

Interaction Effect of Plant Densities and Nitrogen Fertilizer on Durum Wheat (CV. Seymareh) Growth

¹Mehdi Panahyan-e-Kivi, ²Roghayyeh Zabih-e-Mahmoodabad,
²Shahzad Jamaati-e-Somarin and ²Majid Khayatnezhad

¹Payame Noor University, Ardabil, Iran

²Young Researchers Club, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil Branch, Ardabil, Iran

Abstract: In order to study Interaction effect of plant densities and nitrogen fertilizer on durum wheat (Cv. Seymareh) growth, a split plot experiment based on randomized complete block design with three replications was conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil branch, Iran. Main plot included three densities (300, 350 and 400 seed m⁻²) and sub plots contained four nitrogen levels (0, 70, 140 and 210 kg ha⁻¹ N). Results showed that with increasing nitrogen levels, length of vegetative growth period increased and dry matter remobilization in to grain and its impact of grain yield was decreased. Also, the length generative growth and ripening period and grain yield were obtained using 140 kg ha⁻¹ N and excess rates, decreased grain yield. With increasing plant density, all measured traits were increased. As results to increase remobilization and grain yield, prevent of environmental pollution and decrease in fertilizer application as excess cost, application of 140 kg ha⁻¹ N in 400 plant m⁻² densities, is recommended.

Key words: Growth • Remobilization • Plant density • Nitrogen and durum wheat

INTRODUCTION

Growth consists of timing study of biological events, instruments and biological and non - biological causes of this timing and evaluation of them [1]. Wheat reaction to density a more than row plants. In cereals, low rate of seed is produced due to high competition for light and water in higher densities. Amount of N distribution is effective on rate and assignment of it inside the plant [2]. High soil fertility or increase in N application increased plant growth and consequently, seed yield in maize [3]. Plant density has the crucial impact on the length of different phenological stages in maize [4]. With increasing plant density, delay in flowering, earing and decrease in reproductive period length in deserved [5]. Lang *et al.* [6] reported decrease in infertile plants number with increasing N and decreasing plant density. Rudha *et al.* [7] found that increase in N application resulted in significantly decrease in the required time to flowering. Density can influence the leaves and shoots by impacting on the nutrient elements, waters solar radiation and plant phenological stages. Permanent cool-season grasses and small-seed cereals produce new complete leaves each 6 to 10 days of experience optimal

environmental conditions while this time reaches 4 to 6 days in maize and warm-season cereals [2]. Low temperatures may postpone vegetative and flowering period lengths via increase in the required time to leaf emerge and hence, limit the availability of nutrients for plant [8, 9].

Movement of photosynthetically substances from the sources to sinks or usage places depends on both the production of these matters and sinks capacity that may result in the yield loss if there is no balance between them. While source potential for assimilates decreases, share of the components moving again to the seeds, in creases [10]. All vegetative organs may refer to as sink, at least in part of their growth period reserving photosynthetic substances. As there is close relation between photosynthetic are a and amount of reserved matters in plant, so, changes in the environmental conditions which affect photosynthesis, may influence making and replacement of the soluble carbohydrates. For example, if producing photosynthetic matters restricted due to high density and shading, remobilization of stem reserves increases to compensate for photosynthesis decline [11]. Uhart and Andrade [12] suggested that decrease in soluble carbohydrates remobilization as a

result of, shading, may be attributable to growth decrease and lower physiological demand for assimilates. Part of seed carbohydrates of maize is supplied via remobilization from stem [13]. Main sources for carbon in plants include current photosynthesis in leaves and other green organs such as stems, spikes and awns. Also, this involves remobilization of stored matters in vegetative parts prior to anthesis [14]. Przulj and Momcilovic [15] showed in an experiment on barley that among plants, some cultivars under optimal conditions, lost a large amount of their reserve substances stored in vegetative parts from flowering to ripening indicating that substantial part of dry matter prior to flowering, is assigned to other sinks except seeds. Also, those cultivars assign more matters reserved before flowering, caused lower yields, however, correlation between transferred dry matter and seed yields, however, correlation between transferred dry matter and seed yield was significant.

The aim of this work was to evaluate effect of plant densities and nitrogen fertilizer on durum wheat (Cv. Seymareh) growth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In order to investigate the influence of interaction effect between plant densities and nitrogen fertilizer on durum wheat (Cv. Seymareh) growth, a split plot experiment based on randomized complete block design with three replications was conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil branch, Iran in 2009-2010. Main plot included three densities (300, 350 and 400 seed m⁻²) and sub plots contained four nitrogen levels (0, 70, 140 and 210 kg ha⁻¹ N). To determine chemical and physiological traits of the soil of the site, sampling was carried out from the depth of 0-30 cm.

After surface sterilization, seeds were planted at the depth of 3-4 cm a rows with a distance of 15-20 cm apart. Sub-plots included 10 growing rows each 4 meters at which; different densities were adjusted by changing distances between the seeds. The first irrigation was applied after planting and the rest, depending on the environmental circumstances and plant requires weed control was done mechanically and chemically. One third of N was applied pre-plant and the rest as top dress in spring at stem stage [16]. To evaluate appearance dates of some organs, recounting were done as follows [17]:

Length of the vegetative period: from planting to 50% flowering.

Length of the reproductive period: from flowering to physiological ripening.

Physiological ripening: from planting to being set plants yellowish.

To evaluate the rate of remobilization and share of it in seed yield, amount of transferring of dry matters to the seeds from pre-earring to physiological ripening stages was measured. So that, at pre-earring, in main rows of each plot, some alike plants were marked and from earring to physiological ripening, three plants in three or for day intervals were taken from each plot.

Harvested plants then separated to stem leaf and root and after drying (in oven at 75.c for 72 hour more to constant weight) were weighed and eventually, dry matter remobilization and other traits, were calculated [18-20]. In following equations, respiratory drop has not been considered and supposed that respiration in this work is same for the environmental conditions. Ehdai and Wanies [21] have accepted such suggestion in evaluation of genetic variation and dry matter remobilization in wheat.

$$M D_m A_p H - D_m A_{p(ES)} = D_m R_{(S)} P_r$$

Where, M = maximum, D_m = Dry matter, A_p = Aerial parts, H = Harvest Ap (ES) = Aerial part except seed, R(S) = Remobilization to seed, Pr = physiological ripening.

Remobilization (seed yield) = Dry matter transferring to seed / seed yield*100

At the end of plant growth, while they ripened completely, crop of the 1.5 m⁻² from each plot was clipped from the soil level, placed in the bags and transferred to the laboratory for yield measurement.

Data were subjected to analysis by SAS, graphs were drawn in Excel and mean comparisons were done using Duncan's multiple range test software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Grain Yield: Results showed that there was significant (P<0.01) difference between N levels and interaction of N levels*plant densities on grain yield. Plant density did not show significant effect (Tab 1), however, the highest and lowest grain yield was obtained from densities of 400 and 300 seed m⁻², respectively. With increasing N levels grain yield was increased so that the highest yield was observed using 140 kg ha⁻¹ N, while, other N levels statistically placed in the same group and increase in N amount more than 140 kg ha⁻¹, led to yield loss with increasing N amount up to 140 kg ha⁻¹ along with the plant density, the highest seed yield was achieved.

Hokmalipour [24], Hokmalipour *et al.* [25] and Jamaati-e-Somarin [23, 30] reported the same results. For the interaction effects it was found that in treatment of 140 kg ka⁻¹ N in density of 400 seed m⁻², the highest seed yield was resulted (Fig 6). Mazaheri [31] reported that increase in N application leads to yield increase. Cuomo *et al.* [31] found that with increasing plant density increased grain yield. Hamidi and Dabbagh Mohammadinasab [33] illustrated that in higher densities, anthesis and tassel initiation take place in a long time from each other in maize and consequently, number of produced grains per plant is decreased due to lower pollination but this decrease is compensated for the higher number of plants per unit area. Mengel [34] described that the first effect of N application in the field, increased the area and number of leaves per plant. Increasing N application increased number of mature leaves, resulted in an increase in photosynthesis which increased relative to respiration and hence, yield was increased, while in excess rates, over the optimal range, expanding of the vegetative parts highly occurs. Therefore number of leaves placed in the shadow is increased, photosynthesis to respiration ration decreased, loss assimilates transferred to the seeds and more matters consumed by these leaves [17].

Length of the Vegetation Growth Period: Length of the vegetative growth period was affected by plant density, N levels and interaction between N levels and plant density (Tab 1). Results showed that there was significant (P<0.01) difference between N levels, plant densities and their interaction on the length of the vegetative growth period. The highest and lowest rates were observed in 400 and 300 seed m⁻², respectively. As a result of N increment, this period was increased so that the highest rate was achieved using 210 kg N ha⁻¹. With increasing N rates and plant density, this period was prolonged. Based on the graph resulted for the interaction effect, treatment of 400 seed m⁻² with no N (Control) resulted the lowest period (Fig. 1). Excess rates of N by enhancing growth of aerial parts, prolongs this period [22]. Also, Jamaati-e-Somarin *et al.* [23] reported the same results, while Lang *et al.* [6] found that as a result of each plant more than optimal density, vegetative growth. Period delayed one day than normal which is in accordance with our results.

Length of the Reproductive Growth Period: Results showed that N levels and interaction between N levels and plant densities had significant (p<0.01) effect on length of the reproductive growth period (Tab 1).




Fig. 1: Length of the vegetative growth period as affected by N and plant density




Fig. 2: Length of the reproductive growth period as affected by N and plant density

Plant density did not show such effect. It was observed that using N up to 140 kg ha⁻¹, increased this period but beyond this amount, reproductive growth period was decreased. The shortest time for this trait was achieved in control. In the treatment of 300 seed m⁻² and control, the lowest and in the 400 seed m⁻² and 140 kg ha⁻¹ N, the highest period length was observed (Fig. 2). As with the findings of the researchers about decreasing reproductive growth period as a result of higher densities, it seems that in lower densities, branches tend to grow higher and consequently, amount of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation is increased and in turn, resulted in the enhancement of photosynthetically efficiency. Eventually, this leads to the fast flowering [6]. Since reproductive period is initiated with flowering, it seems that with increasing plant density, length of the reproductive growth period would increase. Jamaati-e-Somarin *et al.* [23] reported the same findings, as well.

Length of the Ripening Periods: Only plant density and interaction between N levels and plant densities were significant (p<0.01) for the length of the ripening period (Tab 1) and there and no significant difference for N rates increase in plant density prolonged this period so that, plant densities of 300 and 400 seed m⁻² resulted in the shortest and longest period. For interaction effect

Table 1: Analysis of variance of N levels and plant densities on some traits

Source of Variation	df	MS					
		Vegetative growth period	Reproductive growth period	Ripening period	dry matter remobilization to grain	Share of dry matter remobilization in grain yield	Grain yield per area
Replication	2	47.44**	73.36**	33.25**	1619.87ns	28.64ns	41147.35**
Density (D)	2	82.02**	11.19ns	96.33**	23882.98**	360.96**	702.56ns
Error	4	13.19	5.61	11.58	800.67	20.75	3292.25
Nitrogen (N)	3	73.03**	54.62**	2.10ns	13524.66**	118.07*	45702.94**
D × N	6	46.06**	30.00**	71.29**	17793.23**	323.26**	94605.02**
Error	18	4.94	2.60	5.39	2568.14	38.02	4239.26
CV%	-	1.21	2.69	5.39	27.72	29.12	7.40

Ns, *, **, non significant and significant in 5 and 1% level, respectively




Fig. 3: Length of the ripening period as affected by N and plant density




Fig. 5: Share of dry matter remobilization in grain yield as affected by N and plant density.




Fig. 4: Rate of dry matter remobilization into grain as affected by N and plant density

it was observed that with increasing plant density and N levels, this period was prolonged so that, by application of 140 kg ha⁻¹ N and 400 seed m⁻² the longest and without N (Control) and application and density of 300 seed m⁻², the lowest period was gained (Fig. 3). Increase in N application increased plant growth and consequently, delayed plant ripening. These finding is in accordance with Jamaati-e-Somarin *et al.* [23].

Rate of Dry Matter Remobilization into Seed: Results revealed that there was significant (P<0.01) differences among N levels, plant densities and their interaction for

the rate of dry matter remobilization in to seed (Table 1). Mean comparisons showed that the rate was increased with increasing plant density and decreased with increasing N application. Hokmalipour [24] and Hokmalipour *et al.* [25] reported that the increase and decrease in this trait with increasing plant density and N application, respectively. Treatment of 400 seed m⁻² and no N application had the highest amount and in higher rates of N along with decreasing plant density, it was declined, remarkably (Fig. 4). The same results also reported by Schussler and Westgate [26]. Jones and Simmous [27] believed that remobilization was increased if plant density, shading and demand for sink is incensed in this work, likely high density has been led to the higher dry matter remobilization due to shading and increase in competition within the plants.

Share of Dry Matter Remobilization in Seed Yield: Plant density and interaction between N levels and plant densities significantly (P < 0.1) affected share of dry matter remobilization in seed yield also, effect of N application was significant (P<0.05) on this traits (Table 1). Dry matter remobilization reached from 15.39% in density of 300 seed m⁻² to 2630% in density of




Fig. 6: Grain yield as affected by N and plant density.

400 seed m⁻². Such trend was observed by decreasing N application. For the interaction effect, the highest value was gained without N application in the highest density of 36.17%. With increasing N levels and decreasing plant densities, decline in this trait was observed (Fig. 5). Hokmalipour [24] and Hokmalipour *et al.* [24] reported the same results. Reserved matters prior to flowering contributed to seed filling up to 90% with an average of 20 to 40% [28]. Yoshida [29] reported this value up to 50%.

REFERENCES

- Lieth, H., 1974. Purpose of Penology Book in Penology and Seasonality Modeling. Springer-Verlag, New York. pp: 230.
- Koochaki, A. Sarmdnia, Gh., 2001. Crop Physiology. Translation. Printing Ninth Jahad Daneshgahi Mashhad Press. pp: 426.
- Sarmadnia, Gh. and A. Kochaki, 1995. Physiological Aspects of Dryland Farming. Jahad Daneshgahi Mashhad Press. pp: 424.
- Early, E.B., W.D. McIlrath, R.D. Seif and R.H. Hageman, 1967. Effects of shade applied at different stages of plant development on corn (*Zea mays* L.) Production. *Crop. Sci.*, 7: 151-1.
- Daynard, T.B. and J.F. Muldoon, 1983. Plant to plant variability of maize plants grown at different densities. *Canadian J. Plant Sci.*, 63: 45-59.
- Lang, A.L., J. Pendleton and G.H. Dungan, 1986. Influence of population and nitrogen levels on yield and protein and oil content of nine corn hybrids. *Agronomy J.*, 48: 284-289.
- Rudha, M.S. Al. and A.H. Al-younis, 1978. The effect of row-spacing and nitrogen levels on yield, yield components and quality of maize (*Zea mays* L.) *Iraqi J. Agri. Sci.*, 13: 235-252. In: *Field Crops Abstracts*, 1981, 34(1): 51.
- Williams, M.C.D.A., D.R. Berglund and G.J. Endres, 1999. *Corn Growth and Management Quick Guide*. North Dakota State University and University of Minnesota. NDSU, A-1173.
- Hill, J.H., 2007. *How a Corn Plant Develops*. Iowa State University of Science and Technology. Cooperative Extension Service Ames. Iowa, 641: 923-2856.
- Barzegari, M. and K. Postini, 1998. Effect of changing source-sink on some physiological traits and corn farming. Fifth Congress of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Isfahan University of Technology.
- Hashemi Dezfui, A. and A. Marashi, 1994. Changes of photosynthetic material in flowering time and its effect on grain growth, yield and yield components of wheat. *J. Agri. Industry*, 9(1): 16- 32.
- Uhart, S.A. and F.H. Andrade, 1995. Nitrogen defoliation in maize. I: Effect on crop growth development, dry matter partitioning and kernel set. *Crop. Sci.*, 35: 1376-1383.
- Mc Cullough, D.E., P.H. Girardin, M. Mihajlovic and M. Tollenaar, 1994. Influence of N supply on development and dry matter accumulation of an old and a new maize hybrid. *Canadian. J. Plant Sci.*, 74: 471-477.
- Borras, L., G.A. Slafer and M.E. Otegui, 2004. Seed dry Weight response to source-sink manipulation in wheat, maize and soybean: a quantitative reappraisal. *Field Crop. Res.*, 86: 131-146.
- Przulj, N. and V. Momcilovic, 2003. Dry matter and nitrogen accumulation and use in spring barley. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 49: 36- 47.
- Kazemi Arbt, H., 1999. *Private Farming. First Volume (Kernels)*. Markaz Nashr Daneshgahi Press, pp: 253.
- Emam, Y. and M. Niknezhad, 2005. *A Introduction on Physiology of Crop Yield*. Translation. Shiraz University Press. Second Edition, pp: 551.
- Bunting, A.H. and D.S.H. Drennan, 1966. In the *Growth of Grasses*, ed. J.D. Ivins and F. L. Milthorpe. London: Butterworth.
- Niu, J.Y., Y.T. Gan, J.W. Zhang and Q.F. Yang, 1993. Post-anthesis dry matter accumulation and redistribution in spring wheat mulched with plastic film. *Crop. Sci.*, 38: 1562-1568.
- Papakosta, D.K. and A.A. Gagianas, 1991. Nitrogen and dry matter accumulation, remobilization and losses for Mediterranean wheat during grain filling. *Agron. J.*, 83: 864-870.

21. Ehdaie, B. and J.G. Wanies, 1996. Genetic variation for contribution of preanthesis assimilates to grain yield in spring wheat. *J. Genetic and Breeding*, 50: 47-56.
22. Jamaati-e-Somarin, Sh., M. Hassanzadeh, F. Peyghami and R. Zabihi-e-Mahmoodabad, 2009a. Response of durum wheat growth and chlorophyll content to nitrogen rates and plant populations. *Res. J. Biological Sci.*, 4(11): 1135-1141.
23. Jamaati-e-Somarin, Sh., A. Tobeh, M. Hassanzadeh, S. Hokmalipour and R. Zabihi-e-Mahmoodabad, 2009b. Effects of plant density and nitrogen fertilizer on nitrogen uptake from soil and nitrate pollution in potato tuber. *Res. J. Environ. Sci.*, 3(1): 122-126.
24. Hokmalipour, S., 2006. Effects of plant density and nitrogen levels on yield, nitrogen use efficiency and remobilization of Photosanetetical mater in corn. Master Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Urmia University, pp: 112.
25. Hokmalipour, S., R. Seyedsharifi and M. ghadimzadeh, 2007. Effect of plant density and nitrogen fertilizer on grain yield and dry matter remobilization in corn. *J. Soil and Water Sci.*, 21(1): 1-7.
26. Schussler, J.R. and M.E. Westgate, 1991. Maize kernel set at low water potential: II Senility to reduced assimilate at pollination. *Crop. Sci.*, 31: 1196-1203.
27. Jones, R.J. and S.R. Simmou, 1988. Effect of altered source-sink ratio on growth of maize kernels. *Crop. Sci.*, 23: 129-134.
28. Rahimian, A. and A. Zand, 1998. Evolution, adaptation and yield of crops, (Translation). Nashr Azmoon Keshavarzi press. pp: 326.
29. Yoshida, S., 1972. Physiological aspects of grain yield. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, 23: 437-464.
30. Jamaati-e-Somarin, Sh., A. Tobeh, M. Hassanzadeh, M. Saeidi, A. Gholizadeh and R. Zabihi-e-Mahmoodabad, 2008. Effects of different plant density and nitrogen application rate on nitrogen use efficiency of potato tuber. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 11(15): 1949-1952.
31. Mazaheri, D., 1994. Mixed Farming. Tehran University Publications, pp: 262.
32. Cuomo, G.J., DD. Redfearn and DC. Blouin, 1998. Plant density effects on topical corn forage mass, morphology and nutritive value. *Agron. J.*, 90: 93-96.
33. Hamidi, A. and A. Dabbagh Mohammadinasab, 1995. Effects of plant density on agronomic nitrogen use efficiency in two corn hybrids. *J. Agri. Knowledge.* 10(4): 43-58.
34. Mengel, K., 1992. Nitrogen: Agricultural Productivity and Environmental Problems. In *Nitrogen Metabolism of Plants*. K. Mengel and D.J. Pillbeam. (Eds). pp: 1-16. Oxford University Press, Oxford. U. K.