

Flyash - A Lignite Waste Management Through Vermicomposting by Indigenous Earthworms *Lampito mauritii*

S. Ananthkrishnasamy, S. Sarojini, G. Gunasekaran and G. Manimegala

Department of Zoology, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608 002, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract: In view of the environmental problems generated by the large scale production of flyash, increasing attention is now being paid to the recycling of flyash as a good source of nutrients. To reduce the cost of disposal of flyash and best utilization, it was planned to convert the flyash into a valuable vermicompost. This study explored the potential role of indigenous earthworm *Lampito mauritii* to convert the flyash into best manure. Three combinations of cowdung and flyash such as 1:1 (T₁), 2:1 (T₂) and 3:1 (T₃) were prepared. Among the three T₃ showed the best result in which higher N, P, K and lower OC were observed.

Key words: *Lampito mauritii* • Vermicomposting • Flyash • Macro nutrients

INTRODUCTION

Flyash is the portion of the combustion residue of coal and lignite that enters the flue gas stream in power-generating facilities and consists of many small, glass like particles ranging in size from 0.01 to 100 µm [1]. Flyash is a serious source of air pollution since it remains air borne for a long period of time and causes health hazards [2]. Besides being a health hazard, flyash also degrades the environment, Gupta *et al.* [3] reported that flyash interferes with the photosynthesis of aquatic plants and thus disturbs the food chain. The largest commercial use of flyash currently is in the cement, concrete road fills and grout industries [4]; but such use is only accounted for 38% of the flyash produced by electric power facilities each year [5]. Since flyash is readily available as a disposal material, container substrates could be another avenue of beneficial use in agriculture. However, limited information is available on the use of flyash as amendment to container substrates for biomass production.

Flyash, a solid waste generated from coal-fired thermal power plants, contains both macro and micro-nutrients which can sustain plant growth [6]. It contains several nutrients including S, Ca, Mn and P which are beneficial for plant growth, as well as toxic heavy metals such as Mg, Fe, Cu, Zn, Cr, Pb, Hb, Ni and As [7].

Menon [8] studied the effect of mixed application of flyash and organic compost on soil and availability and uptake of elements by various plant species. Sustainable use of flyash to treat agricultural soils tends to conjure

concern over long term effects on dynamics and functions of soil biota, such as earthworms, on which there is a dearth of information. Earthworms may also enhance the fertility of soil treated with coal flyash by increasing solubilisation of mineral nutrients such as P and K in the ash [9].

From the review of literature the flyash has been utilized from long back in the field of agricultural practice such as pesticides [10,11]. But few works are available on coal flyash with earthworms [9]. To author's knowledge, no previous studies have been made on vermicomposting of lignite flyash to increase its fertilizer value. The final outcome aids in converting the burden of lignite flyash disposal into an opportunity to produce high-potential organic fertilizers, capable of enhancing soil fertility, bioremediation and improving crop quality, thereby assisting economic growth and protecting the environment. Hence in the present study, efforts have been made to know the potency of *Lampito mauritii* to convert the flyash into vermicompost.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The *Lampito mauritii* worms were collected from the local agricultural fields around Annamalainagar, India.

Flyash was obtained from thermal power station I, Neyveli Lignite Corporation (NLC), Tamil Nadu, India. The urine free cowdung was collected from the experimental dairy farm in Annamalai University. The collected cowdung was sundried and powdered and used for media preparation.

Preparation of Different Mixtures (Cowdung and Flyash) and Inoculation of Worms: Combination of cowdung (CD) and flyash (FA) in three proportions viz., 1:1 (T₁), 2:1 (T₂), 3:1 (T₃), (wt/wt) were prepared. The (approx. 40 days old) worms were weighed and inoculated at the rate of 15 g/kg of each mixture [12]. Six trails have been maintained in circular troughs for each combination. To each combination 200 g of clay loam soil was added apart from the substrate. A set of control in each combination was also maintained without the earthworms.

Collection of Vermicompost and Compost: Vermicomposts from all the experimental plastic containers and compost from worm unworked control plastic containers were collected on 10th, 20th, 30th, 40th and 50th day and air dried.

Analysis of Macro Nutrients: The total nitrogen (N), total phosphorus (P), total potassium (K) content of the sample was estimated, by Kjeldhal method as per Tandon [13] for nitrogen, calorimetric method for phosphorus and flame photometric, method for potassium. The organic carbon was determined by the empirical method followed by Walkely and Black [14].

Statistical Analysis: The statistical significance of difference was tested using One-way ANOVA at 0.05 level.

RESULTS

The performance of vermireactors with cowdung and flyash in terms of macro nutrients during the study period are summarized in Table 1, 2 and 3. Results from chemical analysis of vermicast revealed that considerable amount of macronutrients increased in their quantity.

The organic carbon (OC) decreased in all the treatments including the controls and treatments. The content of organic carbon decreased as the decomposition progress. At the end of the experiment more reduction of organic carbon was observed in the treatments with earthworms than the controls without earthworms. The highest reduction in organic carbon was observed in T₃ (43%), next to that the reduction of organic carbon was observed in T₂ and least reduction of organic carbon was observed in T₁.

The nitrogen (N) content of T₁C (T₁ Control) showed 29% change over the 0 day whereas T₁E (T₁ Experiment) has 60% change over the N content of 0 day. Likewise

Table 1: Pattern of nutrient changes during the vermicomposting of flyash using *Lampito mauritii* Treatment 1 (T₁) - CD + FA (1:1)

Elements	Control/experiment	Initial	10 th day	20 th day	30 th day	40 th day	50 th day	% Change from 0 day
OC%	T ₁ C Control	17.2±0.632	16.8±0.717	16.5±0.681	16.0±0.717	15.6±0.681	15.3±0.744	11%
	T ₁ E Experiment		15.7±0.691	14.8±0.919	14.2±0.831	13.6±0.632	13.2±0.922	23%
N%	T ₁ C Control	0.35±0.081	0.37±0.087	0.38±0.082	0.40±0.074	0.42±0.076	0.45±0.081	29%
	T ₁ E Experiment		0.41±0.076	0.44±0.068	0.49±0.076	0.53±0.074	0.69±0.074	60%
P%	T ₁ C Control	0.52±0.072	0.53±0.065	0.55±0.077	0.58±0.069	0.6±0.158	0.56±0.097	27%
	T ₁ E Experiment		0.54±0.072	0.59±0.094	0.65±0.074	0.69±0.092	0.78±0.086	50%
K%	T ₁ C Control	0.09±0.067	0.09±0.067	0.11±0.049	0.12±0.062	0.13±0.058	0.13±0.063	44%
	T ₁ E Experiment		0.10±0.056	0.11±0.062	0.13±0.062	0.14±0.080	0.15±0.068	67%

Mean±SD of six observations, C - Control without earthworm, E - Experiment with earthworm

ANOVA: One way factor

Analysis of Variation	SS	MS	F	P-value
Between Groups	2.238992	0.447798	0.00905	0.999975
Within Groups	2078.288	49.48305		

Table 2: Pattern of nutrient changes during the vermicomposting of flyash using *Lampito mauritii* Treatment 2 (T₂) - CD + FA (2:1)

Elements	Control/experiment	Initial	10 th day	20 th day	30 th day	40 th day	50 th day	% Change from 0 day
OC%	T ₂ C Control	33.6±2.473	32.6±1.850	32.0±1.294	31.4±1.668	30.4±1.523	29.8±2.035	11.3%
	T ₂ E Experiment		31.8±1.698	29.02±1.499	27.8±2.034	26.2±1.598	25.7±2.045	23.5%
N%	T ₂ C Control	0.64±0.173	0.68±0.169	0.71±0.160	0.76±0.171	0.82±0.203	0.87±0.233	36.0%
	T ₂ E Experiment		0.72±0.146	0.82±0.205	0.89±0.224	0.94±0.115	1.01±0.192	58.0%
P%	T ₂ C Control	0.90±0.043	0.93±0.043	0.96±0.041	1.04±0.061	1.11±0.062	1.2±0.618	33.0%
	T ₂ E Experiment		1.06±0.068	1.14±0.041	1.27±0.057	1.38±0.063	1.52±0.069	69.0%
K%	T ₂ C Control	0.16±0.054	0.18±0.063	0.20±0.065	0.21±0.061	0.21±0.061	0.23±0.071	44.0%
	T ₂ E Experiment		0.19±0.068	0.21±0.061	0.23±0.071	0.25±0.063	0.27±0.057	69.0%

Mean±SD of six observations, C - Control without earthworm, E - Experiment with earthworm

ANOVA: One way factor

Analysis of Variation	SS	MS	F	P-value
Between Groups	9.52631	1.905262	0.010037	0.999968
Within Groups	7972.236	189.8151		

Table 3: Pattern of nutrient changes during the vermicomposting of flyash using *Lampito mauritii* Treatment 3 (T₃) - CD + FA (3:1)

Elements	Control/experiment	Initial	10 th day	20 th day	30 th day	40 th day	50 th day	% Change from 0 day
OC%	T ₃ C Control	49.1±0.796	45.04±0.715	44.60±0.956	42.80±0.689	41.5±1.589	39.50±1.70	62%
	T ₃ E Experiment		44.8±1.369	40.74±0.60	36.78±0.746	31.56±1.580	27.65±2.043	43%
N%	T ₃ C Control	1.01±0.777	1.06±0.503	1.12±0.266	1.16±0.110	1.28±0.111	1.39±0.210	38%
	T ₃ E Experiment		1.12±0.266	1.22±0.118	1.41±0.166	1.57±0.215	1.65±0.413	63%
P%	T ₃ C Control	1.11±0.076	1.17±0.158	1.15±0.118	1.22±0.163	1.34±0.343	1.42±0.495	39%
	T ₃ E Experiment		1.22±0.453	1.35±0.434	1.48±0.828	1.70±0.885	1.81±1.117	75%
K%	T ₃ C Control	0.21±0.098	0.22±0.112	0.24±0.078	0.27±0.079	0.29±0.084	0.32±0.097	52%
	T ₃ E Experiment		0.24±0.076	0.24±0.076	0.27±0.084	0.34±0.152	0.38±0.122	81%

Mean±SD of six observations, C - Control without earthworm, E - Experiment with earthworm

ANOVA: One way factor

Analysis of Variation	SS	MS	F	P-value
Between Groups	68.17624	13.63525	0.038406	0.999132
Within Groups	14911.37	355.0326		

the T₂C showed 36% change over the 0 day, while the T₂E showed 58% change over the 0 day of the same. In the same way in T₃ also the N change was more in T₃E (63%) than the control (38%). From all the tables it was observed that the vermicomposts in all the samples have more N and lower OC. Higher quantity of N was found in T₃ than the other two treatments.

Phosphorus content of all the treatment increased from the initial content. 27% of change from the initial in control and 50% of change from initial in the experiment was observed in T₁, whereas in T₂ on 50th day 33% increase in control and 69% in the compost with earthworms were observed. But, at the same time the highest increase was observed in T₃, i.e, 39% in control and 75% in experiment were noticed. From the tables it is clear that the phosphorus was higher in the compost treated with earthworms.

Among the macro nutrients the quantity of K present was low in all the treatments. Among the three treatments highest mineralization was found in the vermicomposts of T₃ whereas the vermicomposts of all the treatments showed higher mineralization than the respective compost without earthworms.

DISCUSSION

Highest organic carbon reduction was observed in T₃ where highest quantity of OC was available which favours the growth of microbes. The break down of organic matter by earthworm and subsequent microbial degradation takes place in vermicomposting [15]. Our finding was supported by Rajesh banu *et al.* [16] where they reported higher microbial population in 50% sago sludge with standard bedding materials during vermicomposting than the 75% and 100% sago sludge. More vermicast recovery and worm zoomass was observed in 20% flyash with

cowdung than 40%, 60% and 80% flyash with cowdung [3]. The present findings support the findings of Kaushik and Garg [17] and Suthar [15], where they observed reduction of carbon in the vermicompost. Body fluids and excreta secreted by earthworms (eg. mucus, high concentration of organic matter, ammonium and urea) promote microbial communities in vermicomposting systems. Presence of microbial agents was reported in the earthworm body [15]. Earthworm activity significantly decreases organic carbon levels in waste and accelerates waste stabilization process [15]. The reduction in organic carbon during the 50 days study period could be due to the respiratory activity of microorganisms and earthworms.

In general the final content of nitrogen in the vermicompost is dependent on initial nitrogen present in the waste and the extent of decomposition [18]. This finding was supported by the observation of Bhattacharya and Chattopadhyay [19] where they have reported N availability was more during vermicomposting in the combination with higher quantity of CD.

The increased N content in vermicompost may be due to the release of nitrogenous products of earthworm metabolism through the urine, excreta (cast) and mucoproteins [20]. The lowest percentage change of N occur in the vermicomposts of T₁, may be due to the presence of more quantity of heavy metals in the flyash. Highest mineralization was observed in the vermicompost of T₃ and it might be due to the less accumulation of heavy metals in earthworms (3:1) which would reduce the toxicity to the microbes responsible for mineralization.

The highest quantity of P observed on 50th day vermicompost of T₃ which may be due to the multiplication of phosphate solubilizing microbes in the casts [22] which had more cowdung for the multiplication of microbes. More increase of P was

observed in the vermicasts [16] during the vermicomposting of sago waste in lowest percentage of sago sludge. The highest percentage change of P was observed in T₃ and it can be due to the higher percentage of cowdung which was rich in all essential nutrients needed for better vermicomposting [23].

Mineralization of K was more in vermicompost, which indicates the role of earthworm and microorganisms in mineralization process [15]. Kaviraj and Sharma [18] reported 10% increase of total K by *E.fetida* and 5% by *L.mauritii* during the vermicomposting of MSW and it was due to the influence of microflora.

From the data highest mineralization of NPK was observed in T₃ and it might be due to the availability of higher nutrients for earthworms and good medium for the multiplication of microbes [16,25].

The present investigation is also supported by few findings [26,27], which had reported increased NPK content in the vermicompost than the original feed material.

From the results it may be concluded that the rate of mineralization could be decreased due to the increasing concentration of flyash which had higher proportion of heavy metals which exerts toxicity. The higher concentration of flyash affected the population of microbes and quantity of microbial enzymes.

Hence this study on lignite flyash can be used to enrich the flyash by vermicomposting to increase the nutrients (N, P and K) and to reduce pollution.

In conclusion, from the current study it is clear that the use of *Lampito mauritii* to mitigate toxicity of metals seems to be feasible technology and 3:1 cowdung-flyash mixture can be used for sustainable and efficient for vermicomposting, without showing any toxicity to earthworms. The concentration of macro nutrients (N, P and K) were found to increase in the earthworm treated series of cowdung and flyash combinations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank the authorities of Annamalai University, Head of the Department of Zoology, Annamalai University for the facilities provided.

REFERENCES

1. Davison, R.L., D.F.S. Natusch, J.R. Wallace and C.A. Evansi, 1974. Trace elements in fly ash: dependence of concentration on particle size. Environ. Sci. Technol., 8: 1107-1113.

2. T.E.R.I, 1998. Reclaiming ash pond by means of mycorrhizal-organo-biofertilizer, Quarterly reports submitted to flyash mission, TIFAC, DST, Tata Energy Research Institute, New Delhi, India.
3. Gupta, S.K, A. Tewari, R. Srivastava, R. Murthy and S. Chandra, 2005. Potential of *Eisenia fetida* for sustainable and efficient vermicomposting of flyash. Water, Air Soil Pollut., 163: 293-302.
4. ACAA (American Coal Ash Association), 2003. Coal combustion product (CCP) production and use survey, ACAA, Syracuse, NY.
5. Stevens, G. and D. Dunn, 2004. Flyash as a liming material for cotton. J. Environ. Qual. 33: 343-348.
6. Negi, B.S. and V. Meenakshi, 1991. Characterization of coal flyash from thermal power plants in India. Environment Impact of coal utilization. In: Sahu, K.C. (Ed.), International Conference IIT Bombay, pp: 143-152.
7. Dalmau, J.I., M.A. Garau and M.T. Felipo, 1990. Laboratory prediction of soluble compounds before soil recycling of wastes. Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem., 39(2): 141-146.
8. Menon, M.P., 1993. Elements in coal and coal ash residues and their potential for agricultural crops. Trace elements in coal and coal combustion residues, Lewis Publishers, Florida USA, pp: 259-285.
9. Bhattacharya, S.S. and G.N. Chattopadhyay, 2001. Vermicomposting as a tool for increasing availability of nutrients in flyash, Agricultural Application of flyash. Proc. II Nat. Sem. On use of flyash in Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, pp: 128-132.
10. Eapen, M.C., R. Murugavel and D. Duraiswamy, 1995. Flyash usage in agriculture, Flyash in Agriculture. Proc. Nat. Sem. On use of Lignite flyash in agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, pp: 108-112.
11. Kanojia, R.K., S.K. Kanawjia and P.C. Srivastava, 2001. Utilization of flyash in agriculture, a potential soil amendment for increasing crop yields. J. Indian Farming, 1: 29-32.
12. Parthasarathi, K, 2007. Influence of moisture on the activity of *Perionyx excavatus* (Perrier) and microbial-nutrient dynamics of pressmud vermicompost. Iranian J. Environ. Health Sci. and Eng., 4(3): 147-156.
13. Tandon, H.K.S., 1993. Methods of analysis of soils, plant water and fertilizers, Fertilizer Development and Consultation Organization, New Delhi.

14. Walkley, A. and I.A. Black, 1934. An examination of the degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and prepared modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*, 34: 29-38.
15. Suthar, S., 2007. Vermicomposting potential of *Perionyx sansibaricus* (Perrier) in different waste materials. *Biores. Technol.*, 98: 1231-1237.
16. Rajesh Banu, J. Lcktae Yeom. Esakkiraj, Naresh Kumar, Young Woo Lee and S.Vallinayagam, 2008. Biomangement of sago-sludge using an earthworm *Lampito mauritii*. *J. Environ. Biol.*, 29(5): 753-757.
17. Kaushik, P. and V.K. Garg, 2004. Dynamics of biological and chemical parameters during vermicomposting of solid textile mill sludge mixed with cowdung and agricultural residues. *Biores. Technol.*, 94: 203-209.
18. Kaviraj and S. Sharma, 2003. Municipal solidwaste management through vermicomposting employing exotic and local species of earthworms. *Biores. Technol.*, 90: 169-173.
19. Bhattacharya, S.S. and G.N. Chattopadhyay, 2004. Transformation of nitrogen during vermicomposting of flyash. *Waste Management and Research*, 22(6): 488-491.
20. Padmavathiamma, P.K, Y. Loretta Li and R. Usha Kumari, 2008. An experimental study of vermibiowaste composting for agricultural soil improvement. *Biores. Technol.*, 99: 1672-1681.
21. Garg, V.K, P. Kaushik and Dilbaghi, 2006. Vermiconversion of waste water sludge from textile mill mixed with anaerobically digested biogas plant slurry employing *Eisenia fetida*. *Ecotoxic. Environ. Safety*, 65(3): 412-419.
22. Suthar, S., 2008. Bioremediation of aerobically treated distillery sludge mixed with cowdung by using an epigeic earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. *Environ.*, 28: 76-84.
23. Sarojini, S., S. Ananthkrishnasamy, G. Manimegala, M. Prakash and G. Gunasekaran, 2009. Effect of lignite flyash on the growth and reproduction of earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. *E. J. Chem.*, 6(2): 511-517.
24. Surindra Suthar and Sushma Singh, 2008. Feasibility of vermicomposting in biostabilization of sludge from a distillery industry. *Science of the Total Environment*, 394: 237-243.
25. Surindra Suthar and Sushma Singh, 2009. Bioconcentrations of metals (Fe, Cu, Zn, Pb) in earthworms (*Eisenia fetida*) inoculated in municipal sewage sludge: Do earthworms pose a possible risk of terrestrial food chain concentration?. *Environ. Toxicol.*, 24: 25-32.
26. Ananthkrishnasamy, S., G. Manimegala, S. Sarojini, G. Gunasekaran and K. Parthasarathi, 2007. Growth and reproduction of earthworm, *Eudrilus eugeniae* in bagasse: A sugar industrial waste, *J. Appl. Zoo. Res.*, 18(2): 149-155.
27. Manimegala, G., S. Sarojini, G. Gunasekaran, M. Prakash, K. Parthasarathi and S. Ananthkrishnasamy, 2008. Role of *Leucaena glauca* leaf litter on the growth and reproduction of earthworms *Eisenia fetida* Savigny. *CMU. J. Nat. Sci.*, 7(2): 295-306.