Moderated Proportion to Alleviate Perceived Stress in Software Context: An Empirical Study
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Abstract: Occupational stress can occur once there is an inconsistency between the strains of the environment/workplace associate degreed an individual’s ability to carry out and complete these demands. Several Stress researchers have known variety of tempering factors that may scale back or eliminate the negative effects of occupational stress. Further studies revealed that computer program and social support scale back negative impact of occupational stress. Providing necessary resources and fixing cheap demands depends upon the skill discretion of a persona at first, preserve to avoid some style of depression and alternative negative impacts within the task. Theoretical method resting on exposed and measured phenomena which derive data from actual expertise instead of from theory or belief. The proposed framework focuses on moderator toward reducing negative impact on work place stress of software professionals. Our model states work resources and skill variety is inversely proportional, where as work demand is directly proportional to occupational stress. Its significance prevails on predicting the degree of relationship between work resources, work demand and skill variety with work place stress. Consequently this point is said to be the peak point of providing resource for an individual. Consolidated degree of relationships between considered variables within the scope of occupational stress is said the moderators for satisfaction.
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INTRODUCTION

Occupational stress is stress that generate in operating surroundings. Stress is draw spherical in terms of its physical and physiological effects and may be a mental and emotional strain on an individual. Occupational stress is often outlined because the harmful physical and emotional responses that occur once the necessities of the work don't match the capabilities, resources, or wants of the employee [1]. It can occur once there is a inconsistency between the strain of the environment/workplace associate degreed an individual’s ability to carry out and complete these demands like isolation, thorough hours worked, cyan genetic work environments, lack of autonomy, robust relationships among coworkers and management, management harassment and lack of opportunities or motivation to advancement in one’s talent level. This job discontentment brings a gradual prove all told sectors that mirror in output significantly in package business. During this state of affairs, a moderator is necessary to scale back the strain level of stressors, therefore it brings job satisfaction. Several Stress researchers have known variety of tempering factors that may scale back or eliminate the negative effects of occupational stress. The foremost systematically known moderators of occupational stress include: capability of a private to tackle a scenario [2] the emotional temperament, [3] dominant intensity [44] and social support [4]. [5] Studies support that workers job are classes as high demand, low management and low social support/isolation. It’s additionally strengthened by [6-8] that computer program and social support scale back negative impact of occupational stress. Additionally to this, current sure moderators area unit necessary to manage role overload, role conflict and role ambiguity of high level managers.
than others [9]. Despite the fact that several analysis studies has evidenced this, [10] well explained in job demand resource model regarding work scenario because the most significant character that comprise factors like job autonomy, channel of providing information and performance feedback. Each individual perception might vary in numerous scenarios within the operating surroundings additionally in their demand of resources to finish the task. Providing necessary tools trade off their work stress in absence of this forms job discontentment. On the opposite hand depression includes a robust relation with call authority than other dimensions of the demand-control model. The demand-control model has contributed to the study of occupational stress by providing a theoretical framework of [11] explains a private psycho-socio characteristic of the work surroundings and health outcomes. Call latitude and psychological demand produce stress for a private and leads severe unhealthiness and alter in behavioral activities [12]. Therefore demand for a private are often decreased to sure extent therefore it reduces stress that brings a stronger output of the organization. Most of the organization provides stress intervention program for the workers in secondary level [13] it’s higher to concentrate the primary level intervention too. Providing necessary resources and fixing cheap demands depends upon the skill discretion of an individual at first, can avoid some style of depression and alternative negative impacts within the task. Therefore sure trade off brings victimization to the organization.

**Literature Review:** Work resources, work demand and skill discretion has major impact on stress that results in job discontent. Job resources like social support, performance feedback and autonomy might instigate a psychological feature method resulting in job-related learning, work engagement and structure commitment. Job resources even be situated at the amount of the organization at massive (e.g. pay, career opportunities, job security), the social and social relations (e.g. supervisor and fellow worker support, team climate), the organization of labor (e.g. role clarity, participation in call making) and at the amount of the task (e.g. skill selection, task identity, task significance, autonomy, performance feedback), whereas job demands like a high work pressure, emotional demands and role ambiguity might lead sleeping problems, exhaustion and impaired health [10]. Precisely, [14] found that organizational resources foretold work-related flow, which, in turn, foretold future organizational resources. In its simplest kind, a BPO contains a chief executive officer, who is being aided by one or two vice presidents; managers and team leaders square measure reportage to vice presidents, whereas team leaders are given method specific assignments, the managers square measure given generic assignments, that additionally includes watching of different processes [15]. Globally, the character of labor is ever-changing quickly [16, 17]. The resultant work atmosphere is set a lot of by economic imperatives and cost/benefit market-based approaches than by a thought of the human implications of those changes [18]. One theory, the Demand-Control Model (DCM), pays attention to the human consider the work atmosphere and conceptualizes the work atmosphere as strictly one in all human construction, capable of amendment to associate optimum active learning atmosphere [19, 20, 11]. This model theorizes that vary of management over one’s environmental state of affairs could be a crucial dimension in decisive health on the one hand and active behavior/learning on the opposite. [18] additionally to the present, organizations have considerably raised their use of catching in info systems (IS), hiring contractors to figure with permanent professionals. The catching of data services has become a necessary strategy for organizations in light-weight of company economy and restructuring, volatile and competitive environments and speedy advances in info technology [21-23 and 24]. Specially, the motivation to contract for info systems (IS) development is highlighted for firms endeavor to satisfy sky rocketing demand for brand new package applications within the Internet-enabled economy. several firms have needed IS contractors to sign formal legal documents to guard confidential structure info associated to mandate the transfer of essential information to permanent workers once an assignment is completed. Capturing IS knowledge by certain means provides the basis for justification, learning and re-uses of the knowledge for further decisions [25]. Management over specific tasks (i.e., task autonomy) is also useful in reducing the stressfulness of task-related stressors like work, however it'll not have an effect on the stressfulness of unrelated stressors like social conflict [26]. Work autonomy was measured with the Factual Autonomy Scale [27] that was developed with the target of providing things that square measure factual in nature and proof against factional bias. One in all the
The foremost shocking finding was the failure of the info to support the predicted palliative role of computer program (autonomy), notably within the relations between task-related stressors (constraints and injustice) and task-related (organizational) CWB (counterproductive work behavior) the event of emotional autonomy involves loss and consequently distress [28]. Job satisfaction, that was a lot of on top of the center of the duty satisfaction scale, didn't appear to be related to meeting schedule or value goals of the organization [29]. The results looked as if it would trust [30, 31 and 32] in that these artistic package developers where achievement-oriented and in and of it driven. Information sharing in a very cooperative environment has been found to completely have an effect on innovation performance [33, 34], as it facilitates downside resolution and reduces the unskillfulness of re-inventing already existing solutions. Work engagement is associate effective-motivational, work-related state of fulfillment in workers that's characterized by vigor, dedication and absorption [35]. Analysis has shown that the construct of labor engagement are often faithfully measured [36], which it are often discriminated from connected ideas like compulsivity [37], job involvement and structure commitment [38]. Significantly, recent studies have indicated that engagement connected completely to client satisfaction [14], in-role performance [39] and monetary returns [40]. Empirical studies have shown that job resources square measure vital correlates of engagement [41, 42] see for a meta-analysis, [43], notably beneath conditions of high job demands [44]. Additionally, recent studies have in contestable that many personal resources like self-efficacy and organization-based vanity square measure associated with work engagement [41, 45]. Previous cross-sectional studies [46, 42 and 45] have so shown that many job resources like autonomy, social support, superordinate employment, performance feedback and opportunities for skilled development connected completely to figure engagement. [47] study reveals that the components which are related to role conflict create burn out in work stress leads to job dissatisfaction for an individual, whereas social support in work environment act as moderating and intervening factors for the same. Thus moderators are considering as essential tool to reduce the stress level of stressors.

Conceptualization: The framework focuses on moderator to reduce negative impact on work place stress. The study mainly concentrate on work resources, work demand and skill variety of an individual to complete a specific task in his work environment. It is often reduced by implementing a moderator, moderator for satisfaction [48]. Many models reveal that an individual perception can be differ with change in work resources, demand and other environmental factor, our model ‘MFS’ (Moderators For Satisfaction) states work resources and skill variety are inversely proportional, where as work demand is directly proportional to occupational stress. Also it predicts the degree of relationship between work resources, work demand and skill variety with work place stress. In addition, the relationships of these aspects are proved in survey. It is also notable that, availability of excess resources can affect economic growth of the organization, also reduce career opportunity and job insecurity of an individual which increase the stress level. Similarly an individual with good knowledge in a specific task have and reduces the unskillfulness of re-inventing already existing solutions. Work engagement is associate effective-motivational, work-related state of fulfillment a distress for an individual [49]. Thus work resources, work demand and skill discretion is kept in certain proportion to reduce the stress level of stressors.

A sample moderator chart shown in figure 1 explains the relationship between work resources and occupational stress. Work resources is plotted in X axis and occupational stress in Y axis. The chart shows that whenever change occurs in work resources a proportionate change occurs in occupational stress. In this model, occupational stress negatively correlate with work resources, that means occupational stress decreases with increase in work resources and vice versa. At a certain point, even though the work resources increase (availability excess resources), occupational stress start to move in upward direction, i.e. it stars to move in the same direction of work resources [50-52]. Therefore this point is said to be the peak point of providing resource for an individual. Similarly the same type of reflection will occur for skill variety and work demand, when the skill level exceeds and lack for an individual demand occurs. Thus this degree of relationships between the variables with occupational stress is said as moderators for satisfaction.

A sample model is shown in figure 2 The stressful job is given as input and the manipulated result or output thus obtain depends on moderators such as individual factors like perception, skill desertion, information, autonomy and situational factors like work resources, work demand, working environment which also act as stress management intervention. Thus for every stressful work it requires to provide necessary tools which act as moderator and give the maximum output for the same.
METHOD: It is an empirical study based on survey method. Empirical research is predicated on exposed and measured phenomena which derive data from actual expertise instead of from theory or belief. The present study concentrates on work place stress of software employees working in Techno Park, Trivandrum. A pilot study was conducted on a small group of people from the population under study by face to face interaction. The aim of pilot studies is to explore certain issues before undertaking a large-scale study. Certain aspects of the large-scale study can be tested out in a pilot study. This may involve testing feasibility in practice or improving the methodological quality of parts of the study. Pilot studies also help to identify potential practical problems in the research process. With the help of pilot study, a structured questionnaire was prepared with five point likert scale (indicate strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree and strongly disagree) consisting of questions related to factors causing work place stress to the employees. The questionnaire was distributed to 425 employees in techno park working in various designations and the data was collected from 360 respondents based on simple random sampling technique by giving due representation to all categories of variables under study. Simple random sampling technique is a type of probability sampling in which each and every respondent in the population has equal chance for selection. Analysis has done with the help of statistical tools such as Karl Pearson correlation coefficient and linear regression using statistical package for the social science and it is discussed in the analysis section.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Correlation Analysis: Correlation analysis is a statistical tool used to measure the degree of relationship between two variables which are linearly related to each other. Similarly it shows cause and effect relationship between two variables. The value of correlation lies between-1 to +1. The result of correlation analysis shows the degree of relationship between work resources, work demand and skill variety in work place stress.

The table 1 indicates cause and effect relationship (correlation) between work resources and occupational stress for samples size N=360. Reporting, Environment, Information, Autonomy and System are considered as variables for work resources. The correlation coefficient value (r) for work resources and occupational stress is- 0.429 (negative correlation). It indicates work
Table 1: Correlation between Occupational stress and Work Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Occupational Stress</th>
<th>Work resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Stress</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>-.429**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>N 360 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work resource</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>-.429**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>N 360 360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 2: Correlation between occupational stress and Work Demand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Occupational Stress</th>
<th>Work Demand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Stress</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.700**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>N 360 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Demand</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.700**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>N 360 360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 3: Correlation between occupational stress and skill variety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Occupational Stress</th>
<th>Skill variety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Stress</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>-.797**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>N 360 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skill variety</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>-.797**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>N 360 360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

resources and workplace stress are inversely proportional to each other. Therefore occupational stress increases with decrease in work resources and it is statistically significant, indicated by p value as 0.000 (p < 0.05). Table 2 shows degree of relationship (correlation) between work demand and occupational stress. Work demand such as output efficiency, task variety, work engagement, adaptive capability and completion of target are taken in to account. The coefficient correlation (r) between the two variables is +0.700. This indicates work demand and occupational stress are positively correlated with each other. Therefore whenever work demand is high, occupational stress also be in peak level and it is statistically significant indicated by p value as 0.000 (p < 0.05). Table 3 shows the relationship between occupational stress and skill variety. Technical skill, communication skill, conceptual skill, managerial skill and information retrieval skill are the five different skills considered for the study. The correlation value (r) for occupational stress and skill variety is -0.797 which is negatively correlated with each other. It indicates occupational stress increases with decrease in skill variety and it is also statistically significant, which has been proved by p value less than 0.05 i.e. 0.000.

From the analysis, we come to a conclusion that work resources and skill variety are negatively correlated with occupational stress whereas work demand is positively correlated with occupational stress. In order to reduce the stress level resource allocation should be maintain properly to the employees, also training should provide to enhance their ability (skill) as well as target to be fixed in an appropriate manner. Thus it reduces negative impact of work place stress and provides job satisfaction in work.

Regression Analysis

Regression Analysis identifies the nature of relationship between dependent and independent variables, also explain the variations in one variable called dependent variable by a set of independent variables. It helps to predict the change occur in one variable to that of proportionate change in another variable.

The study mainly focus on occupational stress level of software employees say dependent variable to that of independent variables such as work resource, work demand and skill variety. Table 4 displays R, R² Adjusted R² and Standard error and the values are 0.939, 0.882, 0.881 and 0.242 respectively. R indicates the correlation coefficient between the variables, whereas the values should lies between-1 to +1. The value of R is 0.939. The sign of R indicates the direction of relationship between the variables (positive or negative). The R value shows proof that variables are highly positive correlated with occupational stress. R² value shows the strength of the variables. R² value is 0.882 i.e., 88.2% which explains 88.2% of the occupational stress can be formed by work resource, work demand and skill variety. The remaining 11.8% are by other factors which are not taken in to account. Models with too many variables are often over fit and hard to interpret. Adjusted R² attempts to correct R² to more closely reflect the goodness of fit of the model in the population.
Table 4: Model Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.939a</td>
<td>.882</td>
<td>.881</td>
<td>.242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), Skill variety, Work resource, Work Demand

Table 5: ANOVA Model Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.939a</td>
<td>.882</td>
<td>.881</td>
<td>.242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), Skill variety, Work resource, Work Demand

Table 6: Coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (Constant)</td>
<td>4.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work resource</td>
<td>-.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Demand</td>
<td>.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skill variety</td>
<td>-.465</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: Occupational Stress

Table 5 summarizes the result of regression ANOVA. The sum of squares, degrees of freedom and mean square are displayed for two sources of variation namely residual and regression and are statistically significant which is proven by p-value as 0.000 (less than 0.05). The output for regression displays information about the variation accounted in the model, whereas residual displays information about variation that are not accounted in the model. The algebraic sum of both gives total output. A model with large regression sum of squares in comparison to the residual sum of squares indicates that the model accounts for most of the variation in the dependent variable. Very high residual sum of squares with regression fails to explain the variation in the dependent variable. In this model variation found in dependent variable is explained clearly, since the value of regression sum of squares (155.611) is greater than residual sum of square i.e., 20.789. Also p-value is 0.000, statistically well significant indicates that independent variable did a good job for explaining the variation in occupational stress i.e., dependent variable.

Table 6 shows the regression coefficient. The unstandardized and standardized Coefficient are been calculated through it. The standardized Coefficient or betas are an attempt to make the regression coefficient more comparable as often in the independent variable are measured in different units. The unstandardized coefficients show the results of the variables. The regression coefficient table clearly states that occupational stress can be formed by work resource, work demand and skill variety or work resource, work demand and skill variety are the variables which influence occupational stress. Work resource and skill variety are negatively correlated with occupational stress as it can easily identified from the sign which shows the direction as well as from the value. It indicates when work resource and skill variety are increased, occupational stress decreased and vice versa. Similarly, Work demand is positively correlated with occupational stress. Therefore occupational stress increases with in work demand. The values of work resource, work demand and skill variety are -0.507, +0.613 and -0.465 are statistically significant, since the p-value is 0.000 which is less than 0.05. It implies that the observed phenomenon applies to the population under study.

The upshot proportion is,

Occupational stress can be formed by work resource, work demand and skill variety. From the analysis it is observed that,

- Occupational stress = F (work resource, work demand and skill variety)
- Occupational stress = F (4.324-0.507 work resource +0.613 work demand -0.465 skill variety)

The above formulation helps to predict the maximum proportionate change in the value of work resources, work demand and skill variety which create estruses and also it find out the point at which it turns to distress.

CONCLUSION

Occupational stress results in inconsistency of an individual to meet the demand that can vary from person to person, depend on their perception and the way in which handling the situations. It affects psychological and behavioral nature of an individual which directly reflects in their outcome.
increases the perceived stress level of individual employees. By providing necessary optimum resources for an individual for the task with equal level of demand could vary perceived job stress. Skill variety with work demand and availability of resources act as moderator (MFS) which reduce existing stress level of stressors. This sort of stress management intervention brings a trade off in work place stress. On the other side, availability of excess work resources and skill variety with low work demand also shows impact negatively on occupational stress. Our model Moderator for satisfaction helps to find the degree of relationship between the work demand, work resources and skill variety with work place stress. Hereby we conclude that by tweaking stress inflicting factors (work resources, work demand and skill variety) in a certain proportion will cut back stress level of individual employees, thus it brings job satisfaction.
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