American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 14 (6): 516-520, 2014
ISSN 1818-6769

DOI: 10.5829/idosi.aejaes.2014.14.06.12343

Evaluation of Watermelon Retrotransposon Elements in Melon

Saeideh gholamzadeh khoei, Babak Abdollahi Mandoulakani and Iraj Bernousi

Department of Plant Breeding and Biotechnology,
Faculty of Agriculture, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran

Abstract: Melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) is an important vegetable crop in Iran and it is regarded as the most morphologically diverse species in the genus *Cucumis*. Study of genetic variability is very important to understand ecological adaptation of natural plant populations and to estimate of it potential for breeding. The aim of this work was to reveal most effective watermelons retrotransposon-based primers for analysis of Iranian melon population. Fifty primers were tested to find out those showing highest level of polymorphism in samples involved in the investigation. Selected primers can be applied to Iranian melon genetic resources consisting from breeding varieties and populations.

Key words: *Cucumis melo* L. · Genetic variability · Retrotransposon

INTRODUCTION

Cucurbitaceae, or cucurbit family, are important crops widely distributed in the warmest areas of the world supplying humans with edible products and useful fibres. Melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) was first described by Linné 1753 in *Species planetarum*. It is a member of the family Cucurbitaceae represented by some 118 genera and 825 species [1]. Melon is found in very dry areas. The geographical distribution of wild melon is: Africa, Asia (Iran, China, India, Japan, Korea and also Australia [2]. *C. melo* includes a wide range of cultivars and its polymorphism in leaf, flower, fruit shape and color creates difficulties on the systematic classification so that the one proposed by Naudin [3], who grouped the species into the five botanical varieties of *cantalupensis*, *reticulatus*, *saccharinus*, *inodorus* and *flexuosus*, was and is the most used.

High genetic diversity in melon has been studied using either biochemical isozyme markers [4] or different molecular markers [5], such as: RFLP (restriction fragment length polymorphism) [6], RAPD (Randomly Amplified Polimorphic DNA) [7], SSR (Simple Sequence Repeats or microsatelites) [8]. Due to the abundance of retrotransposons (RTNs) in plant genomes and their ability to create new copies, they been used as molecular markers [9, 10]. In 1956, Barbara McClintock [11] found some mutagenesis factors, called transposable elements, that can be divided into two main classes: DNA transposons that move through their intermediate DNA using a cut-and-paste mechanism and retrotransposons that increase their copy number through a cycle of transcription and integration back to the genome on condition that the older copy still persists and causes increase of genome size. In many crop plants, between 40 to 70 % of the total DNA comprise retrotransposons [12]. Due to the features, such as: integration activity, persistence, dispersion, conserved structure, sequence motifs and high copy number; retroelements can widely be used as molecular markers today. There are several marker systems based on the analysis of distribution of different retrotransposons in genome. Sequences of LTR retrotransposons are suitable to identify polymorphism of analyzed forms belonging to a single species by different PCR-fingerprinting techniques: IRAP (Inter-Retrotransposon Amplified Polymorphism), REMAP (Retrotransposon-Microsatellite Amplified Polymorphism) and SSAP (Sequence-Specific Amplification Polymorphism) methods [9]. A major disadvantage of RTN-based molecular markers is a necessity of development the own molecular marker systems for each plant species depending on specific retrotransposon

Corresponding Author: Saeideh gholamzadeh khoei, Department of Plant Breeding and Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran.
Tel: +989141494854.

sequences. Till now, they are not available markers for all crops of the interest. However, related species have similar RTN sequences, meaning that primers designed based on LTR sequences of an RTN can be readily used across species lines, among closely related genera and even sometimes between plant families [13]. In this study several IRAP and REMAP primers from watermelon were tested to select those which recover most of polymorphism of melon samples involved in the investigation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Thitty (30) genotype from 6 populations (5 plants from each population) were used to detect best suited (polymorphic) primers. The populations were provided from iran; Khatooni Farimani (KhF), Dargazi Tashkandi (DTa), Zivari Shahroud (ZSh), Chahpaliz (Cha), Minoo (Min), Shadegani E (ShE) (Table 1).

Fresh leaves were used for DNA extraction, as described previously [14] with slight modifications. The quality and concentration of the DNA were measured using a spectrophotometer (Eppendorf Biofhotometer, Germany) and electrophoresis in a 0.8% (w/v) agarose gel. The amplification profile (according to Abdollahi Mandoulakani's method) [15] composed of an initial denaturation at 94°C for 4 min, followed by 35 cycles at 94°C for 45 s, 53°C to 55°C for 40 s, 72°C for 2 min and a final extension of 5 min at 72°C. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis using 1.8% agarose gel in 0.5× TBE buffer with constant voltage of 65 V for 3 to 4 h. Gels were stained by ethidium bromide, then visualized under UV light and photographed using a gel documentation system.

In this work, we use 4 watermelon's RTNs primer and 10 ISSR primers (Table 2).

Four (4) primers isolated from watermelon, produce 10 IRAP (4 single and 6 IRAP primer combinations) and 40 REMAP primers including 4 IRAP primers combined with 10 3'-anchored ISSR primers were used to study RTN activity and analyze genetic diversity in 30 melon genotypes.

RESULTS

At last, from 50 tested primers, 12 primers (4 IRAP and 8 REMAP) show high PCR efficiency in melon genome (Table 3). This primers indicate 94% polymorphism between melon genotypes (Fig. 1). 38 primers gave monomorphic products.

IRAP Analysis: Of the tested IRAP primers, three single and 1 IRAP primer combination (Table 3) generated scorable and polymorphic banding pattern among 30 melon genotypes. Single primers LTR2453, LTR2467, LTR2476 produced polymorphic banding patterns. Most of the non-native IRAP primer combinations amplified scorable and polymorphic banding patterns with the exception of LTR2452, produced no polymorphic banding patterns in IRAP reactions. Primer LTR2467 were 100% polymorphic (Fig.1).

REMAP Analysis: Of the 40 tested REMAP primer combinations, 8 generated scorable and polymorphic banding pattern among 30 melon genotypes (Table 3). All non-native primer combinations produced polymorphic banding pattern in REMAP reactions. The size of the amplified loci ranged from 75 to 2000 bp.



Fig. 1: Polymorphism detected by IRAP primer LTR2467. Lanes from left to right: 1 to 4 individuals from population Khatooni Farimani; 5 to 8 individuals from population Dargazi Tashkandi; 9 to 12 individuals from population Chahpaliz; 13 to 16 individuals from population Zivari Shahroud. 17 to 19: individuals from population Shadegani E; M: 1 kb O'GeneRuler™ DNA ladder (Fermentas) in base pairs.

Table 1: Studied melon populations, hybrids and inbred lines

Name	Abbreviation	Origin	botanical varieties
Khatooni Farimani	KhF	Iran--Fariman	Inodorus
Dargazi Tashkandi	DTa	Iran--Dargaz	Inodorus
Zivari Shahroud	ZSh	Iran--Shahroud	Inodorus
Chahpaliz	Cha	Iran-Mashhad	Inodorus
Minoo	Min	Iran-Gonabad	Inodorus
Shadegani E	ShE	Iran-Ahvaz	Inodorus

Table 2: Sequences and source of the primers used in this study

primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Primer source
LTR2452	TCCTGGTAACACTATGGATACGAC	Watermelon retrotransposon
LTR2453	CTTATACGTCTGAAGGACAGGGTTTC	Watermelon retrotransposon
LTR2467	ACGGTTACGGGCGTGTTCCTCTTCCA	Watermelon retrotransposon
LTR2476	GACTTCAAGCTACTTCGAATGGGTTGTC	Watermelon retrotransposon
A7	AGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGT	ISSR
UBC808	AGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGC	ISSR
UBC811	GAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAAC	ISSR
UBC816	CACACACACACACACAT	ISSR
UBC825	ACACACACACACACACT	ISSR
UBC826	ACACACACACACACACC	ISSR
UBC834	AGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGY*T	ISSR
UBC840	GAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAY*T	ISSR
UBC855	ACACACACACACACACYT	ISSR
UBC880	GGAGAGGAGAGGAGA	ISSR

Table 3: list and Tm of the used IRAP and REMAP primer combinations in the current study

Primer	Tm
IRAP	
LTR2467	53
LTR2476	54
LTR2453	53
LTR2467+LTR2453	55
REMAP	
LTR2467+855	53
LTR2476+825	50
LTR2467+826	52
LTR2452+855	52
LTR2452+825	50
LTR2452+808	50
LTR2452+840	50
LTR2453+A7	50

Tm: annealing temperature

DISCUSSION

Watermelon LTR-based primers produced polymorphic banding patterns, suggesting that these elements may have relatives in *C. melo* and probably come from the common ancestors before the divergence of melon and watermelon in evolutionary processes. RTNs are the most common type of mobile genetic elements [16] and transpose through an RNA intermediate by a copy and paste mechanism [17, 18]. They can amplify to high copy numbers and may be the major contributors to genome size and genetic variability and play important roles in the evolution of genome [19, 20]. Several RTN-based molecular marker methods such as IRAP (inter-retrotransposon amplified polymorphism) and REMAP (retrotransposon-microsatellite amplified polymorphism) have been developed, which rely on the principle that a joint is formed between the RTN and the genomic DNA during the integration process [9]. The RTN-based markers have been applied successfully to the analysis of phylogenetic evolution and genetic diversity in genera and species as diverse as alfalfa [15], apple [21], flax [22], sunflower [23] and grapevine [24]. Moreover, these molecular markers are used for construction of the genetic maps and gene identification [25]. Several investigations have demonstrated that primers designed based on LTR sequences of RTN families can be readily used across species lines, among closely related genera and even sometimes between plant families [13,15]. Abdollahi Mandoulakani *et al*. [15] stated that RTNs Lore1 and

Lore2 characterized in the model legume *Lotus japonicus*, are transpositionally active in *Medicago sativa.* Nasri *et al*. [26] stated that Bare1 and Sukkula as non-native RTNs have relatives in Iranian wheat genome and are trasnspositionally active as earlier demonstrated. Vicient *et al*. [27] stated that grasses share families of transcriptionally, translationally and integrationally active RTNs. Our study showed that LTR2452 from watermelon may not be as a form of local clusters in melon genome, since no bands were amplified when this RTN primer used alone in IRAP reactions. Primer LTR2453 generated much polymorphism in combination with LTR2467, indicating the insertion of this RTN near or into the other RTNs in melon genome. The insertion of the RTNs near or into each other has been reported in plant genomes [28]. Much polymorphism detected by REMAPs since the number of bands produced by REMAP better shows the number of LTRs present in the genome than by IRAP [9], explaining the high average percentage of REMAP polymorphism. RTNs may integrate in principle in either orientation into the genome and hence, any two members of one or different RTN families may be found head to head, tail to tail, or head to tail [9, 15]. Therefore, combined primers from LTR ends of different RTN families were used in IRAP reactions to increase the probability of finding bands. The generated REMAP patterns resulted from the proximity between SSRs and the LTR region [9]. Moreover, the multiplicity of REMAP loci per each melon genotype reflected the insertion events between LTR sequences of the used RTNs and the SSR regions. The insertion of RTNs near different SSR motifs has been already stated in wheat [29]. These results might be useful in genetic diversity investigation and designing melon breeding programs and defining strategies for germplasm conservation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are gratefully thanked to Urmia University for the financial support of the work, as well as Institute of Biotechnology of Urmia University for providing the lab facilities.

REFERENCES

1. Jeffrey, D., 1990. Biology and Utilization of the Cucurbitaceae, pp: 449.
2. Kirkbride, J.H., 1993. Biosystematic monograph of the genus Cucumis (Cucurbitaceae). Parkway publication Boone. North Carolina USA., pp: 159.
3. Naudin, C.V., 1859. Essais d'une monofraphie des espec eetdes varieties du genre Cucumis. Ann. Sci. Nat Bot Ser., 4(11): 5-87.
4. McCreight, J.E., J.D. Staub and A. Lopez-Sese, 2004. Isozyme variation in Indian and Chinese melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) germplasm collections. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci., 129: 811-818.
5. Garcia-Mas, J., 2000. The genome of melon (*Cucumis melo* L.). Proc Natl Acad Sci., 109: 11872-11877.
6. Silberstein, L., I. Kovalski, R. Huang, K. Anagnostou, M. Jahn and R. Perl-Treves, 1999. Molecular variation in melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) as revealed by RFLP and RAPD markers. Scientia Hort., 79: 101-111.
7. Luan, F., I. Delannay and J.E. Staub, 2008. Chinese melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) diversity analyses provide strategies for germplasm curation, genetic improvement and evidentiary support of domestication patterns. Euphytica, 164: 445-461.
8. Kacar, Y.A., O. Simsek, I. Solmaz, N. Sari and Y.Y. Mendi, 2012. Genetic diversity among melon accessions (*Cucumis melo*) from Turkey based on SSR markers. Genet Mol Res., 4: 4622-4631.
9. Kalendar, R., T. Grob, M. Regina, A. Souniemi and A.H. Schulman, 1999. IRAP and REMAP: Two new retrotransposon-based DNA fingerprinting techniques. Theor Appl Genet., 98: 704-711.
10. Kalendar, R., A.J. Flavell, T.H.N. Ellis, T. Sjakste, C. Moisy and A.H. Schulman, 2011. Analysis of plant diversity with retrotransposon-based molecular markers. Heredity, 106: 520-530.
11. McClintock, B., 1984. The significance of responses of the genome to challenge. Science, 226: 792-801.
12. Benetzen, J.L., 2000. Transposable element contributions to plant gene and genome evolution. Plant Mol Biol., 42: 251-269.
13. Lou, Q. and J. Chen, 2007. Ty1-copia retrotransposon-based SSAP marker development and its potential in the genetic study of cucurbits. Genome, 50: 802-810.
14. Ausubel, F.M., R.R. Brent, E. Kingston, D.D. Moore, J.G. Seidman, *et al*., 1995. Current Protocols in Molecular Biology. Jon Wiley New York.
15. Abdollahi Mandoulakani, B., Y. Piri, R. Darvishzadeh, I. Bernoosi and M. Jafari, 2012. Retroelement insertional polymorphism and genetic diversity in Medicago sativa populations revealed by IRAP and REMAP markers. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep., 8: 137-146.

16. Flavell, A.J., E. Dunbar, R. Anderson, S.R. Pearce, R. Hartley and A. Kumar, 1992. Ty1-copia group retrotransposons are ubiquitous and heterogeneous in higher plants. Nucleic Acids Res., 20: 3639-3644.
17. Grandbastien, M.A., 1992. Retroelements in higher plants. Trends Genet., 8: 103-108.
18. Wang, F., Z. Tong, J. Sun, Y. Shen, J. Zhou, Z. Gao and Z. Zhang, 2010. Genome-wide detection of Ty1-copia and Ty3-gypsy group retrotransposons in Japanese apricot (*Prunus mume* Sieb. et Zucc.). African J. Biotech., 9(50): 8583-8596.
19. Feschotte, C., N. Jiang and S.R. Wessler, 2002. Plant transposable elements: where genetics meets genomics. Nat Rev Genet, 3: 329-341.
20. Jiang, B., Z.M. Wu, Q.F. Lou, D. Wang, W.P. Zhang and J.F. Chen, 2010. Genetic diversity of Ty1-copia retrotransposons in a wild species of Cucumis (*C. hystrix*). Scientia Hort., 127: 46-53.
21. Kristiina, A.K., R. Kalendar and A.H. Schulman, 2006. TRIM retrotransposons occur in apple and are polymorphic between varieties but not sports. Theor Appl Genet., 112: 999-1008.
22. Smykal, P., N. Bacova-Kerteszova, R. Kalendar, J. Corander, A.H. Schulman and M. Pavelek, 2010. Genetic diversity of cultivated flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) germplasm assessed by retrotransposon-based markers. Theor Appl Genet., 122: 1385-1397.
23. Vukich, M., A.H. Schulman, T. Giordani, L. Natali, R. Kalendar and A. Cavallini, 2009. Genetic variability in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) and in the Helianthus genus as assessed by retrotransposon-based molecular markers. Theor Appl Genet., 119: 1027-1038.
24. Onofrio, C.D., G.D. Lorenzis, T. Giordani, L. Natali, A. Cavallini and G. Scalabrelli, 2010. Retrotransposon-based molecular markers for grapevine species and cultivars identification. Tree Genet Genom., 6: 451-466.
25. Manninen, O., R. Kalendar, J. Robinson and A.H. Schulman, 2000. Application of BARE-1 retrotransposon markers to map a major resistance gene for net blotch in barley. Mol. Gen. Genet., 264: 325-334.
26. Nasri, S., B. Abdollahi Mandoulakani, R. Darvishzadeh and I. Bernousi, 2013. Retrotransposon insertional polymorphism in Iranian bread wheat cultivars and breeding lines revealed by IRAP and REMAP markers. Biochem Genet., 51: 927-943.
27. Vicient, C.M., R. Kalendar, K. Anamthawat-Jonsson, A. Suoniemi and A.H. Schulman, 1999. Structure, functionality and evolution of the BARE-1 retrotransposon of barley. Genetica., 107: 53-63.
28. Carvalho, A., H. Guedes-Pinto, P. Martins-Lopes and J.E. Lima-Brito, 2010. Genetic variability of old Portuguese bread wheat cultivars assayed by IRAP and REMAP markers. Ann Appl Biol., 156: 337-345.
29. Carvalho, A., H. Guedes-Pinto and J.E. Lima-Brito, 2012. Genetic diversity in old Portuguese durum wheat cultivars assessed by retrotransposon-based markers. Plant Mol Biol Rep., 30: 578-589.