

The Impact of *Bradyrhizobium*, Farmyard Manure and Inorganic Nitrogen on Growth and Yield of Guar

¹N. Elnesairy, ¹J. Abubaker, ²H. Mahmood and ³N. Mukhtar,

¹Department of Soil and Water, Faculty of Agriculture,
Sabha University, P. O. Box 18758, Sabha, Libya

²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture Sciences,
Wad Medani Ahlia University, P. O. Box 402, Wad Medani, Sudan

³Agricultural Research Corporation, P.O. Box 126 Wad Medani, Sudan

Abstract: A field experiment was conducted for two consecutive seasons to study the effect of *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation, farmyard manure (FYM), nitrogen (urea) and inoculation plus farmyard manure on growth and yield of guar crop. Four *Bradyrhizobium* strains were used to inoculate guar seeds (USDA3089, USDA3385, USDA3386 and ENRRI 16A) and two levels of farmyard manure, i.e. 5 (FYM1) and 10 (FYM2) ton ha⁻¹. Results indicated that combining *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation with FYM significantly increased plant height, shoot dry weight, pod dry weight, yield and phosphorus (P) percentages compare to the control and *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation. However, *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation significantly increased plant height, shoot dry weight and pod dry weight compared with the control. Sole FYM2 treatment significantly increased shoot dry weight, pod dry weight, plant height, seed yield and seed P percent compared with the control and *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation. Urea application showed similar results to that of FYM2, except that urea had no significant effect on seed P percent. In conclusion, combined application of FYM and *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation could be a useful practice in sustaining the growth and yield of guar.

Key words: *Bradyrhizobium* • Guar • Farmyard manure • Inoculation • Urea

INTRODUCTION

Guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L. Taub) is a branched summer annual legume which is considered indigenous to Pakistan and India, from where it has been introduced to the United States and other parts of the world [1]. Guar belongs to the family Fabaceae (Leguminosae) and its common names include guar, are siam bean, guaru, gwarar, gavar and cluster beans [2, 3]. Guar is a drought tolerant crop well adapted to arid and semi arid climates [4]. It grows under a wide range of soils, but grows best in fertile, medium textured and sandy loam soils with good structure and well drained sub soils [5]. It is tolerant of both salinity and alkalinity [6]. The primary importance of guar is the commercial value of its seed gum (Galactomannan gum) [2], this gum has a wide variety of food and non – food uses [1]. Guar gum is one of the most

economical viscosity builders because of its capacity to give high levels of viscosity useful in industries like tobacco, petroleum, mining, textile, cosmetics, oils, pharmaceuticals and food industries [1, 7], being rich in protein (26–32%) [2], guar can be used for animal feed production [8].

The beneficial effect of *rhizobial* inoculation on yield and quality of guar has been reported. Sprent *et al.* [9] stated that guar belongs to the cowpea cross-inoculation group. *Bradyrhizobium* strains are well-known root nodule forming bacteria that fix atmospheric nitrogen in symbiosis with guar [10, 11] and significantly improved fresh and dry weight of shoot, roots and pods, number of pods and nodules per plant in addition to yield [12]. Moreover, inoculation increased the protein, decreased fiber, and improved seed quality [11, 12].

Furthermore, application of farmyard manures receives great attention and recognition from scientists to improve crops yield. The content of nutrients in farmyard manure encourages use it as a fertilizer. Moreover, it has been shown that application of farmyard manure improves physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil [13, 14]. Application of farmyard manure are very important for countries like Sudan with a predominantly low-input agricultural systems of production, since chemical fertilizers, if available, may not be economically affordable. Moreover, most of Sudan's soils are characterized by low organic matter and low N content [15].

Large amount of farmyard manure are produced every year from the cattle farms in Sudan. Farmyard manure consists of three main groups of components, bedding or litter, solid excreta of the animals and liquid excreta or urine. The nature and relative concentration of these components vary greatly in different manures, depending on the animals and the methods of their feeding and handling as well as feed quality [16]. Since the various components of the manure also differ considerably in chemical composition, it is natural to expect that the decomposition of different manures should vary. Plant residues used for bedding purposes are usually high in carbohydrates, especially in cellulose, and low in nitrogen and nutrient elements. Urine is high in nitrogen and minerals and has very little, of any carbohydrates. Solid excreta contain considerable amounts of proteins, and thus tend to give a more balanced medium for the growth of microorganism.

In the present study the objective was to evaluate inoculation with different *Bradyrhizobium* strains on growth and yield of guar crop during two cultivation seasons at two cases with and without farmyard manure application, and compare the effect with urea and sole farmyard manure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Soil and Site: The experiments were carried out at the experimental farm of the Agricultural Research Corporation at the Gezira Research Station, Wad Medani, Sudan, (latitude 14.4° N longitude 33.5° E and altitude 405 meter above sea level). The locality is in the arid zone with hot summer and short rainy season (July-September). It has a great seasonal variation both in rainfall and temperature. The total average annual rainfall is about 280 mm which varies in inter site distribution (Wad Medani Metrological station). The soil of the experimental area is classified as "find smectitic isohypethemic, Typic Chromusterts, Elremaitab series (Soil survey Staff, 1996) [17]. Chemical and physical characteristics of the soil are shown in Table 1. The fractions of sand, silt and clay was analyzed according to the method described by Kettler *et al.* [18]. Total nitrogen (N) in the soil was determined using Kjeldahl method according to Bradstreet [19]. Organic carbon (C) was determined using Walkley and Black [20] method modified by [21]. Phosphorus (P) percentage was determined according to Jackson [22]. Potassium (K) was determined according to Chapman and Pratt [23].

Table 1: Chemical and physical characteristics of the soil used for cultivating guar.

No.	Abbreviation	Treatments
1	Control	No fertilizer or inoculation
2	2N	Urea added at a rate equivalent to 86 kg N ha ⁻¹
3	FYM1	5 tons of chicken manure ha ⁻¹
4	FYM2	10 tons of chicken manure ha ⁻¹
5	B.1.1	<i>Bradyrhizobium</i> inoculation by strain USDA 3089
6	B.1.2	<i>Bradyrhizobium</i> inoculation by strain USDA 3385
7	B.1.3	<i>Bradyrhizobium</i> inoculation by strain USDA 3386
8	B.1.4	<i>Bradyrhizobium</i> inoculation by isolate ENRRI 16A
9	B.1.1 + FYM1	5 tons of chicken manure ha ⁻¹ and inoculation by strain USDA 3089
10	B.1.1+ FYM2	10 tons of chicken manure ha ⁻¹ and inoculation by strain USDA 3089
11	B.1.2 + FYM1	5 tons of chicken manure ha ⁻¹ and inoculation by strain USDA 3385
12	B.1.2+ FYM2	10 tons of chicken manure ha ⁻¹ and inoculation by strain USDA 3385
13	B.1.3 + FYM1	5 tons of chicken manure ha ⁻¹ and inoculation by strain USDA 3386
14	B.1.3+ FYM2	10 tons of chicken manure ha ⁻¹ and inoculation by strain USDA 3386
15	B.1.4 + FYM1	5 tons of chicken manure ha ⁻¹ and inoculation by strain ENRR 16A
16	B.1.4+ FYM2	10 tons of chicken manure ha ⁻¹ and inoculation by strain ENRR 16A

Table 2: Chemical characteristics of farmyard manures used for cultivating guar plant in both seasons.

Parameters	Values
Physical properties	
Clay (%)	62
Silt (%)	15
Sand (%)	23
Water-holding capacity (%)	29
Bulk density (g cm ⁻³)	1.9
Soil salinity (ECa, dS m ⁻¹)	1.5
Chemical properties	
pH _{paste}	8.1
Total N (%)	0.03
Organic C (%)	0.4
P (g kg ⁻¹ dw)	0.04
K (g kg ⁻¹ dw)	0.4

Table 3: Treatments used in the experiments in both seasons.

Parameters	First season	Second season
pH	7.2	6.8
Tot N (%)	2.36	2.51
Org. C (%)	14.4	14
C/N	6:1	5.6:1
Tot P (%)	0.46	0.47
Tot K (%)	4.6	4.5
Tot Mg (%)	5.9	3.0
Tot Ca (%)	7.3	10.1
Tot Na (%)	0.03	0.12

The water holding capacity was determined using the method described by Forster [24]. Soil pH was determined at a soil-to-water ratio of 1:2 according to Mclean [25] method. Soil bulk density was measured according to Chan [26]. Soil salinity (EC, dS m⁻¹) was measured using the method described by Rhoades [27].

Cattle Manure: Cattle manures were taken from dairy farm located in Agricultural Research Corporation of Wad Medani - Sudan. Chemical characteristics of farmyard manure are shown in Table 2. The pH was determined according to Mclean [25] method. Total N in the manure was determined using Kjeldahl method described by Bradstreet [19]. P was determined according to Jackson [22]. Soluble K, Mg, Ca and Na determined according to Chapman and Pratt [23]. Organic C was determined according to Walkley and Black [20] method modified by [21].

Source of the Strain: Four *Bradyrhizobium* strains were collected and used in the presented study. Three strains were brought from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). Those were USDA 3089, USDA 3385 and USDA 3386 [10]. The fourth strain was an isolate

named ENRRI 16A supplied by the Environment and Natural Resources Institute (ENRRI), Khartoum, Sudan. ENRRI 16A is local strain which has been mentioned in several studies [11, 12].

Experiment Setup: The experiment was conducted for two consecutive seasons to study the effect of *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation, farmyard manure and urea on growth and yield of guar cultivar HFG53 under irrigation. The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design with sixteen treatments and four replications for each. The treatments used in both seasons are showing in Table 3. All treatments received a basal dose of 43 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ as triple superphosphate (TSP), added before sowing. Each of the *Bradyrhizobium* inoculated treatments (B.1.1, B.1.2, B.1.3 and B.1.4) received only 43 kg N ha⁻¹ as urea, as a starter dose to initiate the growth of *Bradyrhizobium* bacteria. The farmyard manures were applied at two rates, 5 and 10 tones ha⁻¹, corresponding to 118 and 236 Kg N ha⁻¹ in the first season and 125 and 250 Kg N ha⁻¹ in the second season, respectively. All plots irrigated before sowing to avoid the effect of fermentation heat on seed germination.

Planting and Harvesting: Seeds of guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L. taubert) were coated with *Bradyrhizobium* inoculum, and then five seeds were sown per hole at 60 cm inter and 30 cm intra-row spacing. Irrigation water was applied immediately after sowing, and thereafter the crop was watered every 14 days. After the third irrigation, plants were thinned to two plants per hole. Three hand weeding were carried out in each season. Urea was applied at the rate equivalent to 86 kg N ha⁻¹, a week after sowing. Plant height (cm) was measured 4, 6 and 8 weeks after sowing (WAS) and then at maturity (14 WAS). Shoot dry weight (g) determined 4, 6 and 8 WAS. Pod dry weight (g) were collected 6 and 8 WAS and at maturity and then dried at 70°C for 48 hours and weight was determined. The crop was harvested manually, when the pods were brown and dry (120 day from sowing). The pods were cut, and then stocked to dry and threshed by hand. Then seed yield was determined on an area from the inner two ridges (5x1.5 m) of each plot, from which seed yield per hectare estimated. Samples of 100 seeds were randomly taken from seed yield of each plot and their weights (g) were determined. Total nitrogen in the seeds was determined using Kjeldahl method described by Bradstreet [19]. Phosphorus percentage was determined according to Jackson [22].

Statistical Analyses: The data were analyzed using the SAS procedure analysis of variance (ANOVA) and mean separation according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test to compare results from treatments regarding plant height, shoot dry weight, pod dry weight, yield, N and P percentages. Differences between treatments were deemed statistically significant at $p < 0.05$ unless otherwise not stated.

RESULTS

Plant Height: Plant height was increased significantly ($P=0.05$) in all treatments in comparison with the control at week 4, 6, 8 and 14 in both seasons

(Fig. 1. A, B). With the exception of treatments B.1.1 + FYM1 and B.1.3 + FYM1 at 4 and 14 WAS and B.1.2 + FYM1 at 8 WAS, all other treatments combining FYM and *Bradyrhizobium* strains significantly increased plants height in the first and second season compared to *Bradyrhizobium* strains inoculation and both levels of FYM treatments (Fig. 1. A, B). Application of 10 t ha^{-1} FYM to inoculated guar plant, resulted in significantly ($P=0.05$) increase plant height compared to the control, urea, *Bradyrhizobium* strains and 5 t ha^{-1} FYM at all sampling dates in first season (Fig. 1. A). While, in the second season, urea treatment (2N) significantly increased plant height compared to the other treatments (Fig. 1. B). No significances different were observed between




Fig. 1: Effect of *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation, farmyard manure and nitrogen on plant height in first (A) and second (B) season. Means followed by the same letters in bars are not significantly different at 5% level of probability according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test ($n = 4$).




Fig. 2: Effect of *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation, farmyard manure and nitrogen on shoot dry weight (g) of guar in first (A) and second (B) season. Means followed by the same letters in bars are not significantly different at 5% level of probability according to Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (n = 4).

Bradyrhizobium strains and FYM1 application in most treatments in both seasons, whereas FYM2 treatment significantly (P= 0.05) increased plant height in most treatments in both seasons compared with *Bradyrhizobium* strains. Urea application significantly (P= 0.05) increased plant height over most of the treatments (FYM, *Bradyrhizobium* strains and FYM + inoculation) in both seasons, excluding B.1.4+FYM2 where plants height was taller at most measuring times.

Shoot Dry Weight: Effects of *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation, farmyard manure and urea application on shoot dry weight (SDW) of guar crop are shown in Fig. 2. A and B. Adding FYM1 and FYM2 to inoculated guar

significantly (P= 0.05) increased SDW over the control at 6 and 8 WAS in the first season (Fig. 2. A), and at 4, 6 and 8 WAS in the second season (Fig. 2. B). *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation, however, significantly increased SDW over the control at 6 and 8 WAS in the first season. In the second season with the exception of B.1.2 at 4 WAS, all other *Bradyrhizobium* strains significantly increased SDW over the control at all sampling dates. There was no significant difference was observed among most *Bradyrhizobium* strains at most of measuring times especially in the second season. FYM1 significantly increased SDW over the control at 6 WAS in the both season, FYM2 treatment except at 4WAS in the first season, significantly increased SDW over the control at all sampling dates. Application of urea (86 Kg N ha⁻¹)




Fig. 3: Effect of *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation, farmyard manure and nitrogen on pod dry weight (g) of guar in first (A) and second (B) season. Means followed by the same letters in bars are not significantly different at 5% level of probability according to Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (n = 4)

significantly increased SDW over the control and *Bradyrhizobium* strains and *Bradyrhizobium* + FYM at all measuring dates in the both seasons, except B.1.4 + FYM2 especially at 8 WAS.

Pod Dry Weight: Effects of *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation, farmyard manure and urea on pod dry weight (PDW) are shown in Fig. 3. A and B. In the first season, the treatments containing *Bradyrhizobium* strain plus FYM, with the exception of B.1.3+FYM1 treatment significantly (P=0.05) increased PDW at 6 WAS, whereas at 8 WAS only B.1.2 + FYM1, B.1.2 + FYM2 and B.1.4 + FYM2 treatments significantly increased PDW. In the second season, all treatments significantly increased PDW over the control at 6 and 8 WAS. Furthermore, the treatments containing *Bradyrhizobium* strains and FYM2 significantly increased PDW over FYM1 and FYM2 as

well as over the control and *Bradyrhizobium* strains at all sampling dates in both seasons, but not compare with 2N treatment. The *Bradyrhizobium* strains significantly increased PDW over the control in both seasons. Moreover, application of FYM1 and FYM2 significantly increased PDW over the control at both sampling dates in the first and second season. Application of urea at rate equivalent to 86 Kg N ha⁻¹ resulted significantly increased PDW over control and FYM and *Bradyrhizobium* strains at all sampling dates in both seasons especially at week 8 (Fig. 3. A and B).

Seed Yield and Seed Weight: Application of FYM1 and FYM2 to inoculated guar plant significantly (p = 0.05) increased seed yield over the control, but there were no significant differences among them, except that in the second season *Bradyrhizobium* strains + FMY2

Table 4: Effect of *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation, farmyard manure and nitrogen on 100-seed weight (g) and seed yield (t ha⁻¹). Means followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly at 5% level of probability according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (n = 4). SE± represent standard error from ANOVA.

Treatment	100 seed weight (g)		Seed yield (t ha ⁻¹)	
	First season	Second season	First season	Second season
Control	3.3a	3.2a	1.8b	1.8c
2N	3.5a	3.4a	2.5a	2.5a
FYM1	3.3a	3.3a	2.1ab	2.2abc
FYM2	3.4a	3.4a	2.3a	2.4ab
B.1.1	3.3a	3.3a	2.2ab	2.1abc
B.1.2	3.3a	3.3a	2.2ab	2.0bc
B.1.3	3.3a	3.3a	2.3a	2.1abc
B.1.4	3.3a	3.3a	2.3a	2.2abc
B.1.1+FYM1	3.4a	3.3a	2.4a	2.4ab
B.1.1+FYM2	3.4a	3.5a	2.6a	2.5a
B.1.2+FYM1	3.4a	3.4a	2.4a	2.4ab
B.1.2+FYM2	3.4a	3.4a	2.5a	2.5a
B.1.3+FYM1	3.4a	3.4a	2.4a	2.3ab
B.1.3+FYM2	3.4a	3.4a	2.5a	2.5a
B.1.4+FYM1	3.4a	3.4a	2.4a	2.5a
B.1.4+FYM2	3.4a	3.5a	2.5a	2.6a
SE±	0.11	0.13	0.25	0.20

Table 5: Effect of *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation, farmyard manure and nitrogen on N and P present of seeds. Means followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly at 5% level of probability according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (n = 4). SE± represent standard error from ANOVA.

Treatments	N (%)		P (%)	
	First season	Second season	First season	Second season
Control	3.1a	3.2a	0.21c	0.25f
2N	3.5a	3.7a	0.26bc	0.29ef
FYM1	3.2a	3.2a	0.29abc	0.36abc
FYM2	3.4a	3.3a	0.36ab	0.36abc
B.1.1	3.3a	3.3a	0.27bc	0.30cdef
B.1.2	3.3a	3.3a	0.26bc	0.29ef
B.1.3	3.3a	3.4a	0.27bc	0.28ef
B.1.4	3.3a	3.4a	0.30abc	0.29ef
B.1.1+FYM1	3.2a	3.6a	0.32ab	0.36abc
B.1.1+FYM2	3.5a	3.7a	0.37a	0.37a
B.1.2+FYM1	3.4a	3.4a	0.31ab	0.35abcd
B.1.2+FYM2	3.6a	3.4a	0.35ab	0.36ab
B.1.3+FYM1	3.5a	3.4a	0.33ab	0.35abcd
B.1.3+FYM2	3.6a	3.6a	0.37a	0.37a
B.1.4+FYM1	3.4a	3.6a	0.34ab	0.36abc
B.1.4+FYM2	3.6a	3.7a	0.37a	0.39a
SE±	0.27	0.36	0.04	0.03

treatments increased the yield significantly compared with *Bradyrhizobium* strains + FYM1. On the other hand, with the exception of *Bradyrhizobium* strain B.1.3 and B.1.4 in the first season inoculation had no significant improve on yield in both seasons. The addition of FYM1 enhanced yield in both seasons, but not significantly, whereas FYM2 and urea (86 Kg N ha⁻¹) significantly increased yield over the control in both seasons (Table 4). Regarding 100 seed weight there were no significant differences among different treatments in both seasons (Table 4).

Content of N and P in the Seed: As far as the seed nitrogen percent is concerned there were no significant differences among all treatments in both seasons (Table 5). Regarding P, the treatments combining FYM and *Bradyrhizobium* strains significantly increased seed P percent over the control in both seasons. On the other hand, *Bradyrhizobium* strains, FYM1 and urea had no significant effect on P percent, whereas FYM2 significantly increased P percent over the control in both seasons (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

Plant Height: Addition of FYM to inoculated guar resulted in significantly increase plant height. This is in agreement with those obtained by Gomaa and Mohamed [28], who found that application of farmyard manure to inoculated guar increase its height. This probably occurred due to the high N available in the soil after treating inoculated guar with FYM. Part of this N will come from the decomposition of FYM and the other part will be fixed from the atmosphere by *Bradyrhizobium* bacteria. FYM alone slightly increased plant height, which is in agreement with Saritha *et al.* [29], who reported that FYM significantly increased guar height. Also inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* strains increased plant height significantly. Ibrahim *et al.* [30] reported that plants grown from inoculated seeds with ENRR 16A were significantly taller than plant grown from non-inoculated seeds. The effect of N application in this study is in agreement with results reported by Sajid *et al.* [31] and Undie *et al.* [32], who stated that addition of N gave significantly taller guar and soybean plants.

Shoot Dry Weight: Farmyard manure at both levels significantly increased shoot dry weight of both inoculated and uninoculated guar plants. Ghosh *et al.* [33] reported that application of farmyard manure significantly

increased dry matter of soybean. This could be attributed to the supply of major and minor plant nutrients [34]. Since *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation resulted in a significant increase in shoot dry weight, it can be concluded that *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation had increased nitrogen fixation capacity since in the vegetative stage, foliage dry matter production is the most reliable index of total nitrogen uptake [35]. The positive effect of *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation has been reported in several studies to increase the shoot dry weight of guar plants [11, 30].

Nitrogen application increased shoot dry weight significantly. Shu *et al.* [36] reported that nitrogenous compounds play a major role in protein and chlorophyll synthesis and thus increase the photosynthetic ability and consequently dry matter production. Forawi and Elsheikh [37] reported that, nitrogen application (86 Kg N ha⁻¹) significantly increased shoot dry weight of fenugreek.

Pod Dry Weight: Application of FYM to inoculated and uninoculated guar plant increased pod dry weight. But the addition of high rate of FYM (10 t ha⁻¹) to both inoculated and uninoculated guar plant gave better results than 5 t ha⁻¹ FYM. This was probably due to FYM supplies major and minor plant nutrients that essential for plant growth [38]. Furthermore, pods dry weight was improved with *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation, which are in agreement with those obtained by Ibrahim *et al.* [30] and Elsheikh and Ibrahim [11], who reported that inoculation significantly increased pods dry weight of guar.

The results in present study showed that nitrogen application gave the highest pod dry weight, which indicated that neither native nor important rhizobia can produce full N requirement of guar. Wedderburn [39] stated that small doses of nitrogen applied at earlier stage of growth help the plant to establish well and encourage better nodulation and hence nitrogen fixation.

Seed Yield and Seed Weight: Application of 5 t ha⁻¹ of farmyard manure improved seed yield significantly with inoculating plants and insignificantly with uninoculated plants, whereas application of 10 tons ha⁻¹ significantly increased seed yield over the control with both inoculated and uninoculated guar plant. This also has been reported in several studies, Ayoola and Makinde [40] reported that cow manure give comparable seed yields of maize. Nira and Hamaguchi [41] stated that cattle manure significantly increased yield of soybeans over control.

Inoculation with each of the four *Bradyrhizobium* strains did not significantly affect seed yield. However, seed yield of guar was significantly was increased by nitrogen application. Recommendation on the application levels of nitrogen fertilizer for the establishment of legumes nodules varies widely [42]. For example application of nitrogen at a rate of 25 Kg ha⁻¹ appeared to improve nodulation but higher rates reduced it. Nitrogen applied at a rate of 79 Kg N ha⁻¹ to non-inoculated fenugreek plants at flowering increased yield significantly [37].

Application of FYM to both inoculated and uninoculated guar plants increased the 100 seed weight but the differences in 100 seed weight between inoculated and uninoculated plants were not significant. Similar results on fenugreek were obtained by Abdelgani *et al.* [43]. Inoculated or fertilized guar accumulates more shoot dry matter than the control. Shibles *et al.* [44] confirmed that carbohydrates accumulating in soybean leaves prior to seed development are later utilized in seed growth. The slight increments in the 100 seed weight of fertilized and inoculated guar plants over those of the control might be due to comparatively higher amounts of stored carbohydrates which were then utilized in seed growth. Similar result were observed by Ibrahim *et al.* [30] and Abdelgani *et al.* [43] on fenugreek, who reported that inoculation and nitrogen application had no significant effect on 100 seed weight.

Content of N and P in the Seed: All treatments enhanced N content but not significantly. Urea and *Bradyrhizobium* treatments yielded seeds with higher N content compare to FYM. This is probably due to that almost all the nitrogen in FYM is combined with organic substances and is released only after decomposition. In practice about a third of the nitrogen is released quite quickly, but the rest is very resistant and persists for a long time in the soil [45]. Singh and Singh [46] reported that inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* strains increased N content in guar seeds over uninoculated control by 28%. This could probably be due to the increase in N fixing efficiency of inoculated plants. This inoculation should have enhanced the symbiotic properties of guar plants leading to better growth and production.

Application of FYM to both inoculated and uninoculated guar significantly increased P concentration. Much of P is combined with organic matter and little is known of its values but roughly half of the total P content

is readily available to crops [47]. Application of FYM will supply the soil with P which enhances germination of nodules and N fixation as reported by Kouas *et al.* [48].

Bradyrhizobium inoculation and nitrogen fertilizer had no significant effect on P concentration, which in agreement with Gomaa and Mohamed [28] foundation, who reported that seed P content, was not significantly affected by *Bradyrhizobium* strains and nitrogen application.

CONCLUSIONS

The results obtained in this study clearly indicated that *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation substantially enhanced growth, yield components, seed yield and seed quality of guar. Whereas farmyard manure in combination with *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation were to be better than the farmyard manure used alone. Also fertilization of inoculated or uninoculated guar with farmyard manure and urea not only increased plant growth and yield, but also improved seed N and P.

REFERENCES

1. Mudgil, D., S. Barak and B.S. Khatkar, 2014. Guar gum: processing, properties and food applications- A Review. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 51(3): 409-418.
2. Kays, S.E., J.B. Morris and Y. Kim, 2006. Total and soluble dietary fiber variation in *Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) *taub.* (guar) genotypes. *Journal of Food Quality*, 29(4): 383-391.
3. Kaushal, G.P. and I.S. Bhatia, 1982. A study of polyphenols in the seeds and leaves of guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L. *Taub.*). *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 33(5): 461-470.
4. Ganatra, S.H., A.M. Ramteke, S.P. Durge and S.U. Patil, 2013. Phytochemicals investigation and tlc profiling of *Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L. seeds (Fabaceae) - pea family. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences And Research*, 4(4): 1551-1555.
5. Undersander, D.J., D.H. Putnam, A.R. Kaminski, K.A. Kelling, J.D. Doll, E.S. Oplinger and J.L. Gunsolus, 2014. Guar. [cited 2014 12 December]; Available from: <https://hort.purdue.edu/newcrop/afcm/guar.html>.
6. Zahran, H.H., 1999. Rhizobium-legume symbiosis and nitrogen fixation under severe conditions and in an arid climate. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 63(4): 968-989.
7. Parija, S., M. Misra and A.K. Mohanty, 2001. Studies of natural gum adhesive extracts: An overview. *Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part C*, 41(3): 175-197.
8. Gutierrez, O., C. Zhang, A.L. Cartwright, J.B. Carey and C.A. Bailey, 2007. Use of guar by-products in high-production laying hen diets. *Poultry Science*, 86(6): 1115-1120.
9. Sprent, J.I., D.W. Odee and F.D. Dakora, 2010. African legumes: a vital but under-utilized resource. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 61(5): 1257-1265.
10. Keyser, H.U. and F.G. Richard, 1987. Beltsville rhizobium culture collection catalog, U.S.D.o. Agriculture, Editor. Agricultural Research Service. pp: 79.
11. Elsheikh, E.A.E. and K.A. Ibrahim, 1999. The effect of *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation on yield and seed quality of guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.). *Food Chemistry*, 65(2): 183-187.
12. Ibrahim, K.A., K.H. Suliman and A.A. Abdalla, 2010. Influence of inoculation with some *Bradyrhizobium* strains on yield attributes, seed proximate composition and minerals content of guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) grown in Sudan. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Scien*, 4(5): 808-816.
13. Odlare, M., M. Pell and K. Svensson, 2008. Changes in soil chemical and microbiological properties during 4 years of application of various organic residues. *Waste Management*, 28(7): 1246-1253.
14. Hati, K.M., A. Swarup, A.K. Dwivedi, A.K. Misra and K.K. Bandyopadhyay, 2007. Changes in soil physical properties and organic carbon status at the topsoil horizon of a vertisol of central India after 28 years of continuous cropping, fertilization and manuring. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 119(1-2): 127-134.
15. Rezig, A.M., E. Elhadi and A. Mubarak, 2012. Effect of incorporation of some wastes on a wheat-guar rotation system on soil physical and chemical properties. *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture*, 1(1): 1.

16. Bernal, M.P., J.A. Albuquerque and R. Moral, 2009. Composting of animal manures and chemical criteria for compost maturity assessment. A review. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(22): 5444-5453.
17. Soil Survey Staff, 7th Edition of Keys to Soil Taxonomy. 1996: United States Department of Agriculture. Natural Resources Conservation Service Texas, pp: 644.
18. Kettler, T.A., J.W. Doran and T.L. Gilbert, 2001. Simplified method for soil particle-size determination to accompany soil-quality analyses. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 65(3): 849-852.
19. Bradstreet, R.B., 1954. Kjeldahl method for organic nitrogen. *Analytical Chemistry*, 26(1): 185-187.
20. Walkley, A. and I.A. Black, 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*, 37(1): 29-38.
21. Gaudette, H.E., W.R. Flight, L. Toner and D.W. Folger, 1974. An inexpensive titration method for the determination of organic carbon in recent sediments. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 44(1): 249-253.
22. Jackson, M.L., 1958. *Soil chemical analysis*. Verlag: Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernährung, Düngung, Bodenkunde*, pp: 214-221.
23. Chapman, H.D. and P.F. Pratt, 1962. *Methods of analysis for soils, plants and waters*. *Soil Science*, 93(1): 68....??
24. Forster, J.C., 1995. *Soil Sampling, Handling, Storage and Analysis*, in *Methods in Applied Soil Microbiology and Biochemistry*, A. Kassem and N. Paolo, Editors. Academic Press: London. pp: 49-121.
25. Mclean, E.O., 1982. *Soil pH and Lime Requirement*, in Page, A.L., *et al.*, Eds., *Methods of soil analysis, Part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties - Agronomy Monograph no. 9 (2nd Edition)*: Madison, Wisconsin, American Society of Agronomy and Soil Sciences Society of America, pp: 199-225.
26. Chan, K.Y., 2007. Bulk Density, in *Encyclopedia of Soil Science, Second Edition*. Taylor & Francis. pp: 191-193.
27. Rhoades, J.D., 1996. Salinity: electrical conductivity and total dissolved solids, in *Methods of soil analysis Part 3- Chemical Methods*, D.L. Sparks, *et al.*, Editors. Soil Science Society of America, American Society of Agronomy: Madison, WI.
28. Gomaa, A.M. and M.H. Mohamed, 2007. Application of bio-organic agriculture and its effect on guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) root nodules, forage, seed yield and yield quality. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 3(1): 91-96.
29. Saritha, M., B. Vijayakumari, Y.R. Hiranmai and L.S. Kandari, 2013. Influence of selected organic manures on the seed germination and seedling growth of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub). *Science, Technology and Arts Research Journal*, 2(2): 16-21.
30. Ibrahim, K.A., K.H. Suliman, A.A. Abdalla, M.M. Zaied, E.A. Mohamed, A.I. Ahmed and S.K. Mukhtar, 2011. Response of growth, yield and seed quality of guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) to *Bradyrhizobium* inoculations. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 10(9): 805-813.
31. Sajid, M., I. Ahmed and A. Rab, 2009. Effect of nitrogen levels on the yield and yield component of guar gum (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*). *American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 3(1): 29-32.
32. Undie, U.L., D.F. Uwah and E.E. Attoe, 2012. Growth and development of late season maize/soybean intercropping in response to nitrogen and crop arrangement in the forest agro-ecology of south southern Nigeria. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*, 7: 1-16.
33. Ghosh, P.K., P. Ramesh, K.K. Bandyopadhyay, A.K. Tripathi, K.M. Hati, A.K. Misra and C.L. Acharya, 2004. Comparative effectiveness of cattle manure, poultry manure, phosphocompost and fertilizer-NPK on three cropping systems in vertisols of semi-arid tropics. I. Crop yields and system performance. *Bioresource Technology*, 95:177-83.
34. Nyiraneza, J., M.H. Chantigny, A. N'Dayegamiye and M.R. Laverdiere, 2009. Dairy cattle manure improves soil productivity in low residue rotation systems. *Agronomy Journal*, 101(1): 207-214.
35. Brockwell, J., 1980. Experiments with crop and pasture legumes - principles and practice, in *In: Methods for Evaluating Biological Nitrogen Fixation*, (Ed. FJ Bergerson) (John Wiley and Sons: Chichester, UK), Wiley. pp: 417-488
36. Shu, S., S. Guo and L. Yuan, 2012. Review: Polyamines and Photosynthesis, in *Advances in Photosynthesis - Fundamental Aspects*, M.M. Najafpour, Editor. *Agricultural and Biological Sciences*.

37. Forawi, H.A.S. and E.A.E. Elsheikh, 1995. Response of fenugreek to inoculation as influenced by salinity, soil texture, chicken manure and nitrogen. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 3(2): 77-90.
38. Das, S.K., 2014. Role of micronutrient in rice cultivation and management strategy in organic agriculture—A reappraisal. *Agricultural Sciences*, 5: 765-769.
39. Wedderburn, M.E., 1983. The effect of source, concentration and time of application of nitrogen on the growth, nodulation and nitrogen fixation of *Lotus pedunculatus* and *Trifolium repens*. *Plant and Soil*, 74(1): 83-91.
40. Ayoola, O.T. and E.A. Makinde, 2009. Maize growth, yield and soil nutrient changes with N-enriched organic fertilizers. *African Journal of Food Agriculture Nutrition and Development*, 9(1): 580-592.
41. Nira, R. and H. Hamaguchi, 2012. Nitrogen accumulation in soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] is increased by manure compost application in drained paddy fields as a result of increased soil nitrogen mineralization. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 58(6): 764-771.
42. Laws, M.T. and W.R. Graves, 2005. Nitrogen inhibits nodulation and reversibly suppresses nitrogen fixation in nodules of *Alnus maritima*. *Journal of American Horticultural Science*, 130(4): 496-499.
43. Abdelgani, M.E., E.A.E. Elsheikh and N.O. Mukhtar, 2002. Effect of rhizobium inoculation and chicken manure fertilization on growth, nodulation and yield of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenumgraecum* L.). *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 11(1): 28-39.
44. Shibles, R.M., C. Anderson and A.H. Gibson, 1975. Soybean. pp. 151–189. *In: Eevans, L.T. (ed.). Crop physiology*. Cambridge University Press, London..
45. Anderson, H.A. and D. Vaughan, 1985. Soil nitrogen: Its extraction, distribution and dynamics, in *Soil organic matter and biological activity*, D. Vaughan and R.E. Malcolm, Editors. Springer Netherlands. pp: 289-327.
46. Singh, R.V. and R.R. Singh, 1989. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and seeding rates on yield, nutrient uptake and water use of guar under dryland conditions. *Annals of Agricultural Research*, 10(3): 299-306.
47. Bomans, E., K. Fransen, A. Gobin, J. Mertens, P. Michiels, H. Vandendriessche and N. Vogels, 2005. Addressing phosphorus related problems in farm practice, pp: 283.
48. Kouas, S., N. Labidi, A. Debez and C. Abdelly, 2005. Effect of P on nodule formation and N fixation in bean. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 25(3): 389-393.