

## Investigation on Aerobic Cellulolytic Bacteria in Some of North Forest and Farming Soils

<sup>1</sup>S. Hatami, <sup>2</sup>H.A. Alikhani, <sup>2</sup>H. Besharati, <sup>2</sup>N. Salehrastin, <sup>1</sup>M. Afrousheh and <sup>1</sup>Z. Yazdani Jahromi

<sup>1</sup>Master's students, <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professors of Soil Science,  
Soil Science Department, Faculty of Soil and Water, Tehran University, Karaj, Iran

---

**Abstract:** This study was conducted for investigation on number of cellulolytic bacteria and their ability in the decomposition of cellulose. 30 surface soil samples were collected from forest and farming soils in the north of Iran. After analyzing some physical and chemical properties of soil samples, then total number of bacteria in nutrient-agar and aerobic cellulose decomposing bacteria in cellulose-agar were counted. Assessment of bacteria ability in cellulose decomposition was performed via measurement of clear zone around of colony. Results showed that the total number of bacteria, number and percent of cellulolytic bacteria in forest soil samples were more than those of farming soil samples. Mean ratio of clear zone diameter to colony were 1.6 and 2.1 for forest soil and farming soil, respectively. Higher ability of the cellulolytic bacteria in farming soils probably is due to great microorganism diversity in the two soils.

**Key words:** Cellulolytic bacteria % Cellulose % Forest soils % Farming soils % Clear zone

---

### INTRODUCTION

The plants produce a large amount of plant mass throughout photosynthesis process annually, which cellulose comprises most of them. The cellulose which is produced due to photosynthesis every year is estimated to be approximately 40 billion tons [1].

Cellulose is a linear polysaccharide which is constructed from monomers of Glucose bound together with 1-4 glucosidic linkage [2].

The cellulose net of plant tissues is not solely constructed from polysaccharide glucose. Other polysaccharides are found as complex with pure cellulose. These polysaccharides are called as cellulosan. This compound is 20-30% plant cellulosic structure [3].

Cellulose is so insoluble than cellulosan, therefore the later compounds are more available than net cellulose and microorganisms prefer to decompose them. The availability of cellulosan leads to rapid increasing of decomposing microbial population. Cellulose decomposition is an indispensable process for carbon cycle in nature [4]. After harvesting agricultural products (such as grains and beans) a great amount of plant residues are left in each hectare. To conduct agricultural processes for the second farming in many agricultural systems, there is not enough time to decompose the residues so to manage the process of soil tillage and

prepare an appropriate bed for the second farming. The residues generally are burned. However, most of soils have not more than 0.5% organic matter. Cellulose hydrolysis is the key process for biological conversion of cellulosic materials [5]. Decomposition of cellulose bearing compounds in soils is a useful and beneficial biological process that different microorganisms (bacteria and fungi) are involved in it. Each factor which affects the number and activity of decomposing microorganisms will have influence the cellulose decomposing intensity. Soil moisture and aeration also influence cellulose decomposition [6,7]. Cellulose decomposition will be occurred in a vast range of temperature and different aeration conditions that aerobic, micro aerobic, facultative and obligate anaerobic microorganisms (which maybe psychrophilic, thermophilic or mesophilic) are involved in it. The maximum rate of decomposition has been occurred by mesophilic microorganisms in aerobic condition [1]. Other factors affecting on cellulose decomposition are the ambient pH and the level of available N [8,9]. Cellulose decomposition will progress more rapidly in neutral pH and abundance of N. Ljungdahl *et al.*, [10] showed that cellulose decomposing microorganisms utilize cellulose as carbon and energy resource. To assess cellulase enzyme activity, Kluepfe [11] mixed the agar gel with filter paper or microcrystalline cellulose called as Avicel. A clear zone was observed around the colonies after a

definite time. The clear zone diameter was related to bacterial activity in cellulose decomposition [1,12,13].

Bobbie *et al.*, [2] isolated Cellulomonas bacterium from the soil and cultured it on media contained amorphous cellulose called as (CMC). The rate of cellulose hydrolization by the bacteria was assessed with clear zone formation in cellulose agar media.

Fuller *et al.*, [3] studied the activity of cellulolytic aerobic bacteria population on filter paper and grain stems. It has been observed that each organism decomposes more grains stem cellulose than filter paper cellulose in the same time and it has been attributed to the presence of xylan in cellulosan which is present in grains stem cellulose.

Wood *et al.* [14] used Congo red since the intracellular enzymes of *Cytophaga* don't make a clear zone in cellulose agar, so Congo red make a visible change of the red color.

The objective of this study was investigation the distribution of cellulolytic aerobic bacteria in forest and farming soils and also assessing their potential to cellulose decomposition.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Soils and analysis:** Thirty surface (0-30) complex samples from soils of wheat cultivated farms and forest were collected in Guilan province. Samples were sieved (2 mm) and stored field moist in polyethylene bags at 5 C until analyzed.

Soil subsamples were analyzed for organic carbon with Walky and Black method [15], particle size distribution [16] and pH in a 1:1 soil to water suspension.

The number of cellulolytic aerobic bacteria and total bacteria in the various soils were determined by plating an appropriate dilution of the soil sample on cellulose agar (James G. Cappuccino and Natalie Sherman) and incubating the plates at 30C for 2 weeks. The ingredient of the cellulose agar medium which was applied to isolate the cellulolytic aerobic bacteria was as follow:

CMC (Carboxy Metyl Cellulose), 5g ; K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 1g ; MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2g ; CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 2-5 mg ; Fe<sub>2</sub> (SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, 15-20 g per litter. The PH was adjusted to 7 - 7.5.

The colony formation and microbial growth were being controlled daily. After 2 weeks the number of visible colony in the plates were recorded. To recognize the cellulolytic colonies from the others, 5 ml of Hexa Decyl Trimetyl Amonium Bromide (1%) was used in each plate. After about 20 minutes, the clear zones were observed

around the colonies which were able to decompose cellulose; also the ratios of clear zone diameter to colony diameter were measured. Fresh suspension of bacteria which were able to decompose cellulose was used for mobility and Gram test (James G. Cappuccino and Natalie Sherman).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Some properties of soils which used in this experiment were presented in Table 1. In general the pH of farming soils was more than that of forest soils. The pH of saturated extract of farming soils was about 8 and in forest soils were about 7.2. While organic carbon content of forest soils was ten times more than that of farming soils.

Some characteristics of cellulolytic bacteria in forest and farming soil are comprised in Table 2.

Table 1: Some physical and chemical properties of farming and forest soils used in this experiment

| No. of soil | Farming soil |        | Forest soil |                 |        |           |
|-------------|--------------|--------|-------------|-----------------|--------|-----------|
|             | soil texture | OC (%) | pHs (1:1)   | soil texture    | OC (%) | pHs (1:1) |
| 1           | Loam         | 1.36   | 8.5         | Silty clay loam | 6.818  | 6.96      |
| 2           | Clay loam    | 1.30   | 8.3         | Clay loam       | 6.8    | 6.9       |
| 3           | Clay loam    | 0.98   | 7.95        | Clay loam       | 8.8    | 6.5       |
| 4           | Loam         | 0.974  | 7.8         | Silty clay loam | 12.44  | 6.55      |
| 5           | Sandy loam   | 0.75   | 8.1         | Clay loam       | 12     | 6.61      |
| 6           | Sandy loam   | 0.88   | 8.3         | Clay loam       | 13.24  | 6.66      |
| 7           | Clay loam    | 0.487  | 7.90        | Clay loam       | 12.7   | 4.43      |
| 8           | Clay loam    | 1.46   | 7.93        | Clay loam       | 11.3   | 7.3       |
| 9           | Loam         | 1.30   | 8.20        | Silty clay loam | 12.68  | 7.3       |
| 10          | Loam         | 1.82   | 7.90        | Silty clay loam | 18.5   | 7.6       |
| 11          | Loam         | 2.529  | 8.20        | Clay loam       | 20.5   | 7.73      |
| 12          | Clay loam    | 2.622  | 7.80        | Silty clay loam | 4.15   | 7.86      |
| 13          | Loam         | 0.87   | 7.93        | Silty clay loam | 6.42   | 7.88      |
| 14          | Loam         | 0.879  | 8.50        | Clay loam       | 5.75   | 7.62      |
| 15          | Clay loam    | 0.788  | 7.97        | Silty clay loam | 5.95   | 7.6       |

Table 2: G comparison of cellulolytic bacteria characteristics isolated from forest and farming soils

| Bacterial characteristics                           | Forest soils        | Farming soils       |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| Morphology  | Cococci - cocobacil | Cococci - cocobacil |
| Gram reaction                                       | G                   | G                   |
| Mobility  | variable            | variable            |
| Mean of total number                                | 326.5               | 145.2               |
| mean of cellulolytic bacteria                       | 138.66              | 37.4                |
| The ratio of clear zone diameter to colony diameter | 1.6                 | 2.1                 |

Table 3: Total number of bacteria and the number and percentage of cellulolytic bacteria in farming and forest soil samples

| Soil No.   | Farming soils                                |  |                                     | Forest soils                                 |  |                                     |
|------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|
|            | Total no. of bacteria (cfu g <sup>-1</sup> ) | No. of cellulolytic (cfu g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Percentage of cellulolytic bacteria | Total no. of bacteria (cfu g <sup>-1</sup> ) | No. of cellulolytic (cfu g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Percentage of cellulolytic bacteria |
| 1          | 306  | 69   | 22.5                                | 50   | 30   | 60.0                                |
| 2          | 9  | 3  | 33.0                                | 1058   | 420  | 40.0                                |
| 3          | 53   | 16   | 30.0                                | 1033   | 415  | 40.0                                |
| 4          | 66   | 40   | 60.0                                | 175  | 119  | 68.0                                |
| 5          | 300  | 116  | 39.0                                | 198  | 92   | 46.0                                |
| 6          | 696  | 49   | 7.0                                 | 50   | 25   | 50.0                                |
| 7          | 145  | 68   | 46.0                                | 16   | 5  | 29.0                                |
| 8          | 165  | 34   | 20.0                                | 637  | 252  | 39.0                                |
| 9          | 40   | 15   | 37.5                                | 769  | 401  | 52.0                                |
| 10         | 2  | 1  | 50.0                                | 8  | 5  | 62.0                                |
| 11         | 94   | 40   | 42.5                                | 74   | 50   | 67.0                                |
| 12         | 39   | 11   | 28.0                                | 50   | 44   | 88.0                                |
| 13         | 91   | 43   | 47.0                                | 120  | 77   | 64.0                                |
| 14         | 135  | 47   | 35.0                                | 599  | 105  | 17.0                                |
| 15         | 37   | 9  | 24.0                                | 61   | 40   | 64.0                                |
| Total mean | 145.2  | 37.4                                       | 34.7                                | 326.5  | 138.66                                     | 52.4                                |

\*Low numbers of total bacteria in some samples were recorded in farming and forest soils due to their low ability to grow on the selective media of cellulose agar



Fig. 1: using 1% solution of Hexa Decyl Trimetyl Ammonium Bromide and Recognition of cellulolytic bacteria by clear zones formation

The results of enumeration of bacteria, the number of cellulolytic bacteria and the percentage of these bacteria in two soil samples were illustrated in Table 3.

Total number of bacteria and the number and percentage of cellulolytic bacteria in forest soil samples were more than farming soil samples. Soil organic matter is utilized as carbon and energy resources by heterotrophic bacteria. Higher number of total bacteria and cellulolytic bacteria in forest soil can be attributed to higher organic carbon content in forest soils [17] (Table 1).

Total number of bacteria, the number and percentage of cellulolytic bacteria in forest soil samples is more than farm soils due to the type of organic matter in forest soils.

Table 4: The ratio of clear zone diameter to colony diameter in forest and farming soils isolated bacteria

| Soil number | The ratio of clear zone diameter to colony diameter in forest soils | The ratio of clear zone diameter to colony diameter in farming soils |
|-------------|---|--|
| 1           | 0.15  | 1.38   |
| 2           | 0.89  | 0.42   |
| 3           | 0.00  | 1.83   |
| 4           | 1.99  | 2.00   |
| 5           | 1.25  | 2.79   |
| 6           | 0.00  | 1.08   |
| 7           | 0.00  | 0.00   |
| 8           | 2.20  | 1.99   |
| 9           | 2.80  | 0.00   |
| 10          | 0.00  | 0.00   |
| 11          | 1.65  | 1.10   |
| 12          | 1.47  | 0.00   |
| 13          | 1.49  | 2.52   |
| 14          | 1.25  | 4.00   |
| 15          | 1.37  | 2.33   |
| Total mean  | 1.60  | 2.10   |

Recognition of cellulolytic bacteria on plates surface was evaluated by clear zones formation and using 1% solution of Hexa Decyl Trimetyl Ammonium Bromide (Fig. 1).

The ratio of clear zone diameter to colony diameter in forest soils was 1.6 and in farming soils 2.1 (Table 4).

The reason for greater clear zone diameter to colony diameter in farming soils which can express higher potential to decompose cellulose is probably due to the variety of the microorganisms in farming and forest soils. Cellulolytic bacteria present in farm soils have more potential than forest soil bacteria to decompose cellulose due to the type of organic matter in these soils. In order to the type of organic matter in farm soils, superior strains with more ability will survive and activate.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was conducted at The University College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Tehran University, Karaj Campus and founded through grants from the office of vice president for research.

#### REFERENCES

1. Black, G.A. and D.D. Evans, 1965. Methods of soil analysis. Part 1 and 2. Agronomy 9. Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, WI.
2. Bobbie, J. and J.M. Leatherwood, 1976. Derepressed synthesis of cellulose by cellulomonas. *J. Bacteriol.*, 128: 609-615.
3. Buban, T. and M. Piskolczi, 2004. Effect of organic mulching on the quantity of microorganisms in Soil of Apple Plantation. *J. Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research*, 12.
4. Donnelly, P.K., J.A. Entry, D.L. Crawford and K. Cromack, 1990. Cellulose and lignin degradation in forest soils in response to moisture, temperature and acidity. *Microb. Ecol.*, 20: 289-295.
5. Dorothy, M. Halsall and Alan H. Gibson, 1985. Cellulose decomposition and associated nitrogen fixation by mixed cultures of *Cellulomonas gelida* and *Azospirillum* species or *Bacillus macerans*. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 50(4): 1021-1026.
6. Fuller, W.H. and A.G. Norman, 1943. Cellulose decomposition by aerobic mesophilic bacteria from soil. Iowa agricultural experiment station, Ames, Iowa. pp: 281-289.
7. Gee, G.W. and J.W. Bauder, 1986. Particle size analysis. In methods of soil analysis, part1, physical and mineralogical methods, 2nd Ed., Klute, A., American society of agronomy, WI. 383-412.
8. Kluepfel, D., 1988. Screening of prokaryotes for cellulose- and hemicellulose-degrading enzymes. *Methods Enzymol.*, 160: 180-186.
9. Ljungdahl, L.G. and K.E. Eriksson, 1985. Ecology of microbial cellulose degradation. *Adv. Microb. Ecol.*, 8: 237-299.
10. Lynd, R. Lee, J. Paul Weimer, Willem H. Van Zyl and S. Pretorius, 2002. Microbial cellulose utilization: Fundamentals and Biotechnology. *Am. Soc. Microbiol.*, 66(3): 506-577.
11. Michael, P. Coughilan and F. Mayer, 1992. The cellulose decomposing bacteria and their enzyme system. *Appl. Environ Microbiol.*, 2: 609-615.
12. Pavlostathis, S.G., T.L. Miller and M.L. Wolin, 1998. Fermentation of insoluble cellulose by continuous culture of *Ruminococcus albus*. *Appl. Environ Microbiol.*, 54: 2655-2659.
13. Priit Valjamae., 2002. The Kinetics of cellulose enzymatic hydrolysis. Faculty of science and technology. 781.
14. Ronald, M. Teather and Peter J. Wood, 1982. Use of congo red polysaccharide interactions in enumeration and characterization of cellulolytic bacteria from the Bovine Rument. *Appl. Environ. microbiol.*, 43(4).
15. Stewart, B.J. and J.M. Leatherwood, 1976. Derepressed synthesis of cellulase by *Cellulomonas*. *Am. Soc. Microbiol.*, 128.
16. Williams, R.T. and R.L. Crawford, 1943. Effects of various physiochemical factors on microbial activity in peat lands, Aerobic biodegradation processes. *Can. j. Microbiol.*, 29: 1430-1437.
17. Wood, P.J., J.D. Erfle and R.M. Teather, 1988. Use of complex formation between Congo red and polysaccharides in detection and assay of polysaccharide hydrolases. *Methods Enzymol.*, 160: 59-74.
18. Zhen-Hu, H., W. Gang and Y. Han-Qing, 2004. Anaerobic degradation of cellulose by rumen microorganisms at various pH values. *Biochemical engineering journal*, 21: 59-62.