculosis and are expected to detect as low as 1 to 10 organisms [5, 24].

Implementation of such a method in a clinic setting would be useful in surveillance of tuberculosis transmission and intervention to prevent further spread of this disease [24]. The specificity and sensitivity of this technique has been found to be 98 and 96%, respectively with the clinical samples [5]. One of the clearest advantages of Spoligotyping over IS6110 RFLP typing is that, in principle, spoligotyping can be used simultaneously for the detection and typing of MTC bacteria in one assay and requires viable organisms [25].

**Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP):** It is considered as a gold standard for the molecular typing of *M. tuberculosis* due to its high discriminative
power and reproducibility. It can also be used for outbreaks identification and can facilitate contact tracing of tuberculosis [5]. However, this technique requires large amount of DNA and is therefore restricted to the mycobacterial cultures which take around 20 to 40 days to obtain sufficient DNA needed and for the combined process of probe labeling, DNA fragmentation, electrophoresis, blotting, hybridization, washing and auto-radiograph. Moreover, this technique is also technically demanding, slow, cumbersome, expensive and requires sophisticated analysis soft ware for result analysis [5, 9].

CONCLUSION

Despite all the efforts to control BTB, the disease persists with the serious implications for human health and the economy, particularly in the context of global trade. The current available skin tests, when correctly conducted, provide satisfactory results. Nevertheless, in order to improve the control of disease, complementary tests may be required, particularly in the final stages of eradication programs, when the occurrence of reactive animals to skin tests is higher. The existence of anergic animals is also a challenge for the diagnosis and control of the disease. Due to the particular and complex characteristics of BTB, there is a growing perception that no single method by itself is sufficient for detecting all the reactive animals in every stage of infection. Therefore, multidisciplinary approaches must be conducted, using various categories of currently available methods. In a modern approach for diagnosis and control of BTB, bacteriological, molecular, histological and immune assays must be employed, considering the indication, advantages and disadvantages, of each method. Based on the above conclusions, the following recommendations are forwarded:

- We have to use different types of diagnostic techniques of BTB.
- The ministry of agriculture should have to widen the availability and accessibility of these diagnostic techniques as much as possible.
- Detail research should be done on these diagnostic techniques.
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