

Influences of Different Levels of Sweet Potato Peels on Growth and Feeding Parameters and Biochemical Responses of *Cyprinus carpio* (Cyprinidae)

¹Moein Faramarzi, ¹Mohammad Lashkarboloki, ¹Saeed Kiaalvandi and ²Farnaz Iranshahi

¹Department of Fishery, Gonbad University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Gonbad, Iran
²Department of Fishery, Shahid Bahonar University, Kerman, Iran

Abstract: This experiment was carried out to evaluate the performance of the *Cyprinus carpio* fed different levels of processed sweet potato peels. The different levels of sweet potato peel in the different experimental diets were 0, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25% (all diets were iso-nitrogenous - 31.23±0.22% crude protein). Twenty mixed-sexed fingerlings of the experimental fish (mean weight 0.47±0.01 g) were fed the different diets for a period of 10 weeks in triplicates. The greatest increase in body weight (1.30±0.07 g) of the fish was achieved with the control diet (P < 0.05), this was followed by the fish fed diet with 5% of the peel (0.90±0.06 g) while the least increase in body weight (0.46±0.01 g) was obtained in the fish fed diet with 25% of the peel (P < 0.05). Similarly, the best specific growth rate (SGR) and apparent digestibility were obtained in the fish fed the control diet, while the fish fed with diet containing 25% of the peel recorded the least SGR and apparent digestibility. Analysis of the results of plasma glucose and plasma protein revealed that there were no deleterious effects recorded in the test fish due to the dietary inclusion of the sweet potato peel. Analysis of the results revealed that *Cyprinus carpio* could tolerate up to 15% level of inclusion of sweet potato peel. The significance of this study finding is that sweet potato peels can be incorporated into fish feeds in order to reduce the cost associated with production of farmed fish.

Key words: *Cyprinus carpio* • SGR • Potato • Nutrition • Biochemical responses

INTRODUCTION

The population of the world is growing at an exponential rate and this situation calls for quick action and an aggressive approach tailored at food production to feed the already high human population in order to ameliorate inadequate food supplies and the consequential malnutrition. One of the promising solutions to the shortage of animal protein intake in developing countries is the proper development of aquaculture [1]. Fish feed is the most expensive input in aquaculture operations [2]. Much of the high cost of feed arises from extensive reliance on protein sources, such as fishmeal and shrimp meal [3, 4]. The shortage and high cost of pelleted feed severely constrained the development of low cost aquaculture systems suitable for small-scale farmers in the developing countries; hence, the need to assess the potential of non-conventional raw ingredients such as the sweet potato peels. It would

therefore be more economical to utilize plant protein in fish feeding than high cost animal protein materials [5].

The use of plant-derived materials as fish feed ingredients is limited by the presence of a wide variety of anti-nutritional substances [6]. Among these are protease inhibitors, phytates, glucosinolates, saponins, tannins, lectins, oligosaccharides and non-starch polysaccharides, phytoestrogens, alkaloids, antigenic compounds, gossypols, cynogens, mimosine, cyclopropenoid, fatty acids, canaranine, antivitamin and phorbol esters. These authors noted that protease inhibitors, phytates, antigenic compounds and alkaloids, at levels usually present in fish diets containing commercially available plants-derived protein sources, are unlikely to affect fish growth performance. In contrast, glucosinolates, saponins, tannins, soluble non-starch polysaccharides, gossypol and phorbol esters are more important from a practical point of view. However, as noted by Kays [7], sweet potato peel is devoid of most of these agents as the sweet

potato plant usually stores these chemicals in its tubers. The test fish, *Oreochromis niloticus* are principally herbivorous, although occasionally omnivorous. This is an efficient converter of waste foodstuff and appears to thrive well on artificial supplemental feed [8].

The main aim of this study is to reduce the cost of conventional fish diets while the objective is to investigate the effect of sweet potato peels inclusion in fish diet on growth responses of *Cyprinus carpio*, food utilization and glucose and protein content of the blood plasma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection and Acclimatization of Experimental Fish:

Four hundred and twenty mixed-sex fingerlings of the *Cyprinus carpio* of the same broodstock (mean weight 0.47 ± 0.01 g) obtained from the hatchery of the personal Fish Farm, Sari, Iran, were used for this investigation. The fingerlings were acclimatized to laboratory conditions for 14 days.

Formulation of Experimental Diets: The peels used in diet formulation were obtained from fresh sweet potatoes, washed properly and sun-dried for ten days under hygienic conditions (placed on presterilized white tray and covered with wire-mesh), after which they were winnowed and sieved to get rid of any foreign materials. The peels were then milled into a fine powder and sieved through a 0.5 mm mesh screen. Proximate analysis of the processed peel is presented in Table 1. Other raw ingredients of the experimental diets were fishmeal, groundnut cake, corn meal, cassava flour, corn oil, α -cellulose, chromic oxide and vitamin and mineral premix. These diets were designated SPP0 (control), SPP5, SPP10, SPP15, SPP20 and SPP25, respectively. Table 2 shows the ingredient and proximate compositions of the experimental diets. All experimental diets were iso-nitrogenous ($31.23 \pm 0.22\%$ crude protein) and iso-caloric (26.35 MJ/kg). Chromic oxide (Cr₂O₃) was incorporated in the diets to serve as the faecal indicator [9] for the determination of nutrient digestibility.

Table 1: Proximate composition of sweet potato peel

Nutrient content	Composition (%DM)
Moisture	8.24
Crude protein	4.64
Ash	4.56
Crude lipids	4.06
Crude fibre	3.80
NFE*	74.70

*Hydrolysable carbohydrate content computed as Nitrogen Free Extract (NFE)

Table 2: Ingredient and proximate composition* of experimental diets

Ingredient (%)	Diet designation					
	SPP0	SPP5	SPP10	SPP15	SPP20	SPP25
Sweet potato peel	0.0	5.0	10.0	15.0	20.0	25.0
α -cellulose	25.0	20.0	15.0	10.0	5.0	0.0
Fishmeal	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
Groundnut cake	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
Corn flour	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Cassava flour	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Corn oil	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Mineral and vitamin premix*	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
Chromic oxide	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Proximate (%DM)						
Crude protein	31.50	31.20	31.69	31.49	31.55	30.22
Crude lipids	17.83	16.58	19.73	18.73	18.34	14.92
Ash	8.37	9.19	9.00	10.16	9.43	11.72
Crude fibre	28.00	14.76	16.68	18.73	5.72	3.80

* Determined using standard methods [10]. All samples were analysed in triplicates

** Composition: A = 15,000,000 IU, D3 = 4,400,000 IU, E = 1,350 IU, K = 4,350 mg, B2 = 4,350 mg, B6 = 2,350 mg, B12 = 11,350 mg, C = 1,000 mg, nicotinic acid = 16,700 mg, pantothenic acid = 5,350 mg, potassium chloride = 87 gm = sodium sulphate = 212 gm, sodium chloride = 50 gm, magnesium sulphate = 12 gm, copper sulphate = 12 gm, zinc sulphate = 12 gm, manganese sulphate = 12 mg, lysine = 12 mg, methionine = 10 gm (Manufacturers: Anglian Nutrition Products Company (ANUPCO), Lady Lane, Hadleigh, Ipswich IP7 6BE, England).

Experimental Set-Up: The design utilized eighteen plastic tanks of 20-litres capacity and a constant water volume of 17 litres maintained in each tank. Prior to feeding of experimental diets, the fish were starved overnight to empty their gut and increase their appetite and reception for the new diets. A total of 24 fish were randomly selected, sacrificed and used in determining initial carcass proximate composition. For the experimental trials, 20 fish were weighed using Mettler Toledo PB602 top-loading balance and introduced into each of the experimental tanks. Each tank was assigned to one of the six experimental diets (triplicate tanks per diets). The fish were fed (3% body weight) twice daily at 0800 and 1800 h. Faecal samples were siphoned out and the water replaced daily before any subsequent feedings. The collected faecal samples were dried and stored for subsequent digestibility determination. Fish in each experimental tank were collectively weighed weekly for the determination of growth rate. The experimental period lasted 10 weeks. Mean water quality parameters during the experimental period were 5.62±0.09 mg/l, 2.04±0.05 mg/l, 22.60±0.18 mg/l, 20.67±0.18OC and 6.19±0.02 for dissolved oxygen, free carbon dioxide, alkalinity, temperature and pH, respectively.

Determination of Indices of Growth, Feed Utilization and Biochemical Parameters: At the end of the experimental period the following growth and feed utilization indices were calculated; percentage weight gain, specific growth rate (SGR), food conversion efficiency (FCE), food conversion ratio (FCR) and apparent net protein utilization (ANPU) using the following equations as described by Brown [11], Winberg [12], Castell and Teiws [13] and Miller and Bender [14], respectively.

The Following Equations Are Not Clear, Please Write in High Quality Form ????

$$SGR(\%/mg/day) = \frac{\log_1 W_2 - \log_1 W_1}{T_2 - T_1} \times 100$$

Where:

W_2 = Final weight at time T_2

W_1 = Initial weight at time T_1

e = Base of natural logarithm

$$FCE = \frac{\text{Weight gain (g)}}{\text{Dry weight of food consumed (g)}} \times 100$$

$$FCR = \frac{\text{Weight of food consumed (g)}}{\text{Weight gain by fish (g)}}$$

$$ANPU = \frac{\text{Final carcass protein} - \text{Initial carcass protein}}{\text{protein fed}}$$

Where

$$\text{Protein fed (g)} = \frac{\text{Protein (\% in feed)} \times \text{Total weight (g) of diet consumed}}{100}$$

At the end of the feeding trials, fish from each tank was subjected to plasma glucose and plasma total protein analyses using basic anthrone and electrophoresis methods, respectively as described by Wedemeyer and Yasutake [15].

Statistical Analysis: Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for completely randomized design was used at 95% significant level to test for significant differences between the various treatment means obtained for the growth, feed utilization parameters, carcass composition and biochemical parameters (plasma glucose and protein). The least significance difference (LSD) test was used to determine which pairs of the treatment means differed significantly. The chi-square test was employed to analyze the digestibility values.

RESULTS

Growth Performance: Results of mean weight gain and SGR of the experimental fish at the end of the feeding trials are presented in Table 3. The initial weight of the fish were near constant (0.47±0.01g) in all the experimental diets as there was no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) among the six treatments. The groups of fish fed diet SPP0 (control diet) had the highest percentage weight gain (284.81 + 17.42%) and this was significantly different ($P < 0.05$) from the percentage weight gain of the groups of fish fed on diets SPP5, SPP10, SPP15 and SPP20, while the groups of fish fed diet SPP25 recorded the least percentage weight gain (96.01+8.25%). Similarly, the groups of fish fed diet SPP0, had the highest SGR value (1.92+0.06%/day), which was significantly higher than ($P < 0.05$) all the other treatments, while the least SGR value was obtained from fish fed diet SPP25 (0.96 + 0.06 %/day).

Food Utilization Indices: The groups of fish fed on diet SPP0 utilized the experimental diets better than the other groups of fish. On the other hand the groups of fish fed diet SPP25 had the least feed utilization indices (Table 4). Values of ANPU obtained for the six experimental diets were not significantly different ($P > 0.05$) for all the groups of fish.

Table 3: Mean growth performance indices* of *Cyprinus carpio* ** fed different dietary sweet potato peel meal for 10 weeks

Growth indices	Diet designation					
	SPP0	SPP5	SPP10	SPP15	SPP20	SPP25
Initial weight (g)	0.46±0.05 ^a	0.48±0.01 ^a	0.46±0.00 ^a	0.47±0.01 ^a	0.47±0.01 ^a	0.48±0.01 ^a
Final weight (g)	1.75±0.06 ^a	1.37±0.05 ^a	1.19±0.08 ^a	1.31±0.09 ^{ab}	1.13±0.07 ^{bd}	0.94±0.02 ^b
Weight gain (%)	284.81±17.42 ^c	188.57±13.65 ^a	158.70±17.39 ^a	181.96±22.39 ^a	140.21±9.79 ^a	96.01±8.25 ^b
SGR (%/day)	1.93±0.06 ^c	1.52±0.06 ^a	1.36±0.09 ^a	1.48±0.11 ^a	1.25±0.06 ^a	0.96±0.06 ^a

* Values within the same row with same superscripts are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level.

** *n* = 20 fish per tank (values of two triplicates), Values in parentheses are standard errors of mean values.

Table 4: Mean values of food utilization indices of *Cyprinus carpio* fed different levels of dietary sweet potato peel meal for 10 weeks

Food indices	Diet designation					
	SPP0	SPP5	SPP10	SPP15	SPP20	SPP25
PER	2.14±0.02 ^a	1.88±0.06 ^{abcd}	1.59±0.19 ^{bcd}	1.70±0.18 ^{cd}	1.45±0.16 ^{dc}	1.13±0.09 ^e
ANPU (%)	27.14±5.26 ^a	33.55±5.82 ^a	30.42±2.53 ^a	23.24±4.57 ^a	31.79±0.78 ^a	14.04±2.45 ^b
FCR	1.49±0.01 ^a	1.71±0.05 ^a	1.90±0.22 ^a	1.78±0.19 ^a	2.23±0.27 ^b	2.96±0.23 ^c
FCE (%)	67.39±0.71 ^c	58.69±1.17 ^a	53.38±6.27 ^a	56.92±6.04 ^a	45.59±5.35 ^a	34.06±2.74 ^{ba}

* Values within the same row with same superscripts are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level.

** *n* = 20 fish per tank (values of two replicates), Values in parentheses are standard errors of mean values

PER = Protein efficiency ratio

ANPU = Apparent net protein utilization

FCR = Food conversion ratio

FCE = Food conversion efficiency

Table 5: Effect of different experimental diets on apparent digestibility

Experimental Diet	Optical density (Absorbance at 350 nm)		Apparent Digestibility (%)
	Feed sample	Faecal sample	
SPP0	0.1	0.0695	30.50
SPP5	0.1	0.0697	30.30
SPP10	0.1	0.0700	30.10
SPP15	0.1	0.0701	29.90
SPP20	0.1	0.0703	29.70
SPP25	0.1	0.0720	28.00

Table 6: Proximate composition* of carcass of *Cyprinus carpio* fed different levels of sweet potato peel meal for ten weeks

Proximate composition (%DM)	Initial carcass composition	Final carcass composition of fish fed diets					
		SPP0	SPP5	SPP10	SPP15	SPP20	SPP25
Crude protein	35.30	51.59±2.53 ^a	51.41±3.30 ^a	49.36±1.76 ^a	46.84±2.21 ^{ab}	49.87±0.74 ^a	41.06±1.09 ^b
Crude lipids	18.56	21.17±0.28 ^b	15.83±0.10 ^a	15.14±0.53 ^a	21.01±1.09 ^{ab}	18.41±0.33 ^a	17.61±0.09 ^b
Ash	16.77	16.24±4.58 ^a	20.21±0.52 ^a	19.76±1.47 ^a	18.81±0.94 ^a	18.10±1.75 ^a	18.63±0.45 ^a

* Values within the same row with same superscripts are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level. Values in parentheses are standard errors of mean values.

Table 7: Plasma glucose and protein (\pm SE*) of fingerlings of *Cyprinus carpio* + fed different levels of sweet potato peel meal for 10 weeks

Experimental Diet	Plasma glucose(mg/100ml)	Plasma protein (mg/100ml)
SPP0	0.02 \pm 0.01 ^a	1.67 \pm 0.00 ^c
SPP5	0.31 \pm 0.01 ^b	1.17 \pm 0.17 ^a
SPP10	0.05 \pm 0.01 ^a	0.50 \pm 0.00 ^a
SPP15	0.67 \pm 0.00 ^b	1.17 \pm 0.17 ^b
SPP20	0.08 \pm 0.01 ^{ab}	0.50 \pm 0.00 ^a
SPP25	0.08 \pm 0.00 ^a	0.50 \pm 0.00 ^a

*Values within the same column with same superscripts are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level.

[†]n = 20 Fish per tank (values of two replicates).

Digestibility: The optical readings for six experimental diets, the corresponding faecal samples and the calculated apparent digestibility values are shown in Table 5. Statistical analysis indicates no significant differences ($P > 0.05$) amongst the various dietary treatments. In absolute terms, the nutrient digestibility of the experimental diets by the fish was greatest (30.50%) in the control diet (SPP0) and lowest in diet SPP25 (28.00%).

Proximate Composition of Fish Carcass: The proximate composition of experimental fish carcass at the beginning and end of the feeding trials are presented in Table 6. Carcass of fish fed diet SPP25 had the least protein content, which was significantly different from the other diets except SPP15. The ash content was near constant, as there was no significant difference amongst the fish fed the six experimental diets. Fish fed diet SPP0 recorded the highest level of lipid deposit which was significantly different from the other dietary treatments.

Plasma Glucose and Protein: Mean values of the plasma glucose and protein of the experimental fish after the feeding trials are presented in Table 7. The groups of fish fed control diet had the least mean values of plasma glucose, which was significantly different ($P < 0.05$) from the mean values obtained for the groups of fish. The groups of fish fed control diet recorded the highest mean values of plasma protein, which was significantly different ($P < 0.05$) from the mean values obtained for the other groups of fish.

DISCUSSION

The nutritional quality of sweet potato peel meal as determined by growth indices in this study was adequate and in terms of survival the sweet potato peel can be successfully incorporated in the diets of cultured fish species, as no mortality was recorded in the experimental tanks during the feeding period. Although final body weight and growth rate were higher in fish fed the control diet. However, no deleterious depression in growth was

observed in fish fed diet incorporated with 5, 10, 15 and 20%, respectively. However, at higher inclusion level (25%) reduced performance by the fish was observed. Secondly, one of the most common difficulties observed when alternative sources of feedstuffs are used in fish diets is acceptance and palatability by the fish [16]. However, in this present study, the fish avidly consumed the experimental diets.

The mean dietary crude protein (31.23 \pm 0.22%) of the experimental diets is within good range that maximizes the growth of juvenile fish such as *Cyprinus carpio*. Typically, fish like the cyprinide, require dietary protein between 30 - 35% [17]. In this study, the highest weight gain was recorded in fish fed the control diet. Weight gain decreased linearly as levels of sweet potato peel increased and the least performance was observed in fish fed diet SPP25, an indication that at higher level of inclusion, above 25%, it may have a deleterious effect on growth. The result obtained in this study is in agreement with the result obtained by Omoregie *et al.* [18] when they included cassava peelings and mango seeds in the diet of *Oreochromis niloticus*. Also Ofojekwu *et al.* [19] reported a decrease in weight gain of *Oreochromis niloticus* with an increase in levels of palm kernel meal. Complete replacement of fishmeal with plant materials in fish diets will always lead to reduced growth and as such, the optimal level of plant materials is crucial [20].

In this study, feed utilization indices were best in fish fed the control diets, FCR and PER of fish fed diets SPP5, SPP10 and SPP15 were also adequate, with FCR value of 1.71, 1.90 and 1.78 and PER values of 1.875, 1.585 and 1.70, respectively. Wee and Wang [20] reported very poor FCR and PER values when tilapia were fed high levels of plant protein sources. In the present study, poor values of FCR and PER were observed at high levels of inclusion of sweet potato peels, which could not be said to be a plant protein source based on its crude protein composition. In our experimental diets, we had used a 25% level of fishmeal and all experimental diets were iso-nitrogenous, hence the better performance when compared to the diets used by Wee and Wang [21].

Protein digestibility recorded in this investigation is comparable to values of 47.5% recorded for alfalfa meal and 45.7% for raw corn by *Clarias lazera* [22] and high when compared to values of 12% for lettuce and 20% for various aquatic plants fed to carp [23] and 22.9% for alfalfa in *Tilapia aurea* [24].

Results of the proximate compositions of the fish carcass in this research revealed no evidence of excessive fat or carbohydrate deposition in the muscle after the experimental period. Fat and carbohydrate deposition in fish carcass is usually correlated to dietary levels [25]. Therefore, the result recorded in this investigation is a reflection of the good quality of the experimental diets with respect to fat and carbohydrate levels.

There was a wide range of significant differences in results obtained for the biochemical analyses, among some of the dietary treatments. Plasma glucose decreased linearly with an increase in the level of sweet potato peel inclusion in the diets. There was, however, no significant difference between fish fed the control diet and fish fed on diet SPP5. Significant differences were not observed between fish on diets SPP15, SPP20 and SPP25, respectively. This increase with respect to increase in the level of inclusion of sweet potato peel might be attributed to the increase in the level of hydrolysable carbohydrate in the experimental diets as the level of sweet potato peel increased. There was no much variation in the results obtained in this research for plasma protein, though various minor significant differences existed between the dietary treatments. This might be due to the near constant protein content of the experimental diets as determined during proximate analysis.

REFERENCES

1. The World Fish Center, 1709. The Millennium Development Goals: Fishing for a Future. 2007. Brochure No.
2. Jauncey, K. and S. Ross, 1982. A Guide to Tilapia Feeds and Feeding. University of Sterling, Scotland.
3. Lim, C., R.M. Eales, J.G. Prendergast, A.F. Mcleese, J.M. Shearer and D.A. Higgs, 1997. Nutritive values of low and high fibre canola meals for shrimps (*Ipenaeus vannamei*). *Aquacult. Nutr.*, 3: 269-279.
4. Omoregie, E., 2001. Utilization and nutrient digestibility of mango seeds and palm kernel meal by juvenile *Labeo senegalensis* (Antheriniformes: Cyprinidae) *Aquacult. Res.*, 32: 681-687.
5. Omoregie, E. and F.I. Ogbemudia, 1993. Effect of substituting fishmeal with palm kernel on growth and food utilization of the Nile Tilapia, (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Israeli J. Aquacult.*, 43: 113-119.
6. Francis, G., H.P.S. Makkar and K. Becker, 2001. Antinutritional factors present in plant derived alternate fish feed ingredients and their effects in fish. *Aquacult.*, 199: 197-227.
7. Kays, S.J., 1985. The physiology of yield in the sweet potato. In: JC Bouwkamp (Ed.) *Sweet Potato Products - A Natural Resource for the Tropics*, CRC Press, Florida, USA.
8. Payne, A.I. and P.H. Gut, 1978. digestible strategies in estuarine grey mullet (Mugilidae) and tilapia (Cichlidae). *J. Fish Biol.*, 13: 627-629.
9. Furakawa, A. and H. Tsukahara, 1966. On the acid digestion method of the determination of chromic oxide as an index substance in the study of digestibility of fish feed. *Bull. Jap. Soc. Sc. Fish.*, 32: 502-504.
10. AOAC. 1984. *Official Methods of Association of Official Analytical Chemists*, 14th Edition, AOAC, Arlington, VA, USA.
11. Brown, M.E., 1957. *Experimental Studies on Growth*. In: Hoar WS and DJ Randell (Eds.) *Physiology of Fishes*. Academic Press, New York. pp: 361-400.
12. Winberg, G.G., 1956. *Role of metabolism and food Requirement of fishes*. Belorussian State University, Minsk. (Translated from Russian by Journal of Fisheries Research Board of Canada Translation Service., 194: 202.
13. Castell, J.D. and K. Tiews, 1980. *Standardization of Methodology in Fish Nutrition Research*, Hamburg. Federal Republic of Germany, 21-23rd, March, 1979. EIFAC Technical., 36: 24.
14. Miller, D.S. and A.E. Bender, 1955. The determination of net protein utilization by a shortened method. *Brit. J. Nutr.*, 9: 382-388.
15. Wedemeyer, G.A. and W.T. Yasutake, 1977. *Clinical methods for the assessment of the effects of environment stress on fish health*. United State Department of Fish and Wildlife Service Washington DC. USA Technical., 89: 1-17.
16. Domingues, P., N.O. Sykes, A. Sommerfield and J.P. Andrade, 2003. Effects of feeding live or frozen prey on growth, survival and the life cycle of the Cuttlefish, *Sepia officinalis* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Aquacult. Internat.*, 11: 397-410.

17. Portz, L. and F. Liebert, 2004. Growth, nutrient utilization and parameters of mineral metabolism in Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758) fed plantbased diets with graded levels of microbial phytase. *J. An. Physiol. Nutr.*, 88: 311-320.
18. Omoregie, E., E.B.C. Ufodike and M.S. Umaru, 1991. Croissance et utilisation des aliments chez les fingerlings *Oreochromis niloticus* nourris avec des regimes a base d'epluchures de manioc et de noyaux de mangue. *Aquabyte.*, 4: 10-11.
19. Ofojekwu, P.C., P.C. Onuoha and V.O. Ayuba, 2003. Substitution of cotton seed cake with palm kernel meal in diets for Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* (L). *J. Aquat. Sc.*, 18: 59-63.
20. Fontainhas-Fernandes, A., E. Gomes, M.A. Reis-Henriques and J. Coimbra, 1999. Replacement of fishmeal by plant proteins in the diet of Nile tilapia: Digestibility and growth performance. *Aquacult. Internat.*, 7: 56-67.
21. Wee, K.L. and S. Wang, 1987. Nutritive values of Leucaena leaf meal in pelleted feed of the Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Aquac.*, 62: 97-108.
22. Mgbenka, B.O. and R.T. Lovell, 1987. Digestibility of feedstuffs and supplemental diets by grass carp. *Nig. J. Appl. Fish. Hydrobiol.*, 2: 65-72.
23. Fischer, Z., 1972. The elements of energy balance in grass carp *Ctenopharyngodon Idella* (Val.). III. Assimilability of proteins, carbohydrates and lipids by Fish fed with plant and animal food. *Pol. Arch. Hydrobiol.*, 19: 83-85.
24. Popma, T.J., 1982. Digestibility of elected feed stuffs and naturally occurring algae by *Tilapia nilotica*. Ph.D. Thesis, Auburn university, Alabama, USA.
25. Bell, J.G., R.J. Henderson, D.R. Tocher and J.R. Sargent, 2004. Replacement of dietary fish oil with increasing levels of linseed oil: modification of flesh fatty acid compositions in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) using a fish oil finishing diet. *Lipids*. 39: 223-232.