

## Modeling of Drying of Lavander (*Lavandula officinalis* L.) Flowers

<sup>1</sup>Hossein AhmadiChenarbon and <sup>2</sup>Seyedeh Masoomeh Hasheminia

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agriculture, Varamin-Pishva Branch, Islamic Azad University, Varamin, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy, Rodehen Branch, Islamic Azad University, Rodehen, Iran

**Abstract:** Drying is the most common food preservation, particularly for medicinal plants and herbs because of reduction of essential oils and changes of qualitative properties such as color, which both of them influence on the economical value of the products. Drying process of *Lavandula officinalis* L. flowers was studied and modeled in this investigation. Independent variables were temperature at three levels (40, 50 and 60°C), air velocity at two levels (0.5 and 1 m/s) and product depth at three levels (1, 2 and 3cm). The experimental data was fitted to a number of thin layer drying equation such as Yagcioglu, modified Page, Page, Henderson and Pabis, Lewis, two-term and Verma. Based on the results, the Page equation showed the best fit.

**Key words:** Drying • Modeling • *Lavandula officinalis* L.

### INTRODUCTION

The main aims of drying of agricultural products are to increase the shelf life, to prevent from biological activities including microbial and enzymes and to reduce the weight and volume of the materials in order to facilitate packaging, transporting and less storage space [1]. During drying process it is important to preserve the texture, color, flavor and nutritional value of the product. It means to reach moisture at safety level to minimize the quantity and quality losses during storage [2]. Lavander is an important medicinal plant of the Labiate family. Linalool and linalyl acetate are the main component of lavender oils. Panchariya examined the drying conditions of black tea at temperature ranging from 80 to 120°C and air velocity from 0.25 to 0.65 m/s. Experimental data was evaluated using Lewis, Page, modified Page, Two-term and Handerson & pabis drying models with non-linear regression and the Lewis model was selected as the best model [3]. Arabhosseini examined drying of *Artemisia dracunculus* L. leaves at temperature range of 40 to 90°C, different relative humidities and air velocity of 0.6 m/s. Although the Diffusion approach equation showed the best fit, but Page model was chosen since it had almost a similar performance but the equation is simpler as it has only two parameters instead of three in Diffusion approach model [4]. Doymaz assessed the drying behavior of mint leaves at temperatures of 35, 45, 55 and

65°C and air velocity of 1m/s. He reported that drying time reduced significantly at higher temperature. Four drying models were selected to fit the experimental data and the logarithmic model described satisfactorily the drying behavior of mint leaves [5].

This research was based on thin layer drying with heated air. The main objective of this study was to evaluate the best model for drying of *Lavandula officinalis* L. flowers to preserve quality properties and effective chemical compounds.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Drying Equipment:** Three experimental dryers of Kiln type were used for drying experiments. The experiments were performed at research complex of Asr-e- Enghelab, Tehran, Iran. This kind of dryer consists of two floors and usually use for drying of seeds, food stuffs, fruits and vegetables. In the first floor there is a heat generator and in the second floor, there is a container, with dimensions of 40 by 40 cm and 165cm height, to put the products for drying. At the bottom of this floor there are some holes to pass hot air generated in the first floor (Fig. 1). About 40 cm above the samples, there is a port to escape the moist air. Each dryer has two electric elements to generate required heat which one of them was controlled by a digital thermostat and the other one was controlled manually. The current flow of the hot air was produced by




Fig. 1: Experimental dryer

(F) fan, (H) heat generator, (S) sample – hold mesh, ( $T_1$ ) thermometer before(s), ( $T_2$ ) thermometer after(s), (Sw) switches, (DL) data logger, (CE) control electronic system, (DE) electronic driver, (EH) environment humidity sensor, (ET) environment temperature sensor

a blower which is located under the elements. The aeration rate of the blowers is adjustable by a dimmer in the range of 180 to 220 m<sup>3</sup>/h. Two sensors are in the upper and lower parts of the container to measure the temperature of the drying air just before and after the samples location.

Before starting of each experiment, the temperature was adjusted by the thermostat and the dryers were activated to reach the required heat. Having turned off the element by the switcher, means that the dryer's temperature was balanced then the samples were placed in the container. Data collection for thin layer drying experiments was performed through samples weighting at 5min time intervals using a digital balance (Sartorius, model PT210, Germany) with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.001$ g and then the results were recorded. Weighting of the samples continued until three consecutive readings showed the same value. Sample moisture was measured before and after drying experiment. The mean value of the samples dry weight was used for computations. The final moisture content of the samples was determined by drying in a vacuum dryer (model Galen Kamp) at 70°C, 150 mbar, for 8hours [6]. The air velocity of drying air was adjusted to the desired level by adjusting the blower motor and measured by an anemometer (AM- 4201, Lutron) with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.1$ m/s. During the experiments the ambient air temperature and RH variations in the lab were measured to be between 25 to 29°C and 31- 33%, respectively. Given the small size of the samples, 35 by 35 cm metal micro-pore meshes were used as tray to keep the samples in the dryers. Aluminum frames of 35 by 35 cm cross section with 1, 2 and 3cm height were placed on the porous plates to obtain the desired bed depths.

**Drying Process:** The lavender variety was collected from medicinal herb research collection of Jahad-e-Daneshgahi in May and June, 2009. After harvesting the flowers were immediately removed from the stems. The separated flowers were cut and then the samples were stored separately in plastic bags and refrigerated at temperature of  $4 \pm 1$ °C to prevent from microbial spoilage. Moisture content of the flowers was found to be 59% db. In this study the independent variables were temperature at 40, 50 and 60°C, air velocity at 0.5 and 1 m/s and bed depth of 1, 2 and 3cm. Dependent variable was drying time in order to determine the best equation for thin layer drying of *Lavandula officinalis* L. flowers.

**Mathematical Modeling of Drying:** The moisture ratio (MR) of *Lavandula officinalis* L. flowers during the drying experiments was calculated by using equation 1[5].

$$MR = \frac{M_t - M_e}{M_0 - M_e} \quad (1)$$

In which:

MR is moisture ratio (dimensionless),  $M_t$  is moisture content at time t (d.b%),  $M_0$  is initial moisture content (d.b%),  $M_e$  is equilibrium moisture content(d.b%). The final moisture content of samples were determined by using equation 2 on the dry basis.

$$M_c = \frac{W_w - W_d}{W_d} \quad (2)$$

In which:

$M_c$  is moisture content (d.b%)  $W_w$  is weigh of sample (kg) and  $W_d$  is dry matter weight (kg).

Table 1 shows a list of drying equations is made based on the literature.

In the above equations, k, n, a, b, c, g,  $k_0$  and  $k_1$  are the model coefficients. A non-linear regression program in Matlab was used to fit the equations to the experimental data to find the coefficients of the equations. For evaluating the goodness of fit, three statistical indicators were used in addition to  $R^2$  (Table 2). The model having the highest  $R^2$  and the lowest Root Mean Squares Error (RMSE),  $\chi^2$  and P-value was thus determined as the best model.

In Table 2  $M_i$  is moisture content of matter,  $M_{pre}$  is predicted moister by the model, N is number of observations, n is number of model constants,  $MR_{exp}$  is moisture ratio of experimental data,  $MR_{pre}$  is predicted moisture ratio.

Table 1: Mathematical equations used for modeling of drying process

Model name	Model equation	Reference
Lewis	MR=exp(-kt)	[7]
Henderson and Pabis	MR=a exp(-kt)	[8]
Page	MR=exp(-kt <sup>n</sup> )	[9]
Modified Page	MR=exp(-(kt) <sup>n</sup> )	[10]
Yagcioglu	MR=a exp(-kt)+c	[11]
Verma	MR=a exp(-kt)+(1-a) exp(-gt)	[12]
Two-term	MR=a exp(-k <sub>0</sub> t)+b exp(-k <sub>1</sub> t)	[4]

Table 2: Equations of indicators for evaluation of the drying models [8]

Indicator	Equation
P- value	$P = \frac{100}{N} \sum \frac{ M_i - M_{pre} }{M_i}$
$\chi^2$	$\chi^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (MR_{exp} - MR_{pre})^2}{N - n}$
RMSE	$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (MR_{pre} - MR_{exp})^2}{N}}$

Table 3: Evaluation of the models at 40°C and air velocities of 0.5 and 1 m/s

Model	RMSE $\times 10^{-1}$	$\chi^2 \times 10^{-2}$	R <sup>2</sup>	P- value (%)
<i>v</i> =0.5 m/s				
Lewis	25	15	0.99	10.22
Henderson and Pabis	22	40	0.95	13.75
Page	7	8	0.99	5.02
Modified Page	25	47	0.88	21.22
Yagcioglu	31	19	0.89	15.18
Verma	31	26	0.85	13.00
Two - term	47	32	0.88	14.31
<i>v</i> =1.0 m/s				
Lewis	31	15	0.90	11.25
Henderson and Pabis	47	43	0.98	17.75
Page	8	9	0.99	8.39
Modified Page	43	39	0.98	18.39
Yagcioglu	40	18	0.85	18.83
Verma	36	12	0.89	19.17
Two - term	30	22	0.85	20.64

Table 4: Evaluation of the models at 50°C and air velocities of 0.5 and 1 m/s

Model	RMSE $\times 10^{-1}$	$\chi^2 \times 10^{-2}$	R <sup>2</sup>	P- value (%)
<i>v</i> =0.5 m/s				
Lewis	18	18.00	0.95	10.12
Henderson and Pabis	31	43.00	0.89	13.41
Page	8	7.00	0.99	5.30
Modified Page	24	40.00	0.98	18.93
Yagcioglu	45	14.00	0.85	14.20
Verma	33	15.00	0.85	13.15
Two - term	52	35.00	0.95	26.69
<i>v</i> =1.0 m/s				
Lewis	20	14.00	0.99	10.40
Henderson and Pabis	36	54.00	0.85	19.65
Page	7	8.00	0.99	4.35
Modified Page	62	19.21	0.98	15.65
Yagcioglu	35	12.12	0.85	23.39
Verma	27	35.15	0.89	18.85
Two - term	32	40.12	0.85	18.37

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Tables 3 to 5 show the obtained statistical results of R<sup>2</sup>, RMSE, p-value and  $\chi^2$  for fitting the experimental data to selected drying models in order to determine the best model. The Verma, Yagcioglu and Two-term models were eliminated for having R<sup>2</sup> values lower than 0.9 while the Henderson and Pabis and Modified Page models were omitted because of undesirable  $\chi^2$  values. The Lewis model was eliminated due to very high RMSE. Overall, the Page model showed the best fit having highest R<sup>2</sup> and lowest  $\chi^2$ , RMSE and P-value.

Table 6 shows the fitness of obtained data from experimental treatments using the Page model. The R<sup>2</sup> values are above 0.99 and p-values are below 10% for all temperature and air velocities which statistically shows the good fit.

In a research Park found the Page model as the best model for drying mint leaves because it showed the best fit [14]. The study on drying *Artemisia dracunculus* L. leaves at air temperatures and relative humidities in the

Table 5: Evaluation of the models at 60°C and air velocities of 0.5 and 1 m/s

Model	$RMSE \times 10^{-1}$	$\chi^2 \times 10^{-2}$	$R^2$	P- value (%)
$v=0.5$ m/s				
Lewis	17	10	0.97	8.31
Henderson and Pabis	39	20	0.95	17.25
Page	7	7	0.99	3.35
Modified Page	30	26	0.85	20.11
Yagcioglu	41	16	0.85	18.31
Verma	50	26	0.88	19.45
Two - term	30	20	0.88	12.31
$v=1.0$ m/s				
Lewis	13	17	0.89	20.23
Henderson and Pabis	41	21	0.98	25.45
Page	6	4	0.99	4.37
Modified Page	30	35	0.85	15.43
Yagcioglu	31	14	0.85	20.37
Verma	64	15	0.85	19.41
Two - term	56	29	0.85	35.22

Table 6: Coefficient of the Page equation fitted to drying data

Temperature °C	Velocity, m/s	k	n	$RMSE \times 10^{-1}$	P- value (%)	$\chi^2 \times 10^{-2}$	$R^2$
40	0.5	0.013	0.4250	7.00	5.22	3.17	0.999
	1.0	0.028	0.6730	9.00	8.11	9.11	0.999
50	0.5	0.017	0.0170	8.00	5.31	7.65	0.999
	1.0	0.011	0.0385	7.45	4.22	8.49	0.999
60	0.5	0.021	0.1120	7.32	3.07	7.23	0.998
	1.0	0.037	0.5030	6.45	4.11	4.65	0.998

range of 40 to 70°C and 11 to 84% respectively and constant air velocity of 0.6 m/s showed that the Diffusion approach model was the best while Page model came second. However, since the Page model has one less parameter, it was selected as the better model [4]. Also, in a research about thin-layer drying of onions using x-ray, the Page model was proposed as the best one for having the highest  $R^2$  and the least  $\chi^2$  [15].

## CONCLUSION

Among the drying models, the Page equation showed the best fit for drying of *Lavandula officinalis* L. flowers. Thus this model is suitable as a relevant equation for drying of *Lavandula officinalis* L. flowers. The "n" and "k" parameters were estimated as function of temperature for *Lavandula officinalis* L. flowers. This model is suitable to estimate the moisture content during drying in order to determine drying time and energy consumption.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Simal, S., A. Femenia, M. Garau and C. Rossello, 2005. Use of exponential, Page's and diffusional models to simulate the drying kinetics of Kiwifruit. J. Food Engineering, 66: 323-328.
- 2 Hall, C.W., 1980. Drying and Storage of Agricultural Crops. The AVI Publishing Company, Inc., Westport.
- 3 Panchariya, P.C., D. Popovic and A.L. Sharma, 2002. Thin layer modeling of black tea drying process. J. Food Engineering, 52: 349-357.
- 4 Arabhosseini, A., W. Huisman, A.J.B. Van Boxtel and J. Mueller, 2009. Modeling of thin layer drying of tarragon (*Artemisia dracunculus* L.). J. Industrial Crops and Products, 29: 53-59.
- 5 Doymaz, I., 2007. Thin layer drying behavior of mint leaves. J. Food Engineering, 24: 370-375.
- 6 Tsami, E., Z.B. Maroulis, D. Morunis-Kouris and G.D. Saravacos, 1990. Heat of sorption of water in dried fruits. International Food Sci. Technol., 25: 350-359.
- 7 Lewis, W.K., 1921. The rate of drying of solids materials. The J. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 13(5): 427-432.
- 8 Westerman, P.W., G.M. White and I.J. Ross, 1973. Relative humidity effect on the high temperature drying on shelled corn. Trans ASAE, 16: 1136-9.
- 9 Page, G.E., 1949. Factors influencing the maximum of air drying shelled corn in thin layer, M. S. thesis, Purdue University, Indiana, USA.

10. White, G.M., I.J. Ross and C.G. Poneleit, 1981. Fully- exposed drying of popcorn. Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers, 24: 466-468.
11. Yagcioglu, A., A. Degirmencioglu and F. Cagatay, 1999. Drying characteristic of laurel leaves under different conditions. Proceeding of the 7<sup>th</sup> International Congress on Agricultural Mechanization and Energy, pp: 565-569.
12. Verma, L.R., R.A. Bucklin, J.B. Endan and F.T. Wratten, 1985. Effect of drying air parameters on rice drying models. Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers, 28: 296-301.
13. San Martin, M.B., J.I. Mate, T. Fernandez and P. Virseda, 2001. Modeling adsorption equilibrium moisture characteristics of rough rice. *J. Drying Technol.*, 19: 681-690.
14. Park, K.J., Z. Vohnikova and F.P.R. Brod, 2002. Evaluation of drying parameters and desorption isotherms of garden mint leaves (*Mentha crispa* L.). *J. Food Engineering*, 51: 193-199.
15. Sharma, A., R. Esgici and S. Kizil, 2007. Moisture dependent physical properties of caper (*Capparis* ssp) fruit. *J. Food Engineering*, 79: 1426-1431.